1 RESEARCH ARTICLE

Co-existence between Javan slow lorises (*Nycticebus javanicus*) and humans in a dynamic agroforestry landscape in West Java, Indonesia

- 4
- K.A.I. Nekaris^{1, 2*}, S. Poindexter¹, K. D. Reinhardt¹, M. Sigaud¹, F. Cabana¹, W. Wirdateti³, V.
 Nijman^{1, 2}
- 7 1. Nocturnal Primate Research Group, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, United Kingdom
- 8 2. Oxford Wildlife Trade Research Group, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, United Kingdom
- 9 3. Zoological Division, Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Cibinong, Indonesia
- 10
- 11 For International Journal of Primatology
- 12 Total word count 8022
- 13

14 ABSTRACT

15 In a world increasingly dominated by human demand for agricultural products, we need to understand wildlife's ability to survive in agricultural environments. We studied the 16 interaction between humans and Javan slow lorises (Nycticebus javanicus) in Cipaganti, Java, 17 18 Indonesia. After its introduction in 2013, chayote (Sechium edule), a gourd grown on 19 bamboo lattice frames, became an important cash crop. To evaluate people's use of this crop and to measure the effect of this increase on slow loris behaviour, home ranges, and 20 21 sleep sites, we conducted interviews with local farmers and analysed the above variables in 22 relation to chayote expansion between 2011-2015. Interviews with farmers in 2011, 2013 and 2015 confirm the importance of chayote and of bamboo and slow lorises in their 23 agricultural practises. In 2015 chayote frames covered 12% of land in Cipaganti, occupying 24 25 4% of slow loris home ranges, which marginally yet insignificantly increased in size with the 26 increase in chayote. Slow lorises are arboreal and the bamboo frames increased 27 connectivity within their ranges. Of the sleep sites we monitored from 2013-2016, 24 had disappeared, and 201 continued to be used by the slow lorises and processed by local 28 29 people. The fast growth rate of bamboo, and the recognition of the value of bamboo by farmers, allow persistence of slow loris sleep sites. Overall introduction of chayote did not 30 31 result in conflict between farmers and slow lorises, and once constructed the chayote 32 bamboo frames proved to be beneficial for slow lorises.

KEY WORDS: agroforestry, conservation, ethnozoology, chayote, *Sechium edule*, sleep site,
 Nycticebus javanicus

35 INTRODUCTION

36 Preservation of high quality forest habitats is vital for the conservation of global biodiversity. Yet, in a world increasingly dominated by humans with their ever-growing demands for 37 agricultural products, an understanding of wildlife's ability to survive and even thrive in 38 39 agricultural environments is increasingly important (Bhagwat et al. 2008; Estrada et al. 2012; 40 Stafford et al. 2016; Estrada et al. 2017). To meet this need, researchers have suggested 41 new approaches to study biodiversity, integrating agricultural matrices into conservation 42 planning for the preservation of rare species that also occur outside of pristine 43 environments (Meijaard and Sheil 2008; Cassano et al. 2014). Farming systems that are intercropped by hedgerows or living fences of trees have often been regarded as vital 44 contributors to alleviation of fragmentation (Michel et al. 2006). In Europe, where 45 46 deforestation has been occurring for centuries, hedgerows are often the only habitat left for wildlife (Gelling et al. 2007), and have thus been well studied in the context of mammalian 47 48 density, dispersal ability and behavioural ecology (Michel et al. 2007; Zhang and Usher 1991). Even for forest specialists, hedgerows have been shown to be important habitats, 49 50 making up parts of forest dwelling animals' home ranges and as dispersal vectors (Schlinkert 51 et al. 2016). For tropical mammals, such studies have lagged behind, but are now necessary 52 as intact habitats disappear at an alarming rate.

53 Researchers often study tropical mammals, including primates, in 'pristine' habitats, 54 rather than in disturbed, modified or anthropogenic habitats, with an idea that evolutionary adaptations can only be studied in such contexts (Hockings et al. 2015). Increasingly, 55 56 however, the importance of anthropogenic habitats to primate ecology, conservation and 57 evolution are recognized (Asensio et al. 2009, Estrada et al. 2017). For some species, 58 agricultural landscapes may be beneficial not only to primates, but also to humans when primates control pests, pollinate flowers, or simply live peaceably without damaging their 59 60 crops (Estrada 2006, Williams-Guillén et al. 2006). Although such interactions are not always 61 amicable, primates can show remarkable behavioural flexibility, including dietary and habitat switching, and changes in polyspecific interactions (Tisovec 2014; Moore et al. 2010; 62 63 Morrogh-Bernard 2014; Nowak and Lee 2013), making the study of the long-term 64 sustainability of such systems important for primate conservation.

65 Agroforestry systems, areas in which trees or shrubs are grown around or among crops or pastureland, are one type of landscape where humans and primates may come 66 67 together (Estrada et al. 2012). Considering mainly diurnal primates, Estrada et al. (2012) 68 defined a number of ways primates can be useful to these systems, benefits also offered by 69 a number of nocturnal primates. Researchers have recorded the pollination of agricultural 70 plants by nocturnal primates (Javan slow lorises Nycticebus javanicus in Java, greater slow 71 loris *N. coucang* in Malaysia) (Nekaris 2014; Wiens et al. 2006). Insect consumption, which is also likely to include agricultural pests, has been observed in agroecosystems among Javan 72 73 slow loris in Java (Rode-Margono et al. 2015), Mysore slender loris (Loris lydekkerianus 74 lydekkerianus) in India (Nekaris and Rasmussen 2003; Kumara et al. 2016), Milne-Edward's potto (Perodicticus edwardsi) in Cameroon (Pimley et al. 2006), and by Dian's tarsier (Tarsius 75 76 dianae) in Sulawesi (Merker et al. 2005).

77 Being able to survive in human-modified landscapes is not enough; a tolerance between humans and primates must exist, in that humans do not trap primates for food or 78 pets, or harm them over conflicts for food resources (Lee 2010). Mantled howler monkeys 79 80 (Alouatta palliata) can feed and persist well in shade coffee plantations if left undisturbed by humans, including capturing them for the pet trade (Williams-Guillén et al., 2006). 81 82 Additional management by humans may also be required, such as increasing connectivity 83 between planted trees to aid in travel or predator avoidance, such as was observed in 84 Brazil's cacao (Theobroma cacao) agroforests for Wied's marmosets (Callithrix kuhlii) and 85 golden-headed lion tamarins (Leontopithecus chrysomelas) (Tisovec et al. 2014). Several 86 macaque (Macaca spp) populations also can persist alongside humans, where being caught 87 for pets or for the biomedical industry is a looming threat (e.g. Shepherd 2010).

