Trends in Microbiology

Review



Currency, Exchange, and Inheritance in the Evolution of Symbiosis

Tanita Wein¹,¹ Devani Romero Picazo,¹ Frances Blow,² Christian Woehle,¹ Elie Jami,³ Thorsten B.H. Reusch,⁴ William F. Martin,⁵ and Tal Dagan⁰,^{1,*}

Symbiotic interactions between eukaryotes and prokaryotes are widespread in nature. Here we offer a conceptual framework to study the evolutionary origins and ecological circumstances of species in beneficial symbiosis. We posit that mutual symbiotic interactions are well described by three elements: a currency, the mechanism of currency exchange, and mechanisms of symbiont inheritance. Each of these elements may be at the origin of symbiosis, with the other elements developing with time. The identity of currency in symbiosis depends on the ecological context of the symbiosis, while the specificity of the exchange mechanism underlies molecular adaptations for the symbiosis. The inheritance regime determines the degree of partner dependency and the symbiosis evolutionary trajectory. Focusing on these three elements, we review examples and open questions in the research on symbiosis.

Origins of Beneficial Symbioses

Beneficial symbioses between eukaryotic and prokaryotic organisms have evolved multiple times across the eukaryotic domain. From an evolutionary perspective, the establishment of a stable interaction with bacterial symbionts is comparable to the evolution of a novel beneficial trait [1]. Hence, the association with beneficial symbionts plays an important role in the evolution of their host. The evolution of beneficial symbiosis is thus accompanied by natural selection for maintenance of the interaction, that is, for aspects of the species interaction that constitute selectable traits. We propose that the constituents of species interactions that may be subject to natural selection require three basic components: a currency, mechanisms of currency exchange, and the mode of the interaction inheritance over generations (Figure 1A). By currency we refer to the specific nature of resources that species may gain from other species in the environment. The presence of a mechanism of exchange enables the currency to become a medium of exchange between the partners, and a heritable interaction between the partners enables the continuity of the interaction over generations. We posit that each of these constituents may supply an alternative basis for the origin of beneficial symbioses.

Currency in Symbiosis

Here we classify the currency in beneficial symbioses into nutritional or defensive types (Table 1, Figure 1B). An important determinant in the evolution of symbioses based on nutritional currencies is the prevalence of a specific resource in the biosphere. For example, many environments are oligotrophic for biologically accessible nitrogen (N), and indeed, many symbioses found in nature are characterized by the lack of bioavailable N. N is most prevalent in the form of N₂, and only prokaryotes are able to assimilate N into biologically accessible forms [2]. In N-currency symbioses, hosts commonly obtain assimilated N in the form of amino acids (Table 1), and symbionts obtain sources of organic carbon (C), such as malate and succinate, in exchange (Table 1). An example is the symbiosis between Leguminosae plants and the Rhizobiales, which is widely studied due to its relevance in crop growth (Figure 1B). The association with N₂-fixing

Highlights

Inspired by the evolution of eukaryotic organelles, we propose a conceptual framework to study the evolutionary and ecological drivers of symbiosis, including three main elements: a currency, mechanisms of currency exchange, and inheritance.

Currency in symbiosis is the type resources that species in a beneficial symbiosis gain from their partner.

Currency exchange is a complex process that requires molecular adaptations in one or both partners.

We identify two distinct but not mutually exclusive initial evolutionary imperatives for the establishment of symbiosis, termed *currency first*, in which the initial interaction stems from a common currency exchange between the interacting partners to complement their environmental requirements, and *transmission first*, in which stable transgenerational transmission precedes the evolution of currency exchange.

 ¹Institute of Microbiology, Christian-Albrechts University of Kiel, Kiel, Germany
²Department of Entomology, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA
³Department of Ruminant Science, Institute of Animal Sciences, Agricultural Research Organization, Volcani Center, Rishon LeZion, Israel
⁴GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Kiel, Germany
⁵Institute of Molecular Evolution, Heinrich-Heine-University, Düsseldorf, Germany

*Correspondence: tdagan@ifam.uni-kiel.de (T. Dagan).





Trends in Microbiology

Figure 1. Currency, Exchange, and Inheritance in the Evolution of Symbiosis. (A) An illustration of the three elements. (B) Demonstrative examples of the three elements in symbiosis. Currency supplied by the host is indicated by green arrows. Currency supplied by the symbiont is indicated by orange arrows. Environmental uptake of inorganic compounds is indicated by blue arrows. (i) The currency in the aphid–*Buchnera* symbiosis is essential amino acids (EAAs). (ii) In the squid–*Vibrio* symbiosis a protective currency is provided by the symbiont, which is indicated by glowing bacterial cells that selectively colonize the host light organ (LO). (iii) Deep-sea mussels harbor symbiotic chemosynthetic bacteria in their gill epithelium (GE) where the currency is nutritional (MP = metabolic precursor). (iv) The currency in the legume–*Rhizobium* symbiosis is fixed N₂ (i.e., nutritional; No, nodules, AAs, amino acids). Gray arrows show symbiont transmission mode, where dashed lines represent the connectivity with an environmental pool in horizontal transmission. Blue circles in (i) and (ii) represent bacteriocytes.

bacteria allows the plant to inhabit N-depleted environments [3]. Symbioses based on biologically accessible N as a currency are also common in aquatic environments; for example, the association between the unicellular cyanobacterium *Candidatus* Atelocyanobacterium thalassa and the single-celled eukaryote prymnesiophyte [4,5]. Furthermore, the fixation of inorganic N by chemosynthetic symbionts of deep-sea marine nematodes and bivalves was reported [6] (Table 1). Another frequent currency in symbiosis is organic C. For example, the ancient acquisition of plastids by green plants allows for the introduction of inorganic compounds into the C cycle via photosynthesis (Box 1). Another example of photosynthesis-based symbiosis is lichens, in which the association of fungi with green algae or cyanobacteria allows for the fixation of inorganic C. Animals that colonize dark habitats, such us hydrothermal vents, are often found in C-based symbioses with chemosynthetic bacteria. Examples are the tube worms or deep-sea mussels: these organisms harbor methanotrophic and sulfur-oxidizing bacteria (Figure 1B). Chemosynthetic bacteria use inorganic compounds such as methane or hydrogen sulfide as an alternative inorganic electron donor for the fixation of inorganic C [7].

In several symbioses the nutritional currency is a waste product of one partner that is a beneficial resource for the other partner. In symbioses where the waste product is harmful to the host, its elimination by the symbiont becomes beneficial for the host. For instance, in the coral-*Symbiodinium* symbiosis, the coral produces ammonium as a harmful waste product that is sequestered by *Symbiodinium* as an N source [8]. Another example is the syntrophic symbiosis between rumen protozoa and methanogens, where H₂ is released during fermentation performed by the protist. The released H₂ constitutes a waste product since fermentation is stoichiometrically possible only if excess H₂ is removed. The methanogenic archaeon uses H₂ as an inorganic electron donor, where constant H₂ consumption enables continued fermentation [9,10].



