Genetic variability in trait-specific rice germplasm groups based on coefficient of parentage, SSR markers and fertility restoration

Pawan Khera^{1,2}, Akhilesh Kumar Singh¹*, Rahul Priyadarshi¹, Durga Khandekar¹, Rajani K Allu¹, Chitkale Hiremath¹, Raj Kumar¹, Rashmi Mohan¹, K. Ulaganathan³ and Vinay Shenoy¹

¹Barwale Foundation, Barwale Chambers, *#* 3-6-666, Street No. 10, Himayathnagar, Hyderabad 500 029, Andhra Pradesh, India, ²International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Hyderabad, 502324, India and ³Centre for Plant Molecular Biology, Osmania University, Hyderabad 500 007, Andhra Pradesh, India

Received 30 January 2014; Accepted 24 March 2014 - First published online 12 May 2014

Abstract

To maximize heterosis, it is important to understand the genetic diversity of germplasm and associate useful phenotypic traits such as fertility restoration for hybrid rice breeding. The objectives of the present study were to characterize genetic diversity within a set of rice germplasm groups using coefficient of parentage (COP) values and 58 simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers for 124 genotypes having different attributes such as resistance/tolerance to various biotic and abiotic stresses. These lines were also used for identifying prospective restorers and maintainers for wild abortive-cytoplasmic male sterile (CMS) line. The mean COP value for all the lines was 0.11, indicating that the genotypes do not share common ancestry. The SSR analysis generated a total of 268 alleles with an average of 4.62 alleles per locus. The mean polymorphism information content value was 0.53, indicating that the markers selected were highly polymorphic. Grouping based on COP analysis revealed three major clusters pertaining to the *indica*, tropical *japonica* and *japonica* lines. A similar grouping pattern with some variation was also observed for the SSR markers. Fertility restoration phenotype based on the test cross of the 124 genotypes with a CMS line helped identify 23 maintainers, 58 restorers and 43 genotypes as either partial maintainers or partial restorers. This study demonstrates that COP analysis along with molecular marker analysis might encourage better organization of germplasm diversity and its use in hybrid rice breeding. Potential restorers identified in the study can be used for breeding high-yielding stress-tolerant medium-duration rice hybrids, while maintainers would prove useful for developing new rice CMS lines.

Keywords: coefficient of parentage; cytoplasmic male sterility; genetic diversity; hybrid rice; maintainers; molecular markers; restorers; spikelet fertility

Introduction

Selective breeding has been the basis for successful crop improvement since the very beginning of agriculture. Although this method has delivered great yield dividends, it has led to many serious diseases and insect pest

* Corresponding author. E-mail: akhileshsingh@barwalefoundation.org

problems due to reduced genetic variability, improved cultural practices and continuous increase in rice cropping area. In this context, researchers in the past have tried to increase crop diversity not only from the primary gene pool but also from the secondary and tertiary gene pools (Smartt, 1981).

World population is expected to reach nine billion by 2050 (UN, 2009). As cereals contribute to the majority of calorie intake in tropics, increase in rice productivity would play a pivotal role in food security. In this context, hybrid rice technology offers a potentially viable option for increasing rice yield. The yield advantage of hybrids (20-30%) in comparison with conventional varieties offers great potential in this direction (Ma and Yuan, 2003; Virmani, 2003). In addition, hybrids possess better adaptability to diverse crop environments. However, current challenges to the increased adaptability of hybrids in farmers' fields include high yield, resistance to key pests and diseases, and desirable quality traits. For the development of rice breeding and production of high-yielding rice varieties, it is important to use genetically diverse germplasm resources to expand and enrich the genetic base of parental lines.

There are a number of methods to study genetic divergence among genotypes. One of them is the analysis of coefficient of parentage (COP), which is an inexpensive indicator of genetic diversity for the cultivars of self-pollinating species with known pedigrees (Almanza-Pinzón et al., 2003; Murphy et al., 1986; Souza and Sorrells, 1989; St. Martin, 1982). Genetic variation in gene pools of self-pollinated crops has been analysed by studying the pedigree relationship between cultivars released over a period of time. To date, COP values have been estimated for oats (Souza and Sorrells, 1988), soybean (Zhou et al., 2002), winter wheat (Van-Beuningen and Busch, 1997) and rice (Wang and Lu, 2006), among other crops. However, there are limited studies where genetic diversity has been reported for parental lines of hybrid rice using COP and molecular markers.

Molecular marker analysis has emerged as an alternative approach for assessing the genetic diversity among a given set of genotypes (Lapitan *et al.*, 2007). There have been a number of studies in the past where various genetic markers have been used for studying genetic diversity. However, simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers are still the preferred molecular markers for assessing genetic diversity due to their characteristic features such as high polymorphism rate, reproducibility, genome-wide coverage, co-dominant nature, amenability to high-throughput genotyping and cost-effectiveness (Varaprasad and Rani, 2010).

The objectives of the present study were (1) to determine the overall genetic diversity among a set of advanced breeding lines of rice based on COP and molecular marker analyses, (2) to identify prospective restorers and maintainers for wild abortive (WA) cytoplasm, and (3) to validate fertility restoration-linked markers among the restorers and maintainers identified in the study.

Materials and methods

Plant material

In all, 124 genotypes comprising lines with resistance to bacterial blight (51), blast (23) and brown planthopper (11) and tolerance to salinity (19), abiotic stress (6), drought (5), acid sulphate (1) and iron toxicity (1), one line with high iron content, and six lines with new plant types (NPTs) were studied. These lines were made available to the Barwale Foundation, Hyderabad, India, by the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Los Baños, Philippines (Table S1, available online).

