

4-22-1989

FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF WIENER CALCULUS

Chull Park
Miami University - Oxford

David Skoug
University of Nebraska-Lincoln, dskoug1@unl.edu

Lawrence Smolowitz
Hobert & William Smith College

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/mathfacpub>

Park, Chull; Skoug, David; and Smolowitz, Lawrence, "FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF WIENER CALCULUS" (1989). *Faculty Publications, Department of Mathematics*. 160.
<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/mathfacpub/160>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics, Department of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications, Department of Mathematics by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF WIENER CALCULUS

CHULL PARK

Dept. of Math. & Stat.
Miami University
Oxford, OH 45056

DAVID SKOUG

Dept. of Math. & Stat.
University of Nebraska
Lincoln, NE 68588-0323

LAWRENCE SMOLOWITZ

Dept. of Math. & Comp. Sci.
Hobert & William Smith College
Geneva, NY 14456

(Received April 12, 1989)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we define and develop a theory of differentiation in Wiener space $C[0,T]$. We then proceed to establish a fundamental theorem of the integral calculus for $C[0,T]$. First of all, we show that the derivative of the indefinite Wiener integral exists and equals the integrand functional. Secondly, we show that certain functionals defined on $C[0,T]$ are equal to the indefinite integral of their Wiener derivative.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Wiener (measure, integral, derivative, absolute continuity), Lebesgue absolute continuity, fundamental theorem.

1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION. 28C20, 46G05.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Consider the Wiener measure space $(C[0,T], \mathcal{F}^*, m_w)$ where $C[0,T]$ is the space of all continuous functions x on $[0,T]$ vanishing at the origin. For each partition $\tau = \tau_n = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ of $[0,T]$ with $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T$, let $X_\tau: C[0,T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be defined by $X_\tau(x) \equiv x(\tau) = (x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n))$. Let \mathcal{B}^n be the σ -algebra of Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^n . Then a set of the type

$$I = \{x \in C[0,T] : X_\tau(x) \in B\} \equiv X_\tau^{-1}(B) , B \in \mathcal{B}^n$$

is called a Wiener interval (or a Borel cylinder). It is well known that

$$m_w(I) = \int_B K(\tau, \vec{\eta}) d\vec{\eta} , \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$K(\tau, \vec{\eta}) = \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^n 2\pi(t_j - t_{j-1}) \right\}^{-1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(\eta_j - \eta_{j-1})^2}{t_j - t_{j-1}} \right\} \tag{1.2}$$

with $\vec{\eta} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$, and $\eta_0 = 0$. The measure m_w is a probability measure defined on the algebra \mathcal{S} of all Wiener intervals and m_w is extended to the Caratheodory extension \mathcal{S}^* of \mathcal{S} . Let \mathcal{S}_τ be the σ -algebra generated by the set $\{X_\tau^{-1}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}^n\}$ with τ fixed. Then, by the definition of conditional expectation, see Doob [1], Tucker [2] and Yeh [3], for each Wiener integrable function $F(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\tau(B) &\equiv \int_{X_\tau^{-1}(B)} F(x) m_w(dx) = \int_{X_\tau^{-1}(B)} E(F | \mathcal{S}_\tau) m_w(dx) \\ &= \int_B E(F(x) | X_\tau(x) = \vec{\eta}) P_{X_\tau}(d\vec{\eta}) , B \in \mathcal{B}^n, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where $P_{X_\tau}(B) = m_w(X_\tau^{-1}(B))$, and $E(F(x) | X_\tau(x) = \vec{\eta})$ is a Lebesgue measurable function of $\vec{\eta}$ which is unique up to null sets in \mathbb{R}^n . Also, using (1.1) and (1.3) and choosing $F(x) \equiv 1$, we see that

$$P_{X_\tau}(d\vec{\eta}) = K(\tau, \vec{\eta}) d\vec{\eta} , \tag{1.4}$$

or

$$\frac{dP_{X_\tau}}{d\vec{\eta}} = K(\tau, \vec{\eta}) , \vec{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^n . \tag{1.5}$$

Next, for each $F \in L_1(C[0,T], m_w)$ and each partition τ of $C[0,T]$, let

$$F_\tau = E(F | \mathcal{S}_\tau) \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\tilde{F}(\vec{\eta}) = E(F(x) | X_\tau(x) = \vec{\eta}) \equiv E(F | X_\tau)(\vec{\eta}) . \tag{1.7}$$

Then, $\{F_\tau\}$ is a martingale, and by the martingale convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{\|\tau\| \rightarrow 0} F_\tau(x) = F(x) \tag{1.8}$$

for almost all $x \in C[0,T]$. Furthermore,

$$F(x) = \lim_{\|\tau\| \rightarrow 0} E(F(y) | X_\tau(y) = x(\tau)) = \lim_{\|\tau\| \rightarrow 0} \tilde{F}(x(\tau)) \tag{1.9}$$

for almost all $x \in C[0,T]$.