In addition to exchanged nutritional currencies, symbiotic partners can perform other exchanges of currencies, such as defensive or protective functions. In many symbioses, the host provides the symbiont with a suitable habitat, where in addition to host-derived nutrients, the symbiont benefits from reduced competition and predation [11]. Additionally, the microbial partners may protect their hosts against pathogens or predators via the production of toxins (Table 1). Protective currencies have been described in insects, plants, and marine organisms. One example is the symbiosis between grasses and endophytic fungi. The endophytic fungus secretes alkaloids that are toxic to insects and defend the host against herbivory [12]. Protection can also occur without the production of harmful molecules. An example is the symbiosis between the bobtail squid Euprymna scolopes and the luminescent bacterium Vibrio fischeri; the symbiotic bacteria are harbored in a specialized tissue called the light organ (Figure 1B). The bacteria emit luminescence, which enables the squid to mimic the moonlight and avoid predation [13]. The symbiosis between Pantoea agglomerans with plants is another example for protective currency; here, the protection is provided to the host by the competition for nutrients between Pantoea and phytopathogenic fungi [14].

Defining the currency in symbiosis is not only helpful for studying the nature of the symbiotic interaction, but also aids understanding of the evolutionary and ecological circumstances of the symbiosis.

Mechanisms of Exchange

The mechanism of resource exchange is the second major determinant in the evolution of symbiosis, and constitutes a selectable trait for both partners. How resources are exchanged between organisms is dependent upon their nature and proximity, spatial structure, and the goods being exchanged. Some exchanges are small scale and occur across very small distances between organisms that are contained within the cells of the other (e.g., endosymbiotic bacteria in insects) [15]; in close proximity in space and time (e.g., gut microbes in vertebrates) [16]; or donors and recipients that are distant in terms of space, time, or both (e.g., detritivores and colonizing plants) [17]. Each spatial setting presents a novel set of physical barriers and physiological conditions which must be surpassed for goods to be produced by the donor and received by the recipient.

Membrane transport is an essential component of all direct currency exchanges between symbiotic partners, independently of the nature of goods. Biological membranes comprise a phospholipid monolayer or bilayer, which separates the contents of the cell from the environment and generates distinct subcellular compartments in eukaryotes [18,19]. Proteins that traffic molecules between compartments decorate the surface and interior of membranes, whether the membranes are subcellular or delineate cell contents from the surrounding environment. Some of these proteins function in the biogenesis, structure, or functioning of membranes, while others are involved in the bidirectional movement of molecules across membranes in order to maintain cellular homeostasis. Membrane proteins evolve at accelerated rates in comparison to cytosolic proteins, illustrating the strong selection pressure acting on cellular machinery for goods exchange with the environment, whether that be within or between organisms [20]. The composition and turnover of the lipid layer can also facilitate the movement of molecules from one side of a membrane to the other, for example by the generation of vesicles or lipid rafts [21,22]. Thanks to this diversity of mechanisms, and unique membrane biochemistry and metabolic requirements, different organisms have variable complements of molecular machinery for membrane transport, which constrains the establishment and subsequent evolution of symbioses.

Table 1. Currency in Symbiosis

Host				Symbiont								
Organism	Type of currency supplied	Currency supplied	Dependency	Organism	Type of currency supplied	Currency supplied	Dependency	Transmission mode	Localization	Trophic state	Refs	
Legumes	Nutritional	Organic acids, Iron, sugars	Facultative	Rhizobium	Nutritional	$\rm NH_3$	Facultative	Horizontal	Intracellular, Nodules	N-depleted environment	[3,95]	
Gunnera	Nutritional	Organic acids	Facultative	Nostoc	Nutritional	NH ₃	Facultative	Horizontal	Intracellular, Stolones	N-depleted environment	[96,97]	
Protists in the termite gut	Nutritional	Sugars, urinates, urea, H ₂ , NH ₃	?	<i>Treponema</i> Spirochete	Nutritional	Amino acids	?	?	Intracellular	N-depleted environment	[98]	
Marine bivalves	Nutritional	Organic compounds	Facultative	Chemosynthetic bacteria	Nutritional	Amino acids/cofactors	Facultative ^a	Horizontal and vertical	Intracellular, gill	Light and N-depleted environment	[99–101]	
Marine stilbonematid nematodes	Nutritional	Organic compounds	?	Chemosynthetic bacteria	Nutritional	Amino acids	?	Horizontal	Extracellular	Light and N-depleted environment	[6,102]	
Single-cell eukaryotic algae	Nutritional	Organic compounds	Obligate	Cyanobacteria	Nutritional	Amino acids	Obligate	Horizontal	Unicellular	N-depleted environment	[4,5]	
Corals	Nutritional	Wasted N (NH ₄)	Obligate	Dinoflagellate algae Symbiodinium	Nutritional	Organic compounds	Obligate	Horizontal	Intracellular Symbiosome (endoderm)	N-depleted environment	[8]	
Corals	Nutritional	Wasted N (NH ₄)	Obligate	Dinoflagellate algae Symbiodinium	Defensive	Diterpenes	Obligate	Horizontal	Intracellular Symbiosome (endoderm)	NA	[103]	
Hydrogenosome- containing cilliate	Nutritional	Wasted H ₂	?	Methanogen	Nutritional	Cellular macromolecules	?	Vertical, multiple replacements	Intracellular	O ₂ -depleted environment	[104,105]	
Hydrogenosome- containing protozoa from rumen	Nutritional	Wasted H_2	?	Methanogen	Nutritional	Cellular macromolecules	?	?	Intracellular	O ₂ -depleted environment	[10]	
Wasp	?	?	Obligate	Wolbachia	Defensive	Bacterioferritin ^b	Obligate	Mostly vertical	Intracellular	NA	[106,107]	



Squid	Nutritional	Amino acids, fatty acids	Obligate	Vibrio	Defensive	Light	Facultative	Horizontal	Extracellular Light organ	NA	[108–110]
Nematodes	Habitat-based	Habitat	Obligate	Xenorhabdus and Photorhabdus spp.	Defensive	Toxin against insect host	Obligate	Vertical	Intracellular	NA	[111–113]
Grasses	Habitat-based	Habitat	Facultative	Fungal endophytes	Defensive	Alkaloids and antioxidants ^c	?	Vertical and horizontal	Extracellular, between plant cells	NA	[12,114]
Tsetse fly	Habitat-based	Habitat	Obligate	Wigglesworthia glossinidia	Nutritional	Vitamins	Obligate	Vertical	Intracellular	NA	[115–117]
Aphids	Nutritional	Metabolic precursors	Obligate	Buchnera	Nutritional	Essential amino acids	Obligate	Vertical	Intracellular	Nutrient-depleted environment	[118]
Fungus	Habitat-based	Habitat	Obligate	Green algae/ cyanobacteria	Nutritional	Fixed C	?	Vertical and Horizontal	Extracellular	Nutrient-depleted environment	[119]
Aphids	Nutritional	Amino-acids	Facultative	Hamiltonella defensa	Defensive	Bacteriophage encoded toxins	Obligate	Vertical and Horizontal	Intracellular and extracellular	NA	[120–123]
Paederus beetle	?	?	?	Uncultired γ-proteobacterium	Defensive	Pederins	?	?	?	NA	[124]
Wheat	Habitat-based	Habitat	Facultative	Pantoea agglomerans	Defensive	NA ^d	Facultative	?	Extracellular and intercellular	NA	[14,125]
Moss animals	?	?	Obligate	<i>Candidatus</i> Endobugula sertula	Defensive	Bryostatins	Obligate	Vertical	Extracellular	NA	[126]
Amoebae	Nutritional	Organic compounds	Facultative	Protochlamydia amoebophila.	Defensive	?	Obligate	Vertical and Horizontal	Intracellular	NA	[127,128]
Paramecium	Habitat-based	Habitat	Obligate	Habitat	Defensive ^e	?	Facultative	Horizontal	Intracellular	NA	[129]

?, Aspects of the symbiosis that are currently unknown.

