This is the author's accepted manuscript of the article published in The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. The final authenticated version is available online at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2019.02.007

# 1 Prophylactic allergen immunotherapy with Der p 2 prevents murine

# 2 asthma by regulating lung GM-CSF

- 3 Haspeslagh Eline<sup>a, b</sup>
- 4 Vanheerswynghels Manon<sup>a, b</sup>
- 5 <u>Deswarte Kim<sup>a, b</sup></u>
- 6 van Moorleghem Justine<sup>a, b</sup>
- 7 Jacquet Alain, PhD<sup>c</sup>
- 8 Lambrecht Bart N, MD, PhD<sup>a, b, d, e</sup>
- 9 Hammad Hamida, PhD<sup>a, b, e</sup>
- 10 a. Laboratory of Immunoregulation and Mucosal Immunology, VIB Center for Inflammation Research,
- 11 Technologiepark-Zwijnaarde 71, B-9052 Ghent (Zwijnaarde), Belgium
- 12 b. Department of Internal Medicine and Pediatrics, University Hospital Ghent, De Pintelaan 185 K12,
- 13 <u>B-9000 Ghent, Belgium</u>
- 14 c. Division of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Chulalongkorn University, 1873 Rama IV Road,
- 15 Pathumwan, Bangkok 10330, Thailand
- 16 d. Department of Pulmonary Medicine, ErasmusMC, 's-Gravendijkwal 230, 3015 CE Rotterdam, The
- 17 <u>Netherlands</u>
- 18 <u>e. Shared supervision of the work</u>
- 19
- 20 Corresponding author:
- 21 Hamida Hammad
- 22 Hamida.hammad@ugent.be
- 23 Inflammation Research Center (IRC)
- 24 a VIB-UGent Department
- 25 'Fiers-Schell-Van Montagu' building
- 26 Technologiepark 927
- 27 B-9052 Ghent (Zwijnaarde), Belgium
- 28 Tel: +32(0)9 33 13 740/576
- 29 Fax: +32 9 221 76 73
- 30
- 31 This work is supported by the Flanders Research Foundation (grant number FWO13/ASP/138 to EH),
- 32 an ERC advanced grant (AsthmaCrystalClear) to BNL, several FWO project grants to BNL and HH, and
- a Ghent University grant (GOA 01G02817). The funding sources had no role in the study design,
- 34 collection and interpretation of the data or writing of the manuscript.
- 35
- 36 Disclosure of potential conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
- 37

### 38 Capsule summary

- 39 This mouse study demonstrates that repetitive inhalation of a single major house dust mite (HDM)
- 40 allergen prevents HDM-induced allergic asthma development through suppressing the function of
- 41 lung dendritic cells, thus providing an alternative to classical allergen-specific immunotherapy.

## 42 Key words

- 43 Allergic asthma, house dust mite/HDM, Der p 2/Derp2, allergen-specific immunotherapy/AIT,
- 44 inhalation, pulmonary, dendritic cell, type 2 conventional dendritic cell/cDC2, GM-CSF

45 To the Editor,

46 The prevalence of allergic diseases is increasing, urging new ways of prevention. Allergen immunotherapy (AIT) is currently the only clinical intervention that can alter the natural course of 47 allergy and can offer long-term clinical benefit. Although AIT is traditionally used as treatment in 48 patients with established disease, the National Institute of Health Immune Tolerance Network (ITN) 49 50 has proposed that prophylactic AIT could also be used as primary prevention for new sensitizations 51 and allergic disease, in high risk children born to atopic parents and with a personal history of atopic 52 dermatitis and/or food allergen sensitization in early life<sup>1</sup>. AIT involves the repeated administration of 53 allergen extracts, leading to the induction of an ill-defined state of systemic allergen-specific 54 immunological tolerance that is associated with decreased symptom scores, particularly in allergic rhinitis patients, but less so in asthmatics<sup>2</sup>. While current AIT involves the subcutaneous or sublingual 55 56 administration of crude allergen extracts, regimens based on natural routes of mucosal\_allergen 57 administration and defined single allergens might improve success rate. Several studies have 58 suggested that the natural route of allergen exposure (e.g. ingestion of peanut allergen in infants, 59 bee stings in bee keepers, inhalation of high doses of cat allergens in pet owners) can be very successful in preventing the onset of clinical allergies<sup>3-5</sup>. The exposure to immunodominant allergens 60 61 in these studies, such as Arah6 peanut allergen in breast milk, phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> in bee venom, and Feld1 cat allergen in house dust, suggests that the same allergens that can cause disease are also 62 63 best at inducing tolerance via the natural route of exposure.

