



Original Investigation | Neurology

Association of Rare Coding Mutations With Alzheimer Disease and Other Dementias Among Adults of European Ancestry

Devanshi Patel, MS; Jesse Mez, MD, MS; Badri N. Vardarajan, PhD; Lyndsay Staley, BS; Jaeyoon Chung, PhD; Xiaoling Zhang, MD, PhD; John J. Farrell, PhD; Michael J. Rynkiewicz, PhD; Lisa A. Cannon-Albright, PhD; Craig C. Teerlink, PhD; Jeffery Stevens, BS; Christopher Corcoran, PhD; Josue D. Gonzalez Murcia, BS; Oscar L. Lopez, MD; Richard Mayeux, MD, MS; Jonathan L. Haines, PhD; Margaret A. Pericak-Vance, PhD; Gerard Schellenberg, PhD; John S. K. Kauwe, PhD; Kathryn L. Lunetta, PhD; Lindsay A. Farrer, PhD; for the Alzheimer's Disease Sequencing Project

Abstract

IMPORTANCE Some of the unexplained heritability of Alzheimer disease (AD) may be due to rare variants whose effects are not captured in genome-wide association studies because very large samples are needed to observe statistically significant associations.

OBJECTIVE To identify genetic variants associated with AD risk using a nonstatistical approach.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS Genetic association study in which rare variants were identified by whole-exome sequencing in unrelated individuals of European ancestry from the Alzheimer's Disease Sequencing Project (ADSP). Data were analyzed between March 2017 and September 2018.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES Minor alleles genome-wide and in 95 genes previously associated with AD, AD-related traits, or other dementias were tabulated and filtered for predicted functional impact and occurrence in participants with AD but not controls. Support for several findings was sought in a whole-exome sequencing data set comprising 19 affected relative pairs from Utah high-risk pedigrees and whole-genome sequencing data sets from the ADSP and Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative.

RESULTS Among 5617 participants with AD (3202 [57.0%] women; mean [SD] age, 76.4 [9.3] years) and 4594 controls (2719 [59.0%] women; mean [SD] age, 86.5 [4.5] years), a total of 24 variants with moderate or high functional impact from 19 genes were observed in 10 or more participants with AD but not in controls. These variants included a missense mutation (rs149307620 [p.A284T], n = 10) in *NOTCH3*, a gene in which coding mutations are associated with cerebral autosomal-dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy (CADASIL), that was also identified in 1 participant with AD and 1 participant with mild cognitive impairment in the whole genome sequencing data sets. Four participants with AD carried the *TREM2* rs104894002 (p.Q33X) high-impact mutation that, in homozygous form, causes Nasu-Hakola disease, a rare disorder characterized by early-onset dementia and multifocal bone cysts, suggesting an intermediate inheritance model for the mutation. Compared with controls, participants with AD had a significantly higher burden of deleterious rare coding variants in dementia-associated genes (2314 vs 3354 cumulative variants, respectively; $P = .006$).

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE Different mutations in the same gene or variable dose of a mutation may be associated with result in distinct dementias. These findings suggest that minor differences in the structure or amount of protein may be associated with in different clinical

(continued)

Key Points

Question Can rare genetic variants for Alzheimer disease be identified using nonstatistical approaches?

Findings In this genetic association study, variants with high functional effect were observed in participants with Alzheimer disease but not in controls in *NOTCH3*, a gene previously associated with cerebral autosomal-dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy (CADASIL), and *TREM2* (Q33X) that in homozygous form causes Nasu-Hakola disease.

Meaning Different mutations in the same gene or variable dose of a particular mutation may be associated with dissimilar types of dementia.

+ Supplemental content

Author affiliations and article information are listed at the end of this article.

Open Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

Abstract (continued)

outcomes. Understanding these genotype-phenotype associations may provide further insight into the pathogenic nature of the mutations, as well as offer clues for developing new therapeutic targets.

JAMA Network Open. 2019;2(3):e191350. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.1350

Introduction

Alzheimer disease (AD) is the most common type of dementia and affects an estimated 5.7 million individuals in the United States, with the number projected to rise to 14 million by 2050.¹ Susceptibility to AD is highly heritable ($h^2 = 58\%-79\%$),² but only about one-third of the genetic component is accounted for by common variants discovered through genome-wide association studies.² Some of the unexplained heritability of AD may be due to rare variants, which remain challenging to discover in genomic studies because of statistical power limitations, despite large sample sizes.³ Genome-wide searches have identified AD associations with rare variants in relatively few genes, including *TREM2*, *AKAP9*, *UNC5C*, *ZNF655*, *IGHG3*, and *CASP7*,⁴⁻⁸ and methods to evaluate rare variants are still under development.³ We applied a strategy focused on rare variants occurring only in cases to identify and characterize additional high-penetrance risk variants in AD that would be otherwise undetected in analyses that do not render results when a variant is not observed in the control group.

Methods

Study Population and Data Pipeline

The Alzheimer's Disease Sequencing Project (ADSP) performed whole-exome sequencing (WES) on DNA samples obtained from participants of non-Hispanic European ancestry (EA) and a group of Caribbean Hispanic individuals that was deemed too small for inclusion in this study. A total of 5617 participants with AD met National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Diseases and Stroke/Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association criteria for possible, probable, or definite AD after clinical and/or neuropathologic examination⁹ and 4594 controls were cognitively normal. The ADSP participants were selected using a risk score based on age, sex, and *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ carrier status to maximize cases most likely to have AD risk variants and controls most likely to have AD protective variants. Sample characteristics are provided in eTable 1 in the [Supplement](#).

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants who were 60 years or older or from their authorized legal representative. This study was approved by the Boston University Institutional Review Board. Data were analyzed between March 2017 and September 2018. This study followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Genetic Association Studies (STREGA) reporting guideline.

Gene Selection and Variant-Filtering Pipeline

Rare variants were analyzed under 2 different schemes: one considering all genes in the genome and another focused on genes for which there was prior evidence linking them to AD, AD-related endophenotypes, or other disorders in which adult-onset dementia was the cardinal feature. Selection of genes for the latter analysis scheme (listed in eTable 2 in the [Supplement](#)) was based on a review of the literature and required either genome-wide significant association findings or generally accepted functional evidence. Details about DNA sequencing, data quality control, and variant selection and annotation are provided in the eMethods and eTable 3 in the [Supplement](#). The study design is illustrated in eFigure 1 in the [Supplement](#).

Rare Variant Analysis in an Independent Data Set

To extend and enhance the discovery of novel associations, we evaluated WES data obtained from 19 AD-affected first- or second-cousin pairs identified in the Utah Population Database belonging to a pedigree with a statistical excess of AD risk. These pedigrees are genealogically independent at least as far back as the early 1800s. Details of the Utah Population Database, case classification, and identification of high-risk pedigrees have been published elsewhere.¹⁸ A series of steps that included filters for sharing among affected relatives, frequency in several public next-generation sequencing databases, pathogenicity, and relevance to AD pathologic factors resulted in 130 variants exome wide for further evaluation (eMethods in the [Supplement](#)).

Statistical Analysis

Haplotype Analysis

PLINK was used to find common single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) near the rare variant of interest within a specified kilobase (kb) window. The wildcard option was used to infer haplotypes and estimate haplotype frequencies.¹⁰ Haploview was used to visualize regional linkage disequilibrium and confirm haplotypes and frequencies among different SNP combinations using multimarker haplotype association tests.¹¹

Protein Homologic Modeling and Pathway Analysis

Protein homologic modeling was performed for several high-impact variants in *NOTCH3* with BLAST-P, version 2.7.1,¹² SWISS-MODEL, SMTL version 2019-02-13 (PDB release 2019-02-08),¹³ and Maestro, version 11.2 software.¹⁴ Additional details of the modeling procedures are provided in the eMethods in the [Supplement](#). A high-confidence (confidence score >0.7) human protein-protein interaction network was then created with version 10 of the STRING database for *NOTCH3* and its ligand *JAG1*.¹⁵ The set of genes forming the protein network was tested for gene-set enrichment using Protein Analysis Through Evolutionary Relationships pathways and the Fisher exact test with false discovery rate multiple test correction.¹⁶

Estimation of Burden of Rare Variants

A gene-set test was performed to evaluate the burden of high- and moderate-impact mutations in the set of AD- or dementia-related genes among participants with AD compared with controls. Logistic regression models, including covariates for sex, age, sequencing center, and principal components of ancestry, were evaluated using the Combined and Multivariate Collapsing method¹⁷ in R, version 3.5.0 (R Foundation). Findings were considered significant at 2-tailed $P < .05$.

