

DR. PHILIP SYMONDS (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-6290-5417)

Article type : Original Article

Home energy efficiency and radon: an observational study Phil Symonds¹, David Rees³, Zornitza Daraktchieva³, Neil McColl³, Jane Bradley³, Ian Hamilton², Michael Davies¹

- 1. UCL Institute for Environmental Design and Engineering, Central House, 14 Upper Woburn Place, London, WC1H 0NN.
- 2. UCL Energy Institute, Central House, 14 Upper Woburn Place, London, WC1H 0NN.
- 3. Public Health England, Dosimetry Services Department, Centre for Radiation Chemical and Environmental Hazards, Chilton, Oxon, OX11 0RQ.

Corresponding author: p.symonds@ucl.ac.uk

Abstract

Exposure to radon gas is the second leading cause of lung cancer world-wide behind smoking. Changing the energy characteristics of a dwelling can influence both its thermal and ventilative properties, which can affect indoor air quality. This study uses radon measurements made in 470,689 UK homes between 1980 and 2015, linked to dwelling information contained within the Home Energy Efficiency Database (HEED). The linked dataset, the largest of its kind, was used to analyse the association of housing and energy performance characteristics with indoor radon concentrations in the UK. The findings show

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/ina.12575

that energy efficiency measures that increase the air tightness of properties are observed to have an adverse association with indoor radon levels. Homes with double glazing installed had radon measurements with a significantly higher geometric mean, 67% (95% CI: 44, 89) greater than those without a recorded fabric retrofit. Those with loft insulation (47%, 95% CI: 26, 69), and wall insulation (32%, 95% CI: 11, 53) were also found to have higher radon readings. Improving the energy performance of the UK's housing stock is vital in meeting carbon emission reduction targets. However, compromising indoor air quality must be avoided through careful assessment and implementation practices.

Keywords: Indoor air quality, radon, home energy efficiency, ventilation, big UK dataset, longitudinal study

Practical implications

This study has important implications for the need to ensure that appropriate measures are put in place to assess and address possible increases in radon exposure post-intervention. Whilst energy efficiency measures are likely to provide a net benefit in terms of energy savings and warmer homes, care should to be taken to mitigate against reductions in air quality when installing interventions that increase the air-tightness of homes. With regards to radon, increases in integrated population exposure will lead to a rise in radon related lung cancer rates. Energy efficiency interventions in radon affected areas should therefore be coupled with radon risk assessment strategies and monitoring to check that radon levels aren't negatively impacted. Efforts should be made, where necessary, to reduce high indoor radon concentrations to below the Public Health England target level of 100 Bq/m³.

Radon is a naturally occurring radioactive gas and has been identified as the second leading cause of lung cancer world-wide after tobacco smoking. It is estimated to cause between 3-14% of lung cancer deaths depending on average radon levels and smoking prevalence¹. In the UK, 1,100 annual deaths have been attributed to radon exposure in homes in a Public Health England (PHE) report², while a recent international study put the UK figure at 2,858 (95% CI: 219, 9,419)³. Radon is emitted from all soil and rock types at various concentrations and presents a continuous source of human radiation exposure⁴, though quick dilution in the atmosphere leads to low concentrations in open spaces. In enclosed spaces, however, concentrations can become relatively high as it enters through gaps and cracks in suspended floors, construction joints, or walls⁵.

In accordance with the Paris Climate Change Agreement, governments are committed to limiting global average temperature rise to well below 2 °C above pre-industrial levels⁶. To achieve this target, a variety of measures are required to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. These measures can be made both at source through, for example, increased generation of renewable energy and at point of use (e.g. through home energy efficiency (HEE) measures). The UK government has pledged to reduce GHG emissions by 80% (from the 1990 baseline) by 2050⁷. The domestic housing stock is one of the areas targeted, with HEE measures incentivised through schemes such as the Energy Company Obligation. A large body of evidence has been amassed in recent years on how such measures (if installed according to building regulations) can help reduce winter cold and indoor pollutant exposure resulting in a net gain in quality adjusted life years⁸. However, HEE measures installed without adequate purpose provided ventilation may result in adverse health impacts due to increased exposure to internally produced pollutants⁹.

As with other internally produced pollutants, the air tightening of buildings may inhibit radon from leaving the indoor environment or increase the stack effect, causing it to accumulate¹⁰. A recent modelling study indicated that increasing the airtightness of English homes (without providing compensatory ventilation) would increase indoor radon concentrations by around 60%, resulting in an annual burden of 4,700 life years lost and 278 deaths (at peak) per year¹¹. Whilst earlier empirical studies have investigated the impact of dwelling characteristics on indoor radon concentration measurements, they relied on smaller samples¹². The interaction between indoor radon levels and the presence of energy efficiency attributes of dwellings has been studied previously, with Gunby, et al. using data from a national radon survey^{13,14}. Associations were identified between indoor radon levels and the presence of double glazing and draught proofing using data from around 2,000 dwellings with property information provided by the occupiers. This paper uses a substantially larger dataset of greater coverage and over a longer time-scale than previous studies. This allows the relationship between various dwelling characteristics such as HEE interventions and indoor radon concentrations to be empirically derived for a UK setting.