NA, not assigned.

^aBacteria most likely remain dormant outside the host. Yet, because the symbionts are horizontally acquired, the possibility of facultative symbiosis cannot be rejected.

^bNote that the synthesis of bacterioferritin has been suggested to protect the host against ROS production caused by the establishment of symbiosis, and thus, it does not imply a clear benefit to the host. ^cAlkaloids protect the plant against herbivory and act as antioxidants of ROS produced during photosynthesis.

^dPantoea protective mechanism has been suggested to be based on the competition for nutrients with phytopathogenic fungi.

^eCells of *Paramecium* infected with the endosymbiont grow slower, and are more prone to be eaten by predators, but on the other hand they are protected against populations of competitor strains which do not harbor the symbiont. Therefore, the symbiont confers an advantage on its host only when host populations are infected by the symbiont.



One example of membrane transport machinery mediating the establishment of symbiosis is implicit in the hydrogen hypothesis for the origin of mitochondria: metabolic machinery for the expulsion of hydrogen generated as a metabolic by-product by the ancestral alphaproteobacterial symbiont existed prior to its association with the archaeon. The archaeon could use the resulting hydrogen for methanogenesis as intracellular hydrogen build-up is toxic for the host, thus, the export machinery had already evolved (Box 1). Similarly, the pre-existing ability of the ancestral proteobacterial symbiont to generate outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) is hypothesized to have enabled the formation of subcellular organelles and protein targeting – a critical step in the evolution of multicellular organisms [23,24]. Similar molecular mechanisms mediate the exchange of goods between extracellular symbionts. For example, *V. fischeri* produces OMVs containing peptidoglycan (PG) and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) molecules – components of the outer

Box 1. Currency, Exchange, and Inheritance in the Evolution of Eukaryotic Organelles

The most extreme outcome of bacterial endosymbiosis is exemplified by the organelles known as mitochondria and plastids, and their derivatives (Table I). All plastids, as hallmarks of photoautotrophy, were originally acquired by eukaryotes via endosymbiosis of a cyanobacterial ancestor [58,59], approximately 1.2 billion years ago [60]. The origin of mitochondria, as 'powerplants' of the cell, is tightly bound with the emergence of the first eukaryotes at least 1.6 billion years ago [61]. There is common agreement that the mitochondria evolved from an alpha-proteobacterium ancestor that was in a symbiosis with an archaea-like host [62,63]. Further derivatives of mitochondria are hydrogenosomes, characterized by the production of hydrogen [64,65], and mitosomes [64,66]; both are devoid of a genome.

The currency of plastids and mitochondria as endosymbionts is mainly determined by their central functionality in host metabolism, which is still localized to the compartment: photoautotrophy for functional plastids, and energy conservation for mitochondria. They resemble nutritional symbioses via direct currency exchange with the host (see main text). The host provides most of the proteins and nutrients to fuel the organelle's machinery, while metabolic products are transported back to the host cytoplasm (i.e., organic compounds or the cellular unit of energy: adenosine triphosphate, ATP). However, the organelle-associated currencies we observe today might not reflect those of the original endosymbiont and may have changed over the course of evolution. Symbioses between cyanobacteria or algae and eukaryotic hosts are widespread in nature and rely on similar currencies as observed for plastids (Table 1). In such photosynthetic symbioses, the autotrophic symbiont supplies the host with fixed inorganic compounds (e.g., C or N), while the host provides organic compounds or a competition-free environment (niche). In plants, the metabolic pathways associated with the fixation of C and N are predominantly localized to the plastid, suggesting that they originated from the cyanobacterial plastid ancestors and that the basic currencies have not changed since then. In addition, this indicates that symbiotic relationships reminiscent of plastid evolution may be repeatable, as is suggested for the plastid-like cyanobacterial endosymbiont of Paulinella chromatophora [67]. Another example is Hatena arenicola, which forms obligate, but unstable, associations with Nephroselmis algae that potentially resemble the ancestors of complex plastids [68]. In contrast to plastids, the currency of the mitochondrion ancestor is less easy to compare to symbioses observed today. It is likely that the original endosymbiosis leading to mitochondria did not depend on ATP production, as it does today, but rather on other factors. Releasing such energy-rich molecules to the environment would unlikely be favorable for the endosymbiont [69]. Thus, the hydrogen hypothesis, one of the most popular hypotheses on the origin of mitochondria, postulates that hydrogen produced as a waste product of the alphaproteobacterial endosymbiont was used by the methanogenic host [69,70]. A similar type of symbiotic association is described in hydrogen-producing ciliates (Table 1). The evolution from the endosymbiont to the mitochondrial organelle was accompanied by adaptation to the host and a change in currency. Currency modifications following the transition to an organelle are well described in apicoplasts, the plastid derivates found in most nonphotosynthetic apicomplexan parasites [71], and mitosomes. Both organelles lost their fundamental functions in the energy metabolism of the host, but are still retained and function in diverse metabolic pathways, including the synthesis of iron-sulfur clusters and haem [72-74].

Mechanisms for the exchange of goods between organelles and their host environment are complex, covering various ions and metabolites, and are mainly governed by transport proteins (reviewed in [75,76]). Most transporters of the inner membrane of mitochondria belong to a large protein family, termed mitochondrial carriers, displaying diverse substrate specificities [77]. This family has ~50 members in humans alone, and many still lack a functional classification. Notably, several aspects of the organelles' transport systems remain to be elucidated. For example, it is currently unknown how most amino acids are transported from the cytosol to the mitochondria or plastids where protein synthesis is located in the organelles. Unique to organelles, in contrast to bacterial endosymbioses, are protein import mechanisms allowing host proteins encoded in the nucleus to function in other cellular compartments. Both mitochondria and plastids convergently evolved similar transport mechanisms (translocon of the inner/outer mitochondrial/chloroplast membrane; TIM/TOM & TIC/TOC, respectively; reviewed in [78,79]) guided by target peptide sequences of precursor proteins. Even more advanced transport systems are found in algae with complex plastids [80]. As a consequence of protein transport, gene content of the endosymbiotic organelle ancestors could be integrated into the nuclear genome (termed endosymbiotic gene transfer; EGT), but still function in the organelle [63,81]. EGT has been demonstrated to dominate long-term gene content evolution in eukaryotes. The number of genes encoded in the genomes of the organelles (3 to ~200) is diminishingly small in comparison to those of free-living bacteria (≫5000) [82]. The vast majority of nuclear genes appears to be of endosymbiotic ancestry [63], while nuclear genomes of plants include ca. 20% genes that descended from the plastid ancestor [83].

Transmission mechanisms for organelles are not always tightly controlled with the host cell cycle. For mitochondria in multicellular organisms, for example, no segregation mechanisms are known, suggesting that the presence of numerous organelles in the cell results in stochastic distribution to both daughter cells following cell division [84,85]. However, this scenario may randomly result in the loss of mitochondria in one of the daughter cells, and thus cell death. Such scenarios can be tolerated in multicellular organisms but are less likely in single-celled ancestors of eukaryotes. Mitochondrial segregation in asymmetric cell division of yeast is tightly controlled [84]. Many algae harbor only a single plastid per cell (termed monoplastidy), of which division is tightly controlled with the host cell cycle [85–87]. Monoplastidy is suggested to represent the ancestral state of algal endosymbiosis and a prerequisite of plastid emergence [85–87]. Therefore, controlled segregation mechanisms were likely required to sustain stable transmission of plastids and mitochondria, or at least inheritance of their ancestors within unicellular hosts.



