Nathan Leisso - NEON (now Ball Aerospace) Joe Boardman - AIG Tristan Goulden - NEON Ian Crocker - NEON

Calibration of NEON's Spectrometers

National Ecological Observatory Network

A project sponsored by the National Science Foundation and proudly operated by Battelle







<u>Causes of Change</u> *Climate* Land Use Invasive Species Response to Change Biodiversity Biogeochemistry Ecohydrology Infectious Disease

2011 2012 2013 Photo: Zak Gezon, Dartmouth University – Gothic Mountain, RMBL

NEON Collection Challenges



National Ecological Observatory Network





Why use hyperspectral + LiDAR to study ecosystems?

Fusing hyperspectral and LiDAR data has long been recognized as a valuable tool for studying ecosystems

- Imaging Spectrometer
 - Vegetation health
 - Vegetation type
- LiDAR
 - Surface topography
 - Canopy height & structure













Airborne Survey Scheduling Constraints -Phenology







NEON AOP 2018 Proposed Flight Schedule



National Ecological Observatory Network

BATTELLE

NEON AOP Flight Operations







AOP Payload Sensor and Support Infrastructure

- Nominal Payload includes three main sensors:
 - NEON Imaging Spectrometer (NIS): Custom instrument from JPL
 - Waveform Lidar: COTS system from Teledyne Optech or Riegl
 - Digital Camera: COTS system from Teledyne Optech or Riegl
- AOP has integrated these into a custom payload with required support infrastructure



NEON Imaging Spectrometer



NIS Raw Flight Line Sequence



NIS Shuttered, 1000 Frames of Dark Offset

NIS Shuttered, 1000 Frames of Dim OBC

NIS Shuttered, 1000 Frames of Bright OBC

NIS Shuttered, 500 Frames of SOBC (HeNe@632.8-nm)

NIS Science collect, 10461 raw science frames in this particular flightline (5000 to 60000 typical), ~300 in image shown.

NIS Shuttered, 1000 Frames of Dark Offset

NIS Shuttered, 1000 Frames of Dim OBC

NIS Shuttered, 1000 Frames of Bright OBC

NIS Shuttered, 1000 Frames of SOBC (HeNe@632.8-nm)



Spectral Calibration







Radiometric Calibration











Radiometric Calibration



Vicarious Calibration at Railroad Valley







Sensor Artif

Spectral/Spatial Ghosting



Variable Dark Offset



ne@n





Scattered Light



Blue Quantum Efficiency





Sensor Artifacts: Dark Pedestal Shift



ne@n







Spatial/Spectral Blur











NIS Calibration Data Ghosting



Ghosting in NIS Imagery







Sample NIS Frame from NEON Lab







Detector Responsivity Changes

- Discovered during early implementation of the NIS L0 QA algorithm
- Trending using OBC broadband illumination between initial lab collect and science collects
- Responsivity degradation with photon exposure
- Reversed/reset through system warm-up







Blue Quantum Efficiency Shift







Improvements in the NIS Sensor Model

Offset Corrections

- Dark Offset Subtraction
- Dark Pedestal Shift
- Electronic Panel Ghost
- Spatial/Spectral Ghosting
- Spatial/Spectral Blur
- Ringing
- OSF Sunset

Gain Application

- Blue Quantum Efficiency
- Linearity

neør

Flat Field Correction

Radiometric Calibration

System Characterization

- Trim data to used FPA area
- Bad Detector Element mapping
- Bad Detector Element Correction
- Order Sorting Filter Boundaries
- Spectral 3mapping
- Orthorectification



Improvements in the NIS Sensor Model

Algorithm Improvements

- Implemented in lab data reduction algorithms used to produce the calibration
- Implemented in the Science Data Record algorithms
- Also implemented in the L0 Quality Checks (not discussed here)

Results

- Improvements in lab calibration results
- Improvements in the Science
 Data Record accuracy





Lab Calibration Improvements







NIS Calibration Data Ghosting



NIS Vicarious Calibration

neø

- Radiometric Calibration flight typically conducted pre- and post- flight season
- Extended collects under varying weather conditions across range of solar illumination angles

Weather Conditions

Sky conditions at the start of NEON's airborne collect

Sky conditions at the completion of NEON's airborne collect

Initial Results and Calibration Improvements

Improved Sensor Calibration Corrections

Improved Sensor Calibration Corrections

NIS Inter-comparison

Payload-1 flown back-to-back with Payload-3 over vicarious calibration site at TBMT

Conclusions

- Gain and offset are intimately linked
- Vital to accurately understand the sensor
- Without corrections, true offset never obtained
- Lead to errors in the calibration (Lab or Vicarious)
- Also leads to errors in at-sensor radiance and higher-lever products
- While we have implemented various calibration improvements, there remains work that can be done.
- The key is to determine when the calibration meets requirements

THANKS!

National Ecological Observatory Network

Raw

Raw-DS

Raw-DS-DPS

Raw-DS-DPS-EPG

Raw-DS-DPS-EPG-GC

Raw-DS-DPS-EPG-GC-BC

(Raw-DS-DPS-EPG-GC-BC)*FF

NIS Spatial Pixels

((Raw-DS-DPS-EPG-GC-BC)*FF)*RCC

(((Raw-DS-DPS-EPG-GC-BC)*FF)*RCC)*BQES)

(((Raw-DS-DPS-EPG-GC-BC)*FF)*RCC)*BQES)[Trim]

NIS Spatial Pixels

(((Raw-DS-DPS-EPG-GC-BC)*FF)*RCC)*BQES)[Trim][BDE]

neon National Ecological Observatory Network

What about weather!!!

- Spectrometer uncertainty assumes weather conditions are good (cloud free)
- Weather is the highest source of uncertainty
- Even small buildup of cloud will have obvious impacts on reflectance
- Pay attention to the weather information provided in metadata of H5 files, KMLs, and PDFs

Airborne Observation Platform Team

Flight Operations

- Flight Architecture
- Support Logistics
- Payload installation
- Sensor operation
- Raw Data handling
- Flight notifications
- Permitting and FAA
- Payload maintenance

Payload Development and Sensor Calibration

- Payload integration
- Sensor calibration
- Sensor maintenance
- Verification testing
- Engineering support
- Payload software setup and versioning

Data Processing & Algorithm Development

- Algorithm development
- Data processing
 - Lidar
 - NIS
 - Camera
- Implementation of lab calibration
- Flight Calibration
- Data QA/QC