Evaluation of fertility restoration

The experimental plant material was raised at the Barwale Foundation Research Farm, Hyderabad, India (coordinates: 17°24'22"N and 78°12'40"E), during the wet season of 2009. The genotypes were crossed with a WA-cytoplasmic male sterile (CMS) line IR 58025A to produce 10-15 F₁ seeds per cross during the dry season of 2009-10. The recommended package of cultivation practices was followed. Care was taken to plant only a single seedling per hill. All distinct 124 F₁ plants were evaluated for spikelet fertility, which was computed as the percentage of seeds set based on panicles of the main tiller and two side tillers of individual plants (bagged with parchment paper before flowering) of each F1 plant. The number of seeds set in each panicle was counted and used for calculating the percentage of spikelet fertility. The percentage of spikelet fertility of individual plants was taken as the average of spikelet fertility of an individual panicle selected from each plant. Based on the percentage of spikelet fertility, plants in each population were grouped into four classes, namely fertile (more than 70% fertility), partially fertile (31-70% fertility), partially sterile (1-30% fertility) and sterile (0% fertility) as detailed in Sheeba et al. (2009). Furthermore, data on days to 50% flowering, plant height, productive tillers per plant and panicle length were also recorded.

Computation of COP among the genotypes

COP analysis was carried out using the International Rice Information System (IRIS) software (McLaren *et al.*, 2005).

To calculate COP values for each pair of the 124 entries, first a list of all the genotypes used in the study was created in the SETGEN module of the IRIS software. Then, using the BROWSE module embedded in the IRIS software, COP values were calculated. COP values were obtained in the output file BROWSE.LOG, which were then copied to an excel file for the sake of simplicity and better management of data. COP values obtained for all pairs in the list were ordered as the lower triangular part of the COP matrix in a.LOG file by rows in sections of ten columns.

Assumptions made in the algorithm to compute COP values included the following: (1) if two lines are derived from different crosses, the COP between the lines is unaffected by inbreeding; (2) a line derived from a cross obtains one-half of its genes from each parent; (3) if the progenitors of a line Z are unknown, then F_Z is set as 1 in self-fertilizing crops and 0 in out-crossing crops; (4) all ancestors are unrelated (COP = 0); (5) if the number of selfing generations is unknown, then the number of filial generations is considered to be F_4 ; (6) all ancestors and lines are homozygous and homogeneous; (7) the COP between a line and a reselection from it equals 0.75; (8) the COP between two selections from the same line or ancestor is $(0.75)^2 = 0.5625$; and (9) if P and Q are sister lines, then their COP is affected by selfing up to their most recent common ancestor Zand $\text{COP}_{PQ} = (1 + F_z)/2$. For all pairwise combinations of lines, COP values were computed from pedigree information using the method of Cox et al. (1985).

Selection of SSR markers for genetic diversity and validation study

For genetic diversity analysis, a total of 58 SSR markers were used for the 124 germplasm lines, with an average of five markers per chromosome. Among these, 13 markers were found to be linked to *Rf* genes on chromosomes 1, 4, 7, 10 and 12 (Table 1). The association of the 13 *Rf* markers with the restorer and maintainer lines identified in the study was determined using single-marker analysis (SMA) with a *t*-test and regression analysis using SPSS 16.0 (SPSS Inc. Released 2007. SPSS for Windows, Version 16.0. Chicago, SPSS Inc.)

DNA isolation and SSR genotyping

Genomic DNA was isolated from young and healthy leaf samples following the protocol of Dellaporta *et al.* (1983). For SSR genotyping, a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out using 15–20 ng of template DNA, 0.05 mM of dNTPs (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany), 5 pM of each of the forward and reverse primers (Sigma, Banglore, India), 0.5 units of *Taq* DNA polymerase (Merck, Mumbai, India) and 1× PCR buffer (Merck, Mumbai, India) in a total volume of 15 μ l. The PCR was carried out using a Bio-Rad MyCycler thermal cycler with initial denaturation being carried out at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of PCR product amplification under the following conditions: 15 sec at 94°C, 30 sec at 55°C, and 45 sec at 72°C, followed by final extension at 72°C for 6 min. The PCR-amplified products were resolved on 8% polyacrylamide gels in 0.5 × TBE buffer at 100 V. Following staining with ethidium bromide, the gels were visualized under UV light in a gel documentation system.

Scoring and marker data analysis

The banding pattern, as revealed by gel analysis, was scored on the basis of the number of alleles present for a particular marker for each genotype. The software PowerMarker version 3.25 (Liu and Muse, 2005) was used for calculating the average number of alleles, allele frequency, allele diversity and polymorphism information content (PIC) values.

Cluster analysis based on COP values and molecular marker genotyping data

The COP matrix was used for generating a dendrogram between pedigree levels and genetic diversity with the DARwin version 5.0.158 program (Perrier and Jacquemond-Collet, 2006). The DARwin program was used for generating COP and molecular marker matrix, employing the UPGMA method of clustering in neighbour-joining procedure, developed by Saitou and Nei (1987). Tree construction was performed using the axial tree representation mode.

On the basis of their respective fertility restorer phenotypes, the lines were classified into four groups, namely maintainer, partial maintainer, partial restorer and restorer. Furthermore, the mean COP and marker values for the four groups were calculated based on the COP and markerbased dissimilarity matrix. Also, according to the trait of interest in these breeding lines, COP values were computed for seven categories. The mean COP and marker values of the categories were considered to be indicators of their relative diversity (Table 2).

