Utah State University
DigitalCommons@USU

**Research on Capitol Hill** 

Browse Undergraduate Research Events

2018

#### How State-Level Affirmative Action Bans Affect Underrepresented Students' Opportunities and Outcomes

Jackie Sullivan Utah State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/roch

Part of the Management Sciences and Quantitative Methods Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Sullivan, Jackie, "How State-Level Affirmative Action Bans Affect Underrepresented Students' Opportunities and Outcomes" (2018). *Research on Capitol Hill*. Paper 90. https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/roch/90

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Browse Undergraduate Research Events at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research on Capitol Hill by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



# How State-Level Affirmative Action Bans Affect Underrepresented Students' Opportunities and Outcomes

Jackie Sullivan Utah State University

Briggs Depew Utah State University

#### Introduction

This study replicates a study by Peter Hinrichs; which found affirmative action bans negatively affect within institution graduation rates for minorities and the fraction of minorities who graduate from selective institutions. Since then, five more states have implemented affirmative action bans. (Figure 1)

Although the effects of affirmative action bans are not clear, research suggests underrepresented minority students (black, Hispanic, and Native American) experience:

- Lower graduation rates overall
- Lower graduation rates from quantitativeintense degrees
- A higher likelihood of being first generation college student

Research supports the economic value of higher education for students, colleges, and society, and its ability to improve human capital acquisition and labor market discrimination.

# UtahStateUniversity

### **Table 1- Regression results**

	Asian	Black	Hispanic	White	Native American
4-yr grad rate	-0.0030903	-0.0042665	-0.0035932	-0.0042817	-0.0125096
4-yr institutions	[0.0240181]	[0.0064511]	[0.0098096]	[0.0084125]	[0.0173814]
6-yr grad rate	0.0105604	-0.0032652	-0.0006036	-0.0038432	-0.0121042
4-yr institutions	[0.0298471]	[0.0067144]	[0.0069728]	[0.0083103]	[0.0166952]

## Methods

I used the following linear regression model to estimate the effects on affirmative action bans:

#### $y_{ist} = ban_{st}\alpha + \mu_s + \delta_t + \eta_{st} + \varepsilon_{ist}$

v <sub>ist</sub> outcome variable	<b>μ</b> , full
ban <sub>st</sub> dummy variable for	$\delta_t$ full
whether or not an	<b>η</b> <sub>st</sub> dum
affirmative action ban	line
is in place	<b>e</b> <sub>ist</sub> erro

set of state dummies set of year dummies mmy for state-specific ear time trends or term

I drop Alabama, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Georgia from the regression due to the effects of affirmative action related legislation.

Graduation rates are categorized by five distinct race categories, and data is sources from the Integrated Postsecondary Enrollment Data System (IPEDS)

### Results

Regression results (Table 1) suggest affirmative action bans negatively affect graduation rates for all racial cohorts; however, these preliminary coefficients are not statistically significant at standard levels.



### **Figure 1** – Affirmative action bans by state and year

	97	98	99	00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14
California	Х	X	X	X	X	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	X	X	Х	Х	Х	х
Texas	x	x	x	x	x	x	x											
Washington			x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Florida					х	x	x	X	x	x	x	х	х	x	x	X	X	х
Nebraska												x	x	x	x	X	X	х
Michigan												x	x	x	x	x	x	х
Arizona														x	x	x	x	х
New Hampshire															x	x	x	х
Oklahoma																x	x	х

#### Conclusion

Preliminary regression results are consistent with Hinrichs' original findings that affirmative action bans negatively affect the graduation rates of minority students; however, these results are not statistically significant and require further data cleaning for meaningful interpretation.

Despite the non-significant results in this study, literature on this topic suggest affirmative action bans and alternative affirmative action policies negatively affect graduation rates for minority students across all states.