Table I. Currency in Endosymbiosis^a

	Ho	ost		Symbiont							
Organism	Currency type	Currency	Dependence	Organelle	Currency type	Currency	Dependence	Transmission mode	Example		
Archaplastidae	Nutrient	Anorganic compounds, proteins	Obligate	Primary plastid (cyanobacterium)	Niche	Organic compounds	Obligate	Vertical	Arabidopsis thaliana [88]		
Algal eukaryote	Nutrient	Anorganic compounds, proteins	Obligate	Complex plastid (algal eukaryote)	Niche	Organic compounds	Obligate	Vertical	Diatoms [89]		
Apicomplexa	Nutrient	Anorganic compound (?), proteins	Obligate	Apicoplast (complex plastid)	Niche	Specific metabolites?	Obligate	Vertical	Plasmodium falciparum [90]		
Paulinella chromatophora	Nutrient	Anorganic compounds	Obligate	Chromatophore (cyanobacterium)	Niche	Organic compounds	Obligate	Vertical	Paulinella chromatophora [91]		
Hatena arenicola	Nutrient	Anorganic compounds, proteins	Obligate	Nephroselmis algae	Niche	Organic compounds	?	Horizontal & Vertical	Hatena arenicola [68]		
Eukaryote	Nutrient?	Organic compounds, proteins	Obligate	Mitochondrion (α-proteobacterium)	Nutrient?	Energy (ATP)	Obligate	Vertical	Homo sapiens [92]		
Anaerobic eukaryote	Nutrient?	Organic compounds, proteins	Obligate	Hydrogenosome (mitochondrion)	Nutrient?	Energy (ATP)	Obligate	Vertical	Trichomonas vaginalis [93]		
Anaerobic eukaryote	Nutrient?	Organic compounds?, proteins	Obligate	Mitosome (mitochondrion)	Nutrient?	Specific metabolites?	Obligate	Vertical	Giardia lamblia [72]		
Rhopalodiaceae diatoms	Nutrient	Organic compounds?, proteins	Obligate	Spheroid body (Cyanobacterium)	Niche?	N-fixation	Obligate	Vertical	Rhopalodia gibbs [94]		

^a? indicates that information is missing.

membrane of Gram-negative bacteria which are recognized by epithelial receptors of the squid light organ, and trigger host development [25,26]. The latter demonstrates the co-option of pre-existing transport mechanisms for the maintenance of an interaction, specifically in this system, where *V. fischeri* cells must be horizontally acquired from the environment during the juvenile phase [27].

The presence of nonspecific exchange mechanisms may hinder the establishment of a stable symbiosis between two organisms. For example, developmental cues in *Aedes aegypti* mosquito development to pupation. The identity of the bacteria performing the function varies and does not influence the net effect of the integration; the gut is permissive of microbes as long as they consumption is ubiquitous or very common in colonizing microbes. This has been posited as one explanation for the lack of uniformity amongst gut bacterial communities of larval mosquitoes developing in different environments, and provides an explanation for the lack of specific gut symbioses in this species [28,29]. Similarly, the roots of land plants acquire arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi from the soil at each generation, and these fungi colonize the cortical cells of plant roots and establish a symbiont interface for direct nutrient exchange using complex signaling



mechanisms. Plants provide the colonizing AM fungi with C, and the fungi reciprocate by providing mineral nutrients, mainly phosphorus (P) [30,31]. The acquisition of AM fungi is horizontal, and partner fidelity is not uniform between generations, with the same plant forming interactions with multiple fungi, and fungi able to simultaneously colonize the roots of several different plant partners [32,33]. As a result, elaborate policing mechanisms have evolved to regulate the exchange of goods to avoid exploitation by cheaters [34]: plants can increase the transport of C to cooperative individuals that provide the host with more P, and fungi can respond by increasing P flux to the plant, ensuring that investment is managed in both partners [35].

Pre-existing mechanisms for the translocation of proteins, metabolites or nucleic acids have the potential to evolve into specific exchange mechanisms depending on the currency. The nature and chemistry of the material being exchanged, and the environmental context in which the exchange occurs, generates constraints on the evolution and maintenance of mechanisms for currency exchange in symbioses.

Inheritance

The establishment of stable symbiotic relations critically depends on the persistence of the interaction over the course of evolution. Thus, the maintenance of symbionts throughout generations is essential for the evolution of a common currency and mechanisms of exchange in the symbiosis. Two fundamentally different symbiont transmission modes are distinguished in the literature: vertical inheritance, where the symbionts are transmitted from ancestor to descendants, and horizontal transmission where the symbionts are acquired from the environment (reviewed in [36]). Transmission fidelity is crucial for the long-term establishment of the symbiosis because the symbiont is at risk of loss in every generation and, in cases of obligate dependency, lethality (and extinction) of both partners. The evolution of transmission modes and stable interactions between the partners as well as the mechanisms that lead to lineage-specific symbiosis remain understudied. Here we propose two routes for the establishment and evolution of long-term symbiosis. In the first route, which we term currency first, stable symbiosis is established upon the provision of an essential resource for the host (i.e., currency). In this route, the exchange of currencies is beneficial for the partners involved, hence the symbiosis evolves under positive selection for maintenance of the interaction. In the second route, which we term transmission first, stable inheritance or horizontal transmission in each host generation precedes the evolution of currency exchange. In this route, the interaction may have emerged under neutral or nearly neutral conditions where the constant presence of the symbiont over generations may evolve into a beneficial interaction (through currency exchange) and finally symbiosis (Figure 2).

In the currency first scenario, the symbiosis provides a fitness advantage to the partners by making an essential currency available. This implies that the mechanisms of currency exchange were already in place prior to the onset of the interaction. It is likely that many symbiotic interactions that we listed here (Table 1) may have evolved along that route. This includes symbioses in which the currency constitutes the product of metabolic pathways that are abundant in prokary-otic organisms and absent in eukaryotic organisms (e.g., nitrate; Table 1) as well as rare metabolites such as vitamins or amino acids that might be difficult for the host to produce (e.g., essential amino acids in the *Buchnera aphidicola* and aphid symbiosis; Table 1). We note that beneficial symbioses with a mixed microbial community may be accompanied by extensive functional redundancy, where the currency exchange is nonspecific with regard to the partner identity and can be satisfied by community-level processes (e.g., developmental stage triggered by symbiont oxygen consumption; [28]). These nonspecific interactions may evolve into symbioses with specific partners under positive selection for the interaction. The evolution of a specific partner can be advantageous for the fidelity of the currency supply because it enables partner recognition and





Figure 2. Evolutionary Routes to Symbiosis. Under the currency first scenario (purple panel and arrows), limiting factors in the environment (1) constitute currencies that lead to the exchange of currency (2) which is followed by stable inheritance (3) and stable niche colonization (4). In the transmission first route (red panel and arrows), the evolution of symbiosis is initiated by cooccurrence in the ecosystems (5), and neutral (6), but stable inheritance (3) prior to the development of currency exchange (2) and stable niche colonization (4). The scenario of pathogenicity first (7) involves a unidirectional currency transfer and a stable transmission. Several studies have suggested that, under certain conditions, pathogenic symbionts may evolve a mutual or commensal interaction with their host [49 50]

Trends in Microbiology

policing mechanisms through mutual dependency. If the reproductive success of individuals that maintain the symbiosis is higher than those that do not, the ability to maintain the symbiosis becomes an advantageous trait. Hence, the mechanism of symbiont inheritance (or acquisition) constitutes a selectable trait, which may subsequently be fixed in the lineage.