2. Methods

This study involves the analysis of radon measurements in approximately 470,000 UK homes held by PHE, matched to dwelling characteristics recorded in the Homes Energy Efficiency Database (HEED). There were two main components to this analysis:

- 1) Dataset matching and processing
- 2) Statistical analysis and interpretation

The aim of this study is to investigate any relationships that may exist between dwelling characteristics and indoor radon concentrations with a particular focus on the impact of energy efficiency interventions which modify the building envelope. The addition of glazing, loft and wall insulation, and draught proofing are considered.

2.1 Datasets, matching and processing

2.1.1 Indoor radon measurements

PHE holds over 525,000 radon measurements recorded in UK homes made over the period between 1980 and 2015. These radon measurements were collected over several measurement campaigns conducted by PHE (and previously the Health Protection Agency (HPA) and National Radiological Protection Board (NRPB)) for a variety of purposes. All valid radon measurements made by PHE are in the database. Since the database was established, the main sources have been: national and regional surveys, aimed at establishing the distribution of indoor radon levels in the UK; targeted programmes, undertaken in areas of known higher radon risk and often including offers of free radon tests for householders; research programmes investigating specific aspects of indoor radon; and radon measurements purchased by individual householders, landlords and social housing providers. In some of the above cases, there is a deliberate bias towards obtaining measurements from areas of higher radon risk since that is where most of the higher individual exposures and risks are incurred and where intervention to reduce radon is most likely to be required. The dataset therefore has a known, deliberate bias towards high radon areas but includes over 150,000 radon measurements made in areas of lowest radon risk (outside "radon Affected Areas").

The measurement procedure is reported in greater detail elsewhere¹⁵. Briefly, measurements are usually made by two passive radon detectors (shown in Figure 1), placed by a member of the household (in accordance with instructions) in both the living room and an occupied bedroom. The detectors are left in place for three months and then returned to PHE who calculate an annual average household radon exposure (Bq/m³), which reflects typical occupancy patterns and seasonal corrections¹⁶. At the radon Action Level (200 Bq/m³), an average 3-month measurement is expected to have an uncertainty no greater than 15%, whilst

for measurements in the ranges 46-140 and 460-1400 Bq/m^3 , the acceptable uncertainty is $25\%^{17}$, which includes uncertainties relating to the occupancy patterns and seasonal corrections.



Figure 1. Passive monitors used by PHE to measure indoor radon concentrations.

2.1.2 The Homes Energy Efficiency Database (HEED)

The version of HEED used in this study comprises information on approximately 16.4 million UK dwellings and includes house-level characteristics such as age and type (e.g. detached, semi-detached, etc...). Uptake of HEE measures are also included such as the installation of loft and wall insulation, boiler replacement, draught proofing and the addition of double glazing. The data is broadly representative of the English housing stock, although flats are underrepresented¹⁸. There are also substantial amounts of data missing in HEED¹⁹. Information within the database used was compiled by the Energy Savings Trust and contains data collected between 1993 and 2016 from multiple sources; installers, industry accreditation bodies, energy suppliers, government-funded programs, local authorities and home surveys²⁰. Homes which have had multiple HEE interventions have multiple entries within HEED, meaning that energy efficiency retrofits can be tracked over time.

2.1.3 Data matching and processing

Radon measurements were corrected for average outdoor radon concentrations by subtracting 4 Bq/m³ from all indoor measurments. Negative measurements were removed from any subsequent analysis. The radon and HEED datasets were matched using the postal address of the property. After the matching process, the address of the property was removed and anonymized to leave postcode district (the first four characters). The match resulted in a sample size of 470,689 homes. For homes with multiple radon measurements (some 20,000 homes), the match was made to the earliest measurement chronologically. This allowed the analysis to focus on HEE, since a second radon measurement typically follows radon mitigation measures applied to the home. The dwelling postcode district was also used to match to urban/rural classification (rucomb) using Office for National Statistics data²¹.

Processing of the matched radon-HEED data was performed, such that only HEE interventions made prior to a radon measurement were classified as retrofit data. Given that the radon measurement programme began 13 years before HEED was initiated, only 15.6% (73,550) of the radon measurements follow any HEE intervention. Of these, the radon measurement follows the most recent HEED entry by an average of 3.8 years (1392.5 days). Figure 2 presents a histogram of the time in years after which the radon measurement followed a HEE retrofit. For cases where a radon measurement precedes the most recent HEE interventions, time invariant information (such as dwelling age and type) is used. However, information concerning HEE (such as wall insulation type) is treated as '*Missing Data*'.



Figure 2. Time in years that a radon measurement follows the most recent energy efficiency intervention made in a home.