For a given partition $\tau = \tau_n$ of $[0,T]$ and $x \in C[0,T]$, define the polygonal function $[x] \equiv [x(\tau)]$ on $[0,T]$ by

$$[x](t) = x(t_{j-1}) + \frac{t-t_{j-1}}{t_j-t_{j-1}} (x(t_j) - x(t_{j-1})) , t_{j-1} \leq t \leq t_j , j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Similarly, for each $\vec{\eta} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define the polygonal function $[\vec{\eta}]$ of $\vec{\eta}$ on $[0, T]$ by

$$[\vec{\eta}](t) = \eta_{j-1} + \frac{t-t_{j-1}}{t_j-t_{j-1}} (\eta_j - \eta_{j-1}) , t_{j-1} \leq t \leq t_j , j = 1, \dots, n \text{ with } \eta_0 = 0.$$

Then both functions $[x]$ and $[\vec{\eta}]$ are continuous on $[0, T]$, their graphs are line segments on each subinterval $[t_{j-1}, t_j]$, and $[x](t_j) = x(t_j)$ and $[\vec{\eta}](t_j) = \eta_j$ at each $t_j \in \tau$.

For $x, y \in C[0, T]$, we use the convention:

$$x \leq y \text{ if and only if } x(t) \leq y(t) \text{ for every } t \in [0, T] ,$$

and

$$x < y \text{ if and only if } x(t) < y(t) \text{ for every } t \in (0, T) .$$

The main purpose of this paper is to define and develop a theory of differentiation in Wiener space $C[0, T]$, and then to establish a fundamental theorem of the integral calculus on $C[0, T]$; namely, that the Wiener derivative of the indefinite integral $\int_{y \leq x} F(y) m_w(dy)$ is $F(x)$, and that a Wiener absolutely continuous function can be expressed as the indefinite integral of its Wiener derivative. This study was initiated by Smolowitz [4]. In this paper we incorporate some recent results of Park and Skoug [5] to improve and substantially simplify the concepts and results of Smolowitz [4].

2. THE WIENER DERIVATIVE.

Our first objective is to define the Wiener derivative $\mathcal{D}_x(\cdot)$ so that

$$\mathcal{D}_x \int_{y \leq x} F(y) m_w(dy) = F(x)$$

for $F \in L_1(C[0, T], m_w)$. We start by quoting the following theorem from Park and Skoug [5] which plays an important role in this paper.

THEOREM A. Let $F \in L_1(C[0, T], m_w)$. Then for any Borel set $B \in \mathcal{A}^n$,

$$\mu_\tau(B) \equiv \int_{X_\tau^{-1}(B)} F(x) m_w(dx) = \int_B E_x[F(x) - [x] + [\vec{\eta}]] P_{X_\tau} (d\vec{\eta}) \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$E_x[F(x - [x] + [\vec{\eta}])] = \int_{C[0, T]} F(x - [x] + [\vec{\eta}]) m_w(dx).$$

In view of (1.3) and (2.1), we may conclude that

$$E(F(x) | X_\tau(x) = \vec{\eta}) = E_x[F(x - [x] + [\vec{\eta}])] \tag{2.2}$$

for almost all $\vec{\eta}$ in \mathbb{R}^n ; i.e., we may express the conditional expectation $E(F | X_\tau)(\vec{\eta})$ in terms of an ordinary Wiener integral. Note that for $F \in L_1(C[0, T], m_w)$,

$\tilde{F}(\vec{\eta}) \equiv E(F | X_\tau)(\vec{\eta})$ is in $L_1(\mathbb{R}^n, P_{X_\tau}(d\vec{\eta}))$. Also note that for each $x \in C[0, T]$ and each

partition $\tau = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ of $[0, T]$, $\tilde{F}(x(\tau)) = E(F(y) | X_\tau(y) = x(\tau))$ is a function of $x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)$.

