RESEARCH PAPER



Genome-wide conserved non-coding microsatellite (CNMS) marker-based integrative genetical genomics for quantitative dissection of seed weight in chickpea

Deepak Bajaj¹, Maneesha S. Saxena¹, Alice Kujur¹, Shouvik Das¹, Saurabh Badoni¹, Shailesh Tripathi², Hari D. Upadhyaya³, C. L. L. Gowda³, Shivali Sharma³, Sube Singh³, Akhilesh K. Tyagi¹ and Swarup K. Parida^{1,†}

¹ National Institute of Plant Genome Research (NIPGR), Aruna Asaf Ali Marg, New Delhi 110067, India

² Division of Genetics, Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi 110012, India

³ International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru 502324, Telangana, India

[†] To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: swarup@nipgr.ac.in or swarupdbt@gmail.com

Received 22 May 2014; Revised 21 October 2014; Accepted 31 October 2014

Abstract

Phylogenetic footprinting identified 666 genome-wide paralogous and orthologous CNMS (conserved non-coding microsatellite) markers from 5'-untranslated and regulatory regions (URRs) of 603 protein-coding chickpea genes. The (CT)_n and (GA)_n CNMS carrying CTRMCAMV35S and GAGA8BKN3 regulatory elements, respectively, are abundant in the chickpea genome. The mapped genic CNMS markers with robust amplification efficiencies (94.7%) detected higher intraspecific polymorphic potential (37.6%) among genotypes, implying their immense utility in chickpea breeding and genetic analyses. Seventeen differentially expressed CNMS marker-associated genes showing strong preferential and seed tissue/developmental stage-specific expression in contrasting genotypes were selected to narrow down the gene targets underlying seed weight quantitative trait loci (QTLs)/eQTLs (expression QTLs) through integrative genetical genomics. The integration of transcript profiling with seed weight QTL/eQTL mapping, molecular haplotyping, and association analyses identified potential molecular tags (GAGA8BKN3 and RAV1AAT regulatory elements and alleles/haplotypes) in the LOB-domain-containing protein- and KANADI protein-encoding transcription factor genes controlling the *cis*-regulated expression for seed weight in the chickpea. This emphasizes the potential of CNMS marker-based integrative genetical genomics for the quantitative genetic dissection of complex seed weight in chickpea.

Key words: Chickpea, Cicer arietinum, CNMS, eQTLs, microsatellites, seed weight, SNPs, transcription factor.

Introduction

The utility of non-coding microsatellites, specifically in the 5'-untranslated and regulatory regions (URRs) of genes has been widely documented in diverse plant and mammalian genomes for various marker-based large-scale genotyping applications (Hulzink *et al.*, 2002; Sangwan and O'Brian, 2002; Fujimori *et al.*, 2003; Iglesias *et al.*, 2004; Martin *et al.*, 2004; Meister *et al.*, 2004; Kooiker *et al.*, 2005; Lawson and

Zhang, 2006; Zhang *et al.*, 2006; Parida *et al.*, 2009). The alterations of such microsatellite have implicated them in controlling transcription/translation functions. A number of studies in crop plants have already demonstrated the association of altered non-coding regulatory microsatellites in specific genes with traits of agronomic importance. This is clearly evident from the correlation of the varied lengths of

© The Author 2014. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. $(CT)_n/(GA)_n$ microsatellite repeats in the 5'-UTR (untranslated region) of the waxy gene with amylose content in rice (Bao et al., 2002). Further, alterations of non-coding microsatellite repeats, such as $(CT)_n/(GA)_n$ and $(CTT)_n/(GAA)_n$, in the cis-regulatory element-binding regions (TCA-element and GAGA-binding factor) of genes controlling light and salicylic acid responses, respectively, in Arabidopsis and Brassica have been reported (Zhang et al., 2006). Early intra-/ intergenomic phylogenetic footprinting studies that specifically investigated monocot and dicot plant genomes have indicated the presence of non-coding microsatellites in the functionally constrained regulatory sequence element regions and association of such microsatellite repeat motifs with evolutionarily conserved non-coding sequences (CNS) (Tagle et al., 1988; Levy et al., 2001; Koch et al., 2000, 2001; Colinas et al., 2002; Morishige et al., 2002; Guo and Moose, 2003; Santi et al., 2003; Creux et al., 2008; Spensley et al., 2009; Freeling and Subramaniam, 2009; Baxter et al., 2012). This suggests their utility as conserved non-coding microsatellite (CNMS) markers in defining gene regulatory functions and understanding non-coding regulatory sequence evolution in many crop plants (Zhang et al., 2006; Parida et al., 2009). Considering the inherent genetic attributes of CNMS markers, the development of such informative markers by targeting the microsatellite repeat motifs in the known regulatory elements/functional transcription factor-binding sites (TFBS) from the non-coding upstream sequence components of protein-coding genes would be of practical significance. They could thus be effectively utilized for the rapid detection of marker-trait linkages and the dissection of qualitative and complex quantitative traits, such as yield and/or stress tolerance in the chickpea.

With the availability of structurally and functionally annotated protein-coding genes (27 571-28 269) from the recently released draft genome sequences of the desi and kabuli chickpea genotypes (Jain et al., 2013; Varshney et al., 2013), it is now possible to mine diverse known regulatory elements/TFBS (such as GAGA8HVBKN3, RAV1AAT, CTRMCAMV35S, ANAERO2CONSENSUS, and GATABOX) from the URRs using available databases (~500-1000 characterized regulatory elements/TFBS), including PLACE, PlantCARE, and TRANSFAC (http://www.dna. affrc.go.jp/PLACE, http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html; Wingender et al., 2000) in crop plants. Consequently, microsatellite repeat motifs from these regulatory elements/TFBS identified in genes can be targeted to develop large-scale genome-wide in silico CNMS markers. Currently, an integrated approach of gene expression profiling and genetic/quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping called 'genetical genomics/expression genetics' (Jansen and Nap, 2001; Gupta and Rustgi, 2004) has successfully delineated trait-specific functionally relevant gene targets rapidly from a larger set of differentially expressed known/candidate genes controlling various agronomic traits (yield and stress tolerance) in many crop plants. Alternatively, this approach is recognized as 'eQTL (expression QTL) mapping' in which the measured transcript levels in individuals that have undergone advanced generation mapping has been utilized to map the polymorphic genomic loci harbouring the QTLs that alter the expression/accumulation of specific transcripts (Schadt et al., 2003; Gibson and Weir, 2005). eQTL mapping largely helps to identify 'cis' and 'trans' eQTLs based on the linkage of polymorphic genomic loci that have been identified in the eQTLs with the physical positions of the measured gene-encoding transcripts. Moreover, this integrative approach is useful for dissecting the molecular basis of most quantitative traits and deciphering the complex gene/QTL regulatory networks controlling expression polymorphisms that underlie both simple and complex traits, including phosphate sensing, flowering time, and growth/development in crop plants (Johanson et al., 2000; Schadt et al., 2003; Werner et al., 2005; Clark et al., 2006; Potokina et al., 2006; Caicedo et al., 2007; Salvi et al., 2007; Svistoonoff et al., 2007; Emilsson et al., 2008; Boerjan and Vuylsteke, 2009; Kim et al., 2010; Kloosterman et al., 2010; Terpstra et al., 2010). Thus, the CNMS markers that regulate gene expression on the basis of variation in the microsatellite repeat length in the known functional regulatory elements/TFBS of these genes may be of great relevance for the targeted mapping of differentially expressed genes in the chickpea genome by combining transcript profiling in segregating mapping individuals with genetic/QTL mapping studies. This information would expedite the identification and mapping of genes in addition to eOTLs and their regulatory sequences that are specifically involved in the expression of complex quantitative agronomic traits. Moreover, the identification of gene-based CNMS markers on a genomewide scale will serve as a cost-effective approach to facilitate the more widespread use of genetical genomics/eQTL mapping for the multifactorial dissection of the gene/OTL expression profile levels governing various genetic components of complex agronomic traits in the chickpea.

Therefore, the present study was undertaken to identify and characterize the CNMS repeat motifs from the 5'URRs of protein-coding chickpea genes on a genome-wide scale. The potential of these validated CNMS markers was evaluated for various applications, including the construction of physical and functional transcript maps and the elucidation of gene regulatory functions. The utility of these markers was further assessed to identify and map the novel potential regulatory elements and alleles in the candidate genes controlling the complex quantitative trait of 100-seed weight in the chickpea using an integrative genetical genomics approach (by combining differential expression profiling with QTL and eQTL mapping, selective genotyping, molecular haplotyping, and genetic association analyses) in natural and mapping populations.