As a second route for the evolution of stable symbioses we propose the transmission first scenario. In this route, stable transmission of the symbiont (vertical or horizontal) may precede the evolution of currency exchange. We propose that the host-symbiont interaction may emerge from random associations under conditions that may be neutral for the partners (i.e., no partner benefits from a specific resource). Many associations between eukaryotes and microorganisms are described as transient, namely, they are variable across individuals and life span. For example, part of the human microbiota is transient, yet may be stably transmitted from mothers to babies (e.g., [37]). In addition, many anaerobic ciliates are associated with methanogens, which thrive upon hydrogen production by the ciliate [38]. These interactions are typically thought to be transient, as the methanogenic bacteria interaction with the ciliate is not obligatory (i.e., they are found also as free living, e.g., in the rumen [39]). Nonetheless, a stable vertical inheritance may occur with every cell division. The stable transmission of bacteria can rely on the large bacterial population size and rapid growth rate in comparison to the eukaryotic host. Furthermore, bacterial symbionts have the ability to evade digestion or defense systems of the host (e.g., evasion strategies in inhabitants of protists [40-42]) and thus gain an opportunity to persist in the host environment over multiple life cycles. Highly persistent intracellular bacteria may evolve stable transmission with the host and so gain a constant presence in the lineage. Stable transmission of intracellular bacteria may be facilitated by several strategies: (i) a high abundance in the host cell can ensure inheritance during cell division (as is the inheritance strategy of mitochondria of multicellular organisms; Box 1); (ii) active symbiont segregation, where the allocation of symbionts to the offspring is well coordinated with host cell division (as the inheritance strategy of plastids in many algae; Box 1), e.g., by hitchhiking with the host cytoskeleton or membrane-bound organelles. An example is Wolbachia that hitchhikes with the segregation apparatus by attachment to the spindle filaments in Drosophila melanogaster oocytes during mitosis [43]. As a result, Wolbachia segregates along with the host chromosomes, thereby ensuring its stable inheritance. The



evolution of stable transmission may be followed by the evolution of currency exchange. The latter evolutionary development may be driven, for example, by a change in the environmental conditions that render a neutral association into an advantageous one (e.g., [44]). Another possibility is the loss of a host function that may be compensated for by the symbiont. An example of this scenario is described for the interaction between the leaf beetle Conistra rubiginosa and bacteria of the genus Stammera, which express pectinases to aid in the digestion of pectin-rich plant material [45]. In this family of phytophagous beetles, C. rubiginosa is the only one that acquired a symbiont species to perform this function and lost the metabolic pathway from its own genome [46]. Another example of the evolution of currency in symbiosis following a stable association is the evolution of heterotrophic plants: the ability to perform photosynthesis was lost in the ghost orchid Epipogium aphyllum, and it has been suggested that the requirement for fixed C is supplied by fungi associated with the orchid root [47]. In addition, transmission first may enable a constant uptake of environmental bacteria that utilize the existing transmission machinery of the residing symbionts. One example is the stinkbug Plautia stali and its symbiosis with different Pantoea strains. These strains were shown to be replaceable, and thus functionally equivalent for their host, yet they had distinct evolutionary histories [48].

An additional route to symbiosis is *pathogenicity first*, which is found in the twilight zone of the two other routes (Figure 2). In this route, the partners are already in a stable interaction; however, only one partner - the pathogen - is benefiting from a host resource (i.e., in this scenario currency is not exchanged, rather it is taken). Such a relationship may change while moving across the parasitism-mutualism continuum. Several examples can be found in the gut microbiome of animals. For example, in the gut of Caenorhabditis elegans, a mildly pathogenic strain of Enterococcus faecalis rapidly evolved in about 15 host generations to defend their hosts against infection by a more virulent pathogen via an increase of antimicrobial superoxides production [49]. Another recent study showed that the opportunistic fungal pathogen Candida albicans in the mouse gut could be evolved into a beneficial partner for the host. The selected fungus was able to protect the host from multiple systemic infections [50]. Thus, for both the microbes and hosts the interaction is advantageous, which may hint at the onset of a long-term stable symbiosis. At the other end of this continuum, the ciliate protozoan Paramecium tetraurelia is known to carry the intracellular, vertically transmitted Caedibacter taeniospiralis that confers the 'killer trait', killing other protozoa lacking the symbiont. A recent study showed that the fitness advantage conferred by the symbiont can shift towards parasitism depending on the Paramecium growth conditions [51]. Thus, pathobionts may arise from stable mutualistic or commensal interactions where the switch into a new lifestyle is triggered by a change in environmental conditions.

A stable inheritance is an important prerequisite for the evolution of symbiosis, yet the nature of the interaction may range from commensalism to pathogenicity. The difference between pathogenicity and beneficial symbiosis may be smaller than we think and largely depend on the contemporary environmental conditions.

Concluding Remarks

Recognizing the basic ingredients for the development of species interactions into a stable symbiosis – currency, exchange, and inheritance – supplies a useful framework for future investigations of symbioses. For example, if a limiting resource becomes abundant in the environment it is no longer considered as currency, and supplementation may become neutral or even harmful. What happens when a symbiotic interaction is no longer beneficial? Under such a scenario the beneficial trait (i.e., the symbiosis) would be nonfunctionalized, and evolutionary theory predicts that it is no longer under stabilizing selection. If a mechanism of stable inheritance is already in

Outstanding Questions

What are the currencies in the symbiosis, and what is their ecological context?

Is there a functional redundancy within the symbiotic community with regard to the currencies?

What are the exchange mechanisms between host and symbiont?

Does the fitness effect of the symbiosis depend on the environmental context?

What are the mechanisms of stable association over generations?

How do symbiont strains establish a competitive advantage within the host habitat?

What is the chronology of the development of currency, currency exchange, and stable association in the symbiosis evolution?



place, the loss of the symbiosis largely depends on the fitness effect of the interaction. When the interaction is neutral to the fitness of the partners, the symbiosis might persist for a while. In contrast, if the symbiotic interaction has a deleterious effect on the fitness of one of the partners, the interaction may be quickly lost. Nonetheless, also in this scenario, the stability of the inheritance mechanism is expected to play an important role, as the loss of symbiosis requires the emergence of hosts that lost the symbiont (or host-free symbionts) which subsequently outcompete symbiotic hosts. We note that symbiotic interactions may involve multiple symbiotic species (i.e., a symbiotic community) rather than a single symbiont. Thus, in symbiotic interactions with a mixed microbial community, where multiple strains harbor the core symbiont currency exchange mechanisms (e.g., [52]), functional redundancy may lead to a transient interaction rather than stable inheritance. In such symbioses, colonization history can result in alternative symbiont community composition that nonetheless secures the host requirements (e.g., [53]). From a hostcentric point of view, it is likely more informative to study the mechanisms and role of currency exchange rather than the specific symbiont strain identity. From the symbiont point of view, an open question is how do symbiont strains establish a competitive advantage within the host habitat and develop stable inheritance despite their functional redundancy (e.g., priority effects in colonization [54-56] or intraspecific competition [57])? Are certain hosts more prone to be in symbiotic relations with bacteria and vice versa? These are topics that require much more research and a synthesis with existing ecological theory (see Outstanding Questions). Modern techniques allow the analysis of specific bacterial strains in their association with a host at the single-cell level, and comparative genomics with closely related free-living species could uncover the genomic basis for the emergence of beneficial interactions.