DEFINITION 1. Let $F \in L_1(C[0, T], m_w)$. For each partition $\tau = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ of $[0, T]$ define the operator $\mathcal{D}_{x(\tau)}$ by

$$\mathcal{D}_{x(\tau)} F(x) = \frac{\partial^n \tilde{F}(x(\tau))}{\partial x(t_n) \dots \partial x(t_1)} / K(\tau, x(\tau)) \tag{2.3}$$

if it exists. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{D}_{x(\tau)} F(x)$ exists for each partition τ , then the Wiener derivative of $F(x)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_x F(x) = \lim_{\|\tau\| \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{D}_{x(\tau)} F(x)$$

if the limit exists.

Our first theorem is the first half of the fundamental theorem of Wiener calculus.

THEOREM 1. Let $F \in L_1(C[0, T], m_w)$. Then

$$\mathcal{D}_x \int_{y \leq x} F(y) m_w(dy) = F(x)$$

for almost all $x \in C[0, T]$.

PROOF. For $x \in C[0, T]$ let $G(x)$ denote the indefinite Wiener integral

$$G(x) = \int_{y \leq x} F(y) m_w(dy) = E_y[I_x(y)F(y)] \tag{2.4}$$

where $I_x(y)$ is the indicator function

$$I_x(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & , y(t) \leq x(t) \text{ for all } t \in [0, T] \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then using (1.7), (2.4), (2.2), (1.3), (2.2) and the Fubini theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}(\vec{\eta}) &= E(G(u) | X_\tau(u) = \vec{\eta}) \\ &= E_u(E_y[I_u(y)F(y)] | X_\tau(u) = \vec{\eta}) \\ &= E_u[E_y[I_{u-[u]+\vec{\eta}}(y)F(y)]] \\ &= E_u \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E_y(I_{u-[u]+\vec{\eta}}(y)F(y) | X_\tau(y) = \vec{\xi}) P_{X_\tau}(d\vec{\xi}) \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E_u[E_y[I_{u-[u]+\vec{\eta}}(y-[y]+\vec{\xi})F(y-[y]+\vec{\xi})]] P_{X_\tau}(d\vec{\xi}) . \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

But $I_{u-[u]+\vec{\eta}}(y-[y]+\vec{\xi})$ is zero unless

$$y(t) - [y](t) + \vec{\xi}(t) \leq u(t) - [u](t) + \vec{\eta}(t) \tag{2.6}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. But (2.6) implies that

$$\xi_j = y(t_j) - [y](t_j) + \vec{\xi}(t_j) \leq u(t_j) - [u](t_j) + \vec{\eta}(t_j) = \eta_j$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Hence we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}(\vec{\eta}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\eta_n} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\eta_1} E_u[E_y[I_{u-[u]+[\vec{\eta}]}(y-[y]+[\vec{\xi}])] \\ &\quad \cdot F(y-[y]+[\vec{\xi}])]K(\tau, \vec{\xi})d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_n, \end{aligned}$$

and so for each $x \in C[0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}(x(\tau)) &= \int_{-\infty}^{x(t_n)} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{x(t_1)} E_u[E_y[I_{u-[u]+[x(\tau)]}(y-[y]+[\vec{\xi}])] \\ &\quad \cdot F(y-[y]+[\vec{\xi}])]K(\tau, \vec{\xi})d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^n \tilde{G}(x(\tau))}{\partial x(t_n) \cdots \partial x(t_1)} &= E_u[E_y[I_{u-[u]+[x(\tau)]}(y-[y]+[x(t)])] \\ &\quad \cdot F(y-[y]+[x(\tau)])]K(\tau, x(\tau)) \\ &= E_{u,y}(I_u(y)F(y) | X_\tau(y) = x(\tau), X_\tau(u) = x(\tau))K(\tau, x(\tau)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Applying (1.9) to (2.7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_x(G(x)) &= \lim_{\|\tau\| \rightarrow 0} E_{u,y}(I_u(y)F(y) | X_\tau(y) = x(\tau), X_\tau(u) = x(\tau)) \\ &= F(x) \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

for almost all x in $C[0,T]$ which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 1. If $\{t'_1, \dots, t'_m\} \subseteq \tau = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ and if $F(y) = f(y(t'_1), \dots, y(t'_m))$ is in $L_1(C[0,T], m_w)$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_{x(\tau)} \int_{y \leq x} F(y)m_w(dy) = F(x)E_{u,y}(I_u(y) | X_\tau(y) = X_\tau(u) = x(\tau))$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_x \int_{y \leq x} F(y)m_w(dy) = F(x) = f(x(t'_1), \dots, x(t'_m))$$

for almost all x in $C[0,T]$.