Materials and methods

Discovery, characterization, and physical mapping of genic CNMS markers in chickpea

The transcript FASTA sequences of two chickpea genotypes, ICCV2 (*kabuli*; 43389 transcripts, Agarwal *et al.*, 2012) and PI489777 (wild *Cicer reticulatum*; 37 265, Jhanwar *et al.*, 2012), and 14 486 unigenes were retrieved from the Chickpea Transcriptome Database (CTDB) version 1.0 (http://www.nipgr.res.in/ctdb.html). The

structural annotations for different coding and non-coding URR sequence components of these transcripts were performed using the NCBI ORF Finder (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/ gorf), FGNESH (www.softberry.com), ORF Finder (http://bioinformatics.org/sms/orf_find.html), and UTRScan (http://itbtools. ba.itb.cnr.it/utrscan), respectively. Additionally, 1000 bp FASTA sequences localized upstream from the annotated translation start site/initiation codon (ATG) of 28 269 and 27 571 protein-coding genes as predicted from the draft genome sequences of the chickpea kabuli (CDC Frontier; Varshney et al., 2013) and desi (ICC 4958; Jain et al., 2013) genotypes, respectively, were batch downloaded. Likewise, 1000-bp upstream FASTA sequences were also acquired of 230 161 genes annotated from four legumes (Medicago truncatula, Lotus japonicus, Cajanus cajan, and Glycine max) and two non-legume sequenced dicot species (Arabidopsis thaliana and Vitis venifera) (Singh et al., 2012; Varshney et al., 2012; http://www.plantgdb.org; http://www.arabidopsis.org). The sequences located upstream from the initiation codons of protein-coding genes for all seven dicot species, including the chickpea, were acquired taking into account their structural (orientation) annotation information across the chromosomal pseudomolecules of the sequenced genomes.

To reveal potential and robust CNS in the chickpea on a genomewide scale, upstream gene sequences obtained from seven plant species were analysed using the alignment plot/dot plot method (seaweed algorithm; Krusche and Tiskin, 2010) of APPLES (http:// www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/sci/systemsbiology/staff/ott/tools_and_ software/apples) as described by Baxter et al. (2012) and Reineke et al. (2011). The CNS identified in the chickpea genes were further correlated/compared with 1865 previously documented CNS from 1643 Arabidopsis genes and 602 CNS from 554 orthologous genes of four dicot plants (A. thaliana, Carica papava, Populus trichocarpa, and V. vinifera; Baxter et al., 2012). To derive the overrepresentation of the CNS for known regulatory elements/TFBS, the CNS from chickpea gene sequences were analysed with the intra-/intergenomic phylogenetic footprinting method of rVISTA (Loots et al., 2002; http://genome.lbl.gov/vista/rvista/submit. shtml) with default parameters using known TFBS databases, such as TRANSFAC (Wingender et al., 2000), PLACE (http://www.dna. affrc.go.jp/PLACE/), and PlantCARE (http://bioinformatics.psb. ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/) as references. The CNS identified in the chickpea genes were mined for microsatellites using MISA (Microsatellite; http://pgrc.ipk-gatersleben.de/misa). Those in which the localization of microsatellite repeat motifs corresponded to the positions of known regulatory elements/TFBS that are conserved in at least one of the orthologous/paralogous genes of the seven dicot species studied were defined as 'conserved noncoding microsatellites (CNMS)'. The unique forward and reverse primers with amplification product sizes of 100-300 bp were designed from the sequences flanking these CNMS repeat motifs carrying regulatory elements using BatchPrimer3 (http://probes. pw.usda.gov/batchprimer3) and developed as gene-based CNMS markers (Fig. 1). The frequency distributions of the CNMS markers were determined on the basis of their localizations in average 100 bp sequence intervals upstream from the initiation codons of chickpea genes. The CNMS markers in the genes were functionally annotated based on their correlations with known/predicted functional characteristics of TFBS/regulatory elements with which the microsatellite repeat motifs co-localized, and putative functions of the corresponding CNMS marker-associated genes were assigned. A gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis (biological process, molecular function, and cellular component) of CNMS marker-associated genes was performed using the BiNGO plugin of Cytoscape V2.6 (Maere et al., 2005). The Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate correction was implemented for the GO enrichment significance test ($P \le 0.05$). To ascertain the physical positions (bp) of the designed genic CNMS markers across the chromosomes, the non-coding upstream gene regulatory sequences flanking the CNMS repeat motifs were analysed according to the methods of Kujur et al. (2013).

Validation of CNMS markers

To access the amplification and polymorphic potential of the *in silico* designed genic CNMS markers, a total of 666 markers were PCR amplified using the genomic DNA of 24 *desi* and *kabuli* genotypes and one wild (*C. reticulatum*) genotype (Supplementary Table S1 available at *JXB* online) and resolved through a gel-based assay and fluorescent dye-labelled automated fragment analyser following the methods of Kujur *et al.* (2013). The validated polymorphic CNMS markers distributed over the eight chickpea chromosomes were used to estimate their amplified allele numbers, percentage polymorphism, and polymorphism information content (PIC) using PowerMarker version 3.51 (Liu and Muse, 2005).

Evaluation of CNMS marker-associated genes for differential expression

A set of 256 informative CNMS marker-associated genes showing polymorphism among 25 chickpea genotypes were selected for the differential expression profiling. The total RNA isolated (Trizol; Invitrogen, USA) from the vegetative (root, shoot and leaf) and reproductive (flower bud and young pod) tissues and two different seed developmental stages [early cell division phase occurring 10-20 days after podding (DAP) and late maturation phase occurring 21-30 DAP] of three contrasting low and high/very high seed weight desi and kabuli genotypes, including ICCX-810800 (100-seed weight 11 g), ICC 4958 (35 g), and ICC 20268 (47 g). These samples were used in the semi-quantitative and quantitative real-time PCR (RT-PCR) assays according to Kujur et al. (2013). A housekeeping gene, elongation factor 1-alpha (EF1 α), suitable for consistent expression across diverse tissues and developmental stages of chickpea genotypes (Garg et al., 2010) was utilized as the internal control in the RT-PCR assay. In quantitative RT-PCR, three independent biological replicates of each sample and two technical replicates of each biological replicate with no template and primer as control were included. The expression values across various tissues and seed developmental stages of genotypes were normalized against endogenous control $EF1\alpha$. Significant differences in gene expression at two seed developmental stages of low and high seed weight genotypes as compared with leaf (considered as the reference calibrator and assigned as 1) was performed by least significant differene (LSD)-analysis of variance (ANOVA) significance test using SPSS 17.0 (http://www.spss.com/statistics). The differential expression data generated by the CNMS marker-associated gene-specific primers were compared and correlated to construct a heat map using the TIGR MultiExperiment Viewer (MeV; http://www.tm4. org/mev.html). Based upon this, the CNMS marker-associated genes showing differential, in addition to preferential and tissue-specific, expression in the diverse tissues and seed developmental stages of genotypes were identified.

To infer the molecular basis of the differential CNMS markerassociated gene expression, fragment length polymorphism based on the expansion/contraction of the CNMS repeat units in the regulatory elements/TFBS of the genes were correlated with their differential expression profiles. For this, the cDNA and genomic DNA fragments amplifying the total coding and non-coding 5' upstream sequence components (1000 bp) of the differentially expressed genes in ICCX-810800, ICC 4958, and ICC 20268 were purified, cloned, and sequenced in both the forward and reverse directions twice on a capillary-based Automated DNA Sequencer (Applied Biosystems, ABI 3730xl DNA Analyzer, Vernon Hills, IL, USA). The high-quality consensus sequences obtained for each CNMS marker-associated gene were aligned and compared among the three genotypes. The presence of predicted CNMS repeat motifs in the regulatory elements/TFBS of the genes and the correspondence of fragment length polymorphism based on CNMS repeat unit expansion/contraction with the differential expression profiling of each gene were inferred among the chickpea genotypes.



Fig. 1. Development of CNMS markers from the known regulatory elements/TFBS present in the sequences upstream of the translation initiation codons (ATG) of protein-coding chickpea genes. The forward and reverse primers designed from the sequences flanking the (GA)_n and (CT)_n CNMS repeat motifs carrying known GAGA8HVBKN3 and CTRMCAMV35S regulatory elements/TFBS of LOB- (A) and homeobox (B) domain protein-encoding TF genes, respectively, were used to develop CNMS markers in chickpea. (This figure is available in colour at *JXB* online.)

