"Meghan's Manifesto": Meghan Markle and the Co-Option of Feminism

Laura Clancy and Hannah Yelin

On 21st May 2018, two days after Prince Harry and Meghan Markle wed at Windsor Castle, the *Daily Mail* published the headline "Meghan's Manifesto: 'proud feminist' the Duchess of Sussex will take the royals in a striking new direction". The piece by royal correspondent Rebecca English – part of thirty-one pages of wedding coverage – described in celebratory tone how Markle's "candid" biography on the official royal website highlights a host of work dedicated to "social justice and women's empowerment" (2018:1), appropriating the language of feminist activism to describe a "manifesto" of objectives.

This approach to reporting upon the new royal is far from unique, with headlines like "Why the Royal Wedding Is a Coup for Feminists" (Wright, 2018) and "How the Duchess of Sussex is smashing the royal glass ceiling" (McGoogan, 2018) abounding across news, comment and women's magazine titles alike to position the event as a feminist, post-racial utopia: a bi-racial, divorced, self-proclaimed feminist, American actor "modernising" (Duncan and Low, 2018) an ancient patriarchal institution. The representation of people of colour in the ceremony, from the African American pastor to celebrities such as Oprah Winfrey and Idris Elba, has been interpreted as Markle disrupting monarchical status quo through a 'rousing celebration of blackness' (Hirsch, 2018), as if signifying her power to alter monarchical tradition according to her personal agenda as a feminist of colour.

Indeed, the uniformly positive tone heralding a new era of royal feminism is so unwavering that it at points reads like doctrine, with a failure to enthuse about the royal couple interpreted as a failure to support the intersectional feminism she supposedly represents, and criticism is therefore read as misogyny (Ruiz, 2017) or racism (Communications Secretary, 2016; @RoyalReporter, 2018a). Critique of Markle is thus swiftly and vehemently rebutted. The royal correspondent for the pro-royal *Daily Express* announced the need to block people on Twitter because the abuse he received was so severe (@RoyalReporter, 2018b), and Rebecca English was threatened with an acid attack in response to an insufficiently effusive tweet (@RE_DailyMail, 2018). ¹

Whilst Markle identifies as a 'proud feminist' and has undertaken work with organisations such as the UN with some emphasis on women's issues (Davies, 2018), the rush to hail her a new feminist princess is at points a little strained. Her wearing of trousers to royal events has been cited as evidence for her being a thoroughly modern woman despite being popular since the early twentieth century, as modelled by the Queen Mother on a royal tour in 1925 (English and Seamark, 2009). Similarly spurious is the suggestion that opening the car door for Harry is a "very significant" moment for feminism (Rach, 2018). This over-statement of the feminist significance of every small detail of Markle's public appearances enacts shifting terms of debate around feminism in the popular imaginary. As Hamad and Taylor argue, 'discursive struggles over the meanings of feminism are now, perhaps more than ever, largely staged in and through [...] celebrity interventions' (2015).

-

¹ The tweet which made the threat has been removed but the thread showing people reporting the violence remains.

While we are not discounting that Markle may indeed identify as a feminist, and may even have aspirations to use her royal platform for the benefit of women's issues, there are broader issues at play here around her star image being used to define contemporary feminism, and how this feminism is co-opted and policed by institutions with distinctly anti-feminist principles. The day after "Meghan's Manifesto" was celebrated, for example, the *Daily Mail* published an opinion piece by Sarah Vine (a journalist with close connections to the Conservative party due to her marriage to MP Michael Gove) in which she argues that Markle's feminism has gone "too far", modernising too much, too quickly (Vine, 2018). "I just wish they would be a little less #MeToo about it all," laments Vine, in a perfect example of anti-feminist "backlash" (Faludi, 1993). Whilst one could say that this constitutes a criticism of Markle, crucially, it holds the line that Markle does indeed represent a new feminist order, and is therefore part of the consensus surrounding a supposed moment of radical feminist change.