64 To improve the success rate of prophylactic AIT in asthma, we hypothesized that inhalation of Derp2, 65 a major immunodominant house dust mite (HDM) allergen, would prevent the onset of HDM 66 sensitization and HDM-induced asthma. Recombinant Derp2 was produced in the yeast Pichia 67 pastoris, and was given as prophylactic AIT intranasally to naive C57BI/6J mice every other day for a 68 period of 15 days, prior to intratracheal (i.t.) sensitization to the full HDM extract, and HDM extract 69 airway challenges (Fig 1a). In mice treated with sham PBS AIT, HDM sensitization and challenges 70 induced robust asthma features, including airway and tissue eosinophilia (Fig 1b-c), goblet cell 71 metaplasia (Fig 1b), T and B cell influx in the bronchoalveolar space (BAL) (Fig 1c), T helper 2 (Th2) 72 cytokine production by lung-draining lymph node (LDLN) cells (Fig 1d), immunoglobulin (Ig)E 73 synthesis (Fig 1e) and bronchial hyperreactivity (BHR) to methacholine (Fig 1f). These allergic asthma 74 features were almost completely abolished in mice that received active Derp2 AIT (Fig 1b-f). To 75 address if intranasal Derp2 AIT would also be effective in a more therapeutic secondary prevention 76 setting, mice were first sensitized to HDM extract, and after one week treated for 17 days with Derp2 77 AIT, followed by HDM airway challenges. In this setting too, Derp2 AIT prevented development of 78 the salient features of asthma, among which eosinophilia, Th2 cytokine production in LDLNs, and BHR 79 (p<0.09) (Fig 1g-i).

We next sought for the immunological mechanism(s) of prophylactic AIT. In the active Derp2 AIT group, we observed increased concentrations of HDM-specific IgG2c and IgG1 in serum, and of HDMspecific IgA in BAL fluid (Fig 1e). In humans, successful AIT is often accompanied by increased titers of IgG1, IgG4 and/or IgA<sup>6</sup>. However, despite the increase in several Igs, our prophylactic Derp2 AIT did not require B cells, since the effects of AIT on lung eosinophilia and Th2 cytokine production were

preserved in  $Mb1^{Cre} \times Rosa26$ -Lox-Stop-Lox<sup>DTA</sup> mice genetically lacking B cells (Fig E1).

The generation of adaptive type 2 immunity to HDM depends on allergen presentation by type 2 86 87 conventional dendritic cells (cDC2s), which bridge innate and adaptive immunity. The capacity of 88 lungs DCs to take up HDM allergen in the lungs and transport it to the LDLNs was not reduced by prophylactic Derp2 AIT (Fig E2a), and there were only small effects of Derp2 AIT on the expression of 89 90 the co-stimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86 on cDC1s and cDC2s (Fig E2b). To study the impact of 91 Derp2 AIT on functional allergen presentation by DCs, we used TCR-transgenic 1-DER mice in which 92 all CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells react to an immunodominant peptide of Derp1<sup>7</sup>. CD4<sup>+</sup> 1-DER T cells were transferred 93 to mice previously treated with Derp2 or sham PBS AIT, and their active division induced by HDM 94 extract inhalation. 4, 7 and 10 days after HDM inhalation, the LDLNs of sham treated mice contained 95 highly proliferating 1-DER T cells, yet proliferation was strongly reduced in mice receiving Derp2 AIT 96 (Fig. 2a-b). Derp2 AIT also suppressed IL-5, IL-13, and IL-10 secretion (Fig. 2c), and Gata3 mRNA 97 expression (Fig E2c) by LDLN cells, and reduced the total and effector CD44<sup>+</sup>CD62L<sup>-</sup> 1-DER T cell 98 numbers in lung tissue (Fig 2d-e). Similar effects of Derp2 AIT on 1-DER T cell activity were observed 99 when i.t. Derp1 was administered instead of HDM to trigger 1-DER T cell responses (data not shown). In support of a role for Derp2 AIT-induced suppression of cDC2 functions, we found that the adoptive 100 transfer of in vivo HDM-primed cDC2, obtained from LDLNs of mice that had never been exposed to 101 102 Derp2 AIT, was sufficient to break the tolerant state induced by prophylactic Derp2 AIT (Fig. 2f). 103 Furthermore, vice versa, LDLN cDC2s from mice undergoing prophylactic Derp2 AIT and then exposed 104 to a single HDM extract inhalation, were less efficient in priming type 2 immunity upon adoptive 105 transfer to naive hosts (Fig E2d). In another set of experiments, cDCs were sorted from the LDLNs of 106 sham or Derp2 AIT mice that were primed with a single Derp1 protein inhalation, and were co-107 cultured with 1-DER T cells ex vivo. cDC2s from the Derp2 AIT group induced less 1-DER T cell 108 proliferation than cDC2s from the sham AIT group (Fig 2g). T cell proliferation induced by cDC1s was 109 not influenced by Derp2 AIT (data not shown).