2.2 Statistical analysis

Radon concentrations in homes are generally observed to be log-normally distributed²². Geometric means and standard deviations of indoor radon concentration have been calculated within groups of data to estimate radon variability between various dwelling characteristics. The following dwelling characteristics have been studied:

- i) Those considered invariant with time:
 - Dwelling type
 - Dwelling age
 - Wall type
 - Number of bedrooms
 - Tenure

- Government Office Region (GOR)
- Urban/rural class

ii) HEE measures:

- Wall insulation type
- Presence of draught stripping/proofing
- Loft insulation level
- Glazing type
- Heating system type

This paper focuses on HEE measures that modify the building envelope (fabric interventions) with results regarding heating system shown in Supporting Information A. The data allowed for analysis of the specific HEE measures (e.g. the thickness of loft insulation) and also the binary condition of whether a HEE measure had been installed or not, and their combination, and association with average radon levels.

3. Results

Radon measured in the full sample (470,689 homes) is observed to be log-normally distributed with a geometric mean of 46.6 Bq/m³ and an arithmetic mean of 96.0 Bq/m³ after subtracting for outdoor radon. Figure 3 shows the distribution of radon measurements for the full dataset.



Figure 3. Distribution of radon measurements (top) for the full radon-HEED dataset. The final bin is an overflow bin which contains all measurements above 600 Bq/m³. The lower plot shows the normalized histogram for the natural log of radon measurements fitted with a normal distribution (μ =3.8, σ =1.2). Dashed lines indicate thresholds at 20, 200, 1000 and 5000 Bq/m³ for guidance.

3.1 Radon and dwelling characteristics

The geometric means and standard deviations are presented as a function of dwelling characteristics in Table 1. As mentioned in the methods section, substantial amounts of dwelling information in HEED is classed as 'Missing Data'. For example, 42.7% of the homes are missing information on the type of dwelling. However, despite this, the matched dataset is a large enough to yield sufficiently sized samples. The data suggests that certain

dwelling characteristics appear to be associated with higher average radon measurements. Bungalows are observed to have significantly higher radon levels than other dwelling types, whilst flats have the lowest. Older dwellings, in particular those built pre-1900 tend to have higher radon concentrations and those built with sandstone or granite and whinstone walls have particularly high levels. These findings are consistent with those reported by Gunby, et al.¹³. There is limited linked data for newer dwellings (only 164 dwellings built post-2003) due to the fact that newer homes are less likely to have undergone HEE retrofit. In terms of dwelling tenure, homes in the 'Other' category have the highest radon levels, although this is only a relatively small sample (~700 homes), which includes multi-ownership properties such as care homes, second homes and holiday rentals. Council and social housing properties are observed to have lower radon levels²³ – this may be because this tenure type is composed of a higher proportion of flats with no contact with the ground. Number of bedrooms appears to have little influence on radon levels. Radon concentrations by geographic location (region) and urban/rural class are shown in Supporting Information B.

Dwelling	N homes (%	Arith. Mean	Geo. Mean	Geo. Std. dev.		
variant	Total)	(Bq/m^3)	(Bq/m^3)			
All Homes	470689	96.0	46.6	3.2		
Dwelling type						
Missing Data	201032 (42.7)	96.5	46.2	3.2		
End-Terrace	14522 (3.1)	93.1	44.3	3.2		
Mid-Terrace	27747 (5.9)	82.8	40.7	3.2		
Semi-detached	65772 (14.0)	77.4	39.6	3.1		
Detached	101919 (21.7)	100.3	49.5	3.2		
Flat	12995 (2.8)	79.5	36.8	3.3		
Bungalow	46691 (9.9)	123.9	63.0	3.1		
Age band						
Missing Data	232162 (49.3)	96.2	46.1	3.2		
Pre-1900	21222 (4.5)	128.3	61.9	3.2		
1900-1929	17434 (3.7)	100.5	49.3	3.2		
1930-1949	23590 (5.0)	87.7	45.5	3.1		
1950-1966	40219 (8.5)	85.6	43.1	3.1		
1967-1975	51435 (10.9)	94.3	45.6	3.2		
1976-1982	16684 (3.5)	92.3	44.6	3.2		
1983-1990	17947 (3.8)	92.7	48.3	3.0		
1991-1995	9847 (2.1)	86.2	43.5	3.1		
1996-2002	3112 (0.7)	78.2	43.8	2.9		
2003-2006	99 (0.0)	103.2	47.6	3.2		
Post-2006	65 (0.0)	76.3	47.7	2.8		
Unknown	36873 (7.8)	100.8	48.5	3.2		
Wall type						
Missing Data	279291 (59.3)	96.3	46.3	3.2		
Granite and	2060 (0.4)	134.8	57.7	3.7		
Whinstone						
Sandstone	12142 (2.6)	149.2	75.9	3.1		
Solid Brick	17145 (3.6)	92.4	46.3	3.2		
Cavity	131593 (28.0)	92.6	45.1	3.2		
Modern Timber	2912 (0.6)	87.9	43.6	3.4		
Frame						
Unknown Walls	25231 (5.4)	84.9	46.8	2.9		
System Built	315 (0.1)	76.8	24.9	4.2		
Number of bedrooms						
Missing Data	206979 (44.0)	96.1	46.2	3.2		
1	14333 (3.0)	91.8	43.4	3.3		
2	52399 (11.1)	102.2	49.8	3.3		
3	115160 (24.5)	92.7	45.5	3.2		
4	43523 (9.2)	98.9	49.5	3.1		
5+	16688 (3.5)	102.3	50.2	3.2		
Unknown	21607 (4.6)	89.8	43.7	3.2		
Tenure						
Missing Data	216824 (46.1)	95.7	46.1	3.2		
Owner	193712 (41.2)	100.9	49.4	3.2		