Results

Cluster analysis using COP and marker genotyping data and fertility restoration

Approximately 3441 distinct ancestral genotypes were identified to be involved in the formation of the

| and genotypes used in the | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| reneat markers | |
| imula sequence | ampre acquerice |
| , values of the s | |
| ing Pand R ² (0) | |
| ar analycic chow | איטווכ בובעומוום וט |
| and cingle marke | and single main |
| rted <i>Rf</i> markers | |
| lict of the reno | |
| Tahla 1 | study |

| | | | | | | | Single ana | marker lysis | |
|--|---|---|---|-------------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| S. no. | Marker | Chromosonie no. | Position (Mb) | сМ | Gene | Cytoplasm ^a | Ρ | R^{2} (%) | Reference |
| , - | RM7466 | 1 | 5.7 | 1.9 | Rf | Dissi | 0.698 | 0.2 | Sattari <i>et al.</i> (2008) |
| 2 | RM443 | <u></u> | 28.6 | 4.4 | Rf3 | WA | 0.00** | 19.3 | Bazrkar <i>et al.</i> (2008) |
| 3 | RM490 | 1 | 51 | 2.8 | Rf3 | MA | 0.859 | 2.8 | Sattari et al. (2008) |
| 4 | RM3866 | 4 | 23.1 | 2 | Rfcw | CW | 0.4 | 2 | Fujii and Toriyama (2005) |
| Ŀ | RM6344 | 7 | 24.9 | 13.3 | Rf4 | MA | 0.00** | 19.8 | Bazrkar <i>et al.</i> (2008) |
| 9 | RM216 | 10 | 5.3 | 39.9 | Rf (u1) | MA | 0.548 | 4.3 | Mishra et al. (2003) |
| | RM311 | 10 | 9.7 | 2.9 | Rf5 | MA | 0.01 | 21 | Ahmadikhah <i>et al.</i> (2007) |
| 8 | RM244 | 10 | 15 | 17.3 | Rf6(t) | WA | 0.038 | 8.8 | Mishra et al. (2003) |
| 6 | RM258 | 10 | 18 | 3.1 | Rf4 | MA | 0.018 | 12.3 | Nematzadeh and Kiani (2010) |
| 10 | RM6100 | 10 | 18.8 | 1.2 | Rf4 | WA | 0.184 | 3.6 | Sheeba <i>et al.</i> (2009) |
| 11 | RM171 | 10 | 19 | 6.2 | Rf4 | MA | 0.785 | 1.2 | Nematzadeh and Kiani (2010) |
| 12 | RM1108 | 10 | 19.1 | 1.6 | Rf4 | MA | 0.149 | 7.2 | Sattari et al. (2008) |
| 13 | RM7003 | 12 | 6.7 | 13.3 | Rf7 | MA | 0.873 | 0.3 | Bazrkar <i>et al.</i> (2008) |
| WA, wil Values v ^a Dissi, ä | d abortive; CW, C vere significantly an <i>indica</i> variety I | Chinese wild rice; different (** <i>P</i> < 0. DS97A from Seneg | cM, centimorgans. 01); <i>P</i> - significance; <i>I</i> gal. | R ² (%)- per | centage of ph | nenotype variabilit | ×. | | |

|). no. | Category ^a | сор ^ь | Marker diversity ^b | Maintainers (SF ^c 0%) | Partial maintainers (SF 1–30%) | Partial restorers (SF 31–70%) | Restorers $(SF > 70\%)$ |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|--|--|---|----------------------------------|
| | Bacterial leaf blight-resistant lines $(n^{d} = 51)$ | 0.419 | 0.487 | , | 6 | 8 | 33 |
| ~ | Blast-resistant lines $(n = 23)$ | 0.468 | 0.504 | , | 2 | , | I |
| ~ | Brown planthopper-resistant lines $(n = 11)$ | 0.494 | 0.389 | Į | , | 2 | 8 |
| 4 | Salinity-tolerant lines $(n = 19)$ | 0.116 | 0.523 | , | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| 10 | Abiotic stress-tolerant lines $(n = 6)$ | 0.335 | 0.465 | I | I | 33 | ŝ |
| .0 | Drought-tolerant lines $(n = 5)$ | 0.192 | 0.424 | I | 1 | 2 | ° |
| 4 | New plant type lines $(n = 6)$ | 0.118 | 0.553 | , | , | c | , - |
| | Total | | | 4 | 13 | 21 | 54 |
| COP, coe As there hese line | fficient of parentage; SF, spikelet fertility : was only one line for the categories-high iron col :s. ^b Computed using the DARwin-based UPGMA | ntent, acid su method. ^c SF | Iphate tolerant a | and iron toxicity to the F1 plants from | lerant, COP and genetic c crossing the maintainer l | liversity values are n ine IR58025A. ^d Nu | ot reported for mber of geno- |

types studied.

Table 2. List of the frequencies of maintainers, partial maintainers, partial restorers and restorers identified in the study

P. Khera et al.

124 genotypes studied. Cluster analysis carried out using COP values revealed a total of three clusters: A, B and C (Fig. 1). Cluster A largely comprised indica genotypes, cluster B comprised indica/wild pedigree genotypes, and cluster C comprised tropical japonica genotypes, japonica genotypes and a few *indica* genotypes, with 52, 17 and 55 individuals being present in each cluster, respectively. In addition, cluster C was further divided into two subclusters: C1 and C2. Subcluster C1 largely comprised tropical japonica lines and some indica lines, while subcluster C2 comprised only japonica lines.

A total of 58 reported SSR marker pairs, distributed across 12 chromosomes, were used for genetic diversity analysis among the 124 genotypes studied. A total of 268 alleles were detected, with the number of alleles per marker ranging from 2 (RM7466, RM272, RM443, RM188, RM20705, RM6344 and RM22123) to 9 (RM21), with an average 4.62 alleles per locus (Table S2, available online). The PIC values ranged from 0.02 for RM22123 to 0.85 for RM21, with a mean of 0.53. Single-marker analysis revealed that of the 13 Rf-linked markers, five markers, namely RM443, RM6344, RM244, RM258 and RM311, were associated with phenotypic variations of 19.3%, 19.8%, 8.8%, 12.3% and 21%, respectively. The 23 japonica lines were excluded from this validation study, as the sterility in the F1 plants of japonica lines could be due to hybrid incompatibilities. Cluster analysis carried out using the molecular marker data revealed four major clusters A, B, C and D, comprising 39, 24, 29 and 32 genotypes, respectively (Fig. 2). All the four clusters were divided into two subclusters each. Subclusters A1 and A2 largely comprised genotypes with all japonica pedigrees, except for one *indica* genotype and one tropical japonica genotype and one indica genotype and one NPT genotype, respectively. Subcluster B1 comprised japonica genotypes, except for one indica genotype. In contrast, subcluster B2 largely comprised *indica* genotypes, except for one tropical japonica genotype and two japonica genotypes. Subclusters C1 and C2 exhibited distinct grouping and comprised only indica genotypes. Subclusters D1 and D2 largely comprised indica and NPT genotypes along with a few tropical japonica and japonica genotypes.

Trait-wise analysis of genetic diversity and fertility restoration

COP values were computed for all pairwise combinations, and the mean COP value for the 124 genotypes was 0.11. However, mean COP values within the maintainer, partial maintainer, partial restorer and restorer groups were higher than the overall mean COP value, i.e. 0.41, 0.24, 0.12 and 0.21, respectively. The mean genetic distance value based on the dissimilarity matrix obtained from the molecular marker data was 0.58. However, mean genetic



Fig. 1. Unweighed neighbour-joining cluster tree of 124 genotypes by coefficient of parentage values.

distance values for the maintainer, partial maintainer, partial restorer and restorer groups were 0.50, 0.58, 0.57 and 0.53, respectively. Furthermore, restoration behaviour, measured as the percentage of spikelet fertility in F₁ plants, exhibited variation among the genotypes studied (Table S1, available online). The overall frequencies of maintainers, partial maintainers, partial restorers and restorers were 18.5, 12.1, 22.6 and 46.8%, respectively. Among the 67 indica-type genotypes, there were 2 maintainers, 3 partial maintainers, 16 partial restorers and 46 restorers. Among the 21 tropical *japonica* genotypes, 1 was identified as a maintainer, 9 as partial maintainers, 3 as partial restorers and 8 as restorers. However, in the case of 29 japonica genotypes, 19 were identified as maintainers, 1 as a partial maintainer, 6 as partial restorers and the remaining 3 as restorers. In addition, among the 6 NPT lines, 1 was identified as a maintainer, 1 as a partial maintainer, 3 as partial restorers and 1 as a restorer. If trait-wise genotypes are considered, the bacterial leaf blight (BLB)-resistant lines had highest number of restorers (33). Similarly, the blast-resistant lines had the highest number of maintainers (20). The COP value and the number of maintainers, partial maintainers, partial restorers and restorers calculated for each category are given in Table 2.

BLB-resistant genotypes

BLB, caused by *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (Xoo), is one of the oldest and most devastating diseases of rice. A total of 51 lines were studied for resistance to BLB, and the mean genetic distance values based on the COP and SSR markers were 0.41 and 0.49, respectively, indicating



Fig. 2. Unweighed neighbour-joining cluster tree of 124 genotypes by simple sequence repeat markers.

a medium degree of genetic diversity. Among the 21 nearisogenic lines (NILs) studied, 16 were found to be restorers, while the remaining 5 were partial restorers. These NILs had resistant *Xa* genes in singular and in various combinations known for their resistance to BLB. Thirty genotypes with introgression from wild species accessions of *O. bracbyantha*, *O. longistaminata*, *O. officinalis* and *O. minuta* were also studied. All the 9 genotypes in the background of IR56 with introgression from *O. bracbyantha* were found to be restorers. In addition, the 21 genotypes with introgression from *O. longistaminata*, *O. officinalis* and *O. minuta* in the background of IR 6500-81-5-3-2 exhibited a mixed trend. Among these lines, 1 was identified as a maintainer, 9 as partial maintainers, 3 as partial restorers and 8 as restorers.

Blast-resistant genotypes

Blast disease, caused by the fungus *Pyricularia grisea* Sacc., affects rice production in almost all rice agroecological

regions. The blast-resistant lines studied had overall COP and marker-based genetic distance values of 0.46 and 0.50, respectively, indicating a medium degree of genetic diversity. All the 19 NILs with Lijiangxintuanheigu (LTH) background were found to be maintainers, whereas 1 maintainer, 2 partial maintainers and 1 partial restorer were identified in the *indica* NIL CO39 background.

Brown planthopper (BPH)-resistant genotypes

BPH, *Nilaparvata lugens* (Homoptera: Delphacidae), is an enormously destructive insect pest of rice in Asia. Eleven BPH-resistant genotypes, including 9 genotypes with introgression from *O. officinalis*, *O. australiensis* and *O. minuta*, were studied for their response to the fertility restoration trait. The mean COP and marker-based genetic distance values for these lines were 0.49 and 0.38, respectively. The results indicated 7 genotypes to be restorers and 2 genotypes to be partial restorers. Also, IR 31917-45-3-2 and IR 73382-7-12-1-1-B were identified as a partial maintainer and a restorer, respectively.

Abiotic stress-tolerant genotypes

A total of 33 lines having tolerance to various abiotic stresses, such as drought, salinity, micronutrient deficiency and mineral toxicity, were studied. Among the 33 lines, the COP and marker-based genetic distance values of six lines having overall abiotic stress tolerance trait were 0.33 and 0.46, respectively. Among these six lines, half were restorers and the remaining were partial restorers. A low mean COP value of 0.11 was obtained for the 19 lines with salinity tolerance, while the genetic distance value for these lines was 0.52. Among these lines, 1 was identified as a maintainer, 1 as a partial maintainer, 8 as partial restorers and 9 as restorers. Five drought-tolerant lines had mean COP and marker-based genetic distance values of 0.19 and 0.42; among these lines, 3 were restorers and 2 were partial restorers. Furthermore, for the categories-high iron content, acid sulphate tolerant and iron toxicity tolerant, one line each was found to be a restorer, a partial restorer and a partial maintainer, respectively.

New plant types

The genetic potential of high-yielding lines is well known, and with the development of NPTs and availability of elite tropical *japonica* rice cultivars, it may be possible to develop *indica*/tropical *japonica* hybrids using CMS or thermosensitive genic male sterile systems. These hybrids would yield significantly higher than *indica/indica* hybrids and tropical *japonica* hybrids. A low mean COP value of 0.11 and a medium SSR-based genetic distance value of 0.55 were obtained for the NPTs. Among the 6 NPT lines, 1 was identified as a maintainer, 1 as a partial maintainer, 1 as a restorer and the remaining 3 as partial restorers.

Discussion

COP analysis involves a number of assumptions, and these assumptions may lead to factual errors during COP estimation (Messmer *et al.*, 1993). As popularly known, plant breeding is a combination of art and science; it requires altering the genetic make-up of plants for producing genotypes with desirable characteristics. Also, selection pressure leads to genetic drift towards the allelic frequency (Wang and Lu, 2006) of the genotype, which otherwise is assumed to receive half the alleles from either parent. Consequently, the COP estimates might illustrate a considerable deviation for the true COP value (Schut *et al.*, 1997). Unlike the assumption that all ancestors are unrelated, it is also quite possible that a few ancestors may be related by descent (St. Martin, 1982). Furthermore, the totality and dependability of the data serve as added suppositions.

Currently, whole-genome survey using molecular markers is being widely employed to study genetic variation for crop improvement (Glaszmann *et al.*, 2010). In the present study, genetic characterization of 124 advanced breeding lines was done using COP values, SSR markers and fertility restoration data. The mean COP value for all the lines was 0.11 and the mean genetic distance value based on SSR markers was 0.58. The low COP value is due to 32% of the genotypes being completely unrelated within themselves. Moreover, genetic diversity among the identified restorer lines was narrower than that among the maintainer lines. These observations are on par with those reported by Wang and Lu (2006) and Duan *et al.* (2002).

Cluster analysis based on COP values and molecular marker genetic distance values clearly distinguished the major subspecies groups in rice, i.e. indica, tropical japonica and japonica. The COP-based clustering was informative, as it clustered indica/wild and indica groups separately. Also, cluster C branched off into japonica and tropical japonica groups (Fig. 1). Moreover, the 'Daeyabyeo' pedigree group in this case was categorized under the japonica cluster. There was only one exception with respect to the group of salinity-tolerant japonica genotypes having the 'Daeyabyeo' pedigree. Despite being japonica, these lines fell into the *indica* cluster. This is consistent with the results of the study carried out by Zeng et al. (2004), where the salt-tolerant genotypes were grouped based on molecular markers and morphological traits.

For developing high-yielding three-line rice hybrids, the initial step is to identify maintainers (having recessive fertility restorer gene/s) and restorers (having dominant fertility restorer gene/s) from a large germplasm collection (Siddiq, 1996). The use of molecular markers linked to Rf genes can enhance selection efficiency and help save time, thereby avoiding the various complications that are usually associated with phenotype-based screening. Furthermore, markers linked to Rf genes could be of significant help in understanding the inheritance of this trait and targeted identification and introgression of Rf genes in breeding programmes. However, the reported Rf gene-linked markers have not been validated in alternate populations and nor have the different restorer lines used in India been characterized for their allelic status with respect to these markers. Extensive research work on the identification of restorers and maintainers and inheritance of fertility restoration has been conducted for the WA cytoplasmic source (Xie, 2009). Among the various

sources of cytosterility, CMS lines derived from the WA system have proved to be the most stable, owing to their complete pollen sterility (Brar et al., 1998). Hence, all the lines were crossed with a popular *indica* maintainer line IR58025A for deciphering the fertility restoration behaviour of the genotypes under study. The results indicated that restorers occurred more frequently than maintainers. However, owing to the hybrid incompatibility factor, the maintainer phenotype of most of the *japonica* lines studied could not be considered as true maintainer. On the other hand, there have been many studies in the past where some japonica lines have exhibited partial fertility when crossed with indica CMS lines (Hossain et al., 2010). Therefore, to explore the possibility of obtaining similar results, *japonica* lines were also included in phenotyping for fertility restoration ability. Several authors, viz. Singh and Singh (2000) and Malarvizhi et al. (2003), have reported a higher frequency of restorers than of maintainers for WA-CMS lines, while Kumari et al. (1997) and Salgotra et al. (2002) have reported a higher percentage of maintainers than of restorers. In addition, most of the lines identified as restorers were indica and those identified as maintainers were *japonica*. Thus, the frequency of restorers for a WA system is relatively high among the improved *indica* cultivars than among the *japonica* rice cultivars (Zhuang et al., 1997).

In rice, about 31 bacterial blight resistance (R) genes have been identified (Wang et al., 2009). Recently, two new genes, namely Xa33 (t) (Natarajkumar et al., 2010) and Xa34 (t) (Ram et al., 2010a), have been discovered, escalating the total number of BLB genes to 33 (24 dominant and 9 recessive). The wild species of rice possess a reservoir of various disease-resistant genes such as Xa21 from O. longistaminata (Song et al., 1995), Xa23 from O. rufipogon (Zhang et al., 1998), Xa27 from O. minuta (Gu et al., 2004), Xa29 (t) from O. officinalis (Tan et al., 2004), Xa30 (t) (Cheema et al., 2008) and Xa33 (t) from O. nivara and Xa34 (t) from O. brachyantha. Also, upto 60 blast-resistant genes have been identified (Khush and Jena, 2009). In the present study, 23 NILs with single and multiple genes for blast resistance in the backgrounds of indica variety CO39 (Mackill and Bonman, 1992) and japonica variety LTH were examined (Kobayashi et al., 2007). Furthermore, 19 genes have been reported for BPH resistance (Zhang, 2007). In this study, IR 65482-7-216-1-2-B, a line having major resistance gene Bph18 (t) introgressed from the wild species O. australiensis (Jena et al., 2006), was identified as a restorer. In addition to these two lines, IR 71033-62-15-8 and IR 71033-121-15-B, were identified as a partial restorer and a restorer, respectively. These two lines are derived from the wild species O. minuta, having Bph22 (t) gene (Ram et al., 2010b). Hence, the total number of BPH resistance genes to date stands at 20.

Apart from the high spikelet fertility of F_1 plants, there are few other desirable traits of a good restorer line. If a restorer qualifies to have most or all of these traits, only then could it be used in hybrid rice breeding programmes. The traits include tall stature (10-15 cm taller than A line), sturdy culm, non-synchronous tillering and long panicles, high pollen production capability and high amounts of residual pollen, and resistance to insect pests and diseases. Based on the high genetic diversity range, high restoration ability and desirable agromorphological traits, four lines, namely IR 75084-15-11-B-B (bacterial blight resistant), IR 65482-18-539-2-2-B (BPH resistant), IR 72476-B-P-9-3-1-1 (salinity tolerant) and IR 73759-128-1-3-3-1-B (abiotic stress tolerant), were identified as putatively good restorers among the total 58 lines. These lines can be used for developing high-yielding stress-tolerant medium-duration rice hybrids.

The frequency of maintainers among the elite breeding lines is rather low, and even among them, all are not ideal because of one or the other defect. Hence, combining desirable traits takes centre stage in hybrid rice breeding programmes. At times, even partial maintainers possessing a number of desirable traits can be used. Some of the desirable traits of maintainer lines are relatively dwarf/semidwarf stature, good and synchronous tillering, high stigma exsertion, high out-crossing potential, sturdy culm, resistance to insect pests and diseases, and complete and stable maintenance of sterility. Among the total 23 lines, three lines, namely IR 75862-272-3-27-2-B-B (bacterial blight resistant), IR 71991-3R-2-1 (salinity tolerant) and IR 80340-23-B-12-6-B (NPT), were identified to be putatively good maintainers, owing to their high genetic diversity range, high restoration ability and desirable agromorphological characteristics (Table 3). These lines can be exploited for the development of new CMS lines.

Worldwide, crop growth and productivity are mostly affected by abiotic stress (Witcombe et al., 2008), thus resulting in drastic and sometimes complete yield loss (Wang et al., 2007). Consistent efforts in the past have led to the identification of quantitative trait loci (QTL) for several abiotic stresses. SALTOL, a major QTL for salinity tolerance on chromosome 1 (Singh et al., 2008), is associated with selective ion uptake. Similarly, qtl12.1, a QTL with a large effect on grain yield under drought stress, has been identified on chromosome 12 (Bernier et al., 2007). Hence, with the help of molecular markers, mapped genes/QTL can be moved to a desirable background and directly utilized for the development of biotic and abiotic stress-resistant parental lines of hybrid rice using the marker-assisted backcross approach (Zhang, 2007).

The COP provides an excellent estimation of genetic diversity when the entire pedigree is well known, though the consequence of selection results in the

| | Genetic diversity range (%) | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--------------------------|--------|----------|--------------------------|
| Genotypes | Max | Min | Avg | Cultivar type | SF (%) | Category | Key trait |
| IR 75 084-15-11-B-B | 78 | 19 | 61 | Tropical <i>japonica</i> | 84.00 | R | BLB resistance |
| IR 65 482-18-539-2-2-B | 74 | 16 | 54 | indica | 84.03 | R | BPH resistance |
| IR 72 476-B-P-9-3-1-1 | 83 | 10 | 58 | indica | 83.67 | R | Salinity tolerance |
| IR 73 759-128-1-3-3-1-B | 79 | 28 | 64 | indica | 83.76 | R | Abiotic stress tolerance |
| IR 75 862-272-3-27-2-B-B | 86 | 14 | 63 | Tropical <i>japonica</i> | 0.00 | М | BLB resistance |
| IR 71 991-3R-2-1 | 86 | 34 | 57 | indica | 10.00 | М | Salinity tolerance |
| IR 80340-23-B-12-6-B | 83 | 34 | 65 | NPT | 0.00 | М | NPT |

Table 3. Lines identified as good maintainers and restorers on the basis of genetic diversity range (simple sequence repeat), restoration ability and agromorphological traits

SF, spikelet fertility; R, restorer; BLB, bacterial leaf blight; BPH, brown planthopper; M, maintainer; NPT, new plant type.

undermining of its effect. Furthermore, concurrent use of molecular marker-based genetic diversity analysis and COP analysis may assist in the calculation of the breeding value of offspring from parent combinations (Almanza-Pinzón *et al.*, 2003). Moreover, owing to the extra cost of molecular markers, COP analysis would be favoured as the initial method for calculating genetic diversity, except when whole pedigree information is not available or when selection pressure is severe for the accessions used. In these situations, molecular marker analysis would provide paramount estimates.

Supplementary material

To view supplementary material for this article, please visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S147926211400063X

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Barwale Foundation, Hyderabad, and Dr. Usha B. Zehr, Director, Barwale Foundation, for providing all the facilities for execution of this research work and Dr. K. Govinda Raj for his critical evaluation of the manuscript before submission.

References

- Ahmadikhah A, Karlov GI, Nematzadeh GH and GhasemiBezdi K (2007) Inheritance of the fertility restoration and genotyping of rice lines at the restoring fertility (Rf) loci using molecular markers. *International Journal of Plant Production* 1: 13–21.
- Almanza-Pinzón MI, Khairallah M, Fox PN and Warburton ML (2003) Comparison of molecular markers and coefficients of parentage for the analysis of genetic diversity among spring bread wheat accessions. *Euphytica* 130: 77–86.

