

Review

1

International Journal of Molecular Sciences



2 Targeting Angiogenesis in Prostate Cancer

3 Zsombor Melegh ¹ and Sebastian Oltean ^{2,*}

4 ¹ Department of Cellular Pathology, Southmead Hospital, Bristol, BS10 5NB; zsombor.melegh@nbt.nhs.uk

Institute of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, Medical School, College of Medicine and Health, University
 f Exeter, UK ; s.oltean@exeter.ac.uk

7 * Correspondence: s.oltean@exeter.ac.uk

8 Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

9 Abstract: Prostate cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer among men in the Western 10 world. Although localised disease can be effectively treated with established surgical and 11 radiopharmaceutical treatments options, the prognosis of castration-resistant advanced prostate 12 cancer is still disappointing. The objective of this study was to review the role of angiogenesis in 13 prostate cancer, and to investigate the effectiveness of anti-angiogenic therapies. A literature 14 search of clinical trials testing the efficacy of anti-angiogenic therapy in prostate cancer was 15 performed using Pubmed. Surrogate markers of angiogenic activity (microvessel density and 16 VEGF-A expression) were found to be associated with tumour grade, metastasis, and prognosis. 17 Six randomised studies were included in this review, two phase II trials on localised and 18 hormone-sensitive disease (n=60 and 99 patients) and four phase III trials on castration-resistant 19 refractory disease (n=873 to 1224 patients). Although the phase II trials showed improved 20 relapse-free survival and stabilisation of the disease, the phase III trials found increased toxicity 21 and no significant improvement in overall survival. Although angiogenesis appears to have an 22 important role in prostate cancer, the results of anti-angiogenic therapy in castration-resistant 23 refractory disease have hitherto been disappointing. There are various possible explanations for 24 this lack of efficacy in castration-resistant refractory disease: redundancy of angiogenic pathways, 25 molecular heterogeneity of the disease, loss of tumour suppressor PTEN expression as well as 26 various VEGF-A splicing isoforms with pro- and anti-angiogenic activity. A better understanding 27 of the molecular mechanisms of angiogenesis may help to develop effective anti-angiogenic 28 therapy in prostate cancer.

29

30 Keywords: prostate cancer, angiogenesis, VEGF-A, splicing isoforms31

32 1. Introduction

Prostate cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer in men in the Western world, with a median age at diagnosis of 66 years [1]. There will be an estimated 160 000 new cases and 30 000 deaths in 2018 in the USA, representing 19% of all new cancer diagnoses and 9% of all cancer related deaths, respectively [2]. In the United Kingdom, over 47 000 men are diagnosed with prostate cancer every year, with over 330 000 men currently living with the disease [3]. The purpose of this literature review is to assess whether angiogenesis is important in prostate cancer, and, if so, whether anti-angiogenic therapies are effective in the treatment of prostate cancer. To begin with,

www.mdpi.com/journal/ijms

40 the current treatment options in prostate cancer will be discussed, along with a summary of what is

41 already known in relation to angiogenesis in cancer. This will be followed by the literature review 42 on angiogenesis and anti-angiogenic therapies in prostate cancer specifically and finally the

42 on angiogenesis and anti-angiogenic therapies in prostate cancer specifically, and finally the 43 discussion will consider any treatment difficulties that have emerged in such studies.

44 2. Background

45 2.1. Prostate cancer

46 Prostate cancer is characterised by slow to moderate growth. Consequently, many cases are 47 indolent, and in up to 70% of incidentally diagnosed cases over 60 years death is due to an 48 unrelated cause [4]. The 5-year relative survival rate for men diagnosed in the USA between 2001 49 and 2007 with local or regional disease was 100%, whilst the rate for distant disease was 28.7% [5]. 50 UK statistics show similar results: 5-year relative survival for prostate cancer was 100% in localised 51 disease and 30% in distant disease for patients diagnosed during 2002-2006 in the former Anglia 52 Cancer Network [6]. Most cases of prostate cancer are diagnosed by prostate specific antigen (PSA) 53 testing, or rarely by rectal examination. Prostate cancer can present with decreased urinary stream, 54 urgency, hesitancy, nocturia, or incomplete bladder emptying, but these symptoms are non-specific 55 and are infrequent at diagnosis [7].

56 2.2. Treatment options in prostate cancer

57 Prostate cancer staging is divided into four stages. Stage 1 and 2 cancers are localised to the 58 prostate whilst stage 3 cancers extend into the periprostatic tissue or the seminal vesicle, without 59 involvement of a nearby organ or lymph node and with no distant metastasis [8]. Stage 4 tumours 50 represent those that have spread to nearby or distant organs or lymph nodes [8].

61 Stage 1 tumours and stage 2 tumours of low and intermediate risk (Table 1.) can be followed 62 up by 'watchful waiting' or active surveillance and monitoring [9, 10]. Watchful waiting has no 63 curative intent, whilst active surveillance and monitoring defers treatment with curative intent to a 64 time when it is needed [9]. Therefore, in active surveillance and monitoring therapy is reserved for

65 tumour progression, with a 1-10% mortality rate [9].

66

Level of risk	PSA level (ng/mL)		Gleason score		Clinical stage
Low risk	<10	and	≤6	and	T1–T2a
Intermediate risk	10–20	or	7	or	T2b
High risk	>20	or	8–10	or	≥T2c

Table 1. Risk stratification of localised prostate cancer according to NICE guidance, UK [10].
Gleason score: histological pattern of the tumour. Stage T1-T2a: tumour involving <50% of one lobe.
Stage T2b: tumour involving ≥50% of one lobe. Stage T2c: tumour involving both lobes

Radical prostatectomy is a treatment option for localised tumours in patients with few comorbidities. Although this provides an improvement in disease progression compared to active surveillance and monitoring, it does not translate into a statistical difference in mortality: 10-year cancer-specific survival rates were 98.8% with active surveillance and monitoring compared to 99% with radical prostatectomy [9]. Complications of radical prostatectomy include the mortality and

75 morbidity associated with major surgery and anaesthesia, penile shortening, impotence, urinary 76 and faecal incontinence, and inguinal hernia [8].

Radiation and radiopharmaceutical treatment options include external-beam radiation therapy [EBRT], interstitial implantation of radioisotopes into the prostate and hormonal manipulation [9]. BBRT is used with curative intent in all stages of prostate cancer, with or without adjuvant hormonal therapy. Interstitial implantation of radioisotopes is used in patient with stage 1 and 2 tumours. Short term results are similar to those seen with EBRT or radical prostatectomy, but the maintenance of sexual potency is significantly higher (86-96%) when compared to radical prostatectomy or EBRT (10-40% and 40-60%, respectively) [11].

Hormonal manipulation options include surgical castration (orchidectomy) or medical
castration (LH-RH antagonists) [12]. These may be used in stage 3 or 4 cancers and can be enhanced
by the addition of anti-androgenic therapy and adjuvant treatment with bisphosphonates [14].
Recently approved anti-androgen agents include abiraterone acetate, an inhibitor of cytochrome
P450c17, a critical enzyme in androgen synthesis and enzalutamide, a second generation
androgen-receptor–signaling inhibitor [13-15].

90 Treatment options for high stage metastatic hormone-refractory prostate cancer include active 91 cellular immunotherapy with sipuleucel-T. which has resulted in increased overall survival in 92 metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer, in a double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter 93 phase 3 trial [16]. This lead to its approval for the treatment of asymptomatic or minimally 94 symptomatic patients with nonvisceral metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer in 2010. 95 Radium-223 dichloride is used in symptomatic patients with bone metastases and no known 96 visceral metastases [17]. Cabazitaxel, a derivative of docetaxel, is approved as a second line 97 chemotherapy agent [18]. Further possible treatment options to prevent bone metastases include 98 denosumab (a monoclonal antibody that inhibits osteoclast function) [19] and bone-seeking 99 radionucleotides (strontium chloride Sr 89) [20].