Transcript map construction and QTL mapping

To construct the genetic linkage (transcript) map, the genotyping data of a selected set of physically mapped gene-based CNMS markers showing polymorphism between two parental chickpea genotypes, ICC 4958 (100-seed weight 35g) and ICC 17163 (15g), and 190 segregating individuals of a F_7 recombinant inbred line (RIL) mapping population (ICC 4958×ICC 17163), were analysed using the JoinMap 4.1 (http://www.kyazma.nl/index.php/mc.JoinMap) at an LOD (logarithm of odds) threshold of >4.0 with the Kosambi function. The CNMS markers in each gene were assigned to their

defined linkage groups (LGs) in accordance with their physical positions (bp) and groupings shared on the corresponding chromosomes as determined by the physical mapping analysis. The genetic linkage (transcript) map was constructed according to the centiMorgan (cM) map distances of the genic CNMS markers integrated on the chickpea LGs/chromosomes.

For the genetic/QTL mapping, 190 RIL mapping individuals (ICC 4958×ICC 17163) along with their parental genotypes were phenotyped in the field across two geographical locations in India with at least three replicates (following randomized complete block

design) for three consecutive years. The 100-seed weight (g) was measured by estimating the average weight of 100 seeds with 10% moisture content. This was performed by selecting 10–12 representative plants from each RIL in addition to the parents at maturity. The frequency distribution, range, and ANOVA of 100-seed weightspecific phenotypic data of the RILs were analysed using SPSS 17.0. The QTL analysis was performed by integrating the genotyping data of gene-based CNMS markers mapped on the eight chickpea chromosomes with the 100-seed weight-specific phenotyping data of RIL mapping individuals and the parental genotypes. The single marker analysis and composite interval mapping functions of MapQTL 6 (Van Ooijen, 2009) with a LOD threshold score >3.0 at 1000 permutations was considered to be significant (P<0.05) for the identification and mapping of the major CNMS marker-associated candidate genes/QTLs regulating the 100-seed weight in chickpea.

eQTL mapping, expression profiling, selective genotyping, molecular haplotyping, and trait association analysis

The relative expression levels of seed weight-regulating CNMS marker-associated genes at two seed developmental stages (10–20 DAP and 21–30 DAP, as described above) of each of the 190 individuals, including 30 low (100-seed weight 13.5–18g) and high (32–37.2g) seed weight homozygous RIL mapping individuals (ICC 4958×ICC 17163) along with the parental genotypes, were determined according to previously described methods. The differential expression-based genotyping and 100-seed weight-specific phenotyping data of RIL mapping individuals and genetic positions (cM) of CNMS markers mapped on eight chromosomes were analysed in the eQTL window interface module of QGene 4.3 (Joehanes and Nelson, 2008) to identify the effects and positions (cM) of the eQTLs controlling the relative expression levels of CNMS marker-associated genes for seed weight.

Additionally, the differential expression analysis of the identified seed weight-regulating CNMS marker-associated genes was performed at two seed developmental stages of 12 contrasting low (100 seed weight 5-13 g) and high (31-41 g)/very high (47-55 g) seed weight desi and kabuli germplasm lines (ICCX-810800, ICC 2507, ICC 5845, ICC 1052, ICC 3761, ICC 4926, ICC 20268, ICC 19707, ICC 11883, ICC 20141, ICC 7410, and ICC 11301) that were selected from 300 global reference core germplasm collections of chickpea (Upadhyaya et al., 2008). In addition to these, low and high/very high seed weight parental genotypes (ICC 4958 and ICC 17163) and 10 homozygous individuals of a RIL mapping population (ICC 4958×ICC 17163) were included for CNMS marker-associated gene expression profiling. To deduce the molecular basis of the differential gene expression patterns, the cDNA and genomic DNA fragments amplifying the entire coding and non-coding intronic, 3' UTR, and 5' upstream sequence (1000 bp) components of the seed weightgoverning CNMS marker-associated genes were cloned, sequenced, and compared among a selected set of 10 low and high seed weight homozygous RIL mapping individuals, parental genotypes, and 12 contrasting germplasm lines using the methods described above.

These high-quality gene sequences generated were further aligned with the corresponding genomic sequences (pseudomolecules) of two low seed weight *desi* (ICC 4958 and ICC 4951; Jain *et al.*, 2013), two very high seed weight *kabuli* (CDC Frontier and ICCV2; Varshney *et al.*, 2013; Jain *et al.*, 2013), and one low seed weight wild (PI 489777; Jain *et al.*, 2013) genotype. All of the CNMS and SNP marker genotyping information obtained from these seed weightregulating genes based on cloned amplicon sequencing and *in silico* comparative sequence analyses was used for constitution of haplotypes and linkage disequilibrium (LD) mapping.

For the trait association analysis, the CNMS and SNP markerbased haplotype genotyping information in the genes, population genetic structure data, and seed weight-specific phenotypic information on 96 contrasting low and high/very high seed weight reference core germplasm lines were analysed (http://www.maizegenetics.net) according to Kujur *et al.* (2013, 2014). Specifically, the population structure and 100-seed weight-specific phenotyping data of 96 germplasm lines belonging to an association panel were obtained from a previous study (Kujur *et al.*, 2013). To reveal the potential of different haplotypes constituted in the CNMS marker-associated gene to regulate seed weight, differential expression profiling in two seed developmental stages of the contrasting germplasm lines representing diverse seed weight haplotype groups was performed using gene haplotype-specific primers.

Results and discussion

Characteristics of CNMS in chickpea genome

The alignment plot and intra-/intergenomic phylogenetic footprinting identified 419 and 247 (paralogous and orthologous) non-redundant/unique CNMS repeat motifs from 47 different regulatory elements that were located upstream of the initiation codons of 407 (1.4% of 28 269) and 197 (0.7% of 27 571) protein-coding genes from the kabuli and desi genomes, respectively. A total of 627 (94.2%) of the 666 CNMS repeat motifs were confined to 23 diverse known gene regulatory elements (e.g. RAV1AAT, ANAERO2CONSENSUS, CAREOSREP1, CACTFTPPCA1, GATABOX. MYB1LEPR, NODCON2GM, and POLLEN1LELAT52). The (CT)_n CNMS repeat motifs carrying CTRMCAMV35S (245, 39.1%) regulatory elements were the most abundant in the chickpea genome, followed by the $(GA)_n$ and $(CAA)_n$ repeat motifs containing GAGA8HVBKN3 (55, 8.8%) and RAV1AAT (53, 8.5%) regulatory elements, respectively (Fig. 2A). The CT/GA-rich dinucleotide repeats (304, 45.6%) were thus the predominant microsatellite classes in the CNMS (Fig. 2B). This is in accordance with previous reports on abundant pyrimidine-rich microsatellite repeat distributions in the upstream (promoter) sequences of genes in the rice and Arabidopsis genomes (Fujimori et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2006; Parida et al., 2009). Remarkably, a higher frequency (168, 25.2%) of CNMS repeats was observed near the first 100–200 bp upstream from the translation initiation codons (ATG) in both the orthologous and paralogous chickpea genes (Fig. 2C). Overall, the frequency of CNMS repeats decreased gradually with the increase in their physical distances (bp) from the initiation codons of the genes, suggesting the existence of an inverse correlation between the two. This is in agreement with previous studies on genome-wide CNS, including CNMS identification in many monocot and dicot crop plants, such as rice and Arabidopsis (Zhang et al., 2006; Parida et al., 2009; Baxter et al., 2012). The abundance of CNMS repeats (93%) localized upstream of the initiation codons of genes with similarities to known *cis*-regulatory elements may be due to functional constraints on the regulatory elements for imparting specialized functions in the chickpea. For example, the (CT)_n CNMS repeats within the TCTCTCTCT signal sequence-binding sites of known regulatory element (CTRMCAMV35S) of the Cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter are known to be involved in enhancing gene expression/transcriptional regulation in plants by their interactions with nuclear proteins (Pauli et al., 2004). The occurrence of such CT-rich CNMS motifs in the 5'UTRs of plant housekeeping genes, such as those encoding the



Fig. 2. (A) Distribution frequency of CNMS repeat motifs containing gene regulatory elements in the chickpea genome. (B) Proportionate distribution of different CNMS repeat motif classes on the eight chickpea chromosomes. Dinucleotide CNMS repeats (45.6%) were the abundant microsatellite classes, followed by trinucleotide CNMS (30%) in the chickpea genome. (C) Positional distribution of CNMS repeat motifs carrying regulatory elements in the non-coding sequences upstream of the translation initiation codons (ATG) of chickpea genes. (D) Proportionate distribution of different CNMS repeat motifs containing gene regulatory elements on the eight chickpea chromosomes. The detailed characteristics of these regulatory elements and CNMS are described in Supplementary Table S2 at *JXB* online. (This figure is available in colour at *JXB* online.)