PROOF. Using (2.7) and (2.4) we see that

$$\mathcal{D}_{x(\tau)} \int_{y \leq x} F(y)m_w(dy) = E_{u,y}(I_u(y)F(y) | X_\tau(y) = x(\tau), X_\tau(u) = x(\tau)).$$

Under the conditioning $X_\tau(y) = x(\tau)$, $F(y)$ becomes $f(x(t'_1), \dots, x(t'_m))$ which equals $F(x)$.

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{D}_{x(\tau)} \int_{y \leq x} F(y)m_w(dy) = F(x)E_{u,y}(I_u(y) | X_\tau(y) = X_\tau(u) = x(\tau)).$$

As $\|\tau\| \rightarrow 0$, $E_{u,y}(I_u(y) | X_\tau(y) = X_\tau(u) = x(\tau)) \rightarrow I_x(x) = 1$ by (1.9) for almost all x in $C[0,T]$. Thus Corollary 1 is established.

COROLLARY 2. Let $\tau' = \{t'_1, \dots, t'_m\}$ be any partition of $[0,T]$, and let $F(x) = f(x(t'_1), \dots, x(t'_m))$ be in $L_1(C[0,T], m_w)$. Then $\mathcal{D}_x F(x) = 0$.

PROOF. Let τ be a partition of $[0, T]$ properly containing τ' . Then

$$\tilde{F}(x(\tau)) = E(F|y) | X_\tau(y) = x(\tau) = f(x(t'_1), \dots, x(t'_n)).$$

Thus $\mathcal{D}_{x(\tau)} F(x) = 0$, and so $\mathcal{D}_x F(x) = 0$.

3. LEBESGUE AND WIENER ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY.

In this section we show that certain functions defined on $C[0, T]$ are equal to the indefinite integral of their Wiener derivative.

For $\vec{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ in \mathbb{R}^n with $a_i < b_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $V(\vec{a}, \vec{b}, k)$ be the collection of all points of the form $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ where each v_i is either a_i or b_i and exactly k of the v_i are a_i 's. For any function f defined on $V(\vec{a}, \vec{b}, k)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, let

$$\Delta_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}} f = f(\vec{b}) + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \sum_{\vec{v} \in V(\vec{a}, \vec{b}, k)} f(\vec{v}). \tag{3.1}$$

A function of n variables $f(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is said to be Lebesgue absolutely continuous in the sense of Vitali (see Clarkson and Adams [6,7] and Hobson [8]) on the region $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ if,

given $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $I_k^* \equiv \prod_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i^{(k)}, \beta_i^{(k)})$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, are disjoint

n -dimensional rectangles contained in Ω with $\sum_{k=1}^N m_L(I_k^*) < \delta$ for any N , then

$\sum_{k=1}^N |\Delta_{\vec{\alpha}^{(k)}, \vec{\beta}^{(k)}} f| < \epsilon$, where $m_L(\cdot)$ denotes n -dimensional Lebesgue measure, and

$\vec{\alpha}^{(k)} = (\alpha_1^{(k)}, \dots, \alpha_n^{(k)})$. A function $f(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is said to be Lebesgue absolutely

continuous (in the sense of Hardy-Krause; see Berkson and Gillespie [9], and Clarkson and Adams [6,7]) on a region $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ if for each $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, whenever $n-k$ variables are fixed then f , as a function of its remaining k variables, is Lebesgue absolutely continuous in the sense of Vitali on $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}^k$. When we merely state "Lebesgue absolutely continuous", it is always meant in the sense of Hardy-Krause.