Despite a widening arsenal of new treatment options, cure is rarely achieved in stage 4 prostate
cancer, although there is astriking difference in treatment response between individual patients
[21]. Such outcomes emphasize the need for research into further treatment options in
hormone-refractory advanced prostate cancer. One such emerging therapeutic option is inhibition
of tumour-related angiogenesis.

105 2.3. Angiogenesis in cancer

106 Angiogenesis is defined as the development of new vascular vessels from pre-existing blood 107 vessels. It has a critical role in wound healing and embryonic development, and also provides 108 collateral formation for improved organ perfusion in ischaemia [22]. It is a multi-step process 109 triggered by an angiogenic stimulus (Figure 1). The first step of the process is the production of 110 proteases which degrade the basement membrane. This is followed by migration and proliferation 111 of the endothelium, resulting in the formation of a new vascular channel [23].



Figure 1. Angiogenesis in cancer. Hypoxia within the tumour induces the release of pro-angiogenic
 factors and results in degradation of the basement membrane by matrix metalloproteinases (MMP).
 The endothelial cells start to differentiate and proliferate, forming new blood vessels. The newly

116 formed blood vessels allow further tumour growth.

117 Although angiogenesis is not entirely necessary for tumour initialisation (some tumours of the 118 brain, lung and liver can grow along pre-existing vessels) [23], once a tumour reaches a size of more 119 than a few millimetres, formation of new blood vessels is necessary to provide an appropriate blood 120 supply to support tumour cell viability and proliferation. Hence, angiogenesis plays an important 121 role in tumour progression, and is now recognised as one of the hallmarks of cancer [24].

122 Angiogenesis is controlled by a delicate balance between angiogenesis inducers and 123 angiogenesis inhibitors. In a growing cancer there is a constant production of angiogenesis 124 inducers, including vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-A, basic fibroblast growth factor 125 (bFGF, also known as FGF), angiogenin, tumour necrosis factor (TNF)- α , granulocyte 126 colony-stimulating factor [G-CSF], platelet-derived endothelial growth factor (PDGF), placental 127 growth factor (PGF), transforming growth factor (TGF)- α , TGF- β , interleukin-8 (IL-8), hepatocyte 128 growth factor (HGF), and epidermal growth factor (EGF) [22]. This constant production of 129 angiogenesis inducers results in increased activity of endothelial cells, as long as the production of 130 anti-angiogenic factors is correspondingly reduced [25]. Among the angiogenesis activators, 131 VEGF-A and bFGF are particularly important in tumour angiogenesis. The abundance and 132 redundant activities of different angiogenesis inducers may explain the resistance or suboptimal 133 effectiveness of anti-angiogenic therapies, when inhibitors acting only on a single angiogenesis 134 activator are being used [25].

135 Under normal conditions, angiogenesis inducers are balanced by naturally occurring 136 angiogenesis inhibitors, such as endostatin, angiostatin, IL-1, IL-12, interferons, metalloproteinase 137 inhibitors, and retinoic acid [25,26]. These inhibitors can either disrupt new vessel formation or can help to remove already formed vascular channels. Shifting the balance towards angiogenesis 138 139 inhibition can interfere with important physiological roles of angiogenesis, such as in embryo 140 development, wound healing, and renal function. Interference with wound healing is a particularly 141 important concern in cancer treatment, for example resulting in delayed post-operative healing [27]. Another example involves the inhibition of VEGF-A, resulting in vasoconstriction by means of 142 143 elevated NO production, consequently elevating blood pressure [28], and increasing the risk of 144 thrombogenesis, resulting in stroke or myocardial infarction. These factors can potentially limit the 145 use of angiogenesis inhibition in cancer, on account of their potential side effects.

146 2.4. Angiogenesis inhibition in cancer

147 Although angiogenesis is an essential factor in tumour progression, by means of new vessel 148 formation, this also means that angiogenesis inhibition may only result in inhibition of further 149 tumour growth and may not actively eliminate the tumour. This, and the redundancy of the 150 numerous angiogenesis inducers as listed above, explain why the utilisation of angiogenesis 151 inhibitors as a monotherapy has not proved to be as effective as initially expected [29]. Hence, 152 angiogenesis inhibitor therapeutic regimes may require a combination of several anti-angiogenic 153 strategies or may need to be complemented by other non-angiogenesis related chemotherapeutic 154 agents in order to achieve an optimal therapeutic effect [30].

155 Based on the target of the therapeutic agent, angiogenesis inhibition can be divided into two 156 main groups: direct and indirect inhibition [31]. Direct inhibitors target growing endothelial cells, 157 whilst indirect inhibitors target the tumour cells or tumour-associated stromal cells. Small 158 molecular fragments (for example, arrestin, tumstatin, canstatin, endostatin, and angiostatin) are 159 the products of proteolytic degradation of the extracellular matrix, and act as direct inhibitors by 160 means of inhibition of the endothelial cell proliferation and migration induced by VEGF-A, bFGF, 161 PDGF, and interleukins [32]. The direct anti-angiogenic effect of targeting integrins (cellular adhesion receptors), has also been demonstrated [32], and an integrin inhibitor, cilentigide, has 162 163 been shown to inhibit tumour cell invasion [33]. Unfortunately, even though cilentigide acts both 164 on tumour cells and endothelial cells and could be a prime example of multifactorial treatment, 165 results of clinical trials have proved disappointing so far [34].

166 The most extensively clinically used direct anti-angiogenic strategy targets VEGF-A or its 167 receptors. VEGF-A binds to its receptors to stimulate the proliferation of endothelial cells via the 168 RAS-RAF-MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase) signalling pathway [35]. Bevacizumab is a 169 humanised IgG1 monoclonal antibody against VEGF-A. It selectively binds to circulating VEGF-A, 170 preventing its interaction with its receptor, VEGF-receptor 2, expressed on the surface of 171 endothelial cells. Initial studies showed 172 clinical improvement when bevacizumab was used in combination with chemotherapy in a number 173 of cancers, without a marked increase in toxicity [36]. Subsequently it has been approved as part of 174 a combination therapy in the treatment of various cancers, including metastatic lung, colorectal, 175 and renal cell carcinoma, and as a single agent treatment in adult glioblastoma [37]. However, 176 subsequent studies have revealed adverse effects, including gastrointestinal perforation, nephrotic 177 syndrome, thromboembolism, surgical wound healing complications and hypertension [37,38].

178 In contrast, indirect angiogenesis inhibition involves an interplay between tumour or stromal 179 cells and angiogenesis. One example involves the inhibition of epidermal growth factor receptor 180 (EGFR), a tyrosine kinase receptor. Tumour cell expression and activation of EGFR induces 181 interleukin production, which is demonstrated to promote intratumoural angiogenesis. Thus, 182 blocking the expression and/or activity of EGFR can result in indirect inhibition of angiogenesis 183 [39].

To summarise, a number of anti-angiogenesis drugs have already been approved and are currently used in cancer treatment. This prompts the question whether angiogenesis plays any role in prostate cancer progression, and, if so, whether anti-angiogenic therapy would be effective in refractory castration-resistant prostate cancer, for which the current treatment options are limited.