88 The island of Java, Indonesia, is one of the most densely populated areas on earth. Java is largely deforested and most of the remaining 10% forest covers (parts of) the 89 90 numerous volcanoes on the island (Whitten et al. 1996). Forest has been replaced by a 91 mosaic of cities and villages, agricultural land, cash-crop plantations, and forest plantations (e.g., teak *Tectona grandis*, Sumatran pine *Pinus merkusii*, rubber *Hevea brasiliensis*) 92 (Nijman 2013). About 17% of the agricultural land on Java consists of home gardens and 93 94 agroforest, whose forest-like structure more or less mimic natural forest (Whitten et al. 95 1996), thus greatly increasing connectivity for many species.

96 Javan slow lorises, nocturnal primates endemic to Java, are characterized by fully arboreal slow climbing locomotion (Nekaris 2014). As such, one would expect them to be 97 98 particularly vulnerable to habitat fragmentation where movement on the ground is often a 99 requirement (c.f. Mortelliti et al. 2013; Vaughan et al. 2007). Slow lorises in general, 100 however, are adapted to life at forest edges where increased sunlight creates a dense 101 network of branches (Chivers 1980). Studies in the village of Cipaganti, Java, an agroforest 102 ecosystem with a particularly high density of this Critically Endangered primate, show that slow lorises enter a sleep site at dawn, where they remain until dusk. As with most other 103 104 primates (Anderson 1998), slow lorises do not use nests but instead sleep on a branch or 105 tangle of branches, curled in a ball or huddled against group mates, within their chosen 106 sleeping tree (Nekaris 2003). Such sleep sites are generally dense and have been 107 hypothesised to protect them from extreme temperatures and predators (Nekaris 2014). 108 Being territorial, the sleep sites of a slow loris group (male-female pair and offspring) fall 109 exclusively within their own home range. Bamboo stands comprise 96% of sleep sites for 110 Javan slow lorises in Cipaganti, as well as substrates for feeding and avoiding ground movement (Nekaris 2014). Bamboo stands are used (and re-used) as sleep sites daily by 111 112 slow lorises. Typically, 20 to 40 bamboo sleep sites are present in each slow loris' home 113 range (first author, unpubl. data).

114 Cipaganti is characterized by shifts in agriculture, with the types of crops grown depending on local economic trends. For example in 2012, when tomatoes (Solanum 115 116 lycopersicum) were economically valuable, farmers heavily planted this crop. Similarly, in 117 2013, farmers began growing a gourd, chayote (Sechium edule), and by 2015 it became the 118 crop of choice. Chayote, locally known as *labu*, relies on a network of bamboo frames in 119 order to grow (Fig. 1). These frames are erected at ~1.6 m in height and can be up to 1 ha in size, and cover what would have been open ground with a network of chayote vines 120 growing on the frames. Due to the increasing interest by farmers in planting chayote, we 121 122 noted an accelerated rate of cutting of bamboo, possibly impeding on the survival of the Javan slow lorises. Here, we examine the impact of this new agricultural development on 123 124 the behaviour of slow lorises by addressing five questions. (1) Did farmers' perceptions of 125 slow lorises, slow lorises perceived roles as consumer of agricultural pests and the importance of chayote to farmers change over the study period? We assessed this through 126

127 informal interviews with farmers over the period 2011-2015. (2) Did the amount of land planted with chayote change, and did chayote frames make up a significant proportion of 128 slow loris home ranges? We assessed this by measuring the proportion of land allocated to 129 130 growing chayote in 2014 and 2015, as well as measuring the proportion of the slow loris 131 home range comprised of chayote, also for 2014 and 2015. (3) Did slow loris home range 132 sizes change or move position? We assessed this for 2014 and 2015 through direct observations. (4) How did slow lorises behave in and around chayote frames? We assessed 133 this through behavioural observations in 2012 through 2016. (5) Did cutting bamboo for 134 135 chayote affect availability of slow loris bamboo sleep sites? We assessed this in 2016 by 136 measuring the presence and intactness of bamboo sleep sites at differing altitudes that had 137 been used in the period 2013 to 2015.

138

139 METHODS

140 Ethical Note

We conducted all animal research in adherence with RISTEK (Indonesian Ministry of Science and Technology), as well as ethical guidelines provided by the Association for the Study of Animal Behaviour; Oxford Brookes University Animal Ethics Sub-committee granted our research approval. For the interviews we followed the ethical guidelines proposed by the Association of Social Anthropologists of the UK and Commonwealth and that the University Research Ethics Committee of Oxford Brookes University approved.

147 Study site and its changing farming practices

148 This study forms part of a long-term community conservation project to protect Asia's slow lorises and other imperilled nocturnal animals via ecology, education, and empowerment 149 150 (Nekaris 2016). We conducted the study in an area of ~60 ha at the outskirts of the village of Cipaganti, Cisurupan, Garut Regency, West Java, Indonesia (7°16'44.30 "S, 107°46'7.80 "E, 151 1200 m asl) (Fig. 2). Cipaganti is home to about 3,000 people, living at a density of 135 152 people km⁻² (Nekaris 2016). The village is located at 1,345 m asl on Gunung Puntang, a 153 154 mountain that is a part of the Java-Bali Montane Rain Forests ecoregion. The climate is 155 everwet with a mean annual precipitation exceeding 2,500 mm. The habitat around

156 Cipaganti is a mosaic of traditional gardens, where local farmers practice an annual perennial rotating crop system. This system consists of a variety of crop formations, with tall 157 158 trees planted in rows along farm property boundaries, or interspersed between crop types 159 (Reinhardt et al., 2016). In our study site, slow lorises heavily use certain plants including string bamboo (*Gigantochloa atter*), clumping bamboo (*G. pseudoarundinacea*), giant 160 161 bamboo (Dendrocalamus asper), cajeput tree (Malaleuca leucadendra), red fairy duster (Calliandra calothyrsus), green wattle (Acacia decurrens), avocado (Persea americana) and 162 Indonesian mahogany (Toona sureni) (Rode-Margono et al. 2014). Within the village of 163 164 Cipaganti, agricultural production provides the main source of household income, yielding 165 crops such as tea (Camellia sinensis), coffee (Coffea robusta), chayote (Sechium edule), 166 carrot (Daucus carota), white cabbage (Pieris brassicae), tomato (Solanum lycopersicum),

167 cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) and potato (*Solanum tuberosum*).