Occupied				
Privately	11009 (2.3)	90.7	41.9	3.3
Rented				
Rented from	10128 (2.2)	69.8	35.2	3.2
Local Authority				
Rented from	13035 (2.8)	72.8	39.0	3.0
Housing				
Association				
Social Housing	2280 (0.5)	66.4	33.6	3.2
Other	729 (0.2)	125.6	59.0	3.1
Unknown	22972 (4.9)	87.3	42.7	3.2

Table 1. Geometric means and standard deviations for radon measurements grouped by dwelling type, age, wall type, number of bedrooms and tenure. 'Missing Data' refers to there being no entry in HEED or the radon measurement being pre-HEED intervention, whereas 'Unknown' was flagged up this way by the building surveyor/data entry professional.

3.2 Radon and energy efficiency measures in homes

The full dataset was grouped by various HEE measures installed within homes (inclusive of all other interventions). The results presented in Table 2 indicate that homes that have undergone HEE interventions, tend to have higher average indoor radon measurements than those without. Homes with either a filled cavity or external insulation have higher radon levels than those with insulation as built. Note that the sample is small in the case for external insulation making it hard to draw firm conclusions regarding this intervention. Homes having any level of loft insulation all have higher radon levels than those with none. Double glazed homes are observed to have higher radon levels than those with only single glazing, whilst draught proofing appears to have little influence. Additional results showing radon measurements by heating system type are presented in Supporting Information A.

EE dwelling	N homes (%	Arith, Mean	Geo. Mean		
variant	Total)	(Ra/m^3)	(Ba/m^3)	Geo Std dev	
All Homes	470689	96 0	46.6	3.2	
Wall Insulation	Tvne	90.0	10.0	5.2	
Missing Data	385771 (82.0)	90.9	44.7	3.2	
External					
Insulation	138 (0.0)	132.3	59.5	3.6	
Filled Cavity	22495 (4.8)	151.9	67.8	3.5	
Insulation as					
Built	58830 (12.5)	106.3	52.2	3.2	
Insulation					
Unknown	3412 (0.7)	123.1	59.2	3.2	
Hybrid					
Insulation	33 (0.0)	110.0	47.0	4.2	
Draught Proofin	ng				
Missing Data	422209 (89.7)	92.3	45.0	3.2	
None	36583 (7.8)	120.6	60.5	3.2	
Adequate	11897 (2.5)	153.6	71.1	3.4	
Loft Insulation	Level				
Missing Data	426912 (90.7)	91.0	44.9	3.2	
Unknown	6541 (1.4)	128.4	63.3	3.3	
None	5198 (1.1)	113.1	52.3	3.4	
1 – 24mm	377 (0.1)	165.3	77.3	3.4	
25 – 49mm	1172 (0.2)	162.9	72.5	3.4	
50 – 74mm	2476 (0.5)	140.6	67.9	3.4	
75 – 99mm	2818 (0.6)	169.6	77.0	3.4	
100 – 149mm	4168 (0.9)	153.0	71.7	3.4	
150 – 199mm	3249 (0.7)	143.3	67.2	3.4	
200 – 249mm	2049 (0.4)	153.2	66.4	3.6	
250 – 299mm	15245 (3.2)	154.2	72.8	3.4	
300mm+	484 (0.1)	122.9	54.7	3.4	
Glazing Type					
Missing Data	408526 (86.8)	91.0	44.7	3.2	
Unknown	14898 (3.2)	88.0	48.3	2.9	
Single Glazed	14629 (3.1)	103.3	51.9	3.2	
Double Pre-					
2002	22234 (4.7)	147.7	68.7	3.4	
Double Post-	10200 (2.2)	102 (
2002	10399 (2.2)	183.6	85.0	5.3	

Table 2. Geometric means and standard deviations for radon measurements grouped by HEE measures for wall insulation, draught proofing, loft insulation and glazing type inclusive of other HEE measures.

^{*}Double glazing is split into pre- and post-2002 to reflect the change in building regulations²⁴.