It is well known that if $f(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is Lebesgue absolutely continuous in the sense of

Vitali on $R \equiv \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i]$, then $\partial^n f(u_1, \dots, u_n) / \partial u_1 \dots \partial u_n$ exists a.e. on R and is integrable

on R . Furthermore

$$\int_R [\partial^n f(u_1, \dots, u_n) / \partial u_1 \dots \partial u_n] du_1 \dots du_n = \Delta_{\vec{a}, \vec{b}} f, \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\int_R |\partial^n f(u_1, \dots, u_n) / \partial u_1 \dots \partial u_n| du_1 \dots du_n = \text{Var}(f, R) \tag{3.3}$$

where $\text{Var}(f, R)$ denotes the total variation of f over R .

Let $G(x)$ be any Wiener integrable function on $C[0,T]$. Then, by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}(\vec{\eta}) &= E(G|X_\tau)(\vec{\eta}) \text{ is a function of } \vec{\eta} \text{ which is integrable with respect to} \\ P_{X_\tau}(d\vec{\eta}) &= K(\tau, \vec{\eta})d\vec{\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 2. A Wiener integrable function $G(x)$ defined on $C[0,T]$ is said to be Wiener absolutely continuous provided that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if the sequence $I_k \equiv \{x \in C[0,T] : \alpha_1^{(k)} < x(s_1^{(k)}) \leq \beta_1^{(k)}, i = 1, \dots, m^{(k)}\}$ with $-\infty \leq \alpha_1^{(k)} < \beta_1^{(k)} \leq \infty$ are disjoint Wiener intervals with $\sum_{k=1}^N m_w(I_k) < \delta$ for any N , then $\sum_{k=1}^N |\Delta_{\vec{\alpha}^{(k)}, \vec{\beta}^{(k)}} \tilde{G}| < \epsilon$.

The following propositions can be easily established.

PROPOSITION A. If $G(x)$ is Wiener absolutely continuous on $C[0,T]$, then for every partition τ of $(0,T]$, $\tilde{G}(\vec{\eta})$ is Lebesgue absolutely continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{|\tau|}$, where $|\tau|$ denotes the number of points in τ .

PROPOSITION B. Let $F \in L_1(C[0,T], m_w)$. Then the indefinite Wiener integral $G(x) = \int_{y \leq x} F(y) m_w(dy)$ is Wiener absolutely continuous on $C[0,T]$.

Our next theorem is the second half of the fundamental theorem of Wiener Calculus.

THEOREM 2. Let $G \in L_1(C[0,T], m_w)$ satisfy the conditions:

- (i) $\mathcal{D}_x G(x)$ exists for almost all $x \in C[0,T]$ and belongs to $L_1(C[0,T], m_w)$,
- (ii) $G(x)$ is Wiener absolutely continuous on $C[0,T]$,
- (iii) If $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in $C[0,T]$ such that $x_k(s_0) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for some fixed point $s_0 \in (0,T]$, then $G(x_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Then

$$G(u) = \int_{x \leq u} \mathcal{D}_x G(x) m_w(dx) \tag{3.4}$$

for almost all u in $C[0,T]$.

PROOF. For given $\epsilon > 0$ let $\delta = \delta(\epsilon/3) > 0$ be the value for the Wiener absolute continuity of $G(x)$, and also assume that

$$m_w(S) < \delta \Rightarrow \int_S |\mathcal{D}_x G(x)| m_w(dx) < \epsilon/3.$$

Let $\{\tau^{(k)}\}$ be a sequence of partitions of $[0,T]$ such that $\|\tau^{(k)}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{D}_{x(\tau^{(k)})} G(x) = \mathcal{D}_x G(x) \text{ for almost all } x \in C[0,T].$$

By Egoroff's theorem, there exists a set $C_\epsilon \subset C[0,T]$ with $m_w(C_\epsilon) > 1 - \delta/2$ and a positive integer k_0 such that if $k \geq k_0$, then

$$|\mathcal{D}_{x(\tau^{(k)})}G(x) - \mathcal{D}_xG(x)| < \varepsilon/3 \text{ for every } x \in C_\varepsilon .$$

Let

$$C_k = \{x \in C[0,T] : |\mathcal{D}_{x(\tau^{(k)})}G(x) - \mathcal{D}_xG(x)| < \varepsilon/3\}, k \geq k_0 .$$