168

Chayote is a medium- to high-altitude crop (300 to 2,000 m asl) that requires a high relative 169 170 humidity of around 80 to 85%, high annual precipitation of at least 1,500 without a marked 171 dry season, and 12 hours of daylight to initiate flowering. The temperature should be between 13 and 21°C; temperatures below 13°C damage small and unripe fruits whereas 172 173 temperature above 28°C leads to excessive growth, loss of flowers and unripe fruit, and 174 ultimately reduced production (Saade 1996). Cipaganti matches these conditions extremely 175 well. The Garut Regency in which Cipaganti is situated is an important grower of chayote, both in absolute and relative terms, and the area set aside for growing the crop in Garut has 176 177 increased from 188 ha in 2012 (22% of the provincial total) to 360 ha in 2015 (33% of the 178 provincial total). Production in 2015 was 14,499 t a year (c.f. Morton 1981). If both the 179 official government figures and the estimates from the farmers in Cipaganti are correct then the wider Cipaganti area (which stretches beyond our study area) is responsible for some 180 60% of the regency's chayote production, suggesting that this crop will at least be around 181 182 for the foreseeable future with a continuing impact on slow lorises.

183

184 Interviews with Informants

185 In June 2011, June 2013, December 2015 and June 2016 we held informal interviews (Newing 2011) with opportunistically selected key informants with farms situated within the 186 home ranges of collared slow lorises (six informants in 2011, 16 in 2013, and 17 in 2015). 187 188 Most informants lived in the village and were long-time residents (and typically born here or 189 had moved into the area during childhood); in addition we interviewed five informants from 190 neighbouring villages. In 2011 and 2013 the conversations focussed on the importance of 191 slow lorises to the village, both from a cultural, natural and economic perspective. Given that chayote was not of particular importance at that time, farmers did not single out this 192 193 crop but discussed it in the context of general agricultural crops. In 2015 the topic of 194 discussion was similar to that in 2011 and 2013 but now much of it centred on chayote; 195 given the dominant role of chayote in the agricultural landscape and the village economy, 196 informants initiated discussions on this topic.

197 We held informal interviews in Bahasa Indonesia, the national language that is very 198 widely spoken on Java (Sneddon 2004), repeating key concepts in Bahasa Sunda, the regional language spoken in this part of the island. Informal interviews were open, allowing 199 200 informants to talk freely about slow lorises, their significance in culture or the beliefs 201 surrounding them, and their role in the agricultural system. To ensure independence of 202 data, we interviewed informants individually; other members of the community sometimes 203 were present, but we used only the responses of the informant in analysis. At the end of 204 each interview, we repeated key points to ascertain whether we captured the essence of the informant's opinions/expressions correctly. Informants did not receive gifts or money 205 206 for their participation.

207 We asked informants to share any knowledge they had of slow lorises, touching upon any topic they felt to be relevant, without any constraint placed upon them by us 208 (Bernard 2011; Puri 2011). We converted these conversations into freelists, from which we 209 extracted the frequency of occurrence for each item (i.e. what proportion of informants 210 211 mentioned topics such as 'slow lorises are useful for pest control', 'bamboo', or 'chayote') 212 and the rank for each item (i.e. were they mentioned early on or at the very end of the interview, on a scale from 1 to 4) (Puri 2011). This procedure allowed us to check whether 213 214 these topics were locally salient or meaningful. Salience was quantified by calculating 215 Smith's S (S = $((L - R_i + 1)/L)/N$, where L is the number of distinct items listed by the

informants, R_j is the rank of item J in the list, and N is the number of lists / informants in the
sample). Smith's S ranges from 0 to 1, with topics having values close to 1 being the ones
that were mentioned by most informants early on in the conversation, and topics having
values close to 0 being the ones that few informants mentioned, and if so often late in the
conversation (Puri 2011).

221 Slow loris behavioural observations

To examine the presence of chayote in slow loris home ranges, we surveyed the study site 222 to locate each chayote frame, measuring their perimeters and monitoring change in their 223 224 presence from January 2014 to May 2015. To examine the behaviour of slow lorises in relation to chayote frames, we analysed behavioural data collected on collared slow lorises 225 from the first time we saw them enter a chayote frame in June 2014 until June 2016. 226 227 Because Javan slow lorises live in stable uni-male uni-female pairs with almost 100% range 228 overlap and share sleep sites (Nekaris 2014), we examined the impact of chayote frames on social groups rather than individuals. We focus on adult individuals belonging to eight focal 229 uni-male uni-female social pairs (Table 1). After catching the slow lorises by hand, we 230 equipped them with 19 g VHF collars (PIP3, Biotrack, Wareham, United Kingdom). With the 231 assistance of local field trackers, we located collared individuals using an antenna (Lintec 232 233 flexible, Biotrack, Wareham, United Kingdom) and a receiver (Sika receiver, Biotrack, Wareham, United Kingdom), and recorded their location every 15 minutes using a handheld 234 235 GPS unit (GPS62s, Garmin International, Olathe, USA). For direct observations we used head 236 torches (HL17 super spot, Clulite, Petersfield, UK) fitted with a red filter. To observe the 237 behaviour of slow lorises in chayote, we followed slow lorises for 3199 hours between 17:00-05:00 hrs, from January 2014 to December 2015 (a mean of 13 + 7 nights per month). 238 We used all occurrences sampling to record each instance one of the 16 focal lorises 239 entered chayote using a modified version of the Rode-Margono et al. (2014) behavioural 240 ethogram. Chayote frames are very dense and often when slow lorises enter these frames 241 they are out of sight until they re-emerge into a tree or bamboo. To see if slow lorises 242 243 altered their home range use between 2014-2015, we computed the home ranges of the eight focal pairs based on 5851 locations using the 95% minimum convex polygon (MCP). 244 245 We performed all GIS work using R (R 3.0.2, adehabitatHR package) (R Core Team 2013).

246 Sleep sites

247 We defined a bamboo sleep site as the stand of bamboo in which a slow loris social group 248 slept. A single stand can contain over 100 stems or culms of bamboo. During one sleeping 249 period, slow lorises sometimes move from one stem to another, making the stand the unit 250 of analysis. We recorded location of bamboo sleep sites of the eight focal pairs of slow lorises once per week from January 2013 (before the appearance of intensive chayote) to 251 December 2015, georeferencing each site using a handheld GPS unit. To measure sleep site 252 reuse we plotted the points collected during 2013, 2014, and 2015 in ArcGIS version 10.3. 253 254 We created a 5 m buffer around each point to account for standard GPS error in the area, and then counted each point within overlapping buffers as a single reused sleep site. In 255 256 June 2016, we returned to the locations of 225 unique bamboo sleep sites; each site 257 revisited fell only in the range of one social pair. In particular, we examined: if the bamboo sleep site still stood in 2016; if yes, had it been cut, including number of whole and cut 258 stems remaining and the number of newly sprouting stems; if no, we recorded what was 259 260 there instead of the bamboo.