110 We finally addressed the mechanism of the reduced cDC2-mediated antigen presentation in mice 111 treated with prophylactic Derp2 AIT. In response to HDM inhalation, cDC2s are activated to perform 112 this function through the epithelial release of DC-instructing cytokines<sup>8</sup>. We therefore measured 113 whether prophylaxis with Derp2 affected the release of pro-allergic cytokines and chemokines 114 following a first inhalation of whole HDM allergen extract. In the sham AIT group, a single dose of i.t. 115 instilled HDM extract led to an increased production of MCP-1, KC, IL-1 $\alpha$ , and GM-CSF, in lungs, 116 compared to i.t. instilled PBS (Fig 2h). Prophylactic Derp2 AIT only significantly reduced the 117 production of GM-CSF, a cytokine that has been shown to break inhalation tolerance by activating 118  $DCs^9$ . To study the functional importance of reduced GM-CSF release in the tolerance mediated by 119 Derp2, mice treated with sham or Derp2 AIT and sensitized and challenged with HDM extract, were 120 supplemented i.t with recombinant GM-CSF at the time of sensitization. Strikingly, Derp2 AIT mice 121 that received GM-CSF at the time of HDM sensitization were no longer protected from allergy 122 development, as assessed by their development of a robust BAL eosinophilia (Fig 2i). We found 123 comparable results in a secondary prevention setting (Fig E3a). Similarly, the effect of prophylactic 124 Derp2 AIT on 1-DER T cell division was also rescued by GM-CSF supplementation (Fig 2j and Fig E3b). 125 In conclusion, our findings in mice show that prophylactic exposure to the single immunodominant 126 HDM allergen Derp2 via the airways offers an alternative way to prevent respiratory allergy to the 127 complex allergen HDM, by suppressing GM-CSF-driven activation of lung cDC2s.

128 Haspeslagh Eline<sup>a, b</sup>

129 Vanheerswynghels Manon<sup>a, b</sup>

- 130 <u>Deswarte Kim<sup>a, b</sup></u>
- 131 van Moorleghem Justine<sup>a, b</sup>
- 132 Jacquet Alain, PhD<sup>c</sup>
- 133 Lambrecht Bart N, MD, PhD<sup>a, b, d, e</sup>
- 134 Hammad Hamida, PhD<sup>a, b, e</sup>
- 135 <u>a. Laboratory of Immunoregulation and Mucosal Immunology, VIB Center for Inflammation Research,</u>
- 136 Technologiepark-Zwijnaarde 71, B-9052 Ghent (Zwijnaarde), Belgium
- 137 b. Department of Internal Medicine and Pediatrics, University Hospital Ghent, De Pintelaan 185 K12,
- 138 <u>B-9000 Ghent, Belgium</u>
- 139 c. Division of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Chulalongkorn University, 1873 Rama IV Road,
- 140 Pathumwan, Bangkok 10330, Thailand
- 141 <u>d. Department of Pulmonary Medicine, ErasmusMC, 's-Gravendijkwal 230, 3015 CE Rotterdam, The</u>
- 142 <u>Netherlands</u>
- 143 <u>e. Shared supervision of the work</u>
- 144
- 145 eline.haspeslagh@irc.vib-ugent.be
- 146 manon.vanheerswynghels@ugent.be
- 147 kim.deswarte@ugent.be
- 148 justine.vanmoorleghem@IRC.vib-ugent.be
- 149 bart.lambrecht@ugent.be
- 150 Hamida.Hammad@UGent.be
- Holt PG, Sly PD, Sampson HA, Robinson P, Loh R, Lowenstein H, et al. Prophylactic use of
  sublingual allergen immunotherapy in high-risk children: A pilot study. J Allergy Clin Immunol.
  2013;132(4):991–4.
- 1542.Dhami S, Kakourou A, Asamoah F, Agache I, Lau S, Jutel M, et al. Allergen immunotherapy for155allergic asthma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Allergy. 2017;72(12):1825–48.
- 1563.Du Toit G, Roberts G, Sayre PH, Bahnson HT, Radulovic S, Santos AF, et al. Randomized Trial of157Peanut Consumption in Infants at Risk for Peanut Allergy. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(9):803–13.
- Jeal H, Draper A, Harris J, Taylor AN, Cullinan P, Jones M. Modified Th2 responses at high-dose
  exposures to allergen: Using an occupational model. Am J Respir Crit Care Med.
  2006;174(1):21-5.
- Cullinan P, MacNeill SJ, Harris JM, Moffat S, White C, Mills P, et al. Early allergen exposure,
  skin prick responses, and atopic wheeze at age 5 in English children: A cohort study. Thorax.
  2004;59(10):855–61.
- James LK, Shamji MH, Walker SM, Wilson DR, Wachholz PA, Francis JN, et al. Long-term
  tolerance after allergen immunotherapy is accompanied by selective persistence of blocking
  antibodies. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2011;127(2):509–16.e5.
- Coquet JM, Schuijs MJ, Smyth MJ, Deswarte K, Beyaert R, Braun H, et al. Interleukin-21 Producing CD4+ T Cells Promote Type 2 Immunity to House Dust Mites. Immunity.
  2015;43(2):318–30.