ribosomal proteins L12 and L13, suggests their involvement in a universally valid mechanism of gene regulation (Bolle et al., 1996). Thus, the abundance of $(CT)_n$ CNMS repeat motifs carrying CTRMCAMV35S regulatory elements in the chickpea genome is expected. Moreover, a higher frequency of (GA)_n CNMS repeat motifs containing GAGA8HVBKN3 regulatory elements in the chickpea genome may be due to the functional significance of such widely distributed elements in the transcriptional regulation of numerous developmental genes (Bevilacqua et al., 2000; Busturia et al., 2001). The (GA)_n CNMS repeats within the (GA)₈ signal sequence-binding sites of known regulatory elements (GAGA8HVBKN3) that are located upstream of the start codon of the class I Knox homeobox gene Bkn3 have played major roles in the transcriptional regulation of its expression, thus affecting cell growth, differentiation, and development in diverse crop plants, including barley, rice, and Arabidopsis (Chan et al., 1998; Muller and Leutz, 2001; Santi et al., 2003; Jain et al., 2008; Mukherjee et al., 2009). Several studies have also established the correlation between the patterns of origin and differentiation of homeobox genes in different plant groups with their increasing developmental complexities. These known regulatory elements containing homeobox genes, which are involved in many essential processes such as growth and development, are evolutionarily conserved, existing in organisms ranging from simple unicellular prokaryotes to highly complex multicellular eukaryotes, including animals and plants (Bharathan *et al.*, 1997; Kappen, 2000; Garcia-Fernandez, 2005; Holland, 2013). Thus, the higher retention of such CNMS repeats carrying regulatory elements in the chickpea genome was expected. Overall, the results suggest the non-random and strongly biased distribution of CNMS repeat motifs across the non-coding regulatory regions of chickpea genes.

Development of CNMS markers and their genomewide distributions

A total of 666 markers were developed, including 235 (35.3%) hypervariable class I and 43 (64.7%) class II non-redundant CNMS markers from the 5'URRs of 603 chickpea genes

(Supplementary Table S2 at JXB online). All of the 666 designed gene-based CNMS markers were submitted to the freely accessible NCBI Probe database (accession nos PUID 28366769 to PUID 28367434). The genomic distributions of the 666 CNMS markers were based on their physical positions (bp) on the eight chickpea chromosomes and possessed an average marker density of 521.4kb (Supplementary Fig. S1; Supplementary Table S3). The CNMS repeat motifs carrying the CTRMCAMV35S and GAGA8HVBKN3 regulatory elements occurred predominantly on chromosomes 4 (43, 17.6%) and 6 (15, 27.3), respectively (Fig. 2D). In summary, the genomic distribution of CNMS repeat motifs carrying known regulatory elements determined on the eight chromosomes through the construction of marker-based physical maps could greatly expedite the wider use of genetical genomics for the identification and mapping of genes/ QTLs (eQTLs) to control important agronomic traits in the chickpea by the rapid selection of such desired genic microsatellite markers at the chromosomal level. It would also accelerate genome-wide comparative mapping and phylogenetics, involving the chickpea and other legumes.

Functional significance of CNMS marker-associated genes

To assess the functional significance of the CNMS markerassociated genes, the known/predicted functional characteristics of 666 CNMS repeat motifs containing regulatory elements and the putative functions of the corresponding marker-associated protein-coding genes were correlated. The analysis identified 666 CNMS marker-associated genes that corresponded to genes encoding various transcription and translation factors, metabolic enzymes, growth/development factors, and signal transduction pathway proteins (Supplementary Table S2 at JXB online). The 666 CNMS marker-associated genes were further functionally annotated based on their GO classifications, and the enrichment/depletion of specific GO terms in the genes was analysed statistically. The significant enrichment for TF activity (591, 88.7%, $P \le 8 \times 10^{-6}$) as well as vegetative and reproductive developmental processes (425, 63.8%, $P \le 8 \times 10^{-6}$) was observed for these genes. Based on the cellular component GO analysis, it was observed that the most over-represented GO term in the CNMS marker-associated genes was the nucleus. The findings from the GO term analysis and the TFBS over-representation collectively suggest the involvement of the CNMS in the transcriptional regulation of growth and developmental processes in plants (Zhang et al., 2006; Freeling and Subramanium, 2009; Baxter et al., 2012). Conversely, CNMS were more abundant in the genes encoding TFs and regulatory genes, which typically clustered within the known TFBS that were present upstream of the translation initiation codons.

Plants are used to relying on regulatory machinery for the integration of signals from internal and external environmental stimuli (abiotic and biotic stress) ultimately to carry out complex decision-making and response processes. Thus, the preferential selection for the retention of more of such CNMS repeat motifs containing regulatory elements/TFBS under strict control/evolutionary pressure may be essential to control the transcription of a large number of CNMSassociated genes in the chickpea. The enrichment of CNMS marker-associated gene orthologues/paralogues for TFs further implies that the CNMS and corresponding protein-coding genes have played an intrinsic role in the conserved ancient transcriptional regulatory networks, which are shared among the seven dicot species investigated. Interestingly, six (AP2, AP1, H2A.Z, ICE1, PI, and SHOOT MERISTEMLESS) of the 666 CNMS marker-associated genes were predicted to function as master regulatory TFs for controlling the mechanisms of diverse developmental processes in monocot and dicot plants, particularly in Arabidopsis (Supplementary Table S4 at JXB online). The occurrence of multiple TFBS, specifically in the 100-200 bp long stretch of sequences upstream of the translation initiation codons, may facilitate manifold binding events for multiple proteins in the DNAbinding regulatory regions, which act as templates for the effective assembly of transcriptional/regulatory protein complexes to control complex developmental-responsive gene functions in the chickpea (Baxter et al., 2012). Seventy-five (11.3%) of 666 CNMS-associated genes showed depletion for metabolism (at significance level $P \le 2 \times 10^{-2}$) based on GO. The significant depletion of CNMS-associated gene expression involving metabolic activity reflects the limited requirements for controlling metabolism-related genes and suggests that these genes may be capable of adequately controlling the basic processes of life and survival (Zhang et al., 2006). As a whole, these designed CNMS markers that are derived specifically from the regulatory sequence components of genes could essentially act as functional markers for rapidly establishing marker-trait linkages and identifying genes/QTLs (eQTLs) for many traits of agricultural importance in the chickpea.

Amplification and polymorphic potential of CNMS markers

The evaluation of the amplification efficiency of 666 CNMS markers revealed clear and reproducible amplicons for 631 (94.7%) with fragments of the expected product sizes (Supplementary Table S2 at JXB online). The polymorphic potential of these CNMS markers was determined among a set of 25 desi, kabuli, and wild genotypes using both gel-based assay and a fluorescent dye-labelled automated fragment analyser (Fig. 3). A total of 256 (40.6%) of the 631 CNMS markers showed polymorphism among the 25 genotypes. The extent of polymorphism detected by the genic CNMS markers between the *desi* and *kabuli* genotypes (38.7%) was higher compared with that obtained within desi and kabuli (35.8%). The CNMS markers overall detected 1-6 alleles (average of four alleles) per marker locus (40.6% polymorphic, PIC 0.51) with a total of 996 alleles in the 25 chickpea genotypes. The intraspecific (37.6%) polymorphism as detected by the CNMS markers among the 25 genotypes is slightly higher/ comparable with previous estimates using the EST-derived genic microsatellite markers and TF gene-based markers (25-37%; Choudhary et al., 2009, 2012; Nayak et al., 2010;



Fig. 3. Allelic variation detected among a representative set of 20 *desi* and *kabuli* genotypes using the normal unlabelled and fluorescent dye-labelled CNMS marker designed from the flanking sequences of the (GAA)₁₈ repeat motif present in the signal sequence-binding site (CTGAAGAAGAA) of the TL1ATSAR regulatory element containing the bZIP (basic leucine zipper) TF gene by gel-based assay (A) and automated fragment analyser (B), respectively. A maximum of four polymorphic alleles were amplified by the genic CNMS marker among chickpea genotypes using the gel-based assay (A) and automated fragment analyser (B), respectively. The fragment sizes (bp) of all amplified polymorphic alleles are indicated. The identities of genotypes correspond to serial numbers that are provided in Supplementary Table S1 at *JXB* online. (This figure is available in colour at *JXB* online.)

Gujaria *et al.*, 2011; Kujur *et al.* 2013), but lower than the levels reported for the genomic (40–65%; Sethy *et al.*, 2006; Bharadwaj *et al.*, 2011; Gaur *et al.*, 2011) microsatellite markers. The higher polymorphic potential of the CNMS markers compared with the EST-/gene-based markers may be due to their exclusive derivation from the URRs of the genes, which are under less selection pressure. Moreover, this finding is possibly due to the design of such markers from abundant polymorphic classes of dinucleotide CNMS repeat motifs (45.6%) carrying regulatory elements, which are more prone to replication slippage (Parida *et al.*, 2009, 2010*a*, *b*).