261 Statistical analysis

Behavioural, sleep site and ranging data did not deviate significantly from a normal 262 263 distribution. To investigate the influence of the chayote production on slow loris, we tested whether the percentage of chayote frame could explain observed variation in individual 264 265 home range size. We fitted a multiple linear regression to the data, with the percentage of chayote frame within a home range and the year as the explanatory variables. We 266 267 conducted the analyses in R. We present descriptive statistics of the characteristics of 268 bamboo sleep sites, reporting the mean and ± 1 standard deviation, with P set at the 0.05 269 level.

270 RESULTS

271 Farmers' perceptions of slow lorises, pests and crops

In 2011 one out of six informants indicated that slow lorises were allies to farmers as they consumed pest insects, but they mentioned this concept only late in the conversation. In 2013 many more informants (13/16) were aware that slow lorises consumed agricultural

pests and they brought up this topic earlier on in the conversation. The situation was similar
in 2015 when 15/17 informants mentioned it. Quantitatively, salience, as measured by
Smith's *S* of 'slow lorises and pest control' started at a low 0.04 in 2011, and then increased
to 0.69 in 2013 and 0.72 in 2015.

The knowledge of the importance of bamboo for slow lorises was high in 2011, with 279 280 five out of six informants mentioning it. This knowledge remained high in 2013 (14/16) and 281 2015 (13/17), with some informants mentioning it early on in the conversation and others 282 later on. Quantitatively, Smith's S of 'slow lorises and bamboo' was 0.54 in 2011, 0.49 in 283 2013 and 0.53 in 2015. Chayote as a crop was not significant enough for the informants to mention it in 2011 and 2013. In 2015, all informants mentioned chayote as a crop, two-284 thirds early on. As such salience of chayote was zero in 2011 and 2013 but Smith's S 285 286 equalled 0.83 in 2015, surpassing that of all the other topics they discussed.

287 The importance of chayote as a crop led farmers we interviewed to claim that 288 chayote was probably the most important cash crop in the area by December 2015. It then had a market value of Rp 5000-6000 (US\$0.35-0.42) per kg. On average five trucks of 289 290 differing sizes collected chayote daily, with a capacity to carry four to seven metric tonnes per truck. Informants estimated that some 25 t of chayote was produced a day in the wider 291 292 Cipaganti area, which is larger than the area where we study the slow lorises. While initially chayote farmers organised their business independently, by early 2016 a chayote-growing 293 294 cooperation was started where 50 of the largest chayote farmers joined forces to share 295 costs, logistics, knowledge and profits.

To create a chayote frame, which in our study area on measures a mean of 1500 m^2 , 296 297 or 0.15 ha, 150 bamboo stems of approximately 2 m tall are required for the main vertical 298 supports and 120 lengths of bamboo measuring 6 m each are needed for the main 299 horizontal supports. Farmers we interviewed reported that up to 30% of the poles need to be replaced every six months, a cost that must be considered when investing in chayote. 300 301 Three species of bamboo occur frequently in Java, but differ in price according to our interviews, including string bamboo at Rp 5000 (US\$0.35) per stem; giant bamboo at Rp 302 9000 (US\$0.64) per stem; and clumping bamboo at Rp 20,000 (US\$1.41) per stem. At the 303 304 beginning of the chayote boom our interviewees reported that they sourced most, if not all,

this bamboo locally but by 2015 farmers ordered truckloads of bamboo from the north 305 coast of Central Java (i.e., some 250 km to the east) to meet their demands. Some farmers 306 307 in our area used more durable concrete poles instead of bamboo ones as a longer-term 308 option, but these are far more costly at Rp 30,000 (US\$2.12) for a 2 m length of pole. Using mean figures, the initial investment for a bamboo chayote frame, with labour costs, and 309 310 plants amounts to some US\$500. After four months farmers can harvest the first fruits, and 311 from then on production is more or less continuous. With an annual yield of ~40 t per ha (Morton 1981) the break-even point in terms of financial investment is reached well within 312 313 the first year.

314 Chayote in the slow loris landscape

Planting of chayote began in the study area in early 2014 with just a few small frames. By
July 2014, many farmers had planted chayote; we recorded 34 chayote frames
encompassing an area of 1.6 ha. The numbers increased, with an additional 58 frames
encompassing 2.5 ha planted by November 2014. By April 2015 we recorded 145 chayote
frames representing a total of 7.2 ha (i.e. 12% of the study area). This represents 2.7%
(range 0 -5.6 %) of the social pairs home ranges in 2014 and and 3.9 % (range 0 – 13.0%) in
2015 (Fig. 3).

In 2014, the mean slow loris home range size was 7.1 ha \pm 2.0. In 2015, the mean was 6.6 ha \pm 1.2 (Table 1, Fig. 3). Over both years the mean was 7.5 ha \pm 1.1. Home range size was not affected by the year or percentage of chayote frame ($F_{2,13} = 1.75$, P = 0.21, n= 16).

326

327 Behaviour of slow lorises in chayote

We first recorded use of chayote frames by two social pairs of slow lorises (LU, SI) in June 2014. By October 2014, we had also observed pairs SH and OE using the frames. By June 2015, we had recorded all social pairs regularly using chayote frames; the last pair to use the frames was MA with the first record dating to January 2016. Slow lorises used the frames as if they were a normal bamboo substrate, moving fluidly across the bamboo poles to reach

rows of trees on opposite ends of farmers' fields. Chayote frames are very dense and
difficult for a human observer to move under, and thus we could only record 211 all
occurrences sample points of slow loris behaviour in the chayote. Slow lorises used chayote
most frequently for travelling (68%), followed by foraging for or feeding on insects (22%),
allogrooming (6%), resting (2%), and other (2%). We could not identify insects to the species
level, but noted that slow lorises consumed flying insects that they caught with their hands
as well as those that they orally removed from the chayote frames.