188 3. Results

189 3.1. Angiogenesis in prostate cancer

Currently there are no direct markers to assess angiogenic activity in prostate cancer, but it is
 reasonable to assume that vascular density is an indicator of intratumoural angiogenic activity.
 Microvessel density [MVD] is considered a good surrogate marker of angiogenic activity and has
 been demonstrated as a prognostic factor in various tumours, including breast and colon cancers as

194 well as malignant melanoma [40]. MVD can be assessed by histological examination of the 195 vasculature, either by assessing the most vascularised area of the tumour ('hot spot') or a random 196 representative area. Preliminary data suggested that MVD is associated with higher tumour grade 197 and stage, and worse outcome in prostate cancer [41,42]. Also, ultrasound imaging studies of 198 haemodynamic indices have shown a higher peak intensity in high-grade tumours [43]. Later 199 studies, however have failed to confirm that MVD is an independent prognostic factor in untreated 200 tumours, and no correlation has yet been established between MVD and effectiveness of 201 anti-angiogenic treatment in prostate cancer [44]. Reasons for these conflicting results potentially 202 include different counting methods, diferences in antibodies used, different population sizes, 203 personal experience and pathological background [45]. A further limiting factor is the complex 204 geometrical structure of the newly fromed vascular system, which is difficult to analyse on a two 205 dimensional histological section [46]. Fractal geometry to estimate the surface dimension, computer 206 aided automated image analysis, 3D models or magnetic resonance imaging could potentially be 207 used to overcome these shortcomings, [46,47].

208 Another possible surrogate marker for tumour angiogenesis is by an assessment of the level of 209 angiogenic regulators in the tumour. Both physiological and pathological angiogenesis is 210 predominantly regulated by VEGF, which has various protein isoforms, each acting on their specific tyrosine kinase receptor at the cell surface [48]. Among the VEGF isoforms, VEGF-A has 211 212 been extensively studied, and it has been demonstrated to play an important role in prostate cancer 213 angiogenesis [49]. In addition, VEGF-A has been found to be overexpressed in prostate cancer, and 214 a high level of VEGF-A is associated with distant metastasis and a poorer prognosis [50-52]. 215 Furthermore, in prostate cancer a high-level VEGF-A expression has been found not only in 216 endothelial cells, but also in tumour cells [53].

217 These findings suggest that angiogenesis is important in prostate cancer, prompting 218 subsequent clinical studies to assess whether anti-angiogenesis therapy is effective in the treatment 219 of prostate cancer.

220 3.2. Anti-angiogenesis clinical studies in prostate cancer

An unfiltered Pubmed search for the keywords "angiogenesis" and "prostate" revealed a steady increase in published papers between 2000 and 2013 (from 70 per year in 2000 to 213 per year in 2013) followed by a slow decline (down to 115 in 2018). This appears to reflect the fact that, despite the promising findings of initial studies, suggesting an important role of angiogenesis in prostate cancer, phase III clinical trials, mainly conducted after 2010, have proved disappointing so far.

227 Since VEGF-A was demonstrated to be overexpressed in prostate cancer and associated with 228 poor prognosis and metastasis, most anti-angiogenic clinical studies in prostate cancer have 229 targeted VEGF-A. A randomised phase II trial on bevacizumab involving 99 patients with 230 hormone-sensitive prostate cancer showed improved relapse-free survival when bevacizumab was 231 used alongside hormone-deprivation therapy (Table 2) [54]. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III clinical study of 1050 patients with prostate cancer showed some 232 233 improvement in progression-free survival, but found no significant improvement in overall 234 survival in metastatic, castration-resistant prostate cancer, when bevacizumab was used together 235 with docetaxel chemotherapy and prednisone hormonal therapy [55]. Furthermore, bevacizumab 236 resulted in increased toxicity and a greater incidence of treatment-related deaths [55]. This suggests 237 that bevacizumab has some positive effect, especially on hormone-sensitive recurrent prostate 238 cancer, but in hormone-resistant refractory tumours, in which the conventional treatment options 239 are particularly prone to failure, adding bevacizumab treatment does not have any clinical benefit 240 (Table 2).

Aflibercept (a hybrid protein composed of various domains of VEGF-receptors 1 and 2, fused to human immunoglobulin G1) also targets the VEGF-A pathway, by acting as a decoy receptor for VEGF-A. Unfortunately, similar to bevacizumab, in a phase III multicentre, randomised double-blind placebo-controlled parallel group study in 1224 men with castration-resistant refractory tumours, aflibercept therapy combined with docetaxel chemotherapy and hormonal therapy did not show any improvement in overall survival [56].

Sunitinib and cediranib are small multireceptor molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors, with a demonstrated activity against VEGF-receptors 1 and 2. Sunitininb is approved for the treatment of gastrointestinal stromal tumour, renal cell carcinoma and pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours. However, in a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase III trial of sunitinib therapy combined with hormonal therapy in 873 patients with refractory castration-resistant prostate cancer, there was no improvement in overall survival compared to placebo [57].

Furthermore, these anti-VEGF-A therapies have been associated with an increased rate of toxicity and adverse effects, resulting in discontinuation of treatment (27% vs 7%) [57]. These toxic and adverse effects included fatigue, asthenia, hand-foot syndrome, hypertension, bowel perforation, pulmonary thromboembolism, and gastrointestinal bleeding, seen in both pre-clinical and clinical studies [58, 59]. In addition, treatment-related haematological problems also emerged in up to 20% of the patients, including lymphopenia, neutropenia, and anaemia [57].

259 Thalidomide is an immune-modulatory drug, which also has anti-angiogenic effects. 260 Lenalidomide is a more potent analogue of thalidomide, with less prominent side effects. The 261 mechanism of the anti-angiogenic effect of lenalidomide is not entirely elucidated, but appears to be 262 including inhibition VEGF-induced through multiple mechanisms, of 263 phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate (PI3K)-Akt pathway signalling [60]. Lenalidomide therapy 264 in non-metastatic prostate cancer in a phase I/II double-blinded, randomized study of 60 patients 265 resulted in stabilisation of the disease and a decline in PSA, with minimal toxicity [61]. A 266 randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III trial in 1059 patients with 267 castration-resistant refractory prostate cancer, however showed worse overall survival when 268 lenalidomide was added to prednisone, hormonal, and docetaxel chemotherapy, compared to the 269 placebo group [62]. There was also a 25% increase in adverse events, which included 270 haematological side effects (34% vs 20%), diarrhoea (7% vs 2%), pulmonary embolism (6% vs 1%), 271 and asthenia (5% vs 3%) [62].

272

Drug	Mechanism of action	Phase of the clinical trial	Number of patients	Outcome
Bevacizumab	Recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody that blocks VEGF-A	П	99	Improved relapse-free survival [54]
		Ш	1050	No improvement in overall survival [55]
Aflibercept	Binds to circulating VEGF-A	III	1224	No improvement

Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2019, 20, x FOR PEER REVIEW

				in overall survival [56]
Sunitinib	Receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor	Ш	873	No improvement in overall survival [57]
Lenalidomide	Multiple mechanisms, including inhibition of VEGF-induced PI3K-Akt pathway signalling	I/II	60	Disease stabilisation, decrease in PSA [61]
		Ш	1059	Worse overall survival [62]

273 Table 2. Anti-angiogenesis clinical studies in treatment of prostate cancer

274 To summarise, these findings suggest that anti-angiogenic therapy has no clinical benefit when

added to chemotherapy or hormonal therapy in refractory, castration-resistant prostate cancer.