If Markle's feminist influence on the monarchy is indeed "rewriting the royal rulebook" (McGoogan, 2018), traditional monarchical values are explicitly positioned in contradistinction to feminist principles. Raka Shome has described the monarchy as "the ultimate establishment of British national patriarchy" (2001:324), and indeed it is built on the subjugation of women's bodies as biological machines to reproduce heirs (and hence, reproduce hereditary power): Henry VIII and his wives being the ultimate example. Despite having a female at its head, primogeniture was only replaced in 2013, and given that Princess Charlotte has an older brother, this change has had little tangible effect. Mediations evoking Markle as monarchy's feminist antithesis are not going to dismantle the structural patriarchy and privilege the monarchy relies upon. Indeed,

Markle's status relies largely on the institution; she is now the Duchess of Sussex, or Princess Henry of Wales, afterall. Instead, she has been tasked with giving these structures new life and relevance. As demonstrated by the claiming of Markle's biography on the royal website (The Royal Family, 2018), and the celebration of this in the right-wing press (English, 2018), Markle's "female centered" humanitarian work will now be co-opted as part and parcel of (re)legitimating monarchical power.

It is clear, however, that this is a feminism that is being carefully policed. While Markle walking alone down the first half of the aisle has been widely celebrated as a feminist statement (Barr, 2018), Prince Charles walking her to the alter can be read as placating traditionalists, so the more progressive elements are being tolerated rather than embraced. Writing about the regulation of Diana's femininity, Raka Shome evokes her entrance into Westminster Abbey for both her wedding and funeral as "show[ing] the guarding, surrounding, ownership and definition of white femininity by national patriarchy" (2001:329); mitigating and reclaiming the damage Diana's post-divorce public persona had wreaked on the monarchical institution. The image of Markle on the arm of the same man is a powerful conciliatory tool. Furthermore, despite the ideological disruption of Diana, representations of Kate Middleton's conservative and traditional femininity (Allen et al., 2015; Clancy, 2015) demonstrate the monarchy has not changed, and the myths of the absent mother or the adulteress that Diana had invoked are now safely contained.

What does this suggest for the models of femininity Markle can perform? It has already been demonstrated that Markle's activist voice has been either silenced or appropriated by the monarchy: she has quit her acting career, closed down her popular blog and

(Patel-Carstairs, 2018), and a blog post she wrote on menstrual stigma has been coopted for her official biography on the royal website (The Royal Family, 2018).

Buckingham Palace has been criticised for only belatedly including reference to
Markle's six years on the TV show *Suits*, after its absence from her official profile was
conspicuously noted (Perring, 2018). This suggests that not only are Markle's previous
platforms being reigned in, but also that the Palace find it somewhat regrettable, perhaps
even embarrassing, that she ever previously had a public voice. Meanwhile, Markle is
said to be receiving "Duchess lessons" from the Queen's advisors, "to be brought up to
speed with protocol and expectations of life with the monarchy" (Davidson, 2018) in a
conservative, upper-class makeover reflecting the gendered, class, and racialised
ideologies of reality television show *Ladette To Lady* (Redden and Brown, 2010). While
the monarchy appear to be celebrating her diversity and modernising influence, then,
this is only permitted within prescribed boundaries.

It is also striking that the left-wing press have been overwhelmingly pro-royal wedding. *The Independent* published a piece entitled "Why I'm coming out as a secret royal wedding fan" (Rentoul, 2018); *The Guardian's* Hadley Freeman argued "Thanks to the new Duchess of Sussex, Prince Harry may well turn out to be good for Britain" (Freeman, 2018); and *New Statesman* suggested "Meghan Markle is the princess to cheer up feminists" (playing to a well-worn stereotype of the humourless feminist killjoy (Ahmed, 2010)) (Urwin, 2017). All factions of the media have hence bought into the dominant narrative and suspended critique of power dynamics, in favour of interpreting multiculturalism and feminist ideas as de facto progress; demonstrating how Markle is an effective tool for repositioning the monarchy as an institution.

The contortions required to maintain this necessary blindspot are typical of a postfeminist media culture that celebrates "defanged, non-oppositional invocations" shorn of emancipatory potential (Rottenberg, 2018; see also Foster, 2015), pivoting instead on notions of choice. Hailing Markle as feminist enables the monarchy to construct a performance of progress at a time of a 'proliferation of new and old misogynies' (Gill, 2016:619), whether in the US presidency, online trolls, or neo-nazis. Thus, a celebrity (post)feminist such as Markle is of great value to a British monarchy keen to set themselves apart from these other forms of patriarchy and to mask, or at least deflect attention from, their own intensely problematic relationship with issues of race, gender, class and religion. What is at stake here is much more than representations of one woman and the meanings contained therein; it is the meaning of feminism itself as popularly understood. This is a definition of feminism that resides in a vague idea of modernisation and makes no reference to equality between the sexes. How could it, when the very concept of monarchy enshrines Harry's structural superiority over Meghan?