340 Slow loris sleep sites

341 We recorded the social pairs in a bamboo sleep site a total of 1350 times, comprising 514 342 unique locations, 211 of which had been reused (2013, n=340 with 95 reused; 2014, n=444 with 53 reused; 2015, n=566 with 89 reused). Slow lorises used three species of bamboo, 343 344 with 8 sleep sites comprised of clumping bamboo, 52 comprised of giant bamboo, and 454 345 comprised of string bamboo (Fig. 4). In 2016, we revisited 225 bamboo sleep sites used in 346 the period 2013-2015 comprising a mean of 28+21 bamboo sleep sites unique to each pair 347 (Table 2) and found that 89.3% of sleep sites (n=201) remained and were still being used by 348 slow lorises. 11 sleep sites had been replaced by chayote, 11 were replaced by bare ground, 349 and two had disappeared as a result of landslides. The remaining 201 sleep sites ranged in size from 1 to 101 stems, with a mean of 35.5 ± 24.5 stems per bamboo stand. Only three of 350 these stands remained fully intact, with 198 containing cut stems. The mean number of cut 351 352 stems per bamboo stand was 19.9 ± 15.8, with the mean number of newly sprouting stems being 7.57 ± 10.9. Social pairs differed in the number of sites destroyed, cut stems, and new 353 sprouting stems (Table 2). 354

355

356 DISCUSSION

Farmers in Cipaganti increasingly recognised the importance of slow lorises in the control of agricultural pests, and chayote became more important over time. In 2015 some 12% of the study area was used to grow chayote and on average 4% of the slow lorises' home range comprised chayote frames. Range size of slow lorises only marginally increased over time and remained stable in terms of their geographic position (i.e. no home range size shifts

were recorded). Over time Javan slow lorises started using the chayote frames, mostly for
 travelling but also for feeding and social interactions. Although cutting for chayote disturbed
 sleep sites, the fast growing bamboo meant that animals still had more than adequate
 places to sleep.

366 Researchers have heralded agroforestry as a positive step towards achieving co-existence between wildlife and farmers. Chayote is as a useful vine in such forests, providing shade for 367 lower strata plants (Clerck and Negreros-Castillo 2000). Humans domesticated chayote 368 centuries ago and worldwide have used it for its economic and cultural value (Lira et al. 369 370 2002). Chayote has replaced other more traditional agroforestry practices no longer viable on Java (Iskandar et al. 2016). In Cipaganti, it provides excellent economic services, and 371 372 requires less intensive farming practices compared to root vegetables, being easy to harvest 373 and not requiring the use of pesticides (Morton, 1981). People introduced chayote into the "traditional bamboo garden" (kebun tatangkalan) landscape of Cipaganti, where the crop 374 has partially persisted on the basis of deep cultural affinities to this ancient farming practice 375 376 (Abdoellah et al. 2015). Together with bamboo and other planted trees, chayote frames and the associated climbers provide a form of living fence or canopy corridor for slow lorises and 377 378 other wildlife, including rare species such as Javan leopard (Panthera pardus melas), Javan ferret badger (Melogale orientalis), banded linsang (Prionodon linsang), and binturong 379 380 (Arctictis binturong). Such a system, as opposed to monoculture plantation, seems to allow 381 this mammalian diversity to persist in Cipaganti while providing an excellent economic 382 commodity to local people.

383 Despite the increase in growth of chayote, farmers we interviewed showed sensitivity towards slow lorises, and did so increasingly over the study. In particular, more 384 385 farmers recognised the role of slow lorises as pest controls and realised that bamboo species are important plants for slow lorises. Since 2012, we have disseminated information 386 387 about slow lorises and other native species to farmers through newsletters and other events and by providing classes to their children (Nekaris 2016). We also distributed materials such 388 389 as leaflets, umbrellas and t-shirts emphasising the role of slow lorises in the ecosystem. Such modes of outreach have proven successful in conservation education and community 390 391 outreach programmes (Evans et al. 1996, Vaughan et al. 2003, Walter 2009). Indeed, Waylen et al. (2010) suggest that integrating the community into conservation programmes 392

is a key way to change attitudes and allow a conservation project to succeed. Human
attitudes towards Javan slow lorises differ in adjacent areas, including an unsustainable pet
trade in the species, thus any conservation of them in human-modified landscapes must
include a human outreach component (Nijman and Nekaris 2015).

397 Although chayote frames comprised more than 3% of slow lorises' home ranges, home range sizes of the social pairs remained stable and completely within the agroforest 398 399 matrix. Chayote frames provided a substrate to move across open fields that had been 400 previously planted with low growing plants treated with pesticides, such as carrots and 401 cassava. Chayote frames appeared to offer the slow lorises a network of substrates that 402 shielded them from predators and contained an abundance of insects. Researchers have 403 previously reported the ability to maintain home ranges completely within for wood mice 404 (Apodemus sylvaticus), golden-headed lion tamarins (Leontopithecus chrysomelas) and three-toed sloths (Bradypus variegatus) (Vaughan et al. 2007, Oliviera et al. 2011, Rosalino 405 406 et al. 2011). Wood mice can exploit planted olive groves, and also showed a preference for 407 areas with understory; these preferences were interpreted as improving female fitness and 408 avoiding predators (Rosalino, et al., 2011). Golden-headed lion tamarins and three-toed 409 sloths could survive with their home ranges completely in agroforests (Vaughan et al. 2007, 410 Oliviera et al. 2011). Although tamarin home ranges were smaller than in primary forest, 411 animals were heavier in size and reproduced well. Tamarins relied largely on planted 412 jackfruit (Artocarpus heterophyllus). In the case of three-toed sloths, they integrated 413 human-planted living fences into their home ranges. A similar scenario can be observed in 414 Javan slow lorises, whose plant consumption of exudates and nectar is completely from 415 human-introduced species, and whose movements rely heavily on human-planted substrates (Rode-Margono, et al., 2014). Unlike these taxa, however, slow lorises eat mainly 416 417 gum, insects, and nectar, meaning that resources they consume do not put them in 418 competition with humans, and even have the capacity to help humans.

The chayote bamboo frames provided a new substrate network that slow lorises used for both foraging and moving across their fragmented landscape. Indeed, the full range of behaviours exhibited by slow lorises in chayote in this study mirror the general behavioural ethogram reported Rode-Margono et al., (2014) for the same population (foraging and feeding – 22.4% in this study vs 31% in Rode-Margono, et al., 2014; resting 2%

424 vs 33%; travelling 68% vs 14%; grooming 6% vs 7%, other 2% vs 13%). The connectivity provided by chayote frames and the high number of insects available due to lack of 425 426 pesticides can help explain the higher proportion of feeding and travelling. The rapid 427 incorporation of the frames into the slow loris behavioural repertoire is an example of their 428 flexibility and ability to survive in human-modified landscapes, at least for the period of our 429 study. Indeed, slow lorises conform to Nowak and Lee's (2013) statement that the ability to 430 expand niche breadth via resource switching, including substrate choice and modification of diet, is key to withstanding the risks of anthropogenic habitat modification. 431

432 The harvesting of the fast-growing bamboo led to the disappearance of some 10% of bamboo sleep sites. Most (98%) of the remaining bamboo sleep sites were affected by the 433 harvesting practises for chayote but enough bamboo stems remained for the slow lorises to 434 keep using bamboo stands as sleep sites. Bamboo is by far the most important sleep site for 435 slow lorises in Cipaganti comprising 96% of all sites observed since 2012 (1st author, 436 437 unpublished data). Throughout their range, slow lorises never use tree holes and rely on forms of closed substrates for sleeping including dense shrubs, palms, lianas and bamboo 438 439 stands (Kenyon et al. 2014, Wiens 2002). Pygmy lorises (*N. pygmaeus*) sleep on high clumps of terminal tree branches with a preference for very dense edge forests (Streicher and 440 441 Nadler 2003). Slow lorises have been never been observed to sleep on the ground and are 442 typically found at 1.8-35.0 m height (Wiens 2002). The maintenance of bamboo shrubs in Cipaganti is clearly vital for their perseverance in this human-dominated landscape, and the 443 current human practice of only cutting parts of bamboo stands is for the time being allowing 444 445 this persistence.

446 We agree with Sheil and Meijaard (2010) who describe the 'tainted nature delusion', 447 whereby conservationists neglect the value of human-modified habitats. Researchers in temperate regions have long recognised the value of these ecosystems (Cassano et al. 2014) 448 449 and it would be prudent for those working in tropical and subtropical regions to follow suit. 450 Studying a difficult to observe, cryptic nocturnal primate like the Javan slow loris in a 451 human-modified landscape has several advantages. While experiencing the effects of rapid environmental change, the Javan slow loris has created an opportunity for researchers to 452 453 understand their ecological, behavioural, physiological and cognitive capacities (Hockings, et 454 al. 2015). Studying flexibility in these situations may shed light on the evolution and

455 adaptability of extant strepsirrhine and extinct early primates. Species level evolutionary history plays an important role in the response to novel environments (Hendry et al. 2001). 456 457 An organism's response to human disturbance can be categorized as addressing novel 458 predators, using novel resources, avoiding novel abiotic threats, and acclimating to 459 fluctuating spatiotemporal conditions (Sih et al. 2011). In the case of the Javan slow loris, 460 our findings highlight their behavioural flexibility in a human-modified landscape. Recent IUCN Red List assessments have determined that over 50% of primates face extinction 461 (Estrada, et al. 2017). With the rapid change in habitat transformation for agricultural 462 463 practices sweeping the tropics, we feel it is urgent to understand the behaviour of primates 464 in such landscapes, and to find ways they can continue to share these spaces with humans.

465

466 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

467 We thank the villagers in Cipaganti and other parts of West Java for their time and patience 468 in sharing their views and knowledge unreservedly with us. We thank Indonesia RISTEK and the regional Perhutani and BKSDA for authorizing the study. Amersfoort Zoo, Augsburg Zoo, 469 470 Brevard Zoo, Cleveland Zoo and Zoo Society, Columbus Zoo, Conservation International Primate Action Fund and Margot Marsh Biodiversity Fund, Cotswolds Wildlife Park, Disney 471 Worldwide Conservation Fund, Henry Doorly Zoo, International Primate Protection League, 472 Little Fireface Project, Longleat Safari and Adventure Park, Mohamed bin al Zayed Species 473 474 Conservation Fund (152511813), Memphis Zoo, Nacey Maggioncalda Foundation, National 475 Geographic (GEFNE101-13), People's Trust for Endangered Species, Phoenix Zoo, the Royal 476 Geographical Society (with IBG), Shaldon Wildlife Trust, Shepreth Wildlife Park, Sophie 477 Danforth Conservation Biology Fund, Whitley Wildlife Conservation Trust, and ZGAP provided the funding for this project. We thank our field team Y. Nazmi, A. Nunur, D. 478 Rustandi, R. Cibabuddthea, D. Spaan, A. Zaelany, Jessica Wise and Lewis Castle. We thank S. 479 McCabe and R. Sawyer for editorial assistance. We thank two anonymous reviewers and the 480 481 Associate Editor for extensive comments and the Editor-in-Chief for her help with the 482 submission process.

483

484 REFERENCES

- Abdoellah, O. S., Okubo, S., Withaningsih, S., Takeuchi, K., & Mizuno, K. (2015). Perceptions
 of owners on the roles and future of bamboo-tree gardens in the agricultural landscape
- 487 of the Upper Citarum Basin, West Java, Indonesia. *Agricultural Sciences*, *6*, 1333-1351.
- Anderson, J. R. (1998). Sleep, sleeping sites, and sleep-related activities: awakening to their
 significance. *American Journal of Primatology*, *46*(1), 63-75.
- 490 Asensio, N., Arroyo-Rodríguez, V., Dunn, J. C., & Cristóbal-Azkarate, J. (2009). Conservation
- 491 value of landscape supplementation for howler monkeys living in forest patches.
 492 *Biotropica*, 41, 768-773.
- Bernard, H.R. (2011). *Research methods in anthropology: Qualitative and quantitative approaches*. Lanham: Rowman Altamira.
- Bhagwat, S. A., Willis, K. J., Birks, H. J. B., & Whittaker, R. J. (2008). Agroforestry: a refuge for
 tropical biodiversity? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 23, 261-267.
- Cassano, C. R., Barlow, J., & Pardini, R. (2014). Forest loss or management intensification?
 Identifying causes of mammal decline in cacao agroforests. *Biological Conservation*, 169, 14-22.
- 500 Chivers, D. J. (1980). *Malayan forest primates. Ten years' study in tropical rain forest*. New
 501 York: Plenum Press.
- 502 Estrada, A., Garber, P., Heymann, E., Lambert, J., Rovero, F., Roos, C., Fuentes, A., Nijman,
- 503 V., Nekaris, K.A.I., McKinnon, K., Setchell, J., Fernandez-Duque, E., Boyle, S., Gillespie, T.,
- Barelli, C., Di Fiore, A., Verde Arregoitia, L., Sussman, R., de Guinea, M., Meyer, A.,
- 505 Amato, K., Wich, S., Gouveia, S., Brodorovski, R., Pan, R., Li, B., Kone, I. (2017),
- 506 Impending collapse of the world's primates: why primates matter. *Science Advances*.
- 507 DOI 10.1126/sciadv.1600946, 3(1), e1600946
- Estrada, A., Raboy, B. E., & Oliveira, L. C. (2012). Agroecosystems and primate conservation
 in the tropics: a review. *American Journal of Primatology*, 74, 696-711.
- 510 Estrada, A. (2006). Human and non-human primate co-existence in the Neotropics: a
- 511 preliminary view of some agricultural practices as a complement for primate
- 512 conservation. *Ecological and Environmental Anthropology 2*, 17-29.

- 513 Evans, S. M., Gill, M. E., & Marchant, J. (1996). Schoolchildren as educators: the indirect
- 514 influence of environmental education in schools on parents' attitudes towards the
- 515 environment. *Journal of Biological Education*, *30(4)*, 243-248.
- 516 Gelling, M., Macdonald, D. W., & Mathews, F. (2007). Are hedgerows the route to increased
- 517 farmland small mammal density? Use of hedgerows in British pastoral
- 518 habitats. *Landscape Ecology*, 22, 1019-1032.
- Hendry, A.P., Kinnison, M.T., Heino, M., Day, T., Smith, T.B., Fitt, G., et al. (2011).
- 520 Evolutionary principles and their practical application. *Evolutionary Applications*, *4*, 159521 183.
- 522 Hockings, K. J., McLennan, M. R., Carvalho, S., Ancrenaz, M., Bobe, R., Byrne, R. W., et al.
- 523 (2015). Apes in the Anthropocene: flexibility and survival. *Trends in Ecology and*
- 524 *Evolution*, *30*, 215-222.
- Iskandar, J., Iiskandar, B. S., & Partasasmita, R. (2016) Responses to environmental and
 socio-economic changes in the Karangwangi traditional agroforestry system, South
 Cianjur, West Java. *Biodiversitas 17*, 332-341.
- 528 Kenyon, M., Streicher, U., Loung, H., Tran, T., Tran, M., Vo, B., & Cronin, A. (2014). Survival
- of reintroduced pygmy slow loris *Nycticebus pygmaeus* in South Vietnam. *Endangered Species Research*, *25*(2), 185-195.
- 531 Kumara, H. N., Sasi, R., Chandran, S., & Radhakrishna, S. (2016). Distribution of the grey
- slender loris (*Loris lyddekerianus* Cabrera, 1908) in Tamil Nadu, Southern India. *Folia Primatologica*, *87*(5), 291-302.
- Lee, P. C. (2010). Sharing space: can ethnoprimatology contribute to the survival of
 nonhuman primates in human-dominated globalized landscapes? *American Journal of Primatology*, 72, 925-931.
- Lira, R., Villaseñor, J. L., & Ortíz, E. (2002). A proposal for the conservation of the family
 Cucurbitaceae in Mexico. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, *11*, 1699-1720.
- 539 Meijaard, E., & Sheil, D. (2008). The persistence and conservation of Borneo's mammals in
- 540 lowland rain forests managed for timber: observations, overviews and opportunities.
- 541 *Ecological Research, 23,* 21-34.

- Merker, S., Yustian, I., & Mühlenberg, M. (2005). Responding to forest degradation: altered
 habitat use by Dian's tarsier *Tarsius dianae* in Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Oryx*, *39*, 189-195.
- Michel, N., Burel, F., & Butet, A. (2006). How does landscape use influence small mammal
 diversity, abundance and biomass in hedgerow networks of farming landscapes? *Acta Oecologica*, *30*, 11-20.
- 547 Michel, N., Burel, F., Legendre, P., & Butet, A. (2007). Role of habitat and landscape in
 548 structuring small mammal assemblages in hedgerow networks of contrasted farming
 549 landscapes in Brittany, France. *Landscape Ecology*, *22*, 1241-1253.
- Moore, R. S., Nekaris, K. A. I., & Eschmann, C. (2010). Habitat use by western purple-faced
 langurs *Trachypithecus vetulus nestor* (Colobinae) in a fragmented suburban landscape. *Endangered Species Research*, *12*, 227-234.
- Morrogh-Bernard, H.C., Husson, S.J., Harsanto, F.A. & Chivers, D.J. (2014). Fine-scale habitat
 use by orang-utans in a disturbed peat swamp forest, Central Kalimantan, and
- implications for conservation management. *Folia Primatologica*, *85*, 135-153.
- 556 Mortelliti, A., Santarelli, L., Sozio, G., Fagiani, S., & Boitani, L. (2013). Long distance field
- crossings by hazel dormice (*Muscardinus avellanarius*) in fragmented landscapes. *Mammalian Biology*, 78, 309-312.
- Morton, J. (1981). The chayote, a perennial, climbing, subtropical vegetable. *Proceedings of the Florida State Horticultural Society*, *94*, 240-245.
- 561 Nekaris, K. A. I. (2016). The Little Fireface Project: Community conservation of Asia's slow
- 562 lorises via ecology, education, and empowerment. In M. Weller (Ed)
- 563 *Ethnoprimatology* (pp. 259-272). New York: Springer.
- Nekaris, K. A. I. (2014). Extreme primates: Ecology and evolution of Asian lorises. *Evolutionary Anthropology, 23*, 177-187.
- Nekaris, K. A. I. (2003). Spacing system of the Mysore slender loris (*Loris lydekkerianus lydekkerianus*). *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 121(1), 86-96.
- 568 Nekaris, K. A. I., & Rasmussen, D. T. (2003). Diet and feeding behavior of Mysore slender
- 569 lorises. *International Journal of Primatology*, *24*, 33-46.

- Newing, H. (2011). *Conducting research in conservation a social science perspective*.
 Abingdon: Routledge.
- Nijman, V. (2013). One hundred years of solitude: effects of long-term forest fragmentation
 on the primate community of Java, Indonesia. In L. K. Marsh & C. A. Chapman (Eds.),
- 574 *Primates in fragments* (pp. 33-45). New York: Springer.
- 575 Nijman, V., & Nekaris, K. A. I. (2014). Traditions, taboos and trade in slow lorises in
- 576 Sundanese communities in southern Java, Indonesia. *Endangered Species Research*, 25,
 577 79-88.
- 578 Nowak, K., & Lee, P. C. (2013). "Specialist" primates can be flexible in response to habitat
- alteration. In L. K. Marsh & C. A. Chapman (Eds.), *Primates in fragments* (pp. 199-211).
 New York: Springer.
- 581 Oliveira, L. C., Neves, L. G., Raboy, B. E., & Dietz, J. M. (2011). Abundance of jackfruit
- 582 (Artocarpus heterophyllus) affects group characteristics and use of space by golden-
- 583 headed lion tamarins (*Leontopithecus chrysomelas*) in cabruca
- agroforest. *Environmental Management*, 48(2), 248-262.
- Pimley, E. R., Bearder, S. K., & Dixson, A. F. (2005). Social organization of the Milne-Edward's
 potto. *American Journal of Primatology*, *66*, 317-330.
- 587 Puri R. K. (2011). Documenting local environmental knowledge and change. In: Newing H
- 588 (Ed) Conducting research in conservation a social science perspective (pp. 146–169).
 589 Abingdon: Routledge.
- R Core Team. (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
 for Statistical Computing.
- Reinhardt, K.D., Wirdateti, W. & Nekaris K.A.I. (2016). Climate-mediated activity of the
 Javan slow loris, *Nycticebus javanicus*. *AIMS Environmental Science*, *3*, 249-260.
- 594 Rode-Margono E. J., Nijman V., Wirdateti W., & Nekaris K. A. I. (2014). Ethology of the
- 595 Critically Endangered Javan slow loris *Nycticebus javanicus* E. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire in 596 West Java. *Asian Primates*, *4*, 27-41.

Rode-Margono, E. J., Rademaker, M., Wirdateti, W., Strijkstra, A., & Nekaris, K. A. I. (2015).
Noxious arthropods as potential prey of the venomous Javan slow loris (*Nycticebus javanicus*) in a West Javan volcanic agricultural system. *Journal of Natural History*, *49*, 1949-1959.

Rosalino, L. M., Ferreira, D., Leitão, I., & Santos-Reis, M. (2011). Usage patterns of

602 Mediterranean agro-forest habitat components by wood mice *Apodemus*

- 603 sylvaticus. Mammalian Biology-Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde, 76(3), 268-273.
- Saade, R. L. (1996). Chayote: *Sechium edule* (Jacq.) Sw. (pp.41). Rome: International Plant
 Genetic Resources Institute.

606 Schlinkert, H., Ludwig, M., Batáry, P., Holzschuh, A., Kovács-Hostyánszki, A., Tscharntke, T. &

Fischer, C. (2016). Forest specialist and generalist small mammals in forest edges and
hedges. *Wildlife Biology*, 22, 86-94.

- Sheil, D., & Meijaard, E. (2010). Purity and prejudice: deluding ourselves about biodiversity
 conservation. *Biotropica*, 42, 566-568.
- Shepherd, C. R. (2010). Illegal primate trade in Indonesia exemplified by surveys carried out
 over a decade in North Sumatra. *Endangered Species Research*, *11*, 201-205.
- 613 Sih, A., Ferrari, M. C., & Harris, D. J. (2011). Evolution and behavioural responses to human-

614 induced rapid environmental change. *Evolutionary Applications*, *4*, 367-387.

615 Sneddon J. N. (2004). *The Indonesian language: its history and role in modern society*.

- 616 Sydney: UNSW Press.
- 617 Stafford, C. A., Alarcon-Valenzuela, J., Patiño, J., Preziosi, R. F., & Sellers, W. I. (2016). Know

618 your monkey: identifying primate conservation challenges in an indigenous Kichwa

619 community using an ethnoprimatological approach. *Folia Primatologica*, *87*, 31-47.

620 Streicher, U., & Nadler, T. (2003). Re-introduction of pygmy lorises in

621 Vietnam. *Reintroduction News*, 23, 37-40.

Tisovec, K. C., Cassano, C. R., Boubli, J. P., & Pardini, R. (2014). Mixed-species groups of

623 marmosets and tamarins across a gradient of agroforestry

624 intensification. *Biotropica*, *46*, 248-255.

625	Vaughan, C., Gack, J., Solorazano, H., & Ray, R. (2003). The effect of environmental
626	education on schoolchildren, their parents, and community members: A study of
627	intergenerational and intercommunity learning. The Journal of Environmental
628	Education, 34(3), 12-21.
629	Vaughan, C., Ramírez, O., Herrera, G., & Guries, R. (2007). Spatial ecology and conservation
630	of two sloth species in a cacao landscape in Limón, Costa Rica. Biodiversity and
631	Conservation, 16, 2293-2310.
632	Walter, P. (2009). Local knowledge and adult learning in environmental adult education:
633	Community-based ecotourism in Southern Thailand. International Journal of Lifelong
634	Education, 28(4), 513-532.
635	Waylen, K. A., Fischer, A., McGowan, P. J., Thirgood, S. J., & Milner-Gulland, E. J. (2010).
636	Effect of local cultural context on the success of community-based conservation
637	interventions. Conservation Biology, 24(4), 1119-1129.
638	Whitten, A.J., Soeriaatmadja, R.E., & Afiff, S.A. (1996) The ecology of Java and Bali, the
639	ecology of Indonesia series vol II. Singapore: Periplus Editions.
640	Wiens, F. (2002). Behavior and ecology of wild slow lorises (Nycticebus coucang): social
641	organization, infant care system, and diet (Doctoral dissertation, Bayreuth University).
642	Wiens, F., Zitzmann, A., & Hussein, N. A. (2006). Fast food for slow lorises: is low metabolism
643	related to secondary compounds in high-energy plant diet? Journal of Mammalogy, 87,
644	790-798.
645	Williams-Guillén, K., McCann, C., Martínez Sánchez, J. C., & Koontz, F. (2006). Resource
646	availability and habitat use by mantled howling monkeys in a Nicaraguan coffee
647	plantation: can agroforests serve as core habitat for a forest mammal? Animal
648	<i>Conservation, 9,</i> 331-338.
649	Zhang, Z., & Usher, M. B. (1991). Dispersal of wood mice and bank voles in an agricultural
650	landscape. Acta Theriologica, 36, 239-245.
651	
652	

653 Figure Legends

- Figure 1. Photographs of chayote frame structure in the Cipaganti area; a.) View from below
- a fully covered chayote frame; b.) View from above a chayote frame, built as cover, over a
- 656 farmer's coffee plantation. Photos by Kathleen Reinhardt.

657

Figure 2. Location of Cipaganti in West Java, Indonesia.

659

- Figure 3. Chayote frames and 95% MCP of Javan slow lorises social pairs (n=8) over the study
- area in Cipaganti, Java, Indonesia in 2014 and 2015. The names of the social pairs are
- 662 indicated at the top.

663

Figure 4. Images of Javan slow lorises in Cipaganti and their bamboo habitats. (A) stand of
string bamboo; (B) a close up of a Javan slow loris in string bamboo; and (C) a typical image
of a slow loris from a distance in string bamboo as indicated by the arrow.

667

668