The cloning, sequencing, and high quality sequence alignments of size variant amplicons of each of the 12 CNMS markers (Table 1) from the selected genotypes revealed the presence of expected microsatellite repeat motifs. They also corresponded exactly with the differences in the numbers of repeat units in the signal sequences of the regulatory elementbinding sites of the genes and the fragment length variations of the sequenced alleles (Fig. 4). Several studies have correlated such fragment length polymorphism with the expansion/contraction of CNMS repeat units and the regulation of gene expression for many agronomic traits in diverse crop plants (Zhang et al., 2006; Parida et al., 2009). It would thus be interesting to study the functional significance of CNMS markers based on their repeat expansion/contractions in the known regulatory elements/TFBS of protein-coding genes to understand further the molecular mechanisms regulating complex quantitative traits in the chickpea.

Differential expression profiling of CNMS marker-associated genes

A set of 256 informative CNMS marker-associated genes (showing polymorphisms among the *desi* and *kabuli* genotypes) were used for differential expression profiling in six different vegetative and reproductive tissues of ICC 4958 via semi-quantitative and quantitative RT-PCR assays. Of these, 220 (85.9%) genes were expressed in all of the chickpea tissues that were analysed. A higher proportion (98.2%, 216) of CNMS marker-associated genes were differentially expressed (\geq 2-fold) in at least one of the tissues. For example, 117 genes were differentially up- (76 genes)-and down- (41) regulated (\geq 2-fold) in the seed tissue of ICC 4958 compared with its three vegetative tissues (root, leaf, and shoot). The maximum preferential expression was observed in the flower bud, followed by the root, young pod, and seed when compared with the leaf (Supplementary Fig. S2 at *JXB* online). Twelve CNMS marker-associated genes (\geq 5-fold) showed tissue-specific expression in the flower bud, whereas four, three, and two genes exhibited expression in the root, young pod, and seed, respectively.

To understand the regulation of CNMS marker-associated genes showing differential expression during seed development, a set of 51 genes showing preferential/specific expression (≥3-fold) in the seeds of ICC 4958 compared with the leaf were selected. These genes were further analysed at two seed developmental stages by comparing three low and high/very high seed weight chickpea genotypes, including ICCX-810800, ICC 4958, and ICC 20268, using both semi-quantitative and quantitative RT-PCR assays. Forty-seven (92.2%) of 51 genes were preferentially expressed in at least one of the seed developmental stages compared with the leaf in the three chickpea genotypes. Seventeen (36.2%) genes exhibited seed tissue- and/ developmental stage-specific expression (≥4-fold) in contrast to their respective vegetative tissue (leaf) (Fig. 5). Recently, the genome-wide transcriptome profiling of diverse desi, kabuli, and wild chickpea genotypes representing different tissues/developmental stages has identified a larger set of differentially expressed transcripts/ genes with preferential and tissue-specific expression (Garg et al., 2011a, b; Hiremath et al., 2011; Agarwal et al., 2012; Table 1. Characteristics of 17 informative CNMS marker-associated genes showing strong seed tissue-/developmental stage-specific expression in chickpea

CNMS marke IDs ^a	r NCBI Prob IDs	e Chromosome I physical r positions (bp)	Microsatellite repeat motifs	Known regulatory elements/TFBS	Signal (sequences b of b regulatory e element- binding sites	CNMS repeat variation between low and nigh seed weight contrasting genotypes	Forward primer (5′–3′)	Reverse primer (5′–3′)	Annealing temperature (°C)	Amplified P fragment size (bp) range	utative function
Ca-CNMS98 ⁶	28367433	0aChr2-3541793 (AG)12	GAGA8HVBKN3	(GA)8 (AG)12 and (AG)10	TACCACTITTTATACGCTGCAC	ATGAATGAACGAATGTGACTC	56	145–149 H († d a	AIRY MERISTEM 3 <i>HAM</i> 3) cell division/ fferentiation nd GRAS family
Ca-CNMS99 ^b	28367434	CaChr2-3544818 (i	(GA)10	GAGA8HVBKN3	(GA)8 (GA)10 and (GA)12	CCTCTGAAATGGGACTGTT	AACACTTCCCCACACAAAC	55	tr 137–141 E tr	anscription factor RF/AP2 anscription factor
Ca-CNMS100 ^{b,}	د 28366771	CaChr2-3547678 ((GA)13	GAGA8HVBKN3	(GA)8 (GA)12 and (GA)13/(GA)14	ATGGAGGCGAATATATAGGAG	TTTTAGAAACAACTGCGTTC	55	158-164 L 0	DB domain- ontaining protein 0 (I BD40)
Ca-CNMS242 ^b	28366928	CaChr4-9449939 (ттст) <u></u> 3	CTRMCAMV35S	TCTCTCTCT (CT)6/(CT)7 and (CT)8	ATTTGATTCTCCTCAGATCC	GAAATCTTTTGCTGCTTATGA	55	141–145 N tr	lyb-like anscription factor milv protein
Ca-CNMS310 ^{6,}	^d 28367004	CaChr4- (, 41986507	AG)6	CTRMCAMV35S	TCTCTCTCT (CT)6 and (CT)8	TITICTTCTCACTTCGATCA	TAGAAACGAAAGCTGAAAAGC	55	123-127 H H	TA9, a histone 2A protein
Ca-CNMS316	28367010	CaChr4- (,AG)10	GAGA8HVBKN3	(GA)8 (AG)10 and (AG)12	GGACACACACAGAGAGAAAA	ACGAGGATTGTAAGGAGTACC	55	163–167 A fs lc d	L4 the Alfin-Like mily of nuclear- calized PHD omain and Domain
					L L				L		f unknown function JUF3594)
Ca-CNM0302	000/0002	cauno- 27283973	AIGGIJ3		AIGGIA	Aldui)3 and (Aldul)2	ICCUAI CAAGAI GU IAAAAI A	AALCAATOTT164661161160	Q		HYZ/AA3 gulates multiple Jxin responses ad AUX/IAA
Ca-CNMS380 ^b	28367081	CaChr5- 34505910	TC)8	CTRMCAMV35S	тстстстст (CT)8 and (CT)7	СПСАССССТІВВАНТТ	аттаттаатастатаас	55	130-132 N	mily led15 subunit f Mediator
										6	EUNIDIC, a putative anscriptional arscriptional tat regulates GAMOUS kpression during
Ca-CNMS402 ^b	28367106	CaChr5- (,	AGI6	CTRMCAMV35S	тстстстст (CT)6 and (CT)7	ATGGTTTTGCTCACATTCTTA	AACTCACCAGAACACTGCTT	ى ئ	149–151 M	productive evelopment (ADS box anscription factor imily
											(Continued)