- Hammad H, Chieppa M, Perros F, Willart MA, Germain RN, Lambrecht BN. House dust mite
  allergen induces asthma via Toll-like receptor 4 triggering of airway structural cells. Nat Med.
  2009;15(4):410–6.
- Swirski FK, Sajic D, Robbins CS, Gajewska BU, Jordana M, Stampfli MR. Chronic Exposure to
  Innocuous Antigen in Sensitized Mice Leads to Suppressed Airway Eosinophilia That Is
  Reversed by Granulocyte Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor. J Immunol.
- 176 2002;169(7):3499–506.
- 177

178

#### 179

### 180 Figure legends

#### 181 Figure 1: Prophylactic Derp2 inhalation prevents HDM-induced allergic asthma development. (a)

182 Experimental setup for Fig 1b-f. (b) Mucus production (purple, upper panel), and eosinophils (yellow, 183 lower panel) in lungs. (c) Immune cells in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluids. (d) Cytokine production 184 by HDM-restimulated lung-draining lymph node (LDLN) cells. (e) Immunoglobulins (Igs) in serum (IgE, 185 IgG1, IgG2c), or BAL (IgA). (f) Airway resistance in response to methacholine (Mch). (g-i) Secondary 186 prevention setting. (g) Immune cells in BAL. (h) Cytokine production by HDM-restimulated LDLN cells. 187 (i) Airway resistance in response to Mch. Results are representative of 1 (b), 2 (e), 4 (d) or 6 (c), or 188 pooled from 2 (f, i) or 3 (g, h) independent experiments, with n = 4-7 (b-f) or 3-6 (g-i) mice/group for 189 single experiments. Data are shown as means ± SEM, or in (f) and (i) as predictions of means ± SEM 190 obtained from repeated measurement analysis using residual maximum likelihood (REML). \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001. OD: optical density at 650 nm/850 nm. 191

192 Figure 2: Prophylactic Derp2 inhalation suppresses cDC2-mediated Th2 responses by blocking GM-193 CSF release. (a-e) Derp2 or sham PBS mice received CFSE-labeled 1-DER T cells intravenously (iv) and 194 HDM intratracheally (i.t.), and were analyzed 4, 7 or 10 d later. (a) Cell division profiles, and (b) 195 proliferation parameters, of tissue-resident (CD45<sub>iv injected (iv)</sub>) 1-DER T cells in lung-draining lymph 196 nodes (LDLNs). (c) Cytokine production by HDM-restimulated LDLN cells. (d) Number and (e) 197 phenotype of tissue-resident lung 1-DER T cells. (f) Treatment as in Fig 1a, with 2 groups of mice i.t. 198 sensitized with donor HDM-primed cDC2s instead of HDM. Immune cells in bronchoalveolar lavage 199 (BAL) fluids. (g) V450-labeled 1-DER T cells co-cultured with LDLN cDC2s of Derp2 or sham PBS mice 200 i.t. instilled with Derp1. Cell division profiles, expansion indexes, and counts of 1-DER T cells. (h) 201 Cytokines and chemokines in lung tissue of Derp2 or sham PBS mice 2 h after HDM instillation. (i) 202 Treatment as in Fig 1a, with 1 group of mice i.t. sensitized with HDM + GM-CSF. BAL immune cells. (j) 203 Derp2 or sham PBS mice received CFSE-labeled 1-DER T cells iv and Derp1 with or without GM-CSF 204 i.t.. Proliferation parameters of LDLN 1-DER T cells. Results are representative of 2 (f-h, j) or 3 (a-e), or 205 pooled from 2 (i) independent experiments, with n = 4-8 (a-f, h-j) mice/group or 2-3 (g) 206 replicates/group for single experiments. Shown as means ± SEM (or ± SD in (g)). \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001. 207