276 4. Discussion

277 Clinical trials which showed an association between high VEGF-A expression and tumour 278 progression assessed VEGF-A protein levels by immunohistochemistry, ELISA methods or mRNA 279 levels by reverse-transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Despite high VEGF-A 280 expression in advanced prostate cancer using these methods, anti-angiogenic therapies targeting 281 the VEGF-A pathway have failed to provide significant treatment benefits [63,64]. There are various 2.82 possible explanations for resistance to anti-angiogenic therapy in prostate cancer. Redundancy of 283 angiogenic pathways means that targeting a single pathway may result in upregulation of 284 alternative pathways. For example, with long-term bevacizumab treatment, which blocks VEGF-A, 285 there is upregulation of EGF, HGF and PDGF [65]. Lindholm et al demonstrated in breast cancer 286 xenografts that targeting these pathways can be effective in anti-angiogenic therapy [66]. A 287 combination of different anti-angiogenic therapies in prostate cancer has also showed some 288 promising results: a phase II study of combined bevacizumab and lenalidomide therapy, added to 289 docetaxel and prednisone chemotherapy and hormonal therapy in 63 patients with metastatic 290 castration-resistant prostate cancer found that combined anti-angiogenic therapy can be safely 291 administered, but further randomised trials are required to confirm clinical benefit [67].

Another reason for treatment resistance is due to the fact that prostate cancer is a molecularly heterogeneous disease, and there is currently a lack of biomarkers that can help select those patients who are likely to benefit from anti-angiogenic therapy or that can assess response to anti-angiogenic treatment [48]. The genetic signature of the VEGF-A pathway or variations in VEGF-A or its receptors could be possible markers to predict therapy response, but these have as yet not been validated [68,69]. It is hoped that further stage III trials will be able to identify subgroups of patients who could benefit from anti-angiogenic treatment.

Resistance to sunitinib tyrosine-kinase-inhibitor has been shown to be associated with loss of the tumour suppressor protein phosphatase and tensin homolog [PTEN]. PTEN is a gatekeeper protein that negatively regulates intracellular levels of PI3K and consequently suppresses the PI3K-Akt pathway, which normally promotes cell survival and growth [70]. Reinstating PTEN activity, by suppression of the PI3K-Akt pathway in in vitro studies, has been shown to restore sensitivity to sunitinib in cancer cells [70]. Loss of PTEN activity is considered a key event in Deleted: angiogenic

Deleted: 60

Deleted: 1

prostate carcinogenesis, and reinstating PTEN activity in prostate cancer seems to be a promising 308 309 tool in overcoming sunitinib resistance. In addition, activation of the PI3K-Akt pathway in tumours 310 with PTEN deletion has been shown to be associated with repressed androgen signalling in prostate 311 cancer, while suppression of the PI3K-Akt pathway was demonstrated to activate androgen 312 receptor signalling [71,72]. In a similar way, suppression of the androgen signaling pathway 313 resulted in activation of the PI3K-Akt pathway [71]. This suggests that there is a cross-talk between 314 the androgen receptor and PI3K-Akt pathways, which would at least in part explain the 315 castration-resistant phenotype observed in tumours with PTEN deletion. Since activation of the 316 PI3-Akt pathway appears to play an important role in resistance to both sunatininb and 317 anti-androgenic therapy, suppression of the PI3K-Akt pathway could help overcome difficulties in 318 anti-angiogenic and anti-androgenic therapy. Recent preclinical studies on mouse models have 319 shown that targeted inhibition of the PI3K-Akt pathway in castration-resistant prostate cancer 320 resulted in both inhibited cancer cell proliferation and MVD [73,74]. Suboptimal results with 321 bevacizumab treatment may also relate to the interaction between the androgen receptor (AR) 322 signalling and angiogenic pathways. It has been long established that androgens upregulate 323 VEGF-A expression [75], although the mechanism of this is not entirely understood [76]. Most 324 recently, an interaction between epigenetic factors (Lysine specific demethylase 1 (LSD1), protein 325 arginine methyltransferase 5 (PRMT5)) [77,78], zinc-finger transcription factors (specificity protein 1 326 (Sp1), Wilms tumor gene 1 (WT1) early growth factor 1 (EGR1)) [76,79], different AR splice variants 327 [80] and hypoxia mediated by the hypoxia-inducable factor 1 α (HIF-1 α) [81] have emerged as 328 potential mechanisms for androgen-dependent VEGF-A regulation. Furthermore, AR has been 329 shown to regulate EGFR expression in prostate cancer cells. [82, 83] In addition to the role of EGFR 330 in indirect angiogenesis promotion through interleukin production, [39] it has also been 331 demonstrated to upregulate VEGF-A directly and through induction of HIF-1 α . [84, 85] (Figure 2)

332 The interaction and the importance of angiogenesis and hormonal therapy in tumour 333 progression have initiated a clinical trial implementing dual targeting of angiogenesis and 334 androgen signalling in hormone-sensitive tumours [54]. As discussed above, this phase II clinical 335 trial, which combined short-course androgen deprivation therapy with bevacizumab, improved 336 relapse free survival in recurrent, hormone-sensitive tumours. In addition, it has been 337 demonstrated that androgen deprivation by castration, causes hypoxia in prostatic tumour cells. 338 [86,87] Hypoxia consequently enhances the transcriptional activity of AR in prostatic tumour cells 339 at low androgen levels, such as seen in castration-resistant prostate cancer. [88] It has been 340 suggested that the activation of AR in hypoxic conditions is HIF-1 α mediated, [89] hence targeting 341 HIF-1 α could influence the AR stimulatory effect of hypoxia in castration-resistant prostate cancer. 342 Recently, dual targeting of HIF-1 α and AR pathways by HIF-1 α inhibitors and enzalutamide, a 343 second generation AR inhibitor, showed synergistic effect in castration-resistant prostate cancer cell 344 lines, also resulting in decreased VEGF-A levels [81]. In addition, suppression of Sp1 binding to 345 VEGF-A promoter resulted in significant reduction of VEGF-A level in castration-resistant prostate 346 cancer cells [79]. However, a better understanding of the mechanism of the interaction between 347 VEGF-A and AR is still needed to identify those patients who may benefit from dual targeting 348 therapy. [79, 90]

Deleted:



350

Figure 2. Interaction between angiogenic and androgen receptor pathways in **prostate cancer cells**. **Castration results in androgen depletion which causes hypoxia Hypoxia enhances the transcriptional activity of AR at low androgen levels, as seen in castration-resistant prostate cancer.** The activated androgen receptor promotes the overexpression of VEGF-A through HIF-1 α and Sp1 related mechanisms and also via regulation of EGFR expression<u>and upregulation of</u> **cytokins, mainly interleukin (IL) - 6.** [90]

357 Targeting VEGF-A also raises a further question: does inhibition of VEGF-A result in a pure 358 anti-angiogenetic effect? Interestingly, it has been shown that VEGF-A has different splice isoforms, 359 and these different isoforms can show pro- or anti-angiogenic functions. [91] In the terminal exon of 360 the VEGF-A gene, there are two alternative splice sites. Splicing at the proximal splice site results in 361 the canonical angiogenic VEGF165a isoform. Splicing at the distal splice site results in an alternative 362 splicing isoform VEGF165b, which has been found to have anti-angiogenic effect by inhibiting 363 vasodilation and reducing permeability [92, 93]. The level of the anti-angiogenic VEGF165b splice 364 variant has also been found to be decreased in cancer cells, compared to normal tissue cells. [93] 365 This means that, in cancer cells, there appears to be a shift towards the pro-angiogenic VEGF165a 366 splice variant at the expense of the anti-angiogenic VEGF_{165b} splice variant. The cause of this shift 367 has not been entirely elucidated, but nuclear receptor-coregulator complexes have been shown to 368 regulate splicing events, therefore aberrant recruitment of nuclear receptor-coregulator complexes 369 to the VEGF promoter to promote VEGF165a splicing has been suggested as a possible explanation 370 [48,94]. Current anti-VEGF-A therapies lack isoform specificity, as the epitope of bevacizumab 371 binds the N-terminal region of VEGF-A, which is present in all splice isoforms [95]. Thus, current 372 anti-angiogenic therapies targeting VEGF-A function may result in both inhibition and promotion 373 of tumour angiogenesis. However, the fact that the two isoforms appear to have different splice 374 sites and post-translational regulation, offers the possibility of selectively targeting specific 375 isoforms. Serine-arginine protein kinase 1 (SRPK1), a kinase that phosphorylates SR-protein, 376 appears to stimulate VEGF165a splicing, whilst VEGF165b splicing has been shown to be stimulated by 377 Clk1/4, a dual specific protein kinase [96-98]. Investigation with SRPK1 knocked-down cell lines 378 showed a shift towards the anti-angiogenic VEGF_{165b} isoform, while xenografts showed decreased

Deleted: ¶	
Deleted: hormone-sensitive tumours	
Deleted:	
Deleted: ,	
Deleted: which	
Deleted: activates	
Deleted: .	

11 of 17





Figure 3. Alternative splicing of VEGF-A. Splicing at the proximal splicing site (PSS) is stimulated
 by SRPK1 and results in the pro-angiogenic VEGF_{165b} splice variant. Clk1/4 stimulates splicing at the
 distal splicing site (DSS), which results in the anti-angiogenic VEGF_{165b} isoform.

393 Most current mainstream anti-angiogenic treatment therapies focus on direct angiogenesis 394 inhibition. A further possible treatment option is indirect inhibition of angiogenesis, targeting an 395 interplay between tumour or stromal cells and angiogenesis. The galectin family of proteins have 396 emerged as playing an important role in this interplay, facilitating tumour progression. Galectins 397 are β -galactoside-binding lectin proteins, which are overexpressed in various cancers and have 398 been associated with poor prognosis and tumour progression in prostate cancer [101]. In addition to 399 their intracellular function of promoting cell transformation and survival, galectins are also secreted 400 into the extracellular space. Here they interact with cell surface receptors, resulting in suppression 401 of the immune response and promotion of angiogenesis, likely by means of interaction with 402 VEGF-receptor2 [102,103]. Rabinovich and colleagues identified that prostate cancer shows a 403 unique galectin expression profile during cancer progression, and showed that galectin-1 is 404 uniquely expressed at high levels in advanced prostate cancer [104]. This makes galectin-1 a 405 potential target of angiogenesis therapy in advanced prostate cancer [105].

406

407 5. Materials and Methods

408 The literature review was conducted by a Pubmed literature search engine using a collection of 409 keywords with no restriction on publication date. The following word strings were used as 410 keywords: "angiogenesis" [All Fields]] AND ["prostatic neoplasms" [MeSH Terms] OR 411 ["prostatic" [All Fields] AND "neoplasms" [All Fields]] OR "prostatic neoplasms" [All Fields] OR ["prostate" [All Fields] AND "cancer" [All Fields]] OR "prostate cancer" [All Fields]. The search 412 413 results were subsequently filtered by article type, specifically clinical trials and review articles. 414 Abstracts were assessed for relevance with subsequent review of full text versions. Only phase II or 415 III studies were included. Studies cited by these articles, but not included in the algorithm, were 416 also manually scoped and were also subject of the review.

417 6. Conclusions

418 The association of MVD and overexpression of VEGF-A with tumour prognosis in prostate 419 cancer suggested that angiogenesis has an important role in prostate cancer progression. 420 Supplementation of hormonal manipulation and chemotherapy with anti-angiogenesis therapy in 421 hormone-sensitive prostate cancer showed some positive effect, further supporting the hypothesis 422 that angiogenesis is an important factor in prostate cancer. Despite this, clinical trials in refractory 423 castration-resistant prostate cancer hitherto have shown increased toxicity with no clinical benefit. 424 A better understanding of the mechanism of angiogenesis may help to understand the failure of 425 trials, possibly leading to targeted anti-angiogenic therapies in prostate cancer. These could include 426 identification of specific subgroups of patients who might benefit from therapies, targeting 427 tumour-suppressor genes that play a role in treatment resistance, or by identifying and selectively 428 targeting splice variants of VEGF-A.

- 429 Funding: Funding for this study was supported by grants from British Heart Foundation to SO430 (PG/15/53/31371), Diabetes UK to SO (17/0005668).
- 431 Acknowledgments: We wish to acknowledge Cornelia Szecsei for critical reading of the manuscript.
- 432 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest

433 References

- 434 1. National Cancer Institute: SEER Stat Fact Sheets: Prostate. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer
 435 Institute. Available from.https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/prost.html#prevalence [Accessed 10th
 436 March 2018]
- 437 2. American Cancer Society: Cancer Facts and Figures 2018. Atlanta, Ga: American Cancer Society,
 438 2018. Available from.
 439 https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancer-facts-and-statistics/annual-cancer-facts-
- 440 and-figures/2018/cancer-facts-and-figures-2018.pdf [Accessed 10th March 2018]
 441 3. Cancer Research UK. Prostate cancer incidence statistics [Internet]. 2014 Available from:
- http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/cancer-info/cancerstats/types/prostate/incidence/#age [Accessed 14th
 August 2018]
- 444 4. Zlotta AR, Egawa S, Pushkar D, et al. Prevalence of prostate cancer on autopsy: cross-sectional study on unscreened Caucasian and Asian men. J Natl Cancer Inst 2013, 105: 1050-1058.
- 4465.American Cancer Society: Cancer Facts and Figures 2012. Atlanta, Ga: American Cancer Society, 2012.447Availablefrom.
- 448https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancer-facts-and-statistics/annual-cancer-facts-449and-figures/2012/estimated-number-of-new-cancer-cases-and-deaths-by-sex-2012.pdf[Accessed 19th450August 2018]
- 451
 6. The National Cancer Registration Service, Eastern Office [Internet] Available

 452
 from http://www.ncras.nhs.uk/ncrs-east/ [Accessed 14th August 2018]
- Zelefsky MJ, Eastham JA, Sartor AO: Cancer of the prostate. In: DeVita VT Jr, Lawrence TS, Rosenberg
 SA: Cancer: Principles and Practice of Oncology. 9th ed. Philadelphia, Pa: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins,
 2011, pp. 1220-7121.
- PDQ Adult Treatment Editorial Board. Prostate Cancer Treatment (PDQ®): Patient Version. 2018 Apr 30.
 In: PDQ Cancer Information Summaries [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Cancer Institute (US);
 2002-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK65915/ [Accessed 19th August 2018]
- 459 9. Hamdy FC, Donovan JL, Lane JA, et al. 10-Year Outcomes after Monitoring, Surgery, or Radiotherapy for 460 Localized Prostate Cancer. N Engl J Med 2016, 375: 1415-1424.
- 461 10. Graham J, Kirkbride P, Cann K, Hasler E, Prettyjohns M. Prostate cancer:summary of updated NICE
 462 guidance. *BMJ* 2014, 8;348:f7524. doi:10.1136/bmj.f7524.
- Ragde H, Blasko JC, Grimm PD, et al. Interstitial iodine-125 radiation without adjuvant therapy in the treatment of clinically localized prostate carcinoma. *Cancer* 1997, *80*: 442-453.
- 465 12. Immediate versus deferred treatment for advanced prostatic cancer: initial results of the Medical
 466 Research Council Trial. The Medical Research Council Prostate Cancer Working Party Investigators
 467 Group. Br J Urol 1997, 79: 235-426.

482

- 468 13. James ND, de Bono JS, Spears MR, et al. Abiraterone for Prostate Cancer Not Previously Treated with
 469 Hormone Therapy. N Engl J Med 2017, 377: 338-351.
- 470
 14. Dearnaley DP, Mason MD, Parmar MK, et al.: Adjuvant therapy with oral sodium clodronate in locally advanced and metastatic prostate cancer: long-term overall survival results from the MRC PR04 and PR05 randomised controlled trials. *Lancet Oncol* 2009, *10*: 872-876.
- 473 15. H.I. Scher, K. Fizazi, F. Saad, M.E. Taplin, C.N. Sternberg, K. Miller, et al. Increased survival with 474 enzalutamide in prostate cancer after chemotherapy *N Engl J Med* **2012**, *367*: 1187-1197.
- Kantoff PW, Higano CS, Shore ND, et al. Sipuleucel-T immunotherapy for castration-resistant prostate
 cancer. N Engl J Med 2010, 363: 411-422.
- 477 17. Parker C, Nilsson S, Heinrich D, Helle SI, O'Sullivan JM, Fossa SD, et al. Alpha emitter radium-223 and 478 survival in metastatic prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med.* **2013**, *369*: 213–23. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1213755.
- 479 18. J.S. de Bono, S. Oudard, M. Ozguroglu, S.Hansen, J.P. Machiels, I. Kocak Prednisone plus cabazitaxel or 480 mitoxantrone for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer progressing after docetaxel treatment: a 481 randomised open-label trial. *Lancet*, 2010, 376 : 1147-1154.
- Fizazi K, Carducci M, Smith M, et al. Denosumab versus zoledronic acid for treatment of bone metastases
 in men with castration-resistant prostate cancer: a randomised, double-blind study. *Lancet* 2011, 377:
 813-822.
- 486 20. Oosterhof GO, Roberts JT, de Reijke TM, et al. Strontium (89) chloride versus palliative local field
 487 radiotherapy in patients with hormonal escaped prostate cancer: a phase III study of the European
 488 Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer, Genitourinary Group. *Eur Urol* 2003, 44: 519-26.
- 489 21. Fizazi K, Tran N, Fein L, et al. Abiraterone plus Prednisone in Metastatic, Castration-Sensitive Prostate
 490 Cancer. N Engl J Med 2017, 377: 352-360.
- 491 22. Rajabi M, Mousa SA. The Role of Angiogenesis in Cancer Treatment. *Biomedicines* **2017**, *21*;5(2).pii:E34.
- 492 23. Winkler F. Hostile takeover: how tumours hijack pre-existing vascular environments to thrive. J Pathol
 493 2017, 242: 267-272.
- 494 24. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation. *Cell* 2011, 144: 646 674.
- 495 25. Pavlakovic H, Havers W, Schweigerer L. Multiple angiogenesis stimulators in a single malignancy:
 496 Implications for anti-angiogenic tumour therapy. *Angiogenesis* 2001, 4: 259–262.
- 497 26. Kerbel, R.S. Tumor angiogenesis. N Engl J Med 2008, 358: 2039–2049
- 498 27. Gressett M, Shah SR Intricacies of bevacizumab-induced toxicities and their management. Ann
 499 *Pharmacother* 2009, 43: 490–501
- 500 28. Kamba T, McDonald D.M. Mechanisms of adverse effects of anti-VEGF therapy for cancer. Br J Cancer
 501 2007, 96: 1788–1795.
- 502 29. Ferrara N. VEGF as a therapeutic target in cancer. Oncology 2005, 69(Suppl. 3): 11–16.
- S03 30. Carmeliet P, Jain RK. Molecular mechanisms and clinical applications of angiogenesis. *Nature* 2011, 473:
 S04 298–307.
- 505 31. El-Kenawi AE, El-Remessy AB. Angiogenesis inhibitors in cancer therapy: Mechanistic perspective on 506 classification and treatment rationales. *Br J Pharmacol* **2013**, *170*: 712–729.
- 507 32. Mundel TM, Kalluri R. Type IV collagen-derived angiogenesis inhibitors. *Microvasc Res* 2007, 74: 85–89.
- S08 33. Kurozumi K, Ichikawa T, Onishi M, Fujii K, Date I. Cilengitide treatment for malignant glioma: current status and future direction. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)* 2012, *52*: 539-547.
- 510 34. Su J, Cai M, Li W, et al. Molecularly Targeted Drugs Plus Radiotherapy and Temozolomide Treatment for
 511 Newly Diagnosed Glioblastoma: A Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review. Oncol Res 2016, 24: 117-28.
- 512 35. Herbert SP, Stainier, DY. Molecular control of endothelial cell behaviour during blood vessel
 513 morphogenesis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2011, 12: 551–564.
- 514 36. Margolin K, Gordon SM, Holmgren E et al. Phase Ib trial of intravenous recombinant humanized
 515 monoclonal antibody to vascular endothelial growth factor in combination with chemotherapy in patients
 516 with advanced cancer: pharmacologic and long-term safety data. J Clin Oncol 2011, 19: 851–856.
- 517 37. Ferrara N, Adamis AP. Ten years of anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy. *Nat Rev Drug* 518 *Discovery* **2016**, *15*: 385-403.
- 519 38. Li M, Kroetz DL. Bevacizumab-induced hypertension: Clinical presentation and molecular 520 understanding. *Pharmacol Ther* **2018**, *182*: 152-160.

- 521 39. Minder P, Zajac E, Quigley JP, Deryugina EI. EGFR Regulates the Development and Microarchitecture of
 522 Intratumoral Angiogenic Vasculature Capable of Sustaining Cancer Cell Intravasation. *Neoplasia (New* 523 York, NY) 2015, 17: 634-649.
- 524 40. Sharma S, Sharma MC, Sarkar C. Morphology of angiogenesis in human cancer: a conceptual overview,
 525 histoprognostic perspective and significance of neoangiogenesis. *Histopathology* 2005, 46: 481-489.
- 526 41. Bono AV, Celato N, Cova V, Salvadore M, Chinetti S, Novario R. Microvessel density in prostate
 527 carcinoma. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis* 2002, 5: 123-127.
- 528 42. Borre M, Offersen BV, Nerstrom B, Overgaard J. Microvessel density predicts survival in prostate cancer
 529 patients subjected to watchful waiting *Br J Cancer* 1998, *78*: 940-944
- Jiang J, Chen Y, Zhu Y, Yao X, Qi J. Contrast-enhanced ultrasonography for the detection and characterization of prostate cancer: correlation with microvessel density and Gleason score. *Clin Radiol* 2011, 66: 732–737.
- 44. Tretiakova M, Antic T, Binder D, Kocherginsky M, Liao C, Taxy JB, Oto A.Microvessel density is not increased in prostate cancer: digital imaging of routine sections and tissue microarrays. *Hum Pathol* 2013, 44: 495-502.
- 536 45. Miyata Y and Saka, H. Reconsideration of the clinical and histopathological significance of angiogenesis
 537 in prostate cancer: Usefulness and limitations of microvessel density measurement. Int J Urol 2015, 22:
 538 806-815. doi:10.1111/jju.12840
- 539 46. Taverna G, Grizzi F, Colombo P, et al. Two-dimensional neovascular complexity is significantly higher in nontumor prostate tissue than in low-risk prostate cancer. *Korean J Urol* 2015, 56: 435–442.
 541 doi:10.4111/kju.2015.56.6.435
- 542 47. Taverna G, Grizzi F, Colombo P, Graziotti P. Is angiogenesis a hallmark of prostate cancer?. *Front Oncol* 543 2013; 3:15. doi:10.3389/fonc.2013.00015
- 48. de Brot S, Ntekim A, Cardenas R, et al. Regulation of vascular endothelial growth factor in prostate
 545 cancer. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2015, 22: R107-123.
- 546 49. Wong SY, Haack H, Crowley D, et al. Tumor-secreted vascular endothelial growth factor-C is necessary
 547 for prostate cancer lymphangiogenesis, but lymphangiogenesis is unnecessary for lymph node
 548 metastasis. *Cancer Research* 2005, 65: 9789–9798.
- 54950.Wegiel B, Bjartell A, Ekberg J, Gadaleanu V, Brunhoff C, Persson JL. A role for cyclin A1 in mediating the550autocrine expression of vascular endothelial growth factor in prostate cancer. Oncogene 2005, 24: 6385–5516393.
- 552 51. Green MM, Hiley CT, Shanks JH, et al. Expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in locally
 553 invasive prostate cancer is prognostic for radiotherapy outcome. *International Journal of Radiation* 554 Oncology, Biology, Physics 2007, 67: 84–90.
- 555 52. Duque JL, Loughlin KR, Adam RM, Kantoff PW, Zurakowski D, Freeman MR Plasma levels of vascular
 endothelial growth factor are increased in patients with metastatic prostate cancer. *Urology* 1999, 54: 523–
 557 527.
- 558 53. Hrouda D, Nicol DL, Gardiner RA. The role of angiogenesis in prostate development and the
 pathogenesis of prostate cancer. Urological Research 2003, 30: 347–355.
- 560 54. McKay RR, Zurita AJ, Werner L, et al. Randomized Phase II Trial of Short-Course Androgen Deprivation
 561 Therapy With or Without Bevacizumab for Patients With Recurrent Prostate Cancer After Definitive
 562 Local Therapy. J Clin Oncol 2016, 34: 1913-1920.
- 563 55. Kelly WK, Halabi S, Carducci M, et al. Randomized, double-blind,placebo-controlled phase III trial
 564 comparing docetaxel and prednisone with or without bevacizumab in men with metastatic
 565 castration-resistant prostate cancer: CALGB 90401. J Clin Oncol 2012, 30: 1534-1540.
- 566 56. Tannock IF, Fizazi K, Ivanov S, et al. Aflibercept versus placebo in combination with docetaxel and
 prednisone for treatment of men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (VENICE): a phase 3,
 568 double-blind randomised trial. *Lancet Oncology* 2013, 14: 760–768.
- 569 57. Michaelson MD, Oudard S, Ou YC, et al. Randomized, placebo-controlled, phase III trial of sunitinib plus
 570 prednisone versus prednisone alone in progressive, metastatic, castration-resistant prostate cancer. J Clin
 571 Oncol 2014, 32: 76-82.
- 572 58. Mangoni M, Vozenin MC, Biti G, Deutsch E Normal tissues toxicities triggered by combined 573 anti-angiogenic and radiation therapies: hurdles might be ahead. *British Journal of Cancer* **2012**, *107*: 308– 574 314.

- 575 59. Ogita S, Tejwani S, Heilbrun L, et al. Pilot Phase II Trial of Bevacizumab Monotherapy in Nonmetastatic
 576 Castrate-Resistant Prostate Cancer. ISRN Oncology 2012, 2012: 242850.
- 60. Ribatti D, Vacca A. New Insights in Anti-Angiogenesis in Multiple Myeloma. Int J Mol Sci 2018, 19. Pii:
 578 E2031.
- 579 61. Keizman D, Zahurak M, Sinibaldi V, et al. Lenalidomide in nonmetastatic biochemically relapsed prostate
 580 cancer: results of a phase I/II double-blinded, randomized study. *Clinical Cancer Research* 2010, 16: 5269–
 581 5276.
- 62. Petrylak DP, Vogelzang NJ, Budnik N, et al. Docetaxel and prednisone with or without lenalidomide in
 chemotherapy-naivepatients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (MAINSAIL):
 arandomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2015, *16*: 417-425.
- 585 63. Liu ZQ, Fang JM, Xiao YY, et al. Prognostic role of vascular endothelial growth factor in prostate cancer: a
 586 systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2015, 8: 2289–2298.
- 587 64. Wang K, Peng HL, Li LK. Prognostic value of vascular endothelial growth factorexpression in patients
 588 with prostate cancer: a systematic review withmeta-analysis. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2012, 13: 5665-9.
- 589 65. Scholz A, Harter PN, Cremer, S, et al.. Endothelial cell-derived angiopoietin-2 is a therapeutic target in treatment-naive and bevacizumab-resistant glioblastoma. *EMBO Mol Med* 2016, 8: 39–57.
- 591 66. Lindholm EM, Krohn M, Iadevaia S, et al. Proteomic characterization of breast cancer xenografts
 592 identifies early and late bevacizumab-induced responses and predicts effective drug combinations. *Clin* 593 *Cancer Res* 2014, 20: 404–412.
- 594 67. Madan RA, Karzai FH, Ning YM, et al. Phase II trial of docetaxel, bevacizumab, lenalidomide and prednisone in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *BJU Int* 2016, *118*: 590-597.
- 596 68. Brauer, M. J. et al. Identification and analysis of in vivo VEGF downstream markers link VEGF pathway
 597 activity with efficacy of anti-VEGF therapies. *Clin Cancer Res* 2013, 19: 3681–3692.
- 69. de Haas, S. et al. Genetic variability of VEGF pathway genes in six randomized Phase III trials assessing
 599 the addition of bevacizumab to standard therapy. *Angiogenesis*. 2014, 17: 909–920.
- Makhov PB, Golovine K, Kutikov A, et al. Modulation of Akt/mTOR signalling overcomes sunitinib
 resistance in renal and prostate cancer cells. *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics* 2012, *11*: 1510–1517.
- 602 71. Carver BS, Chapinski C, Wongvipat J, et al.Reciprocal feedback regulation of PI3K and androgen receptor
 603 signaling in PTEN-deficient prostate cancer. *Cancer Cell* 2011, 19: 575-86. doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2011.04.008.
- Kreisberg JI, Ghosh PM. Cross-talk between the androgen receptor and the phosphatidylinositol
 3-kinase/Akt pathway in prostate cancer. *Curr Cancer Drug Targets* 2007, 7: 591-604.
- 73. Yamamoto Y, De Velasco MA, Kura Y, et al. Evaluation of in vivo responses of sorafenib therapy in a preclinical mouse model of PTEN-deficient of prostate cancer. *J Transl Med* 2015, *13*: 150.
- 74. De Velasco MA, Kura Y, Yoshikawa K, Nishio K, Davies BR, Uemura H. Efficacy of targeted AKT
 inhibition in genetically engineered mouse models of PTEN-deficient prostate cancer. Oncotarget 2016, 7:
 15959-15976.
- 611 75. Sordello, S.; Bertrand, N.; Plouet, J. Vascular endothelial growth factor is up-regulated in vitro and in vivo by androgens. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun* 1998, 251: 287–290.
- 613 76. Eisermann K, Fraizer G. The Androgen Receptor and VEGF: Mechanisms of Androgen-Regulated
 614 Angiogenesis in Prostate Cancer. *Cancers (Basel).* 2017 9. pii: E32. doi: 10.3390/cancers9040032.
- 615 77. Kashyap, V.; Ahmad, S.; Nilsson, E.M.; Helczynski, L.; Kenna, S.; Persson, J.L.; Gudas, L.J.; Mongan,
 616 N.P.The lysine specific demethylase-1 (LSD1/KDM1A) regulates VEGF-A expression in prostate
 617 cancer.Mol Oncol 2013, 7, 555–566.
- 618 78. Deng, X.; Shao, G.; Zhang, H.; Li, C.; Zhang, D.; Cheng, L.; Elzey, B.; Pili, R.; Ratliff, T.; Huang, J.
 619 Proteinarginine methyltransferase 5 functions as an epigenetic activator of the androgen receptor to
 620 promote prostate cancer cell growth. Oncogene 2016, 36, 1223–1231.
- 621 79. Eisermann, K.; Broderick, C.J.; Bazarov, A.; Moazam, M.M.; Fraizer, G.C. Androgen up-regulates vascular
 622 endothelial growth factor expression in prostate cancer cells via an Sp1 binding site. *Mol Cancer* 2013, 12,
 623 7.
- 80. Antonarakis, E.; Armstrong, A.; Dehm, S.; Luo, J. Androgen receptor variant-driven prostate cancer:
 Clinical implications and therapeutic targeting. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis.* 2016, 19, 231–241.
- 626 81. Fernandez EV, Reece KM, Ley AM, et al. Dual targeting of the androgen receptor and hypoxia-inducible
 627 factor 1α pathways synergistically inhibits castration-resistant prostate cancer cells. *Mol Pharmacol.* 2015
 628 87:1006-12. doi: 10.1124/mol.114.097477.

- 82. Pignon JC, Koopmansch B, Nolens G, Delacroix L, Waltregny D, Winkler R.Androgen receptor controls
 630 EGFR and ERBB2 gene expression at different levels in prostate cancer cell lines. *Cancer Res.* 2009,
 631 69:2941-2949. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.
- 83. Zheng Y, Izumi K, Yao JL, Miyamoto H. Dihydrotestosterone upregulates the expression of epidermal
 growth factor receptor and ERBB2 in androgen receptor-positive bladder cancer cells. *Endocr Relat Cancer*.
 2011, 18: 451-464. doi: 10.1530/ERC-11-0010.
- 635 84. Tabernero J. The role of VEGF and EGFR inhibition: implications for combining anti-VEGF and636 anti-EGFR agents. *Mol Cancer Res.* 2007, 5:203-220.
- 637 85. Mabjeesh NJ, Willard MT, Frederickson CE, et al. Androgens stimulate hypoxia-inducible factor 1
 638 activation via autocrine loop of tyrosine kinase receptor/phosphatidylinositol 3'-kinase/protein kinase B
 639 in prostate cancer cells. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2003, 9: 2416–2425.
- 640 86. Shabsigh A, Ghafar MA, de la Taille A, et al. Biomarker analysis demonstrates a hypoxic environment in
 641 the castrated rat ventral prostate gland. *J Cell Biochem.* 2001, 81:437-444.
- 87. Halin S, Hammarsten P, Wikström P, Bergh A. Androgen-insensitive prostate cancer cells transiently respond to castration treatment when growing in an androgen-dependent prostate environment. *Prostate*.
 2007; 67:370-377.
- 645 88. Mitani T, Harada N, Nakano Y, Inui H, Yamaji R. Coordinated action of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α and
 646 β-catenin in androgen receptor signaling. J Biol Chem. 2012; 287:33594–33606. doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.388298
- 89. Horii K, Suzuki Y, Kondo Y, et al. Androgen-dependent gene expression of prostate-specific antigen is
 enhanced synergistically by hypoxia in human prostate cancer cells. *Mol Cancer Res.* 2007; 5:383-391.
- 649 90. Cereda V, Formica V, Roselli M. Issues and promises of bevacizumab in prostate cancer treatment. Expert
 650 Opin Biol Ther. 2018 Jun;18(6):707-717. doi: 10.1080/14712598.2018.1479737.
- Bates DO, Cui TG, Doughty JM, et al. VEGF165b, an inhibitory splice variant of vascular endothelial
 growth factor, is down-regulated in renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 2002, 62: 4123-4131.
- Woolard J, Wang WY, Bevan HS, et al.VEGF165b, an inhibitory vascular endothelial growth factor splice
 variant: mechanism of action, in vivo effect on angiogenesis and endogenous protein expression. *Cancer Research* 2001, 64: 7822–7835.
- 656 93. Oltean S, Gammons M, Hulse R, et al. SRPK1 inhibition in vivo: modulation of VEGF splicing and
 657 potential treatment for multiple diseases. *Biochemical Society Transactions* 2012, 40: 831–835.
- 4. Auboeuf D, Dowhan DH, Kang YK, et al/Differential recruitment of nuclear receptor coactivators may
 determine alternative RNA splice site choice in target genes. *PNAS* 2004, 101: 2270–2274.
- 95. Peach CJ, Mignone VW, Arruda MA, et al. Molecular Pharmacology of VEGF-A Isoforms: Binding and
 Signalling at VEGFR2. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 2018, 19: 1264.
- 662 96. Amin EM, Oltean S, Hua J, et al. WT1 mutants reveal SRPK1 to be a downstream angiogenesis target by
 663 altering VEGF splicing. *Cancer cell* 2011, 20: 768-780.
- Nowak DG, Woolard J, Amin EM, et al. Expression of pro- and anti-angiogenic isoforms of VEGF is
 differentially regulated by splicing and growth factors. *Journal of Cell Science* 2008, 121: 3487–3495.
- 8. Nowak DG, Amin EM, Rennel ES, et al. Regulation of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)
 8. splicing from pro-angiogenic to anti-angiogenic isoforms: a novel therapeutic strategy for
 8. angiogenesis. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2010, 285: 5532–5540.
- Mavrou A, Brakspear K, Hamdollah-Zadeh M, et al. Serine-arginine protein kinase 1 (SRPK1) inhibition
 as a potential novel targeted therapeutic strategy in prostate cancer. *Oncogene* 2015, 34: 4311-4319.
- 100. Mavrou A, Oltean S. SRPK1 inhibition in prostate cancer: A novel anti-angiogenic treatment through
 modulation of VEGF alternative splicing. *Pharmacol Res* 2016, 107: 276-281.
- 101. Van den Brûle FA, Waltregny D, Castronovo V, et al. Increased expression of galectin-1 in carcinoma-associated stroma predicts poor outcome in prostate carcinoma patients. *J Pathol* 2001, 193:80-87.
- 676 102. Stanley P. Galectin-1 Pulls the Strings on VEGFR2. *Cell* 2014, 156:625-626
- 103. Jaworski FM, Gentilini LD, Gueron G, et al. In Vivo Hemin Conditioning Targets the Vascular and Immunologic Compartments and Restrains Prostate Tumor Development. *Clin Cancer Res* 2017, 23:5135-5148. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-17-0112.
- 104. Diego J, Laderach, Lucas D, et al .A Unique Galectin Signature in Human Prostate Cancer Progression
 Suggests Galectin-1 as a Key Target for Treatment of Advanced Disease *Cancer Res* 2013, 73: 86-96; DOI:
 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-1260

683 105. Goud NS, Soukya PSL, Ghouse M, Komal D, Alvala R, Alvala M. Human Galectin-1
684 and its inhibitors: Privileged target for cancer and HIV. *Mini Rev Med Chem* 2019, doi:

10.2174/1389557519666190304120821

685 686

687



© 2019 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution [CC BY] license [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/].

688 689