Conserved non-coding microsatellites in chickpea genes | 1279

Car-OMISSION 238/72/1 Calchies (a)(a)(b) (a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(IDs ^a markei	NCBI Prot	e Chromosome A physical r positions (bp)	Microsatellite epeat motifs	Known regulatory elements/TFBS	Signal sequences of regulatory element- binding sites	CNMS repeat variation I between low and high seed weight contrasting genotypes	Forward primer (5'3')	Reverse primer (5'–3')	Annealing temperature (°C)	Amplified i fragment size (bp) range	Putative function
Ca-CMMSS48 26877551 CaChT-4624457 (AGB AcQueHNENAB (A)B And (AG)B (A)B And (AG)B (A)B And (AG)B (A)B And (AG)B (A)C	Ca-CNMS506	28367221	CaChr6- ((GA)18	GAGA8HVBKN3	(GA)8	(GA)18 and (GA)15	3ACATGGTTCGATTTGGAT	CACACTCACTCTTCTTCTTCT	56	153-159	WRKY transcription
Ca-CNWSSI4* 28367263 CaCN7-6052373 (CT)11 CTRACAM35SSSES TCTOTOTOT (CT)11 and (CT)6 TOTOTOTOTOTOTOTO DATOTACAMAGNTGGGATTACC 55 147 Ca-CNMSSI4** 28367264 CaCN7-6054880 (CAN)7 RAV IAM7 CAACA (CAV)7 and (CA)8 CAACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACAC	Ca-CNMS539	28367257	CaChr7-4624457 (/	AG)8	GAGA8HVBKN3	(GA)8	(AG)8 and (AG)6	заадттствосаствадттс	TTAGGAACAGCCTTGTCAAT	55	153-159	Pollen-specific ranscription factor
Ga-ONNSS44 ⁶ 28967263 C0111 CTRMCAMAGESSES TOTOTOTOT (C1)11 and (C1)6 TETECATOCOTOTITOTICO GATOCOMAGATTADOC 55 141 Ga-ONNSS46 ⁶ 28967264 CGUY7-6056830 (CN)1 RVI MAT CAACA CAAT/ and (CA)9 TETECATOCOTOTITOTICO GATOCOMAGATTADOC 55 146 Ga-ONNSS46 ⁶ 28967264 CGUY7-6056830 (CN)1 RVI MAT CAACA CAAAT/ and (CA)9 CAGGTOCACATGAACTOTO GATOCAMAGATTATGGAGATTT 55 146 Ca-ONNSS46 ⁶ 28967286 GaOV7-60568926 (TO)7 CTRMCAMASESSES TOTOTOTOTITOTATAG ICAGGTOCACATGAACTOCO GATOCATAGAGTOCACA 55 146 Ca-ONNSS46 ⁶ 28967286 CGOV7-6056830 (CT)10 CTRMCAMASESSES TOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTOTO												and Response egulator receiver
Ca-OMS544 ⁶ 23677363 CaCh7-6052373 (C1)11 CTAMCAM35S555 TCTCTCTCT (C1)11 and (C1)9 TCTCTCATCCTTTTTTCC GaTCAMGATTGATGATTTTCC 55 141 Ca-OMS546 ⁶⁶ 23677363 CaCh7-6054800 (CA)7 RAV1AAT CAAC (CA)7 and (CA)9 CAACTCACTCTTTTTTCC GTCTTGAGTTGAGTTGATTTTCC 55 146 Ca-OMS546 ⁶⁶ 23677363 CaCh7-6054800 (CA)7 RAV1AAT CAACA (CA)7 and (CA)9 TGAGACAAGACCTGAACTTTTTTTCC GTGTTGAGTTGATTTGGACCTCAAG 55 146 Ca-OMNS546 ¹⁶ 23867286 CaCh7-606830 (C1)10 CTRMCAM35SSS5 TCTCTTTTTTTTGAACTTTCC TTCTCGATCTATAGGTCAACC 55 146 Ca-OMS546 ¹⁶ 23867286 CaCh7-606830 (C1)10 CTRMCAM35SSS5 TCTCTTTTTTTTGAACTTTCGATCTATAGGTCAACC 55 146 Ca-OMS562 ¹⁶ 23867286 CaCh7-606830 (C1)10 CTRMCAM35SS55 TCTCTTTTTTTTTTTCGATCTATAGGTCAACC 55 156 Ca-OMS562 ¹⁶ 23867286 CaCh7-606830 (C1)10 CTRTCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTCGATCTATAGGTCAACC 55 156 Ca-OMS562 ¹⁵ 2387738 CaCh7-606830 (C1)10 CTRTCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT												domain-containing protein
Ca-CNMSS64 ⁶¹ 28367264 CaChT-605480 CAVI FAV1AT CAACA (CAN) ⁷ and (CA) ⁹ CAGCTOACCTGAACTCTCT ETTETTGAGTTGTGGTGATTT 55 140 Ca-CNMSS64 ⁶¹ 28367265 CaChT-6057925 (TC) ⁷ CTRACAM35S355 TCTCTCTT (TC)7 and (TC)9 TGAGACACAGAGTCAC GTGTTATTGTGGACCTCAAG 55 140 Ca-CNMSS64 ⁶¹ 28367265 CaChT-6057925 (TC) ⁷ CTRACAM35S3555 TCTCTCTT (TC)7 and (TC)9 TGAGACACAGAGTCAC GTGTTATTGTGGACCTCAAG 55 150 Ca-CNMSS62 ⁶¹ 28367266 CaChT-606880 (C)10 CTRACAM35S3555 TCTCTCTT (TC)7 and (TC)9 ATTCTCCTCATAGGCACACCGAGTCAC GTGTTATTGGTGACCTTATGGTTACGTTATGGTCACCTAAGGCACACACA	Ca-CNMS544 ^b	28367263	CaChr7-6052379 ((CT)11	CTRMCAMV35S35S	TCTCTCTCT	(CT)11 and (CT)9 1	ICTCTCATCCTCTTTCTTTCC	GATCCAAAGAATGGAATAACC	55	147-151	<h domain-<="" td=""></h>
Ca-CNMS545 ¹⁰ 28367264 CaCh7-605480 (CA)7 RAV1AT CAACA (CA)7 and (CA)9 CAACCACGAACTCTCT GTTTGTTGAGTTGTTGGGTGATTT 55 140 Ca-CNMS546 ¹⁰ 28367265 CaCh7-6057926 (TC)7 CTRMCAMV35S355 TCTCTCTT (TC)7 and (TC)9 TGAGAACAGGAACTCACT GTTGTTGGACCTCAAGG 55 140 Ca-CNMS547 ¹⁰ 28367261 CTRMCAMV35S355 TCTCTCTT (TC)7 and (TD)9 TGAGAACAGGAACTCACT GTTGTTGGACCTCAAGG 55 140 Ca-CNMS562 ¹⁰ 28367281 CaCh7-6058300 (T)10 CTRMCAM35S355 TCTCTCTTT (TD) and (TD)9 ATTCTCCTCATAGGCTCAACC 55 140 Ca-CNMS562 ¹⁰ 28367281 (CACAA)A CANDAAAT CANDAAAT CANDAAAT CANDAAAT 55 141 Ca-CNMS562 ¹⁰ 28367281 CACMAAAAT CANDAAAAT CANDAAAAT CANDAAAATTGATAGGTCAACC 55 141 Ca-CNMS562 ¹⁰ 28367381 CACMAAAAT CANDAAAATTGATAGGTCAACC 55 141 Ca-CNMS562 ¹⁰ 28367381 CTRMCAAA35 CTRMCAAAAATTGATAGGTCAACC 55 141 Ca-CNMS562 ¹⁰ 28367381 CACMAAA CTRMCAAAAAT CTRCTCTTTAGACTAAAAATTAAAGGAAAAAATTAAGGAAAAAATTAAGGAAAAAA												containing protein/
Ca-CNMSS45 ¹⁶ 28367284 CaOIN7-60054880 (CAV)7 RAV IAAT CACA (CAV)7 and (CA)9 CaGaCTCACTGACTETT GTGTTGATTGTGGGGTGATT 55 146 Ca-CNMSS47 ¹⁶ 28367266 CaOIN7-60059265 (TO)7 CTRMCAM35S3585 TCTCTCTT (TC)7 and (TO)9 TGACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACAC												anto imgen ianiny orotein
Ca-CNMS546 ^b 28367265 CaChr7-6057925 (TO)7 CTRMCAMV35S35S TOTOTOT (TO)7 and (TO)9 TGAGAACAAGACCAGAGTCAC GTGTTTGTGGACCTCAAG 55 140 Ca-CNMS547 ^b 28367268 CaChr7-6060830 (CT)10 CTRMCAMV35S35S TOTOTOTOT (CT)10 and (CT)8 ATTOTOCATTGAGACCTCAAC 55 150 Ca-CNMS547 ^b 28367281 CaChr7-6060830 (CT)10 CTRMCAMV35S35S TOTOTOTOT (CT)10 and (CT)8 ATTOTOCATTGAGACCTCAAC 55 150 Ca-CNMS562 ^b 28367281 CaChr7- (ACACA)4 CACACA44 and (CT)8 ATTOTOCATTGTTGGACCTCAACC 55 150 Ca-CNMS562 ^b 28367281 CaChr7- (ACACAA)4 and (CT)8 CACTTGTTTGTTGTCACTTCCTTGGAATTGAGACCAACG 55 150 Ca-CNMS562 ^b 28367381 (AGAA)4 CACACAA4 CTCTCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTGTCAACTTCCTTGGAATTGAGGAGAGAA 55 143 Ca-CNMS562 28367381 AGGH7- CTRMCAMV3555 TOTOTOTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT	Ca-CNMS545 ^{b,c}	28367264	CaChr7-6054880 ((CAA)7	RAV1AAT	CAACA	(CAA)7 and (CAA)9 C	2AAGCTCACACTGAACTCTCT	GTTGTTGAGTTGTGGTGATTT	55	150-156	SANADI protein
Ca-CNMS547 ^b 28367266 CaChr7-6060630 (CT)10 CTRMCAMV35S35S TCTCTCTCT (CT)10 and (CT)8 ATTCTCCTCATAGGCATTCT TTCTCGATCTATAGGTCAACC 55 150 Ca-CNMS562 ^b 28367283 CaChr7- (ACACAA)4 CNAACAC (ACACAA)4 and (ACACAA)6 CCTCTTTATTTGTTTGTCAAC TTCTCGATCTATAGGTCAACC 55 156 Ca-CNMS562 ^b 28367283 CaChr7- (ACACAA)4 CNAACAC (ACACAA)4 and (ACACAA)6 CCTCTTTATTTTGTTTGTCAAC TTCTCGAAATTGAGTAAAG 55 156 Ca-CNMS562 ^b 28367322 CaChr7- (ACACAA)4 CAACAC (ACACAA)6 CCTCTTTATTTGTTTGTTGTCAAC 55 156 Ca-CNMS562 ^b 28367372 CaChr7- (AGACAA)6 CCTCTCTTGTTATTTGTTGTCAAC 55 147 Ca-CNMS562 ^b 28367372 CaChr8-3997981 (AAG)4 CTRMCAMV355 TCTCTCTCT (TC)9 and (TC)13 CACCTCCTTTCTTCTTCT TGACAATGGAGAGAAAA 56 147	Ca-CNMS546 ^b	28367265	CaChr7-6057925 (1	IC)7	CTRMCAMV35S35S	TCTCTCTCT	(TC)7 and (TC)9	IGAGAACAAGACCAGAGTCAC	GTGTTATTGTTGGACCTCAAG	55	140-144	KAN) Myb-like
Ca-CNMS547 ^b 28367266 CaChr7-6060830 (CT)10 CTFMCAMV35S35S TCTCTCTCT (CT)10 and (CT)8 ATTCTCCTCATAAGCCATTCT TTCTCGATAAGCATCATAACGTCAACC 55 156 Ca-CNMS562 ^b 28367283 CaChr7- (ACACAA)4 CAACACA (ACACAA)4 and CCTCTTTATTTGTTTGTCAAC TTCTTCGAAATTGAGTAAAGG 55 156 Ca-CNMS562 ^b 28367283 CaChr7- (ACACAA)4 CAACACA (ACACAA)4 and CCTCTTTATTTGTTTGTCAAC TTCTTCGAAATTGAGTAAAG 55 156 Ca-CNMS562 ^b 28367372 CaChr8-3997981 (AAG)4 CANBNAPA CNAACAC (ACACAA)6 CCTCTTTATTTGTTTGTCAAC TTCTTCGAAATTGAGTAAAG 55 147 Ca-CNMS642 28367372 CaChr8-3997981 (AAG)4 CTRMCAMV355 TCTCTCTT (TO)13 CACCTTCCATTCTTCTTCTTCTTCTTCTTCTTCTTCTTCT												ranscription
Ca-CNMS647 ^b 28367266 CaChr7-6066830 (CT)10 CTRMCAMV35S35S TCTCTCTCT ATTCTCCTCATAGGCCATTCT TTCTCGATCTATACGTCAACC 55 156 Ca-CNMS562 ^b 28367283 CaChr7- (ACACA)4 CANBNAPA CNAACAC (ACACA)4 and (ACACA)6 CTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTGATTGAGGTAAATTGAGGTAAAG 156 Ca-CNMS642 28367372 CaChr8-3097981 (AAG)4 CTRMCAMV35S TCTCTCTTC) and (TO)13 CACCTTCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT												actor family orotein controlling
Ca-CNMS547 ⁶ 28367266 CaChr7-6060630 (CT)10 CTRMCAMV35S35S TCTCTCTCT (CT)10 and (CT)8 ATTCTCCTCATAGGCATTCT TTCTCGATCTATACGTCAACC 55 155 Ca-CNMS562 ⁶ 28367283 CaChr7- (ACACAA)4 CNNACAC (ACACAA)4 and (ACACAA)6 CCTCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTGAC TTCCTTCGAAATTGAGTAAAG 55 155 Ca-CNMS642 28367372 CaChr8-3997981 (AAG)4 CTRMCAMV35S TCTCTTCT<(TC)9 and (TC)13												eproductive
Ca-CNMS562 ⁶ 28367283 CaChr7- (ACACAA)4 CANBNNAPA CNAACAC (ACACAA)4 and CCTCTTTATTTGTTTGTCAAC TTGCTTGGAAATTGAGTAAAG 55 155 12021223 (ACACAA)6 (ACACAA)6 Ca-CNMS642 28367372 CaChr8-3997981 (AAG)4 CTRMCAMV35S TCTCTCT (TC)9 and (TC)13 CACCATCTTCTTCTTCTT TGACAACTTAATGGGAGAGA 55 147	Ca-CNMS547 ^b	28367266	CaChr7-6060830 (C	CT)10	CTRMCAMV35S35S	TCTCTCTCT	(CT)10 and (CT)8 <i>▶</i>	ATTCTCCTCATAAGCCATTCT	TTCTCGATCTATACGTCAACC	55	150-154	aevelopment A Class II KN1-like
Ca-CNMS562° 28367283 CaChir7- (ACACAA)4 CANBNNAPA CNAACAC (ACACAA)4 and CCTCTTTATTTGTTTGTCAAC TTCCTTCGAAATTGAGTAAAG 55 15 12021223 (ACACAA)6 (ACACAA)6 Ca-CNMS642 28367372 CaChir8-3997981 (AAG)4 CTRMCAMV35S TCTCTCT (TC)9 and (TC)13 CACCATCTTCTTCTTCTTCTT TGACAAACTTAATGGGAGAGA 55 147												nomeodomain
Ca-CNMS502* 2636/263 CaCINF (AUXCAN)4 CANBINNARA UNACUC (AUXCAN)4 and (ACCAN)6 CULCI I LATICAT I CULLI CANALLI GAGAACTTAATGGGAGAGA 55 147 (ACACAN)6 Ca-CNMS642 28367372 CaCINB-3397981 (AAG)4 CTRMCAMV35S TCTCTCT (TC)9 and (TC)13 CACCATCTTCTTCTTCTT TGACAACTTAATGGGAGAGA 55 147										Ĺ	L C T L L	ranscription factor
Ca-CNMS642 28367372 CaChr8-3997981 (AAG)4 CTRMCAMV35S TCTCTCT (TC)9 and (TC)13 CACCTTCCATCTTCTTCTT TGACAAACTTAATGGGAGAGA 55 147	-200001010-00	007 10007	12021223	AUAUAA)4	CAINDINIARA		(ACACAA)4 and (ACACAA)6			00	00-00-	vindina protein 15
Ca-CNMS642 28367372 CaChr8-3997981 (AAG)4 CTRMCAMV35S TCTCTCT (TC)9 and (TC)13 CACCTTCCTTCTTCTT TGACAAACTTAATGGGAGAGA 55 147							~					WRKY15)
	Ca-CNMS642	28367372	CaChr8-3997981 (/	AAG)4	CTRMCAMV35S	TCTCTCTCT	(TC)9 and (TC)13 (CACCTTCCATCTTCTTCTTCT	TGACAAACTTAATGGGAGAGA	55	147-155	DREB subfamily
												and ERF/AP2
												ranscription factor
												amily