Then $C_\varepsilon \subset C_k$, and hence $m_w(C_k) \geq m_w(C_\varepsilon) > 1 - \delta/2$, and

$$\int_{C_k} |\mathcal{D}_{x(\tau^{(k)})}G(x) - \mathcal{D}_xG(x)|m_w(dx) < \varepsilon/3 \text{ for } k \geq k_0 .$$

The complements satisfy $m_w(C_k^c) \leq m_w(C_\varepsilon^c) < \delta/2$ for $k \geq k_0$. Next consider fixed k ,

$k \geq k_0$ and let q denote the number of points in the partition $\tau^{(k)}$. Let

$$E_k \equiv \{\vec{\eta} = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_q) \in \mathbb{R}^q : \vec{\eta} = x(\tau^{(k)}) \text{ for some } x \in C_k^c\} .$$

Then,

$$m_w(C_k^c) = \int_{E_k} K(\tau^{(k)}, \vec{\eta})d\vec{\eta} .$$

Since $K(\tau^{(k)}, \vec{\eta})$ is bounded in $\vec{\eta}$ on \mathbb{R}^q and $\int_{E_k} K(\tau^{(k)}, \vec{\eta})d\vec{\eta} = m_w(C_k^c) < \delta/2$, we can find

a countable sequence of disjoint q -dimensional rectangles $I_\ell^* = \prod_{i=1}^q (\alpha_i^{(\ell)}, \beta_i^{(\ell)})$, $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$

such that $E_k \subset \bigcup_{\ell=1}^\infty I_\ell^*$, and

$$\int_{E_k} K(\tau^{(k)}, \vec{\eta})d\vec{\eta} \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \int_{I_\ell^*} K(\tau^{(k)}, \vec{\eta})d\vec{\eta} = \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty m_w(I_\ell) < \delta ,$$

where

$$I_\ell = \{x \in C[0,T] : \alpha_i^{(\ell)} < x(s_i^{(k)}) \leq \beta_i^{(\ell)} \text{ for each } s_i^{(k)} \in \tau^{(k)}\} .$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_k^c} |\mathcal{D}_{x(\tau^{(k)})}G(x)|m_w(dx) &= \int_{E_k} |\partial^q \tilde{G}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_q) / \partial \eta_1 \cdots \partial \eta_q| d\eta_1 \cdots d\eta_q \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \int_{\alpha_q^{(\ell)}}^{\beta_q^{(\ell)}} \cdots \int_{\alpha_1^{(\ell)}}^{\beta_1^{(\ell)}} |\partial^q \tilde{G}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_q) / \partial \eta_1 \cdots \partial \eta_q| d\eta_1 \cdots d\eta_q \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \text{Var}(\tilde{G}, I_\ell^*) , \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (3.3). Now,

$$\text{Var}(\tilde{G}, I_\ell^*) = \sup \sum_i |\Delta_{\vec{\sigma}_i, \vec{\rho}_i} \tilde{G}(\vec{\eta})|$$

where the supremum is taken over all possible nets of I_ℓ^* , and each net has total Lebesgue

measure equal to that of I_{ρ}^* , and so the corresponding Wiener intervals have total measure equal to $m_w(I_{\rho})$. Since $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} m_w(I_{\rho}) < \delta(\varepsilon/3)$, by the Wiener absolute continuity of G , we have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^N \sum_i |\Delta_{\vec{\sigma}_i, \vec{\rho}_i} \tilde{G}(\vec{\eta})| < \varepsilon/3 \text{ for every } N \text{ and every net.}$$

Thus, by taking the supremum over all nets, we get

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^N \text{Var}(\tilde{G}, I_{\rho}^*) \leq \varepsilon/3 \text{ for every } N,$$

and hence

$$\int_{C_k} |\mathcal{D}_{x(\tau(k))} G(x)| m_w(dx) \leq \varepsilon/3.$$

Thus, for every $k \geq k_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C[0,T]} |\mathcal{D}_{x(\tau(k))} G(x) - \mathcal{D}_x G(x)| m_w(dx) \\ & \leq \int_{C_k} |\mathcal{D}_{x(\tau(k))} G(x) - \mathcal{D}_x G(x)| m_w(dx) \\ & \quad + \int_{C_k} |\mathcal{D}_{x(\tau(k))} G(x)| m_w(dx) + \int_{C_k} |\mathcal{D}_x G(x)| m_w(dx) \end{aligned}$$

$< \varepsilon$.

In particular

$$\int_{[x] \leq [u]} |\mathcal{D}_{x(\tau(k))} G(x) - \mathcal{D}_x G(x)| m_w(dx) < \varepsilon \text{ for } k \geq k_0,$$

where $[\cdot]$ corresponds to $\tau(k)$. Hence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{[x] \leq [u]} \mathcal{D}_{x(\tau(k))} G(x) m_w(dx) - \int_{[x] \leq [u]} \mathcal{D}_x G(x) m_w(dx) \right] = 0.$$

Since $\{x \in C[0,T] : [x] \leq [u]\} \rightarrow \{x \in C[0,T] : x \leq u\}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, an application of the dominated convergence theorem yields

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[x] \leq [u]} \mathcal{D}_{x(\tau(k))} G(x) m_w(dx) = \int_{x \leq u} \mathcal{D}_x G(x) m_w(dx).$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[x] \leq [u]} \mathcal{D}_{x(\tau(k))} G(x) m_w(dx) = \int_{x \leq u} \mathcal{D}_x G(x) m_w(dx). \tag{3.5}$$

On the other hand, using (2.3), (3.2) and (3.1), we see that for any $a \in C[0,T]$ with $a < u$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{[a] \leq [x] \leq [u]} \mathcal{D}_{x(\tau^{(k)})} G(x) m_w(dx) \\
 &= \int_{a(\tau^{(k)}) \leq x(\tau^{(k)}) \leq u(\tau^{(k)})} \mathcal{D}_{x(\tau^{(k)})} G(x) m_w(dx) \\
 &= \int_{a(s_q^{(k)})}^{u(s_q^{(k)})} \cdots \int_{a(s_1^{(k)})}^{u(s_1^{(k)})} [\partial^q \tilde{G}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_q) / \partial \eta_1 \cdots \partial \eta_q] d\eta_1 \cdots d\eta_q \tag{3.6} \\
 &= \Delta_{a(\tau^{(k)}), u(\tau^{(k)})} \tilde{G} \\
 &= \tilde{G}(u(\tau^{(k)})) + \sum_{\ell=1}^q (-1)^\ell \sum_{\vec{v} \in V(a(\tau^{(k)}), u(\tau^{(k)}), \ell)} \tilde{G}(\vec{v}) .
 \end{aligned}$$

If we let $a(s_i^{(k)}) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for $i = 1, \dots, q$ in (3.6), then by assumption (iii), $\tilde{G}(\vec{v}) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for every $\vec{v} \in V(a(\tau^{(k)}), u(\tau^{(k)}), \ell)$, $\ell \geq 1$. Thus (3.6) reduces to

$$\int_{[x] \leq [u]} \mathcal{D}_{x(\tau^{(k)})} G(x) m_w(dx) = \tilde{G}(u(\tau^{(k)})) . \tag{3.7}$$

In view of (1.9) and (3.5), we conclude that

$$\int_{x \leq u} \mathcal{D}_x G(x) m_w(dx) = G(u) \text{ for almost all } u \text{ in } C[0, T],$$

and so (3.4) is established.

REFERENCES

1. DOOB, J.L. Stochastic Processes, Wiley and Sons, 1965.
2. TUCKER, H.G. A Graduate Course in Probability, Academic Press, 1967.
3. YEH, J. Inversion of Conditional Expectation, Pacif. J. Math. **52**(1974), 631–640.
4. SMOLOWITZ, L.H. Wiener Derivatives and Corresponding Fundamental Theorem of the Integral Calculus, Doctoral thesis, University of Minnesota, (1967).
5. PARK, C. and SKOUG, D.L. A Simple Formula for Conditional Wiener Integrals with Applications, Pacif. J. Math. **135**(1988), 381–394.
6. CLARKSON, J.A. and ADAMS, C.R. On Definitions of Bounded Variation for Functions of Two Variables, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. **35**(1933), 824–854.
7. CLARKSON, J.A. and ADAMS, C.R. Properties of Functions $f(x,y)$ of Bounded Variation, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. **36**(1934), 711–730.
8. HOBSON, E.W. The Theory of Functions of a Real Variable and the Theory of Fourier Series, Vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, 1927.
9. BERKSON, E. and GILLESPIE, T.A. Absolutely Continuous Functions of Two Variables and Well Bounded Operators, J. London Math. Soc. **30**(1984), 305–321.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