References

Ahmed, S. (2010) *The Promise of Happiness*. North Carolina, USA: Duke University Press.

Allen, K., Mendick, H., Harvey, L. and Ahmad, A. (2015) 'Welfare Queens, Thrifty Housewives, and Do-It-All Mums.' *Feminist Media Studies*, July, pp. 1–19.

Barr, S. (2018) *Meghan Markle to begin bridal procession alone and will not be 'given away' by Prince Charles, in feminist statement*. The Independent. [Online] [Accessed on 6th June 2018] https://www.independent.co.uk/royalwedding/meghan-markle-walkaisle-alone-feminist-prince-charles-royal-wedding-harry-latest-news-a8357766.html.

Brennan, S. (2018) *Meghan sends searches for black trousers soaring*. Mail Online. [Online] [Accessed on 7th June 2018] http://www.dailymail.co.uk/femail/article-5392013/Meghan-sends-searches-black-trousers-soaring.html.

Clancy, L. (2015) *Baby's first photo call: how the royals learned to act normal*. The Conversation. [Online] [Accessed on 15th May 2015] http://theconversation.com/babys-first-photo-call-how-the-royals-learned-to-act-normal-40809.

Communications Secretary (2016) A Statement by the Communications Secretary to Prince Harry. [Online] https://www.royal.uk/statement-communications-secretary-prince-harry.

Davidson, T. (2018) *Meghan Markle to take 6-month crash course on how to be a royal from Queen aide*. Mirror. [Online] [Accessed on 6th June 2018] https://www.mirror.co.uk/news/uk-news/meghan-markle-spend-six-months-12587364.

Davies, C. (2018) "I'm proud to be a feminist": Meghan Markle makes online debut as Duchess of Sussex.' *The Guardian*. UK news. [Online] 21st May. [Accessed on 21st May 2018] http://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2018/may/20/duchess-of-sussex-page-on-royal-website-launched.

Drake, M. (2018) *Meghan Markle 'wears TROUSERS' in relationship with Prince Harry, claims insider*. Express.co.uk. [Online] [Accessed on 6th June 2018] https://www.express.co.uk/news/royal/942128/Meghan-Markle-Prince-Harry-relationship-Royal-Wedding-Royal-Family-news-latest.

Duncan, E. and Low, V. (2018) *Can Meghan Markle modernise the monarchy?* 1843. [Online] [Accessed on 14th May 2018] https://www.1843magazine.com/features/can-meghan-markle-modernise-the-monarchy.

English, R. (2018) 'Meghan's Manifesto: "proud feminist" the Duchess of Sussex will take the royals in a striking new direction.' *Daily Mail*. 21st May, pp. 1–3.

English, R. and Seamark, M. (2009) *How Diana's letters to Queen Mother were burned by Princess Margaret... and unseen royal photographs revealed.* Mail Online. [Online] [Accessed on 6th June 2018] http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1214029/How-Princess-Margaret-burned-Dianas-letters-Queen-Mother.html.

Faludi, S. (1993) Backlash: The Undeclared War Against Women. London: Vintage Classics.

Foster, D. (2015) Lean Out. London: Repeater Books.

Freeman, H. (2018) 'Is Meghan Markle the American the royals needed all along?' *The Guardian*. UK news. [Online] 20th May. [Accessed on 21st May 2018] http://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2018/may/20/is-meghan-markle-the-american-the-royals-needed-all-along.

Gill, R. (2016) 'Post-postfeminism?: new feminist visibilities in postfeminist times.' *Feminist Media Studies*, 16(4) pp. 610–630.

Hirsch, A. (2018) 'Meghan Markle's wedding was a rousing celebration of blackness | Afua Hirsch.' *The Guardian*. Opinion. [Online] 20th May. [Accessed on 21st May 2018] http://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2018/may/19/meghan-markles-wedding-was-a-celebration-of-blackness.