Table 1. Continued

^a Detailed information on CNMS markers are provided in Supplementary Table S2 at JXB online. ^b Validated through cloned amplicon sequencing. ^c CNMS marker-associated genes validated through differential expression profiling, QTL and eQTL mapping, selective genotyping, and association analysis. ^d Ultra-CNMS marker-associated gene functioning as master regulator transcription factor.



Fig. 4. Multiple sequence alignments of cDNA and genomic DNA amplicons amplified from 10 low and high/very high seed weight homozygous RIL mapping individuals, parental genotypes (ICC 4958 and ICC 17163), and 12 contrasting germplasm lines using the two seed weight-regulating differentially expressed CNMS marker-associated LOB-domain proten- (A) and KANADI protein-encoding (B) genes validated the presence of microsatellite repeat motifs. The presence of variable CNMS repeat units, such as (GA)_n and (CAA)_n, in the signal sequence-binding sites of the GAGA8HVBKN3 and RAV1AAT regulatory elements of these two genes, respectively, which differentiated all of the low seed weight germplasm lines, parents, and homozygous mapping individuals (amplifying 158 bp and 150 bp alleles) from the high/very high seed weight germplasm lines, parents, and homozygous RILs (160/162 bp and 156 bp alleles) was evident. HSL, homozygous lines. (This figure is available in colour at *JXB* online.)

Jhanwar et al., 2012). To narrow down the functionally relevant gene targets rapidly from such large numbers of differentially expressed genes at specific developmental stages/ tissues, a marker-based integrated genetic and association mapping approach combining differential transcript profiling may be a feasible strategy. In this context, a set of preferentially expressed informative CNMS marker-associated genes, including those showing fragment length polymorphism and specific expression in different tissues/seed developmental stages of contrasting low and high/veryhigh seed weight genotypes identified in this study, would be of significance. These smaller sets of screened informative CNMS marker-associated genes could thus serve as targets for rapid genetic/association mapping for the identification of novel regulatory elements and alleles in the genes/QTLs (eQTLs) controlling seed weight and the understanding of their regulatory functions during seed development.