3.3 Independent and combinations of energy efficiency measures

The results presented in section 3.2 includes homes inclusive of other energy efficiency interventions. In order to investigate the influence of individual and specific combinations of HEE measures, the data has been grouped for all combinations of intervention. To improve sample sizes, interventions are now classed as a binary variable (either present or not). Table 3 presents the geometric means and standard deviations for independent HEE interventions. The results here, support findings presented in the previous section. Double glazing (Glz) is the intervention that has the single greatest influence on indoor radon, followed by the addition of loft (LI) and wall insulation (WI). Draught proofing (DP) appears to have less of an association with indoor radon and may in fact be associated with reduced levels in some cases, although this sample suffers from low statistics.

Various combinations of HEE intervention are shown in Table 4 and histograms showing normalised distributions of ln(radon measurement) for a variety of HEE measures are shown in Figure 4. The results seem to suggest that interventions have a cumulative effect on radon levels, since a combination of all HEE interventions (DP+Glz+LI+WI), yield the highest geometric mean.

Quantile-Quantile (Q-Q) plots are shown in Figure 5 which test the log-normality of the measured distributions. Comparisons are made between retrofit sub-samples for glazing, loft and wall insulation and draught proofing and the full dataset. Dashed horizontal lines indicate radon concentrations of 20, 200, 1000 and 5000 Bq/m³, respectively. Deviations from log-normality are observed at the lower end and tail of the distributions. These deviations are well understood and have been described elsewhere²⁵.

Dotrofit	N homes	Arith. Mean	Geo. Mean	Geo.	% change from 'No Recorded Patrofit' case
	(70 I Utal)	(bym)	(bym)	Stu. uev.	Kellont case
Dwellings	470689	96.0	46.6	3.2	NA
No Recorded	419754				
Retrofit	(89.2)	89.4	44.4	3.2	NA
Draught					
Proofing					
(DP)	346 (0.1)	98.7	49.7	3.2	12 (-8, 32)
Double	6899				
Glazing (Glz)	(1.5)	159.3	74.1	3.4	67 (44, 89)
	8138				
Loft Ins (LI)	(1.7)	132.0	65.5	3.3	47 (26, 69)
Wall Ins	6583				
(WI)	(1.4)	133.2	58.6	3.5	32 (11, 53)

Table 3. Geometric means and standard deviations for radon measurements grouped by independent HEE interventions.

					% change from
Retrofit	N homes	Arith. Mean	Geo. Mean	Geo.	'No Recorded
combination	(% Total)	(Bq/m ³)	(Bq/m^3)	Std. dev.	Retrofit' case
	1784				
DP+Glz	(0.4)	124.1	59.8	3.4	35 (13, 56)
DP+LI	850 (0.2)	133.6	61.8	3.6	39 (17, 61)
DP+WI	124 (0.0)	107.6	49.6	3.4	12 (-9, 32)
	4278				
DP+Glz+LI	(0.9)	159.8	72.3	3.5	63 (40, 85)
DP+Glz+WI	786 (0.2)	136.5	63.7	3.3	43 (22, 65)
DP+LI+WI	464 (0.1)	133.7	58.8	3.3	32 (12, 53)
DP+Glz+LI+	3794				
WI	(0.8)	170.7	80.7	3.3	82 (58, 105)
	5933				
Glz+LI	(1.3)	164.4	76.6	3.4	72 (50, 95)
	2215				
Glz+WI	(0.5)	159.9	67.0	3.5	51 (29, 73)
	4588				
Glz+LI+WI	(1.0)	175.8	79.6	3.4	79 (56, 103)
	4153				
LI+WI	(0.9)	139	63.2	3.5	42 (21, 64)

Table 4. Geometric means and standard deviations for radon measurements grouped by various combinations of HEE interventions. Abbreviations: DP - draught proofing, Glz - Glazing, LI - loft insulation, WI - wall insulation.



Figure 4. Normalised histograms showing ln(radon measurement) for independent (top) and



combinations (bottom) of HEE measures. Abbreviations: DP - draught proofing, Glz -Glazing, LI – loft insulation, WI – wall insulation.

5000

1000

200

2

5000

1000

00

2

All Dwellings

All Dwellings

All Dwellings fit

.

. LL

2 0 Theoretical Quantiles

LI fit

ž

WI fit

ż

. WI

-2 o Theoretical Quantiles

All Dwellings fit

4

4. Discussion

This study shows that HEE measures that modify the building envelope and increase the air tightness of dwellings can have an adverse association with indoor radon levels. Double glazing was observed to have the single largest link with geometric mean of radon measurements, 67% (95% CI: 44, 89) higher than the 'no recorded retrofit' case, closely followed by the addition of loft insulation (47%, 95% CI: 26, 69) and wall insulation (32%, 95% CI: 11, 53), whilst the association with draught proofing was less clear (12%, 95% CI: - 8, 32). Multiple interventions appear to have a cumulative relationship with indoor radon, with homes having glazing combined with both loft and wall insulation having some of the highest levels, with a geometric mean 79% (95% CI: 56, 103) higher than homes with no recorded retrofit. Previous studies have shown that fabric retrofits can reduce air infiltration rates by anywhere between 24-71%²⁸. These studies all had small sample sizes and to date there has not been any large-scale indoor air quality monitoring campaign and further research is required in this important subject area.