- Bazrkar L, Ali AJ, Babaeian NA, Ebadi AA, Allahgholipour M, Kazemitabar K and Nematzadeh G (2008) Tagging of four fertility restorer loci for wild abortive cytoplasmic male sterility system in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) using microsatellite markers. *Euphytica* 164: 669–677.
- Bernier J, Kumar A, Ramaiah V, Spaner D and Atlin G (2007) A large-effect QTL for grain yield under reproductive-stage drought stress in upland rice. *Crop Science* 47: 505–516.
- Brar DS, Zhu YG, Ahmed MI, Jachuk PJ and Virmani SS (1998) Diversifying the CMS system to improve the sustainability of hybrid rice technology. In: Virmani SS, Siddiq EA and Muralidharan K (eds) Advances in Hybrid Rice Technology. Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Hybrid Rice, 14–16 November 1996, Hyderabad, India. Los Baños, Philippines: International Rice Research Institute, pp. 129–145.
- Cheema KK, Grewal NK, Vikal Y, Das A, Sharma R, Lore JS, Bhatia D, Mahajan R, Gupta V and Singh K (2008) A novel bacterial blight resistance gene from *Oryza nivara*, mapped to 38 kb region on chromosome 4L and transferred to *Oryza sativa* L. *Genetics Research* 90: 397–407.
- Cox TS, Lookhart GL, Walker DE, Harrell LG, Albers LD and Rodgers DM (1985) Genetic relationships among hard red winter wheat cultivars as evaluated by pedigree analysis and gliadin polyacrylamide gel electrophoretic patterns. *Crop Science* 25: 1058–1063.
- Dellaporta SL, Wood J and Hicks JB (1983) A plant DNA minipreparation: version II. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* 1: 19–21.
- Duan SH, Mao JN and Zhu YG (2002) Genetic variation of main restorer lines of hybrid rice in China was revealed by microsatellite markers. *Acta Genetica Sinica* 29: 250–254.
- Fujii S and Toriyama K (2005) Molecular mapping of the fertility restorer gene for ms-CW-type cytoplasmic male sterility of rice. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 111: 696–701.
- Glaszmann JC, Kilian B, Upadhyaya HD and Varshney RK (2010) Accessing genetic diversity for crop improvement. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 13: 1–7.
- Gu K, Tian D, Yang F, Wu L, Sreekala C, Wang D, Wang GL and Yin Z (2004) High-resolution genetic mapping of *Xa27(t)*, a new bacterial blight resistance gene in rice, *Oryza sativa* L. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 108: 800–807.
- Hossain S, Singh AK and Zaman FU (2010) Genetics of fertility restoration of 'WA'-based cytoplasmic male sterility system in rice (*Oryza sativa*) using *indica/japonica* derivative restorers. *ScienceAsia* 36: 94–99.

- Jena KK, Jeung JU, Lee JH, Choi HC and Brar DS (2006) Highresolution mapping of a new brown planthopper (BPH) resistance gene, *Bph18(t)*, and marker-assisted selection for BPH resistance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 112: 288–297.
- Khush GS and Jena KK (2009) Current status and future prospects for research on blast resistance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). In: Wang GL and Valent B (eds) Advances in Genetics, Genomics and Control of Rice Blast Disease. Netherlands: Springer, pp. 1–10.
- Kobayashi N, Yanoria MJT, Tsunematsu H, Kato H, Imbe T and Fukuta Y (2007) Development of new sets of international standard differential varieties for blast resistance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Japan Agricultural Research Quarterly* 41: 31–37.
- Kumari SL, Valarmathi G, Joseph T, Kankamany MT and Nayar NK (1997) Rice varieties of Kerala as restorers and maintainers for wild abortive cytoplasmic male sterile lines. *International Rice Research Newsletter* 22: 11–12.
- Lapitan VC, Brar DS, Abe T and Redoña ED (2007) Assessment of genetic diversity of Philippine rice cultivars carrying good quality traits using SSR markers. *Breeding Science* 57: 263–270.
- Liu K and Muse SV (2005) PowerMarker: an integrated analysis environment for genetic marker analysis. *Bioinformatics* 21: 2128–2129.
- Mackill DJ and Bonman JM (1992) Inheritance of blast resistance in near-isogenic lines of rice. *Phytopathology* 82: 746–749.
- Ma G and Yuan L (2003) Hybrid rice achievement and development in China. In: Virmani SS, Mao CX and Hardy B (eds) *Hybrid Rice for Food Security, Poverty Alleviation, and Environmental Protection. Proceedings of 4th International Symposium on Hybrid Rice,* 14–17 May, 2002, Hanoi, Vietnam. Los Baños, Philippines: International Rice Research Institute, pp. 247–256.
- Malarvizhi D, Thiyagarajan K, Manonmani S and Sankar PD (2003) Fertility restoration behavior of promising CMS lines in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research* 37: 259–263.
- McLaren CG, Bruskiewich RM, Portugal AM and Cosico AB (2005) The International Rice Information System. A platform for meta-analysis of rice crop data. *Plant Physiology* 139: 637–642.
- Messmer MM, Melchinger AE, Herrmann RG and Boppenmaier J (1993) Relationship among early European maize inbreds:
 II. Comparison of pedigree and RFLP data. *Crop Science* 33: 944–950.
- Mishra GP, Singh RK, Mohapatra T, Singh AK, Prabhu KV, Zaman FU and Sharma RK (2003) Molecular mapping of a gene for fertility restoration of wild abortive (WA) cytoplasmic male sterility using a basmati rice restorer line. *Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 12: 37–42.
- Murphy JP, Cox TS and Rodgers DM (1986) Cluster analysis of red winter wheat cultivars based upon coefficient of parentage. *Crop Science* 2: 672–676.
- Natarajkumar P, Sujatha K, Laha GS, Viraktamath BC, Reddy CS, Mishra B, Balachandran SM, Ram T, Srinivasarao K, Hari Y and Sundaram RM (2010) Identification of a dominant bacterial blight resistance gene from *Oryza nivara* and its molecular mapping. *Rice Genetics Newsletter* 25: 54–56.
- Nematzadeh GA and Kiani G (2010) Genetic analysis of fertility restoration genes for WA type cytoplasmic male sterility in Iranian restorer rice line DN-33-18. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 9: 6273–6277.

- Perrier X and Jacquemond-Collet JP (2006) DARwin software. Available at: http://darwin.cirad.fr/darwin/
- Ram T, Laha GS, Gautam SK, Deen R, Madhav MS, Brar DS and Viraktamath BC (2010*a*) Identification of new gene introgressed from *Oryza brachyantha* with broad-spectrum resistance to bacterial blight of rice in India. *Rice Genetics Newsletter* 25: 57.
- Ram T, Deen R, Gautam SK, Ramesh K, Rao YK and Brar DS (2010b) Identification of new genes for Brown Planthopper resistance in rice introgressed from O. glaberrima and O. minuta. Rice Genetics Newsletter 25: 67–69.
- Saitou N and Nei M (1987) The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 4: 406–425.
- Salgotra RK, Katoch PC and Kaushik RP (2002) Identification of restorers and maintainers for cytoplasmic genic male sterile lines of rice. *Oryza* 39: 55–57.
- Sattari M, Kathiresa A, Gregorio GB and Virmani SS (2008) Comparative genetic analysis and molecular mapping of fertility restoration genes for WA, Dissi, and Gambiaca cytoplasmic male sterility systems in rice. *Euphytica* 160: 305–315.
- Schut JW, Qi X and Stam P (1997) Association between relationship measures based on AFLP markers, pedigree data and morphological traits in barley. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 95: 1161–1168.
- Sheeba NK, Viraktamath BC, Sivaramakrishnan S, Gangashetti MG, Khera P and Sundaram RM (2009) Validation of molecular markers linked to fertility restorer gene(s) for WA-CMS lines of rice. *Euphytica* 167: 217–227.
- Siddiq EA (1996) Current status and future outlook for hybrid rice technology in India. In: Ahmed MI, Viraktamath BC, Ramesha MS and Vijaya Kumar CHM (eds) *Hybrid Rice Technology*. Hyderabad, India: Directorate of Rice Research, ICAR, pp. 1–27.
- Singh DK and Singh R (2000) Identification of parental lines for rice hybrid at Varanasi location. *Oryza* 20: 201–205.
- Singh RK, Gregorio GB and Ismail AM (2008) Breeding rice varieties with tolerance to salt stress. Journal of the Indian Society of Coastal Agricultural Research 26: 16–21.
- Smartt J (1981) Evolving gene pool in crop plants. *Euphytica* 30: 415–418.
- Song WY, Wang GL, Chen LL, Kim HS, Pi LY, Holsten T, Gardner J, Wang B, Zhao WX, Zhu LH, Fauquet C and Ronald P (1995) A receptor kinase-like protein encoded by the rice disease resistance gene, *Xa21. Science* 270: 1804–1806.
- Souza E and Sorrells ME (1988) *Coefficients of Parentage for North American Oat Cultivars Released from 1951 to 1986*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station.
- Souza E and Sorrells ME (1989) Pedigree analysis of North American oat cultivars released from 1951 to 1985. *Crop Science* 29: 595–601.
- St. Martin SK (1982) Effective population size for the soybean improvement program in maturity groups 00 to IV. Crop Science 22: 151–152.
- Tan GX, Ren X, Weng QM, Shi ZY, Zhu LL and He GC (2004) Mapping of a new resistance gene to bacterial blight in rice line introgressed from *Oryza officinalis*. Acta Genetica Sinica 31: 724–729.
- UN (2009) http://www.un.org/esa/population/publications/ wpp2008/pressrelease.pdf
- Van-Beuningen LT and Busch RH (1997) Genetic diversity among North American spring wheat cultivars: I. Analysis of the coefficients of parentage matrix. *Crop Science* 37: 570–579.

Trait-specific genetic variability in rice

- Varaprasad GS and Rani SN (2010) Assessment of genetic diversity among basmati and non-basmati aromatic rices of India using SSR markers. *Current Science* 99: 221–226.
- Virmani SS (2003) Advances in hybrid rice research and development in the tropics. In: Virmani SS, Mao CX and Hardy B (eds) Hybrid Rice for Food Security, Poverty Alleviation and Environmental Protection. Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Hybrid Rice, 14-17 May, 2002, Hanoi, Vietnam. Los Baños, Philippines: International Rice Research Institute, pp. 7–20.
- Wang C, Wen G, Lin X and Zhang D (2009) Identification and fine mapping of a new bacterial blight resistance gene, *Xa31(t)* in rice. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 123: 235–240.
- Wang S and Lu Z (2006) Genetic diversity among parental lines of *indica* hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in China based on coefficient of parentage. *Plant Breeding* 125: 606–612.
- Wang W, Vinocur B and Altman A (2007) Plant responses to drought, salinity and extreme temperatures towards genetic engineering for stress tolerance. *Planta* 218: 1–14.
- Witcombe JR, Hollington PA, Howarth CJ, Reader S and Steele KA (2008) Breeding for abiotic stresses for sustainable agriculture. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 363: 703–716.

- Xie F (2009) Priorities of IRRI hybrid rice breeding. In: Xie F and Hardy B (eds) *Accelerating Hybrid Rice Development*. Los Baños, Philippines: International Rice Research Institute, pp. 49–61.
- Zeng L, Kwon T, Liu X, Wilson C, Grieve CM and Gregorio GB (2004) Genetic diversity analyzed microsatellite markers among rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes with different adaptations to saline soils. *Plant Science* 166: 1275–1285.
- Zhang Q (2007) Strategies for developing Green Super Rice. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science United States of America 104: 16402–16409.
- Zhang Q, Lin SC, Zhao BY, Wang CL, Yang WC, Zhou YI, Li DY, Chen CB and Zhu LH (1998) Identification and tagging a new gene for resistance to bacterial blight (*Xanthomonas* oryzae pv. oryzae) from O. rufipogon. Rice Genetics Newsletter 15: 138.
- Zhou XL, Carter TE Jr, Cui Z, Miyazaki S and Burton JW (2002) Genetic diversity in Japanese soybean cultivars based on coefficient of parentage. *Crop Science* 42: 1331–1342.
- Zhuang JY, Qian HR, Lu J, Lin HX and Zheng KL (1997) RFLP variation among commercial rice germplasms in China. *Journal of Genetics and Breeding* 51: 263–268.