CNMS marker-based functional transcript map and mapping of genes/QTLs for seed weight

A total of 238 gene-based CNMS markers showing parental polymorphism between ICC 4958 and ICC 17163 (parents of a RIL mapping population) were selected for the construction of a genetic linkage map and seed weight-specific QTL mapping. These markers were genotyped among 190 individuals of a RIL mapping population (ICC 4958×ICC 17163) to construct the genetic linkage map (transcript map) of the chickpea. The linkage analysis of marker genotyping data enabled the genetic mapping of all 238 CNMS markers across the eight chromosomes according to their physical positions (bp) on the respective chickpea LGs/chromosomes (Supplementary Fig. S3 at *JXB* online). The transcript map consisting of the eight chromosomes covered a total map length of 766.9 cM with an average intermarker distance

of 3.22 cM (Table 2). The longest LG with a map length of 140.3 cM (40 CNMS markers) was observed in chromosome 4, followed by chromosome 1 (27 markers spanning 124.3 cM). Chromosome 8 had the shortest LG, spanning 63.5 cM (19 markers). The average intermarker distance for the transcript map varied from 2.31 cM (chromosome 6) to 4.60 cM



Fig. 5. Hierarchical cluster display of the expression profile for 17 CNMS marker-associated genes showing high levels of seed-specific expression at two seed developmental stages/tissues compared with vegetative leaf tissues of three contrasting low and high/very high seed weight chickpea genotypes (ICCX-810800, ICC 4958, and ICC 20268). The scale at the top represents the average log of the signal expression values (expression levels) of the genes in the various tissues and developmental stages. The tissues/seed developmental stages of the contrasting genotypes and CNMS marker-associated genes that were used for the expression profiling analysis are indicated on the right side and top of the expression map, respectively. Details of CNMS marker-associated genes are given in Table 1. Seven CNMS marker-associated genes harbouring the seed weight QTLs/eQTLs are marked with arrows. S1, seed development stage 1 (10-20 DAP); S2, seed development stage 2 (21-30 DAP). The expression values across different tissues/developmental stages of genotypes were normalized against the endogenous control elongation factor-1 alpha in the quantitative RT-PCR assay. The gene expression in leaf tissues of all the genotypes was considered as the reference calibrator and assigned as 1. (This figure is available in colour at JXB online.)

(chromosome 1) (Table 2). This is in agreement with the range of average intermarker distances that was estimated (1.77– 8.01 cM) previously for microsatellite marker-based genetic linkage maps of chickpea (Winter *et al.*, 2000; Nayak *et al.*, 2010; Gaur *et al.*, 2011; Gujaria *et al.*, 2011; Choudhary *et al.* 2012; Kujur *et al.* 2013). The genic CNMS marker-based transcript map constructed in the present study would thus expedite the identification and targeted mapping of genes/ QTLs (eQTLs), particularly those that are associated with yield and stress tolerance in the chickpea.

Significant differences in 100-seed weight between the parental chickpea genotypes and the RIL mapping individuals (13.5–37.2g) was observed based on the ANOVA. A normal frequency distribution of seed weight among the 190 RIL mapping individuals and parental genotypes (Supplementary Fig. S4 at JXB online) indicated the utility of the developed mapping population for seed weight QTL mapping studies. The QTL mapping of 238 CNMS markers in the RIL mapping population allowed for the identification of two major and significant (LOD >4.0) QTLs on chromosomes 2 [phenotypic variation explained (PVE) $R^2 = 27.8\%$ and 7 ($R^2 = 23.6\%$) in association with the 100-seed weight (Fig. 6). These target seed weight QTL regions identified on chromosomes 2 (39.3-42.1 cM) and 7 (24.3-25.6 cM) included three (Ca-CNMS 98-100) and four (Ca-CNMS 544-547) gene-based CNMS markers, respectively (Fig. 6). To establish correlations between the genetic map and the sequence-based physical map, the seed weight-associated target regions identified by genetic/QTL mapping were combined with those that were derived from the latest available genomic sequence information for the chickpea (Varshney et al., 2013). The integration of the genetic and physical maps indicated that the seed weight QTL regions identified on chromosomes 2 and 7 corresponded to physical intervals of ~5885 bp and 8451 bp, respectively (Fig. 6). Interestingly, the seven CNMS marker-associated genes harbouring the seed weight QTL regions showed strikingly high seed-specific expression $(\geq 4$ -fold) compared with the leaf in the three low and high seed weight chickpea genotypes (ICCX-810800, ICC 4958, and ICC 20268) based on differential expression profiling (Fig. 5). Therefore, these seven genes were selected as candidates for dissecting the complex quantitative seed weight in the chickpea.

 Table 2. CNMS marker-associated genes mapped on the eight chromosomes of the chickpea [Cicer arietinum (Ca)] transcript map

Linkage groups/chromosomes	Mapped CNMS markers	Map length covered (cM)	Average intermarker distance (cM)
CaLG(Chr)1	27	124.3	4.60
CaLG(Chr)2	20	78.9	3.94
CaLG(Chr)3	28	70.6	2.52
CaLG(Chr)4	40	140.3	3.50
CaLG(Chr)5	29	103.4	3.56
CaLG(Chr)6	37	85.4	2.31
CaLG(Chr)7	38	100.5	2.64
CaLG(Chr)8	19	63.5	3.34
Total	238	766.9	3.22



Fig. 6. Integration of the genetic and physical maps of the target genomic regions underlying seed weight QTLs and eQTLs identified on chickpea chromosomes 2 and 7. The target seed weight *cis*- and *trans*-eQTLs (LOD >5.0) for three CNMS marker-associated genes identified on chromosomes 2 and 7 are indicated. The genetic (cM) and physical (bp) distances and identities of the CNMS marker loci integrated on the chromosomes are indicated on the left and right sides of the chromosomes, respectively. (This figure is available in colour at *JXB* online.)

CNMS marker-based eQTL mapping, expression profiling, and selective genotyping

Seven CNMS marker-associated genes selected based on their seed-specific expression and localization in seed weight QTL regions were further analysed for eQTL mapping, large-scale expression profiling, and selective genotyping. A differential expression analysis of the seven seed weight-regulating CNMS marker-associated genes at the two seed developmental stages of the parental genotypes (ICC 4958 and ICC 17163) and 190 individuals, including 30 low and high seed weight homozygous RIL mapping individuals, was performed. The correlation of differential expression profiling with CNMS marker-based seed weight QTL mapping identified significant (LOD >5.0) eQTLs for three CNMS marker-associated genes (Ca-CNMS 99, 100, and 545) on chromosomes 2 and 7

governing 100-seed weight (Fig. 6). The target eQTLs identified for the three genes explained a total of 26.3% of the variation in their expression levels (Fig. 6). One of the high seed weight parental genotypes (ICC 4958) exhibited increased relative expression levels during seed development for eQTLs of all three of the CNMS marker-associated genes. The mapping of a single eQTL in the URRs of two CNMS markerassociated genes (Ca-CNMS 100 and 545) suggested that allelic polymorphism of microsatellite repeat units at known regulatory element regions/TFBS of such genes directly impact their expression levels. Despite the quantitative genetic inheritance patterns of the seed weight in the chickpea, it was observed that each of the two seed weight-governing genes was independently regulated by a single eQTL for chromosomes 2 and 7, with their major effect ($R^2=25.7\%$) being trait expression variation. This correlates well with earlier eQTL mapping studies involving the dissection of complex quantitative agronomic traits in maize (Schadt et al., 2003) and barley (Potonika et al., 2006). The co-localization of CNMS marker-associated TF genes (Ca-CNMS100/LOB-domaincontaining protein and Ca-CNMS545/KANADI protein) and their eQTLs (LOD >5.0) based on congruent genetic map positions for two chromosomes (Chr 2, 42.1 cM; and Chr 7, 25.1 cM) indicated the cis-regulation of these CNMScontaining known regulatory elements for controlling differential gene expression involving seed weight in the chickpea. Such *cis*-regulation-mediated differential gene expression has commonly been observed in mammals and plants at higher LOD scores (Doss et al., 2005; Hubner et al., 2005; Salvi et al., 2007; Boerjan and Vuylsteke, 2009; Terpstra et al., 2010). However, the genetic position (Chr 2, 41.2 cM) of the single remaining CNMS marker-associated gene [Ca-CNMS 99/ AP2/ERF (APETALLA 2/ethylene response factor) TF] and its eQTL was not congruent. Interestingly, the eQTL of this gene was positioned with another CNMS marker-associated gene (Ca-CNMS 547/homeodomain TF) that was mapped to chromosome 7 (Chr 7, 26.5 cM), thus indicating that both of these genes are trans-regulated for the control of the differential expression of seed weight. The four cis and trans eQTLs regulating seed weight identified on two chromosomes by the differential expression profiling were well correlated and situated within the genomic regions harbouring seed weight QTLs (as detected in the QTL mapping studies using CNMