

The satellite attitude and orbit control subsystem (AOCS) is responsible for maintaining it in a specific orbit, and L constantly performs vehicle orientation maneuvers, regardless of external disturbances. AOCSs are made up of sensors, actuators and on-board computer. Magnetic coils are one of actuators types used in AOCSs, whose electrical currents generate magnetic moments, which interact with the Earth's magnetic field producing the torques needed to maintain the nominal attitude specified. In operation, the coils consume power, which can cause the satellite's batteries to be discharged. In an attempt to minimize this problem this work presents the design of a set of three magnetic coils, which has a lower power consumption than those presented commercially and that can be used as actuator in nanosatellite AOCSs. The performance of this work involves the areas of nanosatellite attitude control, orbital dynamics, mechanics and magnetism. Security, performance, integration, and manufacturing requirements determine project implementation and must ensure functionality, without interfering in costs and risks to the mission as a whole. The coils will be developed from design and functional requirements, and equations that characterize them. The results obtained are simulations in MATLAB® that compare the performance of a set consisting of two coils with ferromagnetic core and one with air core, to a market model, for the stabilization of a CubeSat 6U.



Magnetic moment of the coil (a) with air core and (b) with ferromagnetic core equations (CARRARA; VAROTTO, 1995):

(a)
$$M = A n_{espiras} i$$

(b) $M \cong \frac{B}{\mu_0} V R_S$

Power consumption of the coil (a) with air core and (b) with ferromagnetic core equations (CARRARA; VAROTTO, 1995):

(a)
$$P = \frac{P_{ext}}{A} v K M$$

(b) P = Ui

Design of a Low Consumption Magnetic Coil Assembly for the Attitude Control System of a CubeSat 6U

Jéssica Garcia de Azevedo^{1,3}, Mário César Ricci², Valdemir Carrara³, Luís Eduardo Vergueiro Loures da Costa³











Reference Model - ISIS iMTQ: side of the coils (a)ferromagnetic core (b) air core (Source: www.cubesatshop.com, 2016)

- Carrara, V.; Varotto, S. E. C. "Projeto de Bobinas Magnéticas para uso em Satélites", INPE-5665-NTC-319 (Technical report), São José os Campos, 1995. Retrieved in: June, 2016, from: http://www2.dem.inpe.br/~val/publicacoes/carrara inpe 5665 ntc 319.pdf. - Fernandez, R. "Magnetotorquer Board User Manual", ISIS.IMTQ.UM.001, version: 1.2, December, 2011, 26 pages, document of ITASAT Project. - LI, J.; Post, M.; Wright, T.; Lee, R. "Design of Attitude Control Systems for CubeSat-Class Nanosatellite", Journal of Control Science and Engineering, volume 2013, Article ID 657182, 15 pages. Retrieved in: July, 2016, from: https://www.hindawi.com/journals/jcse/2013/657182/. - Provisional, "6U CubeSat Design Specification", The CubeSat Program, Cal Poly SLO, April, 2016, classification: Public Domain. Retrieved in: October, 2016, from: http:// www.cubesat.org/resources/.

¹Faculdade de Tecnologia São Francisco (FATESF-UNIESP), ²Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), ³Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica (ITA)

PROJECT

Comparison between the design parameters and the reference model (Source: Author, 2016)

rs	Model	Voltage [V]	Nominal magnetic moment [Am ²]	Power consumption [W]	Current consumption [A]
	ISIS iMTQ	5,0	0,20	0,20	0,040
	Project 1	5,0	0,24	0,12	0,024
	Project 2	3,3	0,16	0,05	0,016
	ISIS iMTQ	5,0	0,20	0,57	0,110
	Project 1	5,0	0,22	0,47	0,095
	Project 2	3,3	0,15	0,2	0,063
		,			

SIMULATION AND ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

Simulation using the ITASAT Project control software, in B-dot mode, to stabilize and reduce the speed of CubeSat in the orbitalization phase.

Percentage data of the results (Source: Author, 2016)

	Parameter	Coil with Ferromagnetic Core	Coil with Air Core
	Dipole or nominal magnetic moment	Increase of 20 %	Increase of 10 %
	Power consumed	Reduction of 40 %	Reduction of 17,55 %
	Current consumed	Reduction of 40 %	Reduction of 13,64 %
	Average power of the set	Reduction of 26,83 %	
s with	Time to the angular velocity to go to zero	Reduction of 22,16 %	
	Time to the kinect energy to go to zero	Reduction of 26,62 %	

REFERENCES