Acknowledgments

We thank Anne Kupczok and Nils Hülter for critical comments on the manuscript. The idea of compiling this perspective paper came up during a workshop held at the Collaborative Research Center 'Origin and Function of Metaorganisms' at Kiel University. We thank the Collaborative Research Center (DFG CRC 1182) and Christian-Albrechts University of Kiel for providing a venue and for supporting our collaborative work.

References

- Douglas, A.E. (2014) Symbiosis as a general principle in eukaryotic evolution. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 6, a016113
- Kneip, C. *et al.* (2007) Nitrogen fixation in eukaryotes new models for symbiosis. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 7 55–12
- Jones, K.M. et al. (2007) How rhizobial symbionts invade plants: the Sinorhizobium–Medicago model. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 5, 619–633
- Thompson, A.W. et al. (2012) Unicellular cyanobacterium symbiotic with a single-celled eukaryotic alga. Science 337, 1546–1550
- Cabello, A.M. et al. (2016) Global distribution and vertical patterns of a prymnesiophyte-cyanobacteria obligate symbiosis. *ISME J.* 10, 693–706
- Petersen, J.M. et al. (2016) Chemosynthetic symbionts of marine invertebrate animals are capable of nitrogen fixation. *Nature Microbiol.* 2, 1–11
- Dubilier, N. *et al.* (2008) Symbiotic diversity in marine animals: the art of harnessing chemosynthesis. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 6, 725–740
- Rädecker, N. et al. (2015) Nitrogen cycling in corals: the key to understanding holobiont functioning? *Trends Microbiol.* 23, 490–497
- Ushida, K. et al. (1997) Interspecies hydrogen transfer between the rumen ciliate Polyplastron multivesiculatum and Methanosarcina barkeri. J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol. 43, 129–131
- Finlay, B. (1994) Some rumen ciliates have endosymbiotic methanogens. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 117, 157–161
- Garcia, J.R. and Gerardo, N.M. (2014) The symbiont side of symbiosis: do microbes really benefit? *Front. Microbiol.* 5, 1103

- Omacini, M. *et al.* (2001) Symbiotic fungal endophytes control insect host-parasite interaction webs. *Nature* 409, 78–81
- McFall-Ngai, M.J. (2014) The importance of microbes in animal development: lessons from the squid-Vibrio symbiosis. Ann. Rev. Microbiol. 68, 177–194
- Poppe, L. et al. (2003) Modes of action of Pantoea agglomerans CPA-2, an antagonist of postharvest pathogens on fruits. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 109, 963–973
- 15. Douglas, A.E. (1989) Mycetocyte symbiosis in insects. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 64, 409–434
- Ley, R.E. *et al.* (2008) Worlds within worlds: evolution of the vertebrate gut microbiota. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 6, 776–788
- 17. Chapin, S. et al. (2007) Principles of Terrestrial Ecosystem Ecology, Springer
- Edidin, M. (2003) The state of lipid rafts: from model membranes to cells. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* 32, 257–283
- 19. Gould, S.B. (2018) Membranes and evolution. *Curr. Biol.* 28, R381–R385
- Sojo, V. et al. (2016) Membrane proteins are dramatically less conserved than water-soluble proteins across the Tree of Life. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33, 2874–2884
- Kulp, A. and Kuehn, M.J. (2010) Biological functions and biogenesis of secreted bacterial outer membrane vesicles. *Ann. Rev. Microbiol.* 64, 163–184
- Lingwood, D. and Simons, K. (2010) Lipid rafts as a membrane-organizing principle. *Science* 327, 46–50
- Gould, S.B. *et al.* (2016) Bacterial vesicle secretion and the evolutionary origin of the eukaryotic endomembrane system. *Trends Microbiol.* 24, 525–534



- Soubannier, V. et al. (2012) A vesicular transport pathway shuttles cargo from mitochondria to lysosomes. Curr. Biol. 22, 135–141
- Aschtgen, M.-S. et al. (2015) Vibrio fischeri-derived outer membrane vesicles trigger host development. Cell. Microbiol. 18, 488–499
- Aschtgen, M.-S. et al. (2016) Rotation of Vibrio fischeri flagella produces outer membrane vesicles that induce host development. J. Bacteriol. 198, 2156–2165
- Nyholm, S.V. and McFall-Ngai, M. (2004) The winnowing: establishing the squid–Vibrio symbiosis. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2, 632–642
- Coon, K.L. *et al.* (2017) Bacteria-mediated hypoxia functions as a signal for mosquito development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 114, E5362–E5369
- Coon, K.L. et al. (2016) Mosquitoes host communities of bacteria that are essential for development but vary greatly between local habitats. *Mol. Ecol.* 25, 5806–5826
- Smith, S.E. and Smith, F.A. (2011) Roles of arbuscular mycorrhizas in plant nutrition and growth: new paradigms from cellular to ecosystem scales. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 62, 227–250
- Smith, S.E. and Smith, F.A. (1990) Structure and function of the interfaces in biotrophic symbioses as they relate to nutrient transport. *New Phytol.*, 114, 1–38
- Mikkelsen, B.L. *et al.* (2008) Underground resource allocation between individual networks of mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytol.* 180, 890–898
- Vandenkoornhuyse, P. *et al.* (2007) Active root-inhabiting microbes identified by rapid incorporation of plant-derived carbon into RNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104, 16970–16975
- Douglas, A.E. (2008) Conflict, cheats and the persistence of symbioses. *New Phytol.* 177, 849–858
- Kiers, E.T. et al. (2011) Reciprocal rewards stabilize cooperation in the mycorrhizal symbiosis. Science 333, 880–882
- Bright, M. and Bulgheresi, S. (2010) A complex journey: transmission of microbial symbionts. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 8, 218–230
- Ferretti, P. et al. (2018) Mother-to-infant microbial transmission from different body sites shapes the developing infant gut microbiome. *Cell Host Microbe* 24, 133–145
- Embley, T.M. and Finlay, B.J. (1994) The use of small subunit rRNA sequences to unravel the relationships between anaerobic ciliates and their methanogen endosymbionts. *Microbiology* 140, 225–235
- Levy, B. and Jami, E. (2018) Exploring the prokaryotic community associated with the rumen ciliate protozoa population. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 663
- Matz, C. and Kjelleberg, S. (2005) Off the hook-how bacteria survive protozoan grazing. *Trends Microbiol.* 13, 302–307
- Gong, J. et al. (2016) Protist–bacteria associations: Gammaproteobacteria and Alphaproteobacteria are prevalent as digestion-resistant bacteria in ciliated protozoa. Front. Microbiol. 7, 498
- Brock, D.A. *et al.* (2018) Diversity of free-living environmental bacteria and their interactions with a bactivorous amoeba. *Front. Cell. Inf. Microbiol.* 8, 411
- Ferree, P.M. et al. (2005) Wolbachia utilizes host microtubules and Dynein for anterior localization in the Drosophila oocyte. PLoS Pathog. 1, 0111–0124
- Osmanovic, D. *et al.* (2018) Darwinian selection of host and bacteria supports emergence of Lamarckian-like adaptation of the system as a whole. *Biol. Direct* 13, 24
- Salem, H. *et al.* (2017) Drastic genome reduction in an herbivore's pectinolytic symbiont. *Cell* 171, 1–12
- Kirsch, R. et al. (2014) Horizontal gene transfer and functional diversification of plant cell wall degrading polygalacturonases: Key events in the evolution of herbivory in beetles. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 52, 33–50
- Liebel, H.T. and Gebauer, G. (2011) Stable isotope signatures confirm carbon and nitrogen gain through ectomycorrhizas in the ghost orchid *Epipogium aphyllum* Swartz. *Plant Biol.* 13, 270–275
- Hosokawa, T. et al. (2016) Obligate bacterial mutualists evolving from environmental bacteria in natural insect populations. *Nature Microbiol.* 1, 1–7

- King, K.C. *et al.* (2016) Rapid evolution of microbe-mediated protection against pathogens in a worm host. *ISME J.* 10, 1915–1924
- 50. Tso, G.H.W. *et al.* (2018) Experimental evolution of a fungal pathogen into a gut symbiont. *Science* 362, 589–595
- Schu, M.G. and Schrallhammer, M. (2018) Cultivation conditions can cause a shift from mutualistic to parasitic behavior in the symbiosis between *Paramecium* and its bacterial symbiont *Caedibacter taeniospiralis*. *Curr. Microbiol.* 75, 1099–1102
- Ansorge, R. *et al.* (2019) Diversity matters: Deep-sea mussels harbor multiple symbiont strains. *bioRxiv* Published online January 26, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1101/531459
- Romero Picazo, D. et al. (2019) Horizontally transmitted symbiont populations in deep-sea mussels are genetically isolated. *bioRxiv* Published online February 1, 2019. https://doi.org/ 10.1101/536854
- Wein, T. et al. (2018) Carrying capacity and colonization dynamics of Curvibacter in the Hydra host habitat. Front. Microbiol. 9, 443
- Bongrand, C. *et al.* (2016) A genomic comparison of 13 symbiotic *Vibrio fischeri* isolates from the perspective of their host source and colonization behavior. *ISME J.* 10, 2907–2917
- Bongrand, C. and Ruby, E.G. (2018) Achieving a multi-strain symbiosis: strain behavior and infection dynamics. *ISME J.* 13, 698–706
- Speare, L. et al. (2018) Bacterial symbionts use a type VI secretion system to eliminate competitors in their natural host. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 115, E8528–E8537
- Giovannoni, S.J. et al. (1988) Evolutionary relationships among cyanobacteria and green chloroplasts. J. Bacteriol. 170, 3584–3592
- Martin, W. and Kowallik, K. (1999) Annotated English translation of Mereschkowsky's 1905 paper 'Über Natur und Ursprung der Chromatophoren im Pflanzenreiche'. *Europ. J. Phycol.* 34, 287–295
- Parfrey, L.W. et al. (2011) Estimating the timing of early eukaryotic diversification with multigene molecular clocks. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108, 13624–13629
- Knoll, A.H. (2014) Paleobiological perspectives on early eukaryotic evolution. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 6, 1–16
- Yang, D. et al. (1985) Mitochondrial origins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 82, 4443–4447
- Esser, C. et al. (2004) A genome phylogeny for mitochondria among alpha-proteobacteria and a predominantly eubacterial ancestry of yeast nuclear genes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 21, 1643–1660
- Embley, T.M. and Martin, W. (2006) Eukaryotic evolution, changes and challenges. *Nature* 440, 623–630
- Lindmark, D.G. and Müller, M. (1973) Hydrogenosome, a cytoplasmic organelle of the anaerobic flagellate *Tritrichomonas foetus*, and its role in pyruvate metabolism. *J. Biol. Chem.* 248, 7724–7728
- Tovar, J. et al. (1999) The mitosome, a novel organelle related to mitochondria in the amitochondrial parasite *Entamoeba* histolytica. Mol. Microbiol. 32, 1013–1021
- Kies, L. (1974) Elektronenmikroskopische Untersuchungen an *Paulinella chromatophora* Lauterborn, einer Thekamöbe mit blau-grünen Endosymbionten (Cyanellen). *Protoplasma* 80, 69–89
- Okamoto, N. and Inouye, I. (2006) Hatena arenicola gen. et sp. nov., a katablepharid undergoing probable plastid acquisition. Ann. Anat. 157, 401–419
- Martin, W. and Müller, M. (1998) The hydrogen hypothesis for the first eukaryote. *Nature* 392, 37–41
- Martin, W.F. et al. (2015) Endosymbiotic theories for eukaryote origin. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 370 20140330–18
- 71. McFadden, G.I. (2011) The apicoplast. Protoplasma 248, 641–650
- Tovar, J. et al. (2003) Mitochondrial remnant organelles of Giardia function in iron-sulphur protein maturation. Nature 426, 172–176
- Mi-ichi, F. et al. (2009) Mitosomes in Entamoeba histolytica contain a sulfate activation pathway. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106, 21731–21736

- Lim, L. and McFadden, G.I. (2010) The evolution, metabolism and functions of the apicoplast. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 365, 749–763
- Palmieri, F. et al. (2011) Evolution, structure and function of mitochondrial carriers: a review with new insights. *Plant J.* 66, 161–181
- Marchand, J. et al. (2018) Ion and metabolite transport in the chloroplast of algae: lessons from land plants. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 75, 2153–2176
- Palmieri, F. (2004) The mitochondrial transporter family (SLC25): physiological and pathological implications. *Pflugers Arch.* 447, 689–709
- Neupert, W. and Herrmann, J.M. (2007) Translocation of proteins into mitochondria. Ann. Rev. Biochem. 76, 723–749
- Shi, L.-X. and Theg, S.M. (2013) The chloroplast protein import system: from algae to trees. BBA – Mol. Cell Res. 1833, 314–331
- Sommer, M.S. *et al.* (2007) Der1-mediated preprotein import into the periplastid compartment of chromalveolates? *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 24, 918–928
- Timmis, J.N. et al. (2004) Endosymbiotic gene transfer: organelle genomes forge eukaryotic chromosomes. Nat. Rev. Genet. 5, 123–135
- Maier, U.-G. et al. (2013) Massively convergent evolution for ribosomal protein gene content in plastid and mitochondrial genomes. Gen. Biol. Evol. 5, 2318–2329
- Dagan, T. et al. (2013) Genomes of Stigonematalean cyanobacteria (subsection V) and the evolution of oxygenic photosynthesis from prokaryotes to plastids. *Gen. Biol. Evol.* 5, 31–44
- Mishra, P. and Chan, D.C. (2014) Mitochondrial dynamics and inheritance during cell division, development and disease. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 15, 634–646
- de Vries, J. and Gould, S.B. (2018) The monoplastidic bottleneck in algae and plant evolution. J. Cell Science 131 jcs203414–13
- Hashimoto, H. (2005) The ultrastructural features and division of secondary plastids. *J. Plant Res.* 118, 163–172
- Sumiya, N. *et al.* (2016) Chloroplast division checkpoint in eukaryotic algae. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113, E7629–E7638
- Deusch, O. *et al.* (2008) Genes of cyanobacterial origin in plant nuclear genomes point to a heterocyst-forming plastid ancestor. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 25, 748–761
- Woehle, C. *et al.* (2017) Expansion of the redox-sensitive proteome coincides with the plastid endosymbiosis. *Nature Plants* 3, 17066
- Arisue, N. et al. (2012) The Plasmodium apicoplast genome: conserved structure and close relationship of P. ovale to rodent malaria parasites. Mol. Biol. Evol. 29, 2095–2099
- Nowack, E.C.M. *et al.* (2008) Chromatophore genome sequence of *Paulinella* sheds light on acquisition of photosynthesis by eukaryotes. *Curr. Biol.* 18, 410–418
- Esser, C. et al. (2004) A genome phylogeny for mitochondria among alpha-proteobacteria and a predominantly eubacterial ancestry of yeast nuclear genes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 21, 1643–1660
- Burstein, D. et al. (2012) A machine learning approach to identify hydrogenosomal proteins in Trichomonas vaginalis. Euk. Cell 11, 217–228
- Kneip, C. et al. (2008) The cyanobacterial endosymbiont of the unicellular algae Rhopalodia gibba shows reductive genome evolution. BMC Evol. Biol. 8, 30
- 95. Brear, E.M. *et al.* (2013) Iron: an essential micronutrient for the legume-rhizobium symbiosis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 4, 359
- Santi, C. *et al.* (2013) Biological nitrogen fixation in non-legume plants. *Ann. Bot.* 111, 743–767
- Mus, F. et al. (2016) Symbiotic nitrogen fixation and the challenges to its extension to nonlegumes. *Appl. Envir. Microbiol.* 82, 3698–3710
- Ohkuma, M. et al. (2015) Acetogenesis from H₂ plus CO₂ and nitrogen fixation by an endosymbiotic spirochete of a termitegut cellulolytic protist. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, 10224–10230

- Wentrup, C. et al. (2014) Forever competent: deep-sea bivalves are colonized by their chemosynthetic symbionts throughout their lifetime. *Environ. Microbiol.* 16, 3699–3713
- Russell, S.L. and Cavanaugh, C.M. (2017) Intrahost genetic diversity of bacterial symbionts exhibits evidence of mixed infections and recombinant haplotypes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 34, 2747–2761
- Ponnudurai, R. *et al.* (2017) Metabolic and physiological interdependencies in the *Bathymodiolus azoricus* symbiosis. *ISME* J. 11, 463–477
- Nussbaumer, A.D. *et al.* (2004) Attachment mechanism in a highly specific association between ectosymbiotic bacteria and marine nematodes. *Aquatic Microbiol. Ecol.* 35, 239–246
- 103. Boehnlein, J.M. et al. (2005) Diterpene biosynthesis by the dinoflagellate symbiont of the Caribbean gorgonian *Pseudopterogorgia bipinnata. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 303, 105–111
- Edgcomb, V.P. *et al.* (2011) Structured multiple endosymbiosis of bacteria and archaea in a ciliate from marine sulfidic sediments: a survival mechanism in low oxygen, sulfidic sediments? *Front. Microbiol.* 2, 55
- van Hoek, A.H. *et al.* (2000) Multiple acquisition of methanogenic archaeal symbionts by anaerobic ciliates. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 17, 251–258
- Huigens, M.E. et al. (2004) Natural interspecific and intraspecific horizontal transfer of parthenogenesis-inducing Wolbachia in Trichogramma wasps. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 271, 509–515
- Kremer, N. et al. (2009) Wolbachia interferes with ferritin expression and iron metabolism in insects. PLoS Pathog. 5, e1000630
- Graf, J. and Ruby, E.G. (1998) Host-derived amino acids support the proliferation of symbiotic bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 95, 1818–1822
- 109. Wier, A.M. et al. (2010) Transcriptional patterns in both host and bacterium underlie a daily rhythm of anatomical and metabolic change in a beneficial symbiosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 107, 2259–2264
- McFall-Ngai, M. (2014) Divining the essence of symbiosis: insights from the Squid-Vibrio model. PLoS Biol. 12, e1001783
- 111. Bowen, D. et al. (1998) Insecticidal toxins from the bacterium Photorhabdus luminescens. Science 280, 2129–2132
- 112. Ciche, T.A. et al. (2008) Cell invasion and matricide during Photorhabdus luminescens transmission by Heterorhabditis bacteriophora nematodes. Appl. Envir. Microbiol. 74, 2275–2287
- Chaston, J.M. et al. (2011) The entomopathogenic bacterial endosymbionts Xenorhabdus and Photorhabdus: convergent lifestyles from divergent genomes. PLoS One 6, e27909–e27913
- Clay, K. and Schardl, C. (2002) Evolutionary origins and ecological consequences of endophyte symbiosis with grasses. *Am. Nat.* 160, S99–S127
- Akman, L. *et al.* (2002) Genome sequence of the endocellular obligate symbiont of tsetse flies, *Wigglesworthia glossinidia*. *Nature Genet.* 32, 402–407
- 116. Pais, R. et al. (2008) The obligate mutualist Wigglesworthia glossinidia influences reproduction, digestion, and immunity processes of its host, the tsetse fly. Appl. Envir. Microbiol. 74, 5965–5974
- 117. Bing, X. et al. (2017) Unravelling the relationship between the tsetse fly and its obligate symbiont Wigglesworthia: transcriptomic and metabolomic landscapes reveal highly integrated physiological networks. Proc. R. Soc. B 284, 20170360
- Douglas, A.E. and Prosser, W.A. (1992) Synthesis of the essential amino acid tryptophan in the pea aphid (*Acyrthosiphon pisum*) symbiosis. J. Insect Physiol. 38, 565–568
- 119. Aschenbrenner, I.A. et al. (2016) Understanding microbial multi-species symbioses. Front. Microbiol. 7, 180
- Sandström, J.P. et al. (2001) Independent origins and horizontal transfer of bacterial symbionts of aphids. *Mol. Ecol.* 10, 217–228
- Oliver, K.M. et al. (2009) Bacteriophages encode factors required for protection in a symbiotic mutualism. Science 325, 992–994



Trends in Microbiology



- Tsuchida, T. *et al.* (2005) Characterization of a facultative endosymbiotic bacterium of the pea phid *Acyrthosiphon pisum*. *Microb. Ecol.* 49, 126–133
- Degnan, P.H. *et al.* (2009) *Hamiltonella defensa*, genome evolution of protective bacterial endosymbiont from pathogenic ancestors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 106, 9063–9068
- Piel, J. (2002) A polyketide synthase-peptide synthetase gene cluster from an uncultured bacterial symbiont of *Paederus* beetles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 99, 14002–14007
- 125. Ruppel, S. et al. (1992) Settlement of the diazotrophic, phytoeffective bacterial strain *Pantoea agglomerans* on and within winter wheat: an investigation using ELISA and transmission electron microscopy. *Plant Soil* 145, 261–273
- Lopanik, N. et al. (2004) Potent cytotoxins produced by a microbial symbiont protect host larvae from predation. *Oecologia* 139, 131–139
- König, L. et al. (2019) Symbiont-mediated defense against Legionella pneumophila in amoebae. mBio 10, e00333-19
- Heinz, E. *et al.* (2010) Inclusion membrane proteins of *Protochlamydia amoebophila* UWE25 reveal a conserved mechanism for host cell interaction among the *Chlamydiae*. *J. Bacteriol.* 192, 5093–5102
- Kusch, J. et al. (2002) Competitive advantages of Caedibacterinfected paramecia. Protist 153, 47–58