McGoogan, C. (2018) 'Meghan Markle's firsts: How the Duchess of Sussex is smashing the royal glass ceiling.' *The Telegraph*. [Online] 6th June. [Accessed on 6th June 2018] https://www.telegraph.co.uk/women/life/meghan-markles-firsts-duchess-sussex-smashing-royal-glass-ceiling/.

Patel-Carstairs, S. (2018) *Meghan Markle closes her Instagram, Facebook and Twitter accounts*. Sky News. [Online] [Accessed on 7th June 2018] https://news.sky.com/story/meghan-markle-closes-her-instagram-facebook-and-twitter-accounts-11202152.

Perring, R. (2018) *How Meghan Markle's Suits career FINALLY makes it on to royal bio after public backlash*. Express. [Online] [Accessed on 6th June 2018] https://www.express.co.uk/pictures/royal/20889/Royal-wedding-highlights-best-photos-Harry-Meghan-wedding.

Rach, J. (2018) *How Meghan passed the 'keeper's test' by opening car door for Harry*. Mail Online. [Online] [Accessed on 6th June 2018] http://www.dailymail.co.uk/femail/article-5762861/How-Meghan-passed-keepers-test-opening-car-door-Harry.html.

@RE_DailyMail (2018) 'Revealed: #MeghanMarkle's secret diary and soul-baring blog #DailyMail http://dailym.ai/2Cj1aSI.' @RE_DailyMail. 23rd February. [Online] [Accessed on 6th June 2018] https://twitter.com/RE_DailyMail/status/967288106205499392.

Redden, G. and Brown, R. (2010) 'From bingeing booze bird to gilded cage: teaching girls gender and class on Ladette to Lady.' *Critical Studies in Education*, 51(3) pp. 237–249.

Rentoul, J. (2018) Why I'm coming out as a royal wedding fan. The Independent. [Online] [Accessed on 6th June 2018] https://www.independent.co.uk/voices/royal-wedding-guilty-pleasure-secret-monarchist-meghan-markle-prince-harry-a8350786.html.

Rottenberg, C. (2018) *How neoliberalism colonised feminism – and what you can do about it.* The Conversation. [Online] [Accessed on 6th June 2018] http://theconversation.com/how-neoliberalism-colonised-feminism-and-what-you-can-do-about-it-94856.

@RoyalReporter (2018a) 'A thread on the Duchess of Sussex. I started on Twitter back in 2009 because I thought it would be fun to give readers a glimpse of life as a royal reporter. Lately, it has not been much fun at all.' @RoyalReporter. 31st July. [Online] [Accessed on 31st July 2018]

https://twitter.com/RoyalReporter/status/1024263261045309440.

@RoyalReporter (2018b) 'I'm really sorry but from now on I'm going to have to block those who fill my timeline with foul and abusive language and also those who block me.

Happy new year to everyone else.' @RoyalReporter. 2nd January. [Online] [Accessed on 6th June 2018] https://twitter.com/RoyalReporter/status/948329810190913539.

Ruiz, M. (2017) *Let the Misogynistic Public Shaming of Meghan Markle Now Commence*. Vogue. [Online] [Accessed on 6th June 2018] https://www.vogue.com/article/meghan-markle-misogynistic-public-shaming.

Shome, R. (2001) 'White Femininity and the Discourse of the Nation: Re/membering Princess Diana.' *Feminist Media Studies*, 1(3) pp. 323–343.

The Royal Family (2018) *The Duchess of Sussex*. The Royal Family. [Online] [Accessed on 7th June 2018] https://www.royal.uk/duchess-sussex.

Urwin, R. (2017) 'Why Meghan Markle is the princess to cheer up feminists.' *New Statesman*. [Online] 29th November. [Accessed on 4th December 2017] https://www.newstatesman.com/politics/feminism/2017/11/why-meghan-markle-princess-cheer-feminists.

Vine, S. (2018) *SARAH VINE: Meghan must pay heed to tradition*. Mail Online. [Online] [Accessed on 6th June 2018] http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-5755537/SARAH-VINE-Meghan-great-force-change-pay-heed-tradition-too.html.

Wright, J. (2018) *Why the Royal Wedding Is a Coup for Feminists*. Harper's Bazaar. [Online] [Accessed on 6th June 2018] https://www.harpersbazaar.com/culture/a20738539/royal-family-faces-racists-misogynists-meghan-markle-prince-harry-wedding/.