Results

After performing data-filtering steps, 5617 participants with AD (3202 [57.0%] women; mean [SD] age, 76.4 [9.3] years) and 4594 controls (2719 [59.0%] women; mean [SD] age, 86.5 [4.5] years) remained for analysis.

Rare Variants in *NOTCH3*

Evaluation of high- and moderate-impact rare variants in genes that were previously established as genetically or functionally associated with AD or dementia revealed a missense mutation in *NOTCH3* (rs149307620; p.A284T) that was present in 10 AD cases, but no controls (eTable 4 in the [Supplement](#)). This variant is rare in EAs (minor allele frequency [MAF], 0.0005)¹⁹ and was verified by Sanger sequencing in 8 of these participants for whom DNA was available. Because several other high- or moderate-impact *NOTCH3* mutations have been associated with cerebral autosomal-dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy (CADASIL), a diagnostically distinct disorder marked by severe headaches in young adulthood followed by strokes and dementia later in life,²⁰ we sought clinical and autopsy data from the participants with AD with the rs149307620 mutation

to determine whether they are enriched for cerebrovascular risk factors. Neuropathologic information that was available for one of these participants revealed moderate atherosclerosis but no arteriosclerosis, lacunes, or microinfarcts, which are hallmarks of CADASIL (eTable 5 in the [Supplement](#)).

Autopsy also confirmed the presence of AD abnormalities (Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease [CERAD] neuritic plaques, moderate; CERAD diffuse plaques, moderate; and Braak neurofibrillary degeneration, stage VI). The mean (SD) age at symptom onset for the 10 *NOTCH3* mutation carriers was 80.5 (6.7) years, which was similar to that for the entire sample of ADSP EA cases (80.9 [9.1] years) and greater than age at onset of cognitive impairment among individuals with CADASIL (usually <50 years). None of the *NOTCH3* mutation carriers had a history of clinical strokes (although 1 carrier had multiple infarcts shown on magnetic resonance imaging and a history of diabetes and cardiovascular disease) and all had prominent memory impairment as the initial presentation with a progressive course. One other *NOTCH3* mutation (rs114447350; p.P2074Q) was observed in 4 participants with AD but not in controls (eTable 4 in the [Supplement](#)). Unlike rs149307620, this variant is not rare in EAs (MAF, 0.024) or in persons of African ancestry (MAF, 0.091),²¹ suggesting it is unlikely to be pathogenic.

Because rs149307620 is rare, we investigated the possibility that this mutation occurred once or only a few times by performing a haplotype analysis with common SNPs. This analysis revealed a 5-SNP haplotype with a frequency of 15% in the participants with AD and 14% in controls that is common to all 10 cases with the *NOTCH3* mutation (eFigure 2 in the [Supplement](#)). The mean pairwise identical-by-descent (IBD) sharing for the 10 mutation carriers (mean [SD] $\hat{\pi} = 0.028$ [0.025]) is slightly larger than the mean pairwise IBD sharing within the rest of ADSP sample (mean [SD] $\hat{\pi} = 0.013$ [0.026]), indicating that the carriers are not more closely related to each other than to all participants. Taken together, these results suggest that the mutation in these participants originated in a common ancestor who lived many generations ago.

To investigate the possibility that the mutation carriers belong to a particular subpopulation, we plotted the first 2 principal components of ancestry that were derived previously for the entire sample⁷ and observed that 8 of the 10 mutation carriers were clustered in a distinct minor portion of the sample (eFigure 3 in the [Supplement](#)). Analysis of mitochondrial DNA variants revealed that most individuals in this cluster had mitochondrial haplogroups K1a1b1a or K1a9 that are common among Ashkenazi Jewish individuals.²² Moreover, *NOTCH3* mutations carriers accounted for 4.0% of the participants with AD who have either the K1a1b1a or K1a9 haplogroup. The proportion of mutation carriers in this cluster was significantly greater among participants with AD (8 of 358 [2.2%]) than controls (0 of 337) ($Z = 2.76$, $P = .006$). The frequency of the rs149307620 mutation is about 25 times higher in Ashkenazi Jewish individuals (MAF, 0.0046) compared with other EA groups (MAF, 0.00019).¹⁹

Analysis of the 130 variants that met the filtering criteria in the 19 affected cousin pairs from the Utah high-risk pedigrees provided additional support for a role of *NOTCH3* in AD. Both affected individuals in 1 family who are half-first cousins had 2 rare *NOTCH3* missense mutations—rs141402160 (p.G248A) and rs140914494 (p.A198E)—each with a population frequency of 0.0002.²⁰ Review of available clinical and family history information for this family did not indicate findings consistent with CADASIL in the probands or relatives. The pedigree of the carriers of the rs141402160 and rs140914494 mutations includes 7 additional members who had AD or dementia listed on death certificates (eFigure 4 in the [Supplement](#)). All but 1 of the individuals in the line of descent from the common ancestor of the pair died before age 60 years, prior to the age at onset of AD in the cases. An affected cousin pair in an independent family had the *NOTCH3* missense variant rs112197217 (p.H1133Q), which has a frequency of 0.010 in EAs,²¹ but is rarer in other populations (eFigure 5 in the [Supplement](#)). The evidence of AD among other relatives in this family was inconclusive.

To further distinguish which of the 5 *NOTCH3* variants identified in the ADSP WES sample and Utah families may be related to AD, we screened for these variants in whole-genome sequence (WGS) data obtained from a multiethnic sample of unrelated 1432 participants with AD and 1660 controls in the ADSP Extension Study, 550 participants with AD and 283 controls in the ADSP


multiethnic Family Study,²³ and 809 participants in the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative Study (239 participants with AD, 321 participants with mild cognitive impairment, 249 controls).²⁴ Characteristics of participants in these data sets are provided in eTable 6 in the Supplement. The minor alleles for rs11219217 (n = 70) and rs114447350 (n = 286) were observed appreciably in participants with AD, participants with mild cognitive impairment, and controls of multiple ethnicities suggesting that they are not associated with AD risk. The rs149307620 variant was found in 1 AD case (age at onset, 89 years) and 1 mild cognitive impairment case (age at onset, 76 years), but not in controls. The rare rs141402160 and rs140914494 variants were not detected in any of the WGS samples.

Protein modeling showed that the rs149307620 mutation is located in the EGF repeat region between EGF10 and EGF11 and more precisely in the EGF calcium binding (EGF_CA) domain, near the Jagged-1 (*JAG1*)-*NOTCH3* binding site.²⁵ Modeling predicted that the major allele for rs149307620 results in wild-type notch-3 with a corresponding amino acid alanine (Figure 1A). The alanine side chain is nonpolar and would not be predicted to have any intraprotein or interprotein interactions. The minor allele for rs149307620 results in mutant notch-3 with a corresponding amino acid threonine (Figure 1B). Threonine is polar and will form hydrogen bonds where possible with itself or with a polar histidine nearby in *NOTCH3*. This action then alters the backbone conformation in this region in the model and allows additional interactions with a polar arginine at the site of *JAG1*-*NOTCH3* binding (Figure 1C). These results suggest that the mutant Notch-3 causes greater interaction with the ligand, possibly changing downstream processes. The other AD-associated *NOTCH3* mutations, rs141402160 and rs140914494, also involve either the gain or loss of an alanine. In both instances, the mutation change leads to increased polarity and hydrogen bonding with possible increased interactions to a greater or lesser extent that observed with rs149307620.

Unlike the rs149307620 and rs141402160 mutations, but similar to the rs140914494 mutation, most of the more than 25 reported distinct *NOTCH3* mutations causing CADASIL are located in exons 3 and 4 (Table 1).²⁶ However, 1 CADASIL-associated variant, rs137852641, is a missense mutation in codon 332 in exon 6, resulting in the replacement of an arginine residue with a cysteine²⁷ that is proximate to rs149307620 (codon 284 in exon 6) (Figure 2).

To further explore the biological functions and pathways for *NOTCH3* in AD, a high-confidence protein-protein interaction network was constructed including *NOTCH3* and *JAG1*. The resulting

Figure 1. Notch-3 Protein Model Highlighting Position of the Alzheimer Disease–Associated Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism rs149307620 (p.A284T)



Predicted model for wild-type allele with alanine at mutation site (A), mutant allele with threonine at mutation site (B), and binding of notch-3 (red) to JAG1 ligand (yellow) (C). Possible hydrogen bonding that would likely cause greater interaction with the ligand is displayed.

30-gene interaction network contains several AD-related genes, including *BACE1*, *PSEN1*, *PSEN2*, and *APP* (eFigure 6 in the Supplement). Gene-set enrichment analysis revealed that the network genes were significantly enriched in the Notch signaling pathway ($P = 6.48 \times 10^{-49}$), angiogenesis ($P = 1.61 \times 10^{-12}$), and 2 AD-related pathways involving secretase-mediated amyloid precursor protein cleavage ($P = 3.50 \times 10^{-16}$) and presenilin γ -secretase complex ($P = 5.78 \times 10^{-26}$) (Table 2).

TREM2 Q33X

We also identified the high-impact *TREM2* rs104894002 (p.Q33X) mutation in 4 of 5617 (0.071%) participants with AD (eTable 4 in the Supplement), a frequency that is slightly lower than that observed in a *TREM2* sequencing study of participants with AD and controls in 2013 (2 of 1084 [0.17%] participants with AD).⁴ Because this mutation in a homozygous state causes Nasu-Hakola disease, a

Table 1. *NOTCH3* Mutations With Predicted Functional Impact on AD Risk

SNP	Position (chr 19) ^a	Exon	GnomAD Frequency	Protein Position	Residue Change	Observed Mutation Carriers
rs140914494	15 192 046	4	0.00003	198	Ala>Glu	AD-affected relative pair
rs141402160	15 191 804	5	0.00005	248	Gly>Ala	AD-affected relative pair
rs149307620	15 191 610	6	0.00029	284	Ala>Thr	11 Participants with AD, 1 participant with MCI

Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer disease; GnomAD, Genome Aggregation Database; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism. ^a Chromosome position according to GRCh38.p12 assembly.

Figure 2. Homologous Protein Modeling of Cerebral Autosomal-Dominant Arteriopathy With Subcortical Infarcts and Leukoencephalopathy (CADASIL) *NOTCH3* rs137852641 (p.R332C) Mutation




Table 2. Gene-Set Enrichment Analysis of *NOTCH3*/*JAG1* Protein-Protein Interaction Network

PANTHER Pathway	No. of Genes Annotated to Pathway	No. of Genes in Network	Expected P Value ^a	Fold Enrichment	Unadjusted P Value	FDR
Notch signaling	42	22	.06	>100	3.98×10^{-51}	6.48×10^{-49}
Presenilin	123	14	.18	>100	7.09×10^{-28}	5.78×10^{-26}
Amyloid secretase	69	7	.10	>100	8.59×10^{-18}	3.50×10^{-16}
Angiogenesis	173	10	.25	40.54	4.92×10^{-14}	1.61×10^{-12}

Abbreviations: FDR, false discovery rate; PANTHER, Protein Analysis Through Evolutionary Relationships classification system. genes in the reference *Homo sapiens* whole genome that are annotated to that pathway.

^a Expected probability of observing at least x number of genes out of the total number of genes in the PANTHER list annotated to a particular pathway, given the proportion of

rare autosomal-recessive disorder characterized by early-onset dementia and multifocal bone cysts,²⁸ we evaluated clinical data obtained from the 4 *TREM2* Q33X mutation carriers to assess potential pleiotropic effects (eTable 7 in the Supplement). All of these participants met the criteria for probable AD and none had reported bone cysts or unusual behavioral symptoms.

Other Rare Mutations

A total of 32 moderate- or high-impact variants in 24 previously established genes for AD or other dementias were each observed in 4 or more participants with AD and no controls (eTable 4 in the Supplement). Five of these variants were previously reported as associated with AD and include missense mutations in *PSEN1* (rs63749824/p.A75V [n = 7]²⁹; rs63750592/p.R35Q [n = 4]),³⁰ *SORL1* (rs139710266/p.Y391C [n = 5]),³¹ and *MAPT* (rs63750424/p.R741W [n = 4]),³² and a stop-gain mutation in *ABCA7* (rs145987355/ p.E1679X [n = 4]).³³ Genome-wide, 24 variants in 19 genes with moderate to high functional impact were observed in 10 or more participants with AD but absent in controls (eTable 8 in the Supplement). Further examination of the genes represented by multiple variants revealed that 10 participants had 3 *ABCD4* missense variants (rs57773157/p.G248, rs34992370/p.V172I, rs58272575/p.G59R) that co-occur in a rare 8-SNP haplotype spanning 12.9 kb with a frequency of 0.3% in cases and 0% in controls (eFigure 7A in the Supplement). Another 10 participants had 2 *CELSR1* missense variants (rs61741871/p.2983A and rs75983687/p.2703M), and 8 of these participants also had 2 *GTSE1* missense variants (rs34404175/p.A219V and rs35503220/p.A293A). One participant was homozygous for all 4 variants. The participants who had these *CELSR1* and *GTSE1* variants share a rare 12-SNP haplotype spanning 77.6 kb with a frequency of 0.1% in cases and 0.1% in controls (eFigure 7B in the Supplement). Estimates of IBD sharing for the 10 participants with the *ABCD4* variants were only slightly higher (mean [SD] $\hat{\pi}$ = 0.015 [0.028]) and for the 8 participants with AD and the *CELSR1* and *GTSE1* variants (mean [SD] $\hat{\pi}$ = 0.008 [0.015]) were lower than genome-wide IBD sharing, suggesting that they are not more closely related to each other than to all participants. There were few common SNPs in the 500-kb region including the rare *ABCD4* variants, suggesting high-sequence conservation in this region.

To identify additional genes that may have overrepresentation of deleterious AD-related variants, we filtered genes that contained at least 3 distinct variants, each occurring in at least 5 participants with AD but absent in controls (eTable 9 in the Supplement). The *ABCD4* rs61744947/p.P2983A variant appears on the same haplotype containing the other 3 *ABCD4* variants. Three *LAMC3* variants were observed in the same 7 participants. *TTN* had the greatest number of distinct variants (n = 6) that were observed in participants with AD only. Genome-wide, 9 genes not previously associated with AD contained a high functional impact variant that was present in at least 7 participants with AD but absent in controls (eTable 10 in the Supplement).

Rare Variant Burden

To test if AD is associated with greater burden of rare deleterious variants, gene burden tests were performed for models including high-impact variants and high- and moderate-impact variants for MAF of 0.01 or lower and MAF of 0.5 or lower in genes previously associated with AD risk, AD-related traits, or other dementias (Table 3). These analyses showed that participants with AD had a significantly higher burden of moderate- and high-impact rare deleterious variants in this group of genes compared with controls (2314 vs 3354 cumulative variants, respectively; $P = .006$).

Table 3. Rare Variant Burden for Established Alzheimer Disease Genes

Model	β (SE)	P Value
High impact, MAF \leq 0.01	0.005 (0.166)	.98
High/moderate impact, MAF \leq 0.01	0.062 (0.023)	.006
High impact, MAF \leq 0.05 ^a	0.005 (0.166)	.98
High/moderate impact, MAF \leq 0.05 ^a	0.061 (0.022)	.006

Abbreviation: MAF, minor allele frequency.

^a No deleterious variants with MAF between 0.01 and 0.05 were observed in this group of genes.

Discussion

We identified several rare variants that have a high probability of damage to protein structure and may increase AD risk. These variants were not detected in previous analyses of the same ADSP WES data set that were agnostic with respect to functional impact of the variants and conducted using current statistical testing approaches.⁷ Our focus on variants observed in participants with AD but not controls yielded results that are often undetected by traditional genetic association methods that cannot evaluate empty cells, regardless of sample size or frequency of variants among cases. Several of our top-ranked results confirm previously identified AD associations with rare variants, including *PSEN1* rs63749824²⁹ and rs63750592,³⁰ *SORL1* rs139710266,³¹ *MAPT* rs63750424,³² and *ABCA7* rs145987355,³³ which suggest that novel findings identified by our approach may be robust. Two of our novel findings offer additional evidence of shared genetic mechanisms between AD and other rare dementia syndromes, namely CADASIL and Nasu-Hakola disease. Our study also suggests that participants with AD have a significantly higher burden of deleterious rare coding variants in known AD, AD-related, or other dementia genes compared with controls. This observation generalizes previous findings in *SORL1*,^{31,34,35} *MAPT*,^{32,36} *TREM2*,^{4,37,38} and *ABCA7*^{33,39-41} that both common and rare variants in the same gene may independently contribute to AD risk.

We observed the rare *NOTCH3* rs149307620 allele in 11 participants with AD and 1 participant with mild cognitive impairment, but not in controls, in the combined ADSP WES, ADSP WGS, and Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative WGS data sets. The most remarkable finding from analysis of the Utah high-risk pedigree WES data set was rare *NOTCH3* rs140914494 and rs141402160 alleles in a pair of affected half first-cousins. These mutations in exons 4 (rs140914494), 5 (rs141402160), and 6 (rs149307620) are located in the JAG1 binding site and involve the gain or loss of an alanine residue (Table 1). Based on this evidence alone, it is unclear whether one or both of the rs140914494 and rs141402160 mutations, which are likely in *cis* given their probable inheritance from a single common ancestor, have a role in AD. In contrast, the rs114447350 and rs112197217 variants are located near the end of the coding sequence (exons 33 and 21, respectively) and may be clinically benign⁴² and thus unlikely to be causally related to AD. Many other *NOTCH3* variants have been associated with CADASIL that typically replace the wild-type amino acid with a cysteine residue or replace a highly conserved cysteine residue with another amino acid,^{20,26,27} although there are several exceptions.⁴³ Available clinical and autopsy data for the individuals with *NOTCH3* mutations were consistent with the diagnosis of AD and not CADASIL. Our protein modeling demonstrated that the AD-associated *NOTCH3* mutations in exons 4 to 6 result in quantitative changes in hydrogen bonding causing increased ligand interaction, whereas CADASIL *NOTCH3* mutations lead to qualitative changes involving disrupted disulfide bonding that affect overall protein structure and receptor maturation and differ with respect to their consequences on both ligand binding and ligand-induced signaling.^{26,27}

Our protein-protein interaction network and gene-set enrichment analyses demonstrated that *NOTCH3* is associated with AD pathways and biological processes. Notch-3 signaling can be triggered by both delta-JAG-type ligands and requires ADAM10 and presenilin-1 or -2, making it part of the AD-related presenilin pathway.⁴⁴ Previous studies showed that JAG1-Notch signaling and subsequent hippocampal neurogenesis and astrogenesis are regulated by cleavage by *BACE1*, a promising AD drug target.⁴⁴ This process, which is more active during early development and decreases in adulthood, affects normal neuronal development and alters neurogenesis and thus can have long-term effects.⁴⁴ In addition, Notch-3 is a substrate for γ -secretase (presenilin) inhibition, which, when dysregulated, can cause misprocessing of the amyloid precursor protein resulting in accumulation of the toxic amyloid- β peptide.⁴⁵

To our knowledge, these collective genetic and bioinformatics findings provide the strongest possible pathogenic link to date between *NOTCH3* and AD. A previous study reported an association of AD with a distinct *NOTCH3* mutation (p.R1231C) in a Turkish family⁴⁶; however, this variant was detected in only 1 affected member and there is conflicting information about its pathogenicity.⁴⁷ Sassi et al⁴⁸ tested the hypothesis that genes associated with mendelian adult-onset leukodystrophy

are also associated with AD in a sample including 332 sporadic participants with AD and 676 controls and found a significant gene-based association with *NOTCH3*, a result driven primarily by a common synonymous coding variant.

The *TREM2* Q33X mutation that was observed in 4 participants with AD in our sample and in 4 participants with AD and 1 unaffected relative with an unspecified age in gene-resequencing studies targeting *TREM2*^{4,49,50} is rarer than the well-documented R47H variant that has been associated with increased risk of AD in several studies,^{4,51} including the ADSP cohort.^{7,8} Homozygosity of this mutation causes Nasu-Hakola disease, a rare disorder characterized by early-onset dementia and multifocal bone cysts,²⁸ and has also been observed in a member of a Turkish family with frontotemporal dementia-like syndrome, including the appearance of aggressive behavior and generalized tonic-clonic seizures before age 30 years but without bone involvement.⁵² Because persons with Nasu-Hakola disease and the frontotemporal dementia syndrome case have a more severe phenotype overall and much earlier onset of dementia symptoms than participants with late-onset AD who are heterozygous for Q33X, the behavior of this mutation may more resemble an intermediate inheritance than an autosomal-dominant model. This idea is consistent with the observation that both living parents of a patient with Nasu-Hakola disease who were obligate Q33X heterozygotes had evidence of β -amyloid deposition by cerebrospinal fluid analysis and florbetapir positron emission tomographic imaging.⁵²

Furthermore, unlike the *TREM2* R47H mutation and rare coding variants at other loci that have been associated with AD,⁴⁻⁸ the *NOTCH3* rs149307620 and *TREM2* Q33X mutations appear to be fully penetrant among persons surviving to late age, which perhaps would be the first examples of causative mutations for late-onset AD. This assertion is somewhat speculative given the small number of participants with AD documented to have these mutations.

Our study also implicated multiple functional variants in several novel genes as risk factors for AD. Mutations in *ABCD4* cause an inborn error of vitamin B₁₂ metabolism.⁵³ Vitamin B₁₂ deficiency is associated with cognitive impairment, and the level of circulating vitamin B₁₂ has been associated with AD risk.⁵⁴ *ABCD4* encodes an adenosine triphosphate-binding cassette transporter that is in the same family as well-established AD gene *ABCA7*.^{39,40} The AD-associated *CELSR1* rs61741871 (P2983A) missense variant has also been associated with craniorachischisis, which is a severe neural tube defect,⁵⁵ and other *CELSR1* variants have been identified as ischemic stroke risk factors in Japanese individuals.⁵⁶ The *CELSR1-3* family of genes has multiple functions in the nervous system and distinct roles in brain development and maintenance.⁵⁷ *GTSE1* regulates G1/S cell cycle transition and microtubule stability and is involved in pivotal neurodegeneration pathways.⁵⁸ It is not clear which of these *CELSR1* and *GTSE1* mutations may directly influence AD risk. *LAMC3* encodes laminin subunit γ 3, and multiple experimental studies have linked laminins to AD.^{59,60} *LAMC3* has been significantly associated with age at onset of AD.⁶¹

Limitations

Our study has several limitations. Because we focused on rare variants, our sample of more than 10 000 participants was inadequate to establish statistical significance. Thus, our findings require replication in independent samples. We were unable to replicate these findings in the Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium genome-wide association study data set because of low and inconsistent imputation quality for these rare variants, despite the use of the large Haplotype Reference Consortium reference panel.⁶² In addition, our genome-wide MAC cutoffs for focusing on particular variants were arbitrary and, therefore, some important findings may have been overlooked.

It is possible that cryptic relatedness in the sample may have exaggerated some of our results; however, among the highlighted findings, the largest pairwise IBD score of 0.11 (indicating an association slightly more distant than first-cousins) was observed for 1 pair of *NOTCH3* mutation carriers. Our scheme for selecting genes previously associated with AD, AD-related traits, or other dementias omitted important loci that were reported after we completed most of our analyses (eg,

*ADAM17*⁶³), ascertained through a connection to a nondementing illness (eg, *TBK1*⁶⁴), or do not have variants linked to late-onset AD (eg, *TYROBP*⁶⁵). In addition, because we were unable to validate all rare variants reported in this study owing, in part, to availability of specimens containing these variants, some of the highlighted associations may be false-positives due to variant calling errors. However, most of these variants, including *TREM2* Q33X and all of those in *ABCD4*, *CELSR1*, and *GTSE1*, have been reported previously.²¹

Although one of the explicit goals of the ADSP is to identify variants that protect against AD,²³ the design corresponding to the one we used to identify risk variants (ie, a controls-only analysis) is less rigorous because, in the absence of statistically significant tests, it is difficult to demonstrate a protective effect if the variant has reduced penetrance.

Conclusions

We observed associations with novel variants in previously established AD genes and with several novel potential AD genes that did not emerge in previous analyses of a large WES data set using conventional statistical thresholds.⁷ Several of the results implicating novel AD genes—in particular, *ABCD4*, *CELSR1*, *GTSE1*—merit further epidemiologic and experimental studies. Our findings with the *NOTCH3* and *TREM2* variants suggest that mutations in the same gene can result in dissimilar types of dementia. Moreover, a variable dose of a particular mutation (ie, *TREM2* Q33X) can cause different types of dementia. These findings suggest that minor differences in protein structure or amount of wild-type protein can result in different clinical outcomes. Understanding these genotype-phenotype associations may provide further insight into the pathogenic nature of the mutations, as well as offer clues for developing new therapeutic targets.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Accepted for Publication: February 1, 2019.

Published: March 29, 2019. doi:[10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.1350](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.1350)

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [CC-BY License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). © 2019 Patel D et al. *JAMA Network Open*.

Corresponding Author: Lindsay A. Farrer, PhD, Boston University School of Medicine, Biomedical Genetics (E200), 72 E Concord St, Boston, MA 02118 (farrer@bu.edu).

Author Affiliations: Department of Medicine (Biomedical Genetics), Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts (Patel, Chung, Zhang, Farrell, Farrer); Bioinformatics Graduate Program, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts (Patel, Chung, Farrer); Department of Neurology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts (Mez, Farrer); Department of Neurology, Columbia University, New York, New York (Vardarajan, Mayeux); Department of Biology, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah (Staley, Gonzalez Murcia, Kauwe); Department of Biostatistics, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts (Zhang, Lunetta, Farrer); Department of Physiology & Biophysics, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts (Rynkiewicz); George E. Wahlen Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Salt Lake City, Utah (Cannon-Albright); Huntsman Cancer Institute, Salt Lake City, Utah (Cannon-Albright); Department of Internal Medicine, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City (Cannon-Albright, Teerlink, Stevens); Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Utah State University, Logan (Corcoran); Department of Neurology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania (Lopez); Department of Population & Quantitative Health Sciences, Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, Ohio (Haines); John P. Hussman Institute for Human Genomics, Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami, Miami, Florida (Pericak-Vance); Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia (Schellenberg); Department of Ophthalmology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts (Farrer); Department of Epidemiology, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts (Farrer).

Author Contributions: Ms Patel and Dr Farrer had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Patel, Mez, Chung, Mayeux, Haines, Schellenberg, Kauwe, Lunetta, Farrer.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Patel, Mez, Vardarajan, Staley, Zhang, Farrell, Rynkiewicz, Cannon-Albright, Teerlink, Stevens, Corcoran, Gonzalez Murcia, Lopez, Mayeux, Haines, Pericak-Vance, Schellenberg, Kauwe, Lunetta, Farrer.

Drafting of the manuscript: Patel, Mez, Staley, Chung, Zhang, Farrell, Kauwe, Farrer.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Patel, Mez, Vardarajan, Staley, Farrell, Rynkiewicz, Cannon-Albright, Teerlink, Stevens, Corcoran, Gonzalez Murcia, Lopez, Mayeux, Haines, Pericak-Vance, Schellenberg, Kauwe, Lunetta, Farrer.

Statistical analysis: Patel, Vardarajan, Staley, Farrell, Teerlink, Stevens, Haines, Kauwe.

Obtained funding: Lopez, Mayeux, Haines, Pericak-Vance, Schellenberg, Kauwe, Farrer.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Mez, Farrell, Cannon-Albright, Corcoran, Mayeux, Haines, Pericak-Vance, Schellenberg, Kauwe, Farrer.

Supervision: Zhang, Kauwe, Lunetta, Farrer.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Drs Mez and Farrer reported receiving grants from the National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Institute on Aging (NIA) during the conduct of the study. Drs Corcoran, Lopez, and Haines reported receiving grants from the NIH during the conduct of the study. No other disclosures were reported.

Funding/Support: This work was supported in part by NIA grants R01-AGO48927, P30-AG13846 and RF1-AGO57519. The Alzheimer's Disease Sequencing Project (ADSP) comprises 2 Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium and 3 National Human Genome Research Institute-funded large scale sequencing and analysis centers. The 2 AD genetics consortia are the Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium funded by NIA (U01-AGO32984), and the Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology funded by NIA (R01-AGO33193), the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, other NIH institutes, and other foreign governmental and nongovernmental organizations. The Discovery Phase analysis of sequence data is supported by NIA grants UF1-AGO47133, U01-AGO49505, U01-AGO49506, U01-AGO49507, and U01-AGO49508. The Discovery Extension Phase analysis is supported by NIA grants U01-AG052411, U01-AG052410, and U01-AG052409. Data generation and harmonization in the follow-up phases is supported by NIA grant U54-AG052427.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funding sources had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Group Information: The members of the Alzheimer's Disease Sequencing Project are *Baylor College of Medicine:* Michelle Bellair, Huyen Dinh, Harsha Doddapaneni, Shannon Dugan-Perez, Adam English, Richard A. Gibbs, Yi Han, Jianhong Hu, Joy Jayaseelan, Divya Kalra, Ziad Khan, Viktoriya Korchina, Sandra Lee, Yue Liu, Xiuping Liu, Donna Muzny, Waleed Nasser, William Salerno, Jireh Santibanez, Evette Skinner, Simon White, Kim Worley, and Yiming Zhu. *Boston University:* Alexa Beiser, Yuning Chen, Jaeyoon Chung, L. Adrienne Cupples, Anita DeStefano, Josee Dupuis, John Farrell, Lindsay Farrer, Daniel Lancour, Honghuang Lin, Ching Ti Liu, Kathy Lunetta, Yiyi Ma, Devanshi Patel, Chloe Sarnowski, Claudia Satizabal, Sudha Seshadri, Fangui Jenny Sun, and Xiaoling Zhang. *Broad Institute:* Seung Hoan Choi, Eric Banks, Stacey Gabriel, and Namrata Gupta. *Case Western Reserve University:* William Bush, Mariusz Butkiewicz, Jonathan Haines, Sandra Smieszek, and Yeunjo Song. *Columbia University:* Sandra Barral, Phillip L. De Jager, Richard Mayeux, Christiane Reitz, Dolly Reyes, Giuseppe Tosto, and Badri Vardarajan. *Erasmus Medical University:* Shahzad Amad, Najaf Amin, M. Afran Ikram, Sven van der Lee, Cornelia van Duijn, and Ashley Vanderspek. *Medical University Graz:* Helena Schmidt and Reinhold Schmidt. *Mount Sinai School of Medicine:* Alison Goate, Manav Kapoor, Edoardo Marcora, and Alan Renton. *Indiana University:* Kelley Faber and Tatiana Foroud. *National Center Biotechnology Information:* Michael Feolo and Adam Stine. *National Institute on Aging:* Lenore J. Launer. *Rush University:* David A. Bennett. *Stanford University:* Li Charlie Xia. *University of Miami:* Gary Beecham, Kara Hamilton-Nelson, James Jaworski, Brian Kunkle, Eden Martin, Margaret Pericak-Vance, Farid Rajabli, and Michael Schmidt. *University of Mississippi:* Thomas H. Mosley. *University of Pennsylvania:* Laura Cantwell, Micah Childress, Yi-Fan Chou, Rebecca Cweibel, Prabhakaran Gangadharan, Amanda Kuzma, Yuk Yee Leung, Han-Jen Lin, John Malamon, Elisabeth Mlynarski, Adam Naj, Liming Qu, Gerard Schellenberg, Otto Valladares, Li-San Wang, Weixin Wang, and Nancy Zhang. *University of Texas Houston:* Jennifer E. Below, Eric Boerwinkle, Jan Bressler, Myriam Fornage, Xueqiu Jian, and Xiaoming Liu. *University of Washington:* Joshua C. Bis, Elizabeth Blue, Lisa Brown, Tyler Day, Michael Dorschner, Andrea R. Horimoto, Rafael Nafikov, Alejandro Q. Nato Jr, Pat Navas, Hiep Nguyen, Bruce Psaty, Kenneth Rice, Mohamad Saad, Harkirat Sohi, Timothy Thornton, Debby Tsuang, Bowen Wang, Ellen Wijsman, and Daniela Witten. *Washington University:* Lucinda Antonacci-Fulton, Elizabeth Appelbaum, Carlos Cruchaga, Robert S. Fulton, Daniel C. Kiboldt, David E. Larson, Jason Waligorski, and Richard K. Wilson.

Additional Contributions: We thank the investigators who assembled and characterized participants of cohorts included in this study: *Adult Changes in Thought:* James D. Bowen, Paul K. Crane, Gail P. Jarvik, C. Dirk Keene, Eric B. Larson, W. William Lee, Wayne C. McCormick, Susan M. McCurry, Shubhabrata Mukherjee, and Katie Rose

Richmire. *Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study*: Rebecca Gottesman, David Knopman, Thomas H. Mosley, and B. Gwen Windham. *Austrian Stroke Prevention Study*: Thomas Benke, Peter Dal-Bianco, Edith Hofer, Gerhard Ransmayr, and Yasaman Saba. *Cardiovascular Health Study*: James T. Becker, Joshua C. Bis, Annette L. Fitzpatrick, M. Ilyas Kambh, Lewis H. Kuller, WT Longstreth, Jr, Oscar L. Lopez, Bruce M. Psaty, and Jerome I. Rotter. *Chicago Health and Aging Project*: Philip L. De Jager, Denis A. Evans. *Erasmus Rucphen Family Study*: Hieab H. Adams, Hata Comic, Albert Hofman, Peter J. Koudstaal, Fernando Rivadeneira, Andre G. Uitterlinden, Dina Vojinovic. *Estudio Familiar de la Influencia Genetica en Alzheimer*: Sandra Barral, Rafael Lantigua, Richard Mayeux, Martin Medrano, Dolly Reyes-Dumeyer, and Badri Vardarajan. *Framingham Heart Study*: Alexa S. Beiser, Vincent Chouraki, Jayanadra J. Himali, Charles C. White. *Genetic Differences*: Duane Beekly, James Bowen, Walter A. Kukull, Eric B. Larson, Wayne McCormick, Gerard D. Schellenberg, Linda Teri. *Mayo Clinic*: Minerva M. Carrasquillo, Dennis W. Dickson, Nilufer Ertekin-Taner, Neill R. Graff-Radford, Joseph E. Parisi, Ronald C. Petersen, and Steven G. Younkin. *Mayo PD*: Gary W. Beecham, Dennis W. Dickson, Ranjan Duara, Nilufer Ertekin-Taner, Tatiana M. Foroud, Neill R. Graff-Radford, Richard B. Lipton, Joseph E. Parisi, Ronald C. Petersen, Bill Scott, and Jaeffery M. Vance. *Memory and Aging Project*: David A. Bennett, and Philip L. De Jager. *Multi-Institutional Research in Alzheimer's Genetic Epidemiology Study*: Sanford Auerbach, Helan Chui, Jaeyoon Chung, L. Adrienne Cupples, Charles DeCarli, Ranjan Duara, Martin Farlow, Lindsay A. Farrer, Robert Friedland, Rodney C. P. Go, Robert C. Green, Patrick Griffith, John Growdon, Gyungah R. Jun, Walter Kukull, Alexander Kurz, Mark Logue, Kathryn L. Lunetta, Thomas Obisesan, Helen Petrovitch, Marwan Sabbagh, A. Dessa Sadovnick, and Magda Tsolaki. *National Cell Repository for Alzheimer's Disease*: Kelley M. Faber and Tatiana M. Foroud. *National Institute on Aging (NIA) Late Onset Alzheimer's Disease Family Study*: David A. Bennett, Sarah Bertelsen, Thomas D. Bird, Bradley F. Boeve, Carlos Cruchaga, Kelley Faber, Martin Farlow, Tatiana M. Foroud, Alison M Goate, Neill R. Graff-Radford, Richard Mayeux, Ruth Ottman, Dolly Reyes-Dumeyer, Roger Rosenberg, Daniel Schaid, Robert A. Sweet, Giuseppe Tosto, Debby Tsuang, and Badri Vardarajan. *NIA Alzheimer Disease Centers*: Erin Abner, Marilyn S. Albert, Roger L. Albin, Liana G. Apostolova, Sanjay Asthana, Craig S. Atwood, Lisa L. Barnes, Thomas G. Beach, David A. Bennett, Eileen H. Bigio, Thomas D. Bird, Deborah Blacker, Adam Boxer, James B. Brewer, James R. Burke, Jeffrey M. Burns, Joseph D. Buxbaum, Nigel J. Cairns, Chuanhai Cao, Cynthia M. Carlsson, Richard J. Caselli, Helena C. Chui, Carlos Cruchaga, Mony de Leon, Charles DeCarli, Malcolm Dick, Dennis W. Dickson, Nilufer Ertekin-Taner, David W. Fardo, Martin R. Farlow, Lindsay A. Farrer, Steven Ferris, Tatiana M. Foroud, Matthew P. Frosch, Douglas R. Galasko, Marla Gearing, David S. Geldmacher, Daniel H. Geschwind, Bernardino Ghetti, Carey Gleason, Alison M. Goate, Teresa Gomez-Isla, Thomas Grabowski, Neill R. Graff-Radford, John H. Growdon, Lawrence S. Honig, Ryan M. Huebinger, Matthew J. Huentelman, Christine M. Hulette, Bradley T. Hyman, Suman Jayadev, Lee-Way Jin, Sterling Johnson, M. Ilyas Kambh, Anna Karydas, Jeffrey A. Kaye, C. Dirk Keene, Ronald Kim, Neil W. Kowall, Joel H. Kramer, Frank M. LaFerla, James J. Lah, Allan I. Levey, Ge Li, Andrew P. Lieberman, Oscar L. Lopez, Constantine G. Lyketsos, Daniel C. Marson, Ann C. McKee, Marsel Mesulam, Jesse Mez, Bruce L. Miller, Carol A. Miller, Abhay Moghekar, John C. Morris, John M. Olichney, Joseph E. Parisi, Henry L. Paulson, Elaine Peskind, Ronald C. Petersen, Aimee Pierce, Wayne W. Poon, Luigi Puglielli, Joseph F. Quinn, Ashok Raj, Murray Raskind, Eric M. Reiman, Barry Reisberg, Robert A. Rissman, Erik D. Roberson, Howard J. Rosen, Roger N. Rosenberg, Martin Sadowski, Mark A. Sager, David P. Salmon, Mary Sano, Andrew J. Saykin, Julie A. Schneider, Lon S. Schneider, William W. Seeley, Scott Small, Amanda G. Smith, Robert A. Stern, Russell H. Swerdlow, Rudolph E. Tanzi, Sarah E. Tomaszewski Farias, John Q. Trojanowski, Juan C. Troncoso, Debby W. Tsuang, Viviana M. Van Deerlin, Linda J. Van Eldik, Harry V. Vinters, Jean Paul Vonsattel, Jen Chyong Wang, Sandra Weintraub, Kathleen A. Welsh-Bohmer, Shawn Westaway, Thomas S. Wingo, Thomas Wisniewski, David A. Wolk, Randall L. Woltjer, Steven G. Younkin, Lei Yu, and Chang-En Yu. *Religious Orders Study*: David A. Bennett and Philip L. De Jager. *Rotterdam Study*: Kamran Ikram and Frank J. Wolters. *Texas Alzheimer's Research and Care Consortium*: Perrie Adams, Alyssa Aguirre, Lisa Alvarez, Gayle Ayres, Robert C. Barber, John Bertelson, Sarah Brisebois, Scott Chasse, Munro Culum, Eveleen Darby, John C. DeToledo, Thomas J. Fairchild, James R. Hall, John Hart, Michelle Hernandez, Ryan Huebinger, Leigh Johnson, Kim Johnson, Aisha Khaleeq, Janice Knebl, Laura J. Lacritz, Douglas Mains, Paul Massman, Trung Nguyen, Sid O'Bryant, Marcia Ory, Raymond Palmer, Valory Pavlik, David Paydarfar, Victoria Perez, Marsha Polk, Mary Quiceno, Joan S. Reisch, Monica Rodriguear, Roger Rosenberg, Donald R. Royall, Janet Smith, Alan Stevens, Jeffrey L. Tilson, April Wiechmann, Kirk C. Wilhelmsen, Benjamin Williams, Henrick Wilms, and Martin Woon. *University of Miami*: Larry D. Adams, Gary W. Beecham, Regina M. Carney, Katrina Celis, Michael L. Cuccaro, Kara L. Hamilton-Nelson, James Jaworski, Brian W. Kunkle, Eden R. Martin, Margaret A. Pericak-Vance, Farid Rajabli, Michael Schmidt, and Jeffery M. Vance. *University of Toronto*: Ekaterina Rogaeva, and Peter St. George-Hyslop. *University of Washington Families*: Thomas D. Bird, Olena Korvatska, Wendy Raskind, and Chang-En Yu. *Vanderbilt University*: John H. Dougherty, Harry E. Gwirtsman, and Jonathan L. Haines. *Washington Heights-Inwood Columbia Aging Project*: Adam Brickman, Rafael Lantigua, Jennifer Manly, Richard Mayeux, Christiane Reitz, Nicole Schupf, Yaakov Stern, Giuseppe Tosto, and Badri Vardarajan. No compensation was received.

REFERENCES

1. Alzheimer's Association. 2018 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2018;14:367-429. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2018.02.001
2. Gatz M, Reynolds CA, Fratiglioni L, et al. Role of genes and environments for explaining Alzheimer disease. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2006;63(2):168-174. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.63.2.168
3. Sims R, van der Lee SJ, Naj AC, et al; ARUK Consortium; GERAD/PERADES, CHARGE, ADGC, EADI. Rare coding variants in *PLCG2*, *AB13*, and *TREM2* implicate microglial-mediated innate immunity in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet*. 2017;49(9):1373-1384. doi:10.1038/ng.3916
4. Guerreiro R, Wojtas A, Bras J, et al; Alzheimer Genetic Analysis Group. *TREM2* variants in Alzheimer's disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368(2):117-127. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1211851
5. Logue MW, Schu M, Vardarajan BN, et al; Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium. Two rare *AKAP9* variants are associated with Alzheimer's disease in African Americans. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2014;10(6):609-618.e11. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2014.06.010
6. Wetzell-Smith MK, Hunkapiller J, Bhangale TR, et al; Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium. A rare mutation in *UNC5C* predisposes to late-onset Alzheimer's disease and increases neuronal cell death. *Nat Med*. 2014;20(12):1452-1457. doi:10.1038/nm.3736
7. Bis JC, Jian X, Kunkle BW, et al; Alzheimer's Disease Sequencing Project. Whole exome sequencing study identifies novel rare and common Alzheimer's-associated variants involved in immune response and transcriptional regulation [published online August 14, 2018]. *Mol Psychiatry*. doi:10.1038/s41380-018-0112-7
8. Zhang X, Zhu C, Beecham G, et al. A rare missense variant in *CASP7* is associated with familial late-onset Alzheimer disease [published online January 3, 2019]. *Alzheimers Dement*. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2018.10.005
9. McKhann GM, Knopman DS, Chertkow H, et al. The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2011;7(3):263-269. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2011.03.005
10. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2007;81(3):559-575. doi:10.1086/519795
11. Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ. Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics*. 2005;21(2):263-265. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bth457
12. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol*. 1990;215(3):403-410. doi:10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2
13. Arnold K, Bordoli L, Kopp J, Schwede T. The SWISS-MODEL workspace: a web-based environment for protein structure homology modelling. *Bioinformatics*. 2006;22(2):195-201. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bti770
14. Release S. 2018-3: *Maestro*. New York, NY: Schrödinger LLC; 2018.
15. Szklarczyk D, Morris JH, Cook H, et al. The STRING database in 2017: quality-controlled protein-protein association networks, made broadly accessible. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2017;45(D1):D362-D368. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw937
16. Mi H, Huang X, Muruganujan A, et al. PANTHER version 11: expanded annotation data from Gene Ontology and Reactome pathways, and data analysis tool enhancements. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2017;45(D1):D183-D189. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw1138
17. Li B, Leal SM. Methods for detecting associations with rare variants for common diseases: application to analysis of sequence data. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2008;83(3):311-321. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2008.06.024
18. Kauwe JSK, Ridge PG, Foster NL, Cannon-Albright LA. Strong evidence for a genetic contribution to late-onset Alzheimer's disease mortality: a population-based study. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(10):e77087. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077087
19. Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, et al; Exome Aggregation Consortium. Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. *Nature*. 2016;536(7616):285-291. doi:10.1038/nature19057
20. Joutel A, Corpechot C, Ducros A, et al. Notch3 mutations in CADASIL, a hereditary adult-onset condition causing stroke and dementia. *Nature*. 1996;383(6602):707-710. doi:10.1038/383707a0
21. Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM, et al; 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature*. 2015;526(7571):68-74. doi:10.1038/nature15393
22. Costa MD, Pereira JB, Pala M, et al. A substantial prehistoric European ancestry amongst Ashkenazi maternal lineages. *Nat Commun*. 2013;4:2543. doi:10.1038/ncomms3543
23. Beecham GW, Bis JC, Martin ER, et al. The Alzheimer's Disease Sequencing Project: study design and sample selection. *Neurol Genet*. 2017;3(5):e194. doi:10.1212/NXG.0000000000000194

24. Nho K, Horgusluoglu E, Kim S, et al; ADNI. Integration of bioinformatics and imaging informatics for identifying rare *PSEN1* variants in Alzheimer's disease. *BMC Med Genomics*. 2016;9(suppl 1):30. doi:10.1186/s12920-016-0190-9
25. Luca VC, Kim BC, Ge C, et al. Notch-Jagged complex structure implicates a catch bond in tuning ligand sensitivity. *Science*. 2017;355(6331):1320-1324. doi:10.1126/science.aaf9739
26. Joutel A, Vahedi K, Corpechot C, et al. Strong clustering and stereotyped nature of *Notch3* mutations in CADASIL patients. *Lancet*. 1997;350(9090):1511-1515. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(97)08083-5
27. Oliveri RL, Muglia M, De Stefano N, et al. A novel mutation in the *Notch3* gene in an Italian family with cerebral autosomal dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy: genetic and magnetic resonance spectroscopic findings. *Arch Neurol*. 2001;58(9):1418-1422. doi:10.1001/archneur.58.9.1418
28. Ghezzi L, Carandini T, Arighi A, et al. Evidence of CNS β -amyloid deposition in Nasu-Hakola disease due to the *TREM2* Q33X mutation. *Neurology*. 2017;89(24):2503-2505. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000004747
29. Kauwe JSK, Jacquart S, Chakraverty S, et al. Extreme cerebrospinal fluid amyloid beta levels identify family with late-onset Alzheimer's disease presenilin 1 mutation. *Ann Neurol*. 2007;61(5):446-453. doi:10.1002/ana.21099
30. Guerreiro RJ, Baquero M, Blesa R, et al. Genetic screening of Alzheimer's disease genes in Iberian and African samples yields novel mutations in presenilins and APP. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2010;31(5):725-731. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2008.06.012
31. Fernández MV, Black K, Carrell D, et al; NIA-LOAD family study group, NCRAD. SORL1 variants across Alzheimer's disease European American cohorts. *Eur J Hum Genet*. 2016;24(12):1828-1830. doi:10.1038/ejhg.2016.122
32. Lindquist SG, Holm IE, Schwartz M, et al. Alzheimer disease-like clinical phenotype in a family with FTDP-17 caused by a *MAPTR* R406W mutation. *Eur J Neurol*. 2008;15(4):377-385. doi:10.1111/j.1468-1331.2008.02069.x
33. Vardarajan BN, Ghani M, Kahn A, et al. Rare coding mutations identified by sequencing of Alzheimer disease genome-wide association studies loci. *Ann Neurol*. 2015;78(3):487-498. doi:10.1002/ana.24466
34. Rogaeva E, Meng Y, Lee JH, et al. The neuronal sortilin-related receptor SORL1 is genetically associated with Alzheimer disease. *Nat Genet*. 2007;39(2):168-177. doi:10.1038/ng1943
35. Jun G, Ibrahim-Verbaas CA, Vronskaya M, et al; IGAP Consortium. A novel Alzheimer disease locus located near the gene encoding tau protein. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2016;21(1):108-117. doi:10.1038/mp.2015.23
36. Raghavan NS, Brickman AM, Andrews H, et al; Alzheimer's Disease Sequencing Project. Whole-exome sequencing in 20,197 persons for rare variants in Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol*. 2018;5(7):832-842. doi:10.1002/acn3.582
37. Jonsson T, Stefansson H, Steinberg S, et al. Variant of *TREM2* associated with the risk of Alzheimer's disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368(2):107-116. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1211103
38. Cruchaga C, Kauwe JSK, Harari O, et al; GERAD Consortium; Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI); Alzheimer Disease Genetic Consortium (ADGC). GWAS of cerebrospinal fluid tau levels identifies risk variants for Alzheimer's disease. *Neuron*. 2013;78(2):256-268. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.02.026
39. Lambert JC, Ibrahim-Verbaas CA, Harold D, et al; European Alzheimer's Disease Initiative (EADI); Genetic and Environmental Risk in Alzheimer's Disease; Alzheimer's Disease Genetic Consortium; Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology. Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet*. 2013;45(12):1452-1458. doi:10.1038/ng.2802
40. Reitz C, Jun G, Naj A, et al; Alzheimer Disease Genetics Consortium. Variants in the ATP-binding cassette transporter (ABCA7), apolipoprotein E ϵ 4, and the risk of late-onset Alzheimer disease in African Americans. *JAMA*. 2013;309(14):1483-1492. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.2973
41. Farrer LA. Expanding the genomic roadmap of Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet Neurol*. 2015;14(8):783-785. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(15)00146-5
42. Wheeler DL, Barrett T, Benson DA, et al. Database resources of the National Center for Biotechnology Information. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2007;35(database issue):D5-D12. doi:10.1093/nar/gkl1031
43. Muiño E, Gallego-Fabrega C, Cullell N, et al. Systematic review of cysteine-sparing *NOTCH3* missense mutations in patients with clinical suspicion of CADASIL. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2017;18(9):E1964. doi:10.3390/ijms18091964
44. Hu X, He W, Luo X, Tsubota KE, Yan R. BACE1 regulates hippocampal astrogenesis via the Jagged1-Notch pathway. *Cell Rep*. 2013;4(1):40-49. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2013.06.005

45. Konishi J, Kawaguchi KS, Vo H, et al. Gamma-secretase inhibitor prevents Notch3 activation and reduces proliferation in human lung cancers. *Cancer Res*. 2007;67(17):8051-8057. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-1022
46. Guerreiro RJ, Lohmann E, Kinsella E, et al. Exome sequencing reveals an unexpected genetic cause of disease: *NOTCH3* mutation in a Turkish family with Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2012;33(5):1008.e17-1008.e23. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2011.10.009
47. Landrum MJ, Lee JM, Benson M, et al. ClinVar: public archive of interpretations of clinically relevant variants. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2016;44(D1):D862-D868. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1222
48. Sassi C, Nalls MA, Ridge PG, et al; ARUK Consortium. Mendelian adult-onset leukodystrophy genes in Alzheimer's disease: critical influence of *CSF1R* and *NOTCH3*. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2018;66:179.e17-179.e29. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2018.01.015
49. Cuyvers E, Bettens K, Piltjens S, et al; BELNEU consortium. Investigating the role of rare heterozygous *TREM2* variants in Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal dementia. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2014;35(3):726.e11-726.e19. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2013.09.009
50. Jin SC, Benitez BA, Karch CM, et al. Coding variants in *TREM2* increase risk for Alzheimer's disease. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2014;23(21):5838-5846. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddu277
51. Guerreiro RJ, Lohmann E, Brás JM, et al. Using exome sequencing to reveal mutations in *TREM2* presenting as a frontotemporal dementia-like syndrome without bone involvement. *JAMA Neurol*. 2013;70(1):78-84. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.579
52. Guerreiro R, Bilgic B, Guven G, et al. Novel compound heterozygous mutation in *TREM2* found in a Turkish frontotemporal dementia-like family. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2013;34(12):2890.e1-2890.e5. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2013.06.005
53. Coelho D, Kim JC, Miousse IR, et al. Mutations in *ABCD4* cause a new inborn error of vitamin B12 metabolism. *Nat Genet*. 2012;44(10):1152-1155. doi:10.1038/ng.2386
54. Chen H, Liu S, Ji L, et al. Associations between Alzheimer's disease and blood homocysteine, vitamin B₁₂, and folate: a case-control study. *Curr Alzheimer Res*. 2015;12(1):88-94. doi:10.2174/1567205012666141218144035
55. Robinson A, Escuin S, Doudney K, et al. Mutations in the planar cell polarity genes *CELSR1* and *SCRIB* are associated with the severe neural tube defect craniorachischisis. *Hum Mutat*. 2012;33(2):440-447. doi:10.1002/humu.21662
56. Yamada Y, Fuku N, Tanaka M, et al. Identification of *CELSR1* as a susceptibility gene for ischemic stroke in Japanese individuals by a genome-wide association study. *Atherosclerosis*. 2009;207(1):144-149. doi:10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2009.03.038
57. Boutin C, Goffinet AM, Tissir F. Celsr1-3 cadherins in PCP and brain development. In: Yang Y, ed. *Current Topics in Developmental Biology*. Cambridge, MA: Academic Press; 2012:161-183.
58. Raghavendra Prasad HS, Qi Z, Srinivasan KN, Gopalakrishnakone P. Potential effects of tetrodotoxin exposure to human glial cells postulated using microarray approach. *Toxicol*. 2004;44(6):597-608. doi:10.1016/j.toxicol.2004.07.018
59. Narindrasorasak S, Lowery DE, Altman RA, Gonzalez-DeWhitt PA, Greenberg BD, Kisilevsky R. Characterization of high affinity binding between laminin and Alzheimer's disease amyloid precursor proteins. *Lab Invest*. 1992;67(5):643-652.
60. Palu E, Liesi P. Differential distribution of laminins in Alzheimer disease and normal human brain tissue. *J Neurosci Res*. 2002;69(2):243-256. doi:10.1002/jnr.10292
61. Saad M, Brkanac Z, Wijsman EM. Family-based genome scan for age at onset of late-onset Alzheimer's disease in whole exome sequencing data. *Genes Brain Behav*. 2015;14(8):607-617. doi:10.1111/gbb.12250
62. McCarthy S, Das S, Kretzschmar W, et al; Haplotype Reference Consortium. A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype imputation. *Nat Genet*. 2016;48(10):1279-1283. doi:10.1038/ng.3643
63. Hartl D, May P, Gu W, et al; AESG. A rare loss-of-function variant of *ADAM17* is associated with late-onset familial Alzheimer disease [published online July 9, 2018]. *Mol Psychiatry*.
64. Freischmidt A, Wieland T, Richter B, et al. Haploinsufficiency of *TBK1* causes familial ALS and fronto-temporal dementia. *Nat Neurosci*. 2015;18(5):631-636. doi:10.1038/nn.4000
65. Zhang B, Gaiteri C, Bodea LG, et al. Integrated systems approach identifies genetic nodes and networks in late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Cell*. 2013;153(3):707-720. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.030

SUPPLEMENT.

eMethods. Detailed Methodology

eReferences

eTable 1. Characteristics of Subjects in the ADSP WES Case-Control Dataset

eTable 2. Previously Established Genes for AD, AD-Related Traits and Other Dementias

eTable 3. Filtering Pipeline of Rare Variants

eTable 4. High and Moderate Impact Rare Variants in Previously Established AD Genes Occurring In ≥ 4 Participants With AD and No Controls

eTable 5. Characteristics of AD Subjects in the ADSP WES Dataset With the *NOTCH3* rs149307620 Mutation

eTable 6. Characteristics of Subjects in the WGS Replication Datasets

eTable 7. Characteristics of AD Subjects With the *TREM2* rs104894002 Mutation (Q33X)

eTable 8. High and Moderate Impact Rare Variants Genome-Wide Occurring in > 10 Participants With AD and No Controls

eTable 9. Genes With ≥ 3 Distinct High/Moderate Disease Impact Rare Variants Each With a MAC ≥ 5 and Occurring Only in Cases

eTable 10. High Impact Rare Variants Genome-Wide With a MAC ≥ 7 and Occurring Only in AD Cases

eFigure 1. Study Design

eFigure 2. Haplotype Analysis of the Rare *NOTCH3* rs149307620 Variant

eFigure 3. Population Substructure of the ADSP Discovery Sample

eFigure 4. Utah Pedigree Segregating rs141402160 and rs140914494 Mutations

eFigure 5. Utah Pedigree Segregating rs112197217 Mutation

eFigure 6. Protein-Protein Interaction Network Including *NOTCH3* and *JAG1*

eFigure 7. Haplotype Analysis of *ABCD4* and *CELSR1/GTSE1*