Our findings are consistent with those published by Gunby, et al. and indicate that dwellings with certain characteristics are more susceptible to higher radon levels¹³. We find that bungalows were observed to have the highest average indoor radon measurements, whilst flats had the lowest. One might expect bungalows to have higher concentrations since both radon detectors will have been in ground floor rooms, where radon levels tend to be higher compared with the typical upstairs location of the bedroom in most houses. Flats meanwhile do not always have a direct connection with the ground floor in which case radon may only enter indirectly. Location may also have an influence with flats predominantly being in less radon-prone urban areas. Older dwellings, particularly those built pre-1900 with sandstone or

granite and whinstone walls appear to be at a greater risk to high radon levels. Cracks in the foundations of older dwellings may have developed allowing radon to enter home more freely. Another possible reason may be that a higher proportion of older dwellings are built with basements and cellars. They also tend to have suspended wooden floors rather than concrete foundations which means that radon is able to enter the home more easily from the underlying soil.

Our results are in general agreement with findings in previous work which used a smaller dataset (N~40,000), and showed that homes with double glazing have radon concentrations 66% higher than those without²⁹, similar studies exist in France and Switzerland^{30,31}. Our findings add weight to previous *modelling* work which showed that the air tightening of the English housing stock could raise radon levels by an average of $57\%^{11}$. Milner et al. went on to show that this increase could result in an annual burden of 4,700 life years lost and 278 deaths (at peak). A greater level of public understanding surrounding the risks associated with radon exposure is required^{1,32,33}. Home owners and HEE installers in radon Affected Areas³⁴ should be aware of these risks and consider radon risk assessment/monitoring when perfoming a retrofit.

Fabric retrofits are intended to increase the thermal resistance of the building envelope; however, they also reduce ventilation rates allowing radon and other internally produced pollutants to accumulate. The resulting reduced airflow rates due to insulation may lead to maintaining a negative pressure gradient between indoors and outdoors which draws in more air through the floor. Draught proofing may have less of a modifying effect, since as well as preventing radon from leaving homes, it may in some cases act as a barrier to radon's entry. For example, if the retrofit involves installing hardboard across timber floors and applying

sealing to skirting, this may have a beneficial impact on radon levels, whilst other types of draught proofing may be detrimental. HEED does not provide data on the types of draught proofing that were installed in homes which makes drawing any firm conclusions difficult. In the UK, building regulations introduced in 2002 prescribe the levels of ventilation required to maintain a healthy indoor environment³⁵. Purpose provided ventilation (PPV) such as trickle vents and extract fans may be used to reach these minimum ventilation requirements. Mechanical Ventilation with Heat Recovery (MVHR) systems may also provide an effective means of preventing the build-up of harmful pollutants indoors.

4.1 Strengths, limitations and future work

The main strength of this study is that it uses empirical data from a large sample (470,689) of UK homes measured over a long period of time (1980-2015). It is the largest dataset of its kind and allows radon measurements from subsets of dwellings to be analysed without the statistical limitations of smaller more controlled experiments. The analysis, however, wasn't without challenge and it is important to note, that despite that large sample size, a large amount of uncertainty remains due to this being a natural experiment over a long time-frame.

HEED contains only limited information on dwellings, a large proportion of which was missing (particularly for dwellings that had only undergone minor retrofit such as to lighting or heating controls). All homes with a radon measurement made prior to a HEED intervention are included in the 'No Recorded Retrofit' sample used for base comparison. It is assumed that the majority of the homes in the 'No Recorded Retrofit' sample have not had a HEE retrofit applied, which may be justified, since the average year in which radon measurements were made for this data sample is 1996 and HEE uptake did not become common place in the UK until the early 2000s¹⁸. Whilst HEED is estimated to have captured

the majority of HEE activity during the period in question, unreported HEE retrofits including those made prior to HEED, changes in occupant behavior over time and other extraneous factors are likely to have introduced some hard to quantify uncertainties in to our results. In the case of occupant behavior, for example, occupants of homes with HEE measures may behave differently to those without and behaviors are likely to have changed over the monitoring period. Within the dataset there may also be biases regarding the types of homes that perform energy efficiency upgrades. Small sub-sample sizes combined with confounding variables is the probable reason for some of the counter intuitive results observed in this study. Particularly in relation to combinations of measures with draught proofing where in some instances it appears DP is associated with lower geometric means, while in other cases they are not.

The National Energy Efficiency Database (NEED), which uses statistical techniques to determine missing data in HEED, may help address the issue of missing data. More detailed information such as on the presence of PPV and suspended floors would have helped answer further questions. In cases where there were multiple radon measurements for a dwelling, HEED information was matched to the first measurement. Whilst this allowed the impact of HEE interventions to be investigated, it meant that homes having radon mitigation following a high radon reading were not considered. Future work, will seek to examine how radon mitigation measures modify exposure on top of HEE interventions. There may also be opportunities to identify homes for follow up radon measurements where a measurement was made prior to, but not after retrofit.

As this was an observational study, it was not possible to control for various confounding variables. Unlike other studies²⁹, the required geographic location of homes to determine their underlying geology (radon potential) was not available. There is a known bias in the dataset towards higher radon areas (i.e. most (51%) in the south west of England) due to the nature of the radon measurement campaigns conducted by PHE. An increase in geometric mean with year of radon measurement has been observed, with those measured post-2000 within the 'No Recorded Retrofit' base sample, having a geometric mean 33% higher than those pre-2000. This may be partly attributed to this known bias in the radon measurement database, in particular the distribution over time of national and locally targeted surveys which may both introduce significant influences on the apparent trend over time. This bias has an important influence on the association of retrofit with radon, since retrofit subsamples have on average more recent radon measurements than the 'No Recorded Retrofit' sample. This should be explored further, potentially by analysing the distribution of radon measurements over time in bands of radon potential. This may identify whether the bias of the dataset towards properties in areas of elevated radon risk is a significant source of the apparent increase over time. The Wrixon, et al. study remains the only nationally representative analysis of radon exposure¹⁴ and is a study that needs repeating given how much has changed in the housing stock over that time. There is also limited data for homes built post-2003 because few of these have retrofits. Future measurement campaigns could potentially target areas and dwelling types where data is currently sparse.

5. Conclusions

The matched Radon-HEED dataset has provided a rich resource to observe, at a national level, how indoor radon concentrations vary with an increasingly energy efficient housing stock. The findings suggest that homes that have undergone certain fabric energy efficient

retrofits are likely to have higher indoor radon concentrations than those without, which is likely to have consequences for other indoor pollutants. Double glazed windows were observed to have the largest association with indoor radon levels, 67% (95% CI: 44, 89) higher than dwellings with no recorded retrofit, whilst loft (47%, 95% CI: 26, 69) and wall insulation (32%, 95% CI: 11, 53) also have relatively strong associations. With an ever more energy efficient stock, this could result in a substantial rise in integrated population exposure and hence, radon related lung cancer rates. This implies the importance of radon risk assessment and monitoring in conjunction with HEE improvements, especially in radon Affected Areas. The data matching process has helped identify homes that may be subject to further study. Obtaining additional radon measurements following a retrofit (where a prior radon measurement already exists) coupled with modelling work will further enhance our understanding of the relationship between HEE and indoor radon levels. This paper does not seek to discourage the installation of HEE measures. On the contrary, the UK must meet its carbon emission commitments to help mitigate anthropogenic warming of the climate and doing so whilst reducing exposure to indoor air pollutants will offer both climate change and health benefits.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Centre for Radiation, Chemical and Environmental Hazards at Public Health England for providing us with the radon data and their involvement in matching the data to HEED and interpreting the results.

The research was funded by the Wellcome Trust for the 'Complex Urban Systems for Sustainability and Health' (CUSSH) project [award codes 205207/Z/16/Z and 209387/Z/17/Z] and the National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit (NIHR HPRU) [HPRU-2012-10016] in Environmental Change and Health at the

London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine in partnership with Public Health England (PHE), and in collaboration with the University of Exeter, University College London, and the Met Office. It was also supported by the EPSRC funded Centre on Research for Energy Demand Solutions [EP/R035288/1] and the EPSRC CBES Platform Grant [EP/P022405/1]. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, the Department of Health and Social Care or Public Health England.

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.

References

- 1. WHO, Radon and health. 2009. [Online]. Available: http://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/radon-and-health. [Accessed 07 2018].
- 2. AGIR, Radon and public health. Public Health England. London. 2009.
- 3. Gaskin J, Coyle D, Whyte J and Krewski D, Global Estimate of Lung Cancer Mortality Attributable to Residential Radon. *Environ Health Perspect;* vol. 126.:5. 2018.
- 4. Chen J and Ford K, A study on the correlation between soil radon potential and average indoor radon potential in Canadian cities, *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity;* vol. 166: pp. 152-156. 2017.
- 5. Nazaroff W and Nero AJ, Radon and its decay products in indoor air. *United States: John Wiley and Sons, Incorporated.,* 1988.
- 6. UNFCCC, Paris Agreement. Paris. 2015.
- 7. UKCCC, UK Climate Change Act. London. 2008.
- 8. Hamilton I, Milner J, Chalabi Z, Payel D, Jones B and Shrubsole C, Health effects of home energy efficiency interventions in England: a modelling study. *BMJ Open;* vol. 5:e007298. 2015.
- 9. Shrubsole C, Macmillan A, Davies M and May N, 100 Unintended consequences of policies to improve the energy efficiency of the UK housing stock. *Indoor Built Environ.;* vol. 23:340–52. no. doi:10.1177/1420326X14524586, 2014.
- 10. Diallo T, Collignan B and Allard F, Analytical quantification of air flows entering through building substructures. *Building Simulation;* vol. 6: 81e94. 2013.
- 11. Milner J, Shrubsole C, Das P, Jones B, Ridley I, Chalabi Z, Hamilton I, Armstrong B, Davies M and Wilkinson P, Home energy efficiency and radon related risk of lung cancer: modelling study. *BMJ;* Vols. 348:7493, http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/b. 2014.
- Hunter N, Muirhead CR, Miles JCH and Appleton JD, Uncertainties in radon related to house-specific factors and proximity to geological boundaries in England. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry;* vol. 136: 1: pp. 17–22. https://doi.org/10.1093/rpd/ncp148. 2009.

13. Gunby J, Darby S, Miles J, Green B and Cox D, Factors affecting indoor radon concentrations in the United Kingdom. *Health Phys.;* Vols. 64(1):2-12, 1993.

- Wrixon A, Green B, Lomas P, Miles J, Cliff K, Francis E, Driscoll C, James A and O'Riordan M, Natural radiation exposure in UK dwellings. NRPB-R190. London. HMSO. 1988.
- PHE, Radon Measurement Instructions. 2013. [Online]. Available: http://www.ukradon.org/cms/assets/gfx/content/resource_3452cs66121d1f78.pdf. [Accessed 30 7 2018].
- 16. Miles J, Appleton J, Rees D, Green B, Adlam K and Myers A, Indicative Atlas of Radon in England and Wales. Chilton HPA-RPD-033. 2007.
- 17. PHE, Validation schemes for organisations making measurements of *Radon in UK buildings: 2018 revision* 2018.
- Hamilton I, Shipworth D, Summerfield AJ, Steadman P, Oreszczyn T and Lowe R, Uptake of energy efficiency interventions in English dwellings. *Building Research and Information;* vol. 42:3:pp. 255–275. https://doi.org/10.1080/09613218.2014.86, 2014.
- 19. Hamilton I, Steadman P, Bruhns H, Summerfield AJ and Lowe R, Energy efficiency in the British housing stock: Energy demand and the Homes Energy Efficiency Database. *Energy Policy;* Vols. 60: 462–480. https://doi.org/10.1. 2013.
- 20. EST, HEED Online 2—Full Technical Guide 2.0. Energy Saving Trust. London, UK. 2011.
- 21. ONS, 2011 rural/urban classification. Office for National Statistics. 2011. [Online]. Available:

https://www.ons.gov.uk/methodology/geography/geographicalproducts/ruralurban classifications/2011ruralurbanclassification. [Accessed 01 08 2018].

- 22. PHE, Radon in Homes in England: 2016 Data Report. PHE-CRCE-032. Didcot, UK. 2016.
- 23. Kendall GM, Miles JC, Rees D, Wakeford R, Bunch KJ, Vincent TJ and Little MP, Variation with socioeconomic status of indoor radon levels in Great Britain: The less affluent have less radon. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity;* vol. 164: no. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2016.07.001. pp. 84-90. 2016.
- 24. BRE, Building Regulations Part L. 2013.
- 25. Daraktchieva Z, Miles JCH and McColl N, Radon, the lognormal distribution and deviation from it. *Journal of Radiological Protection:* vol. 34:1. 2014.
- 26. BRECSU, Improving airtightness in existing homes. Good Practice Guide 224. Building Research Establishment, Garston, U.K. 1997.
- 27. Lowe R, Johnston D and Bell M, Airtighntess in UK Dwellings: A Review of Some Recent Measurements. in *Second International Conference on Building and the Environment*. Paris. 1997.
- 28. Hong S, Ridley and Oreszczyn T, The impact of energy efficient refurbishment on the airtightness in English dwellings. in *Proceedings of the 25th AIVC Conference: Ventilation and Retrofitting, Air Infiltration and Ventilation Centre. Air Inf.* 2004.
- 29. Hunter N, Muirhead C, Miles J and Appleton J, Uncertainties in radon related to house-specific factors and proximity to geological boundaries in England. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry;* vol. 136: 17-22. 2009.

- 30. Collignan B and Powaga E, Procedure for the characterization of radon potential in existing dwellings and to assess the annual average indoor radon concentration. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity;* Vols. 137: 64-70. 2014.
- 31. Hauri DD, Huss A, Zimmermann F and Kuehni CE, Prediction of residential radon exposure of the whole Swiss population: comparison of model-based predictions with measurement-based predictions. *Indoor Air;* vol. 23: 5: pp. 406-416. 2013.
- 32. WHO, A handbook on indoor radon. 2009.
- 33. PHE, Home owner knowledge of radon in areas where full radon protection is expected about. *Public Health England.* 2016.
- 34. PHE, UK radon. PHE, [Online]. Available: ukradon.org. [Accessed 7 12 2018].
- 35. BRE, Building Regulations Part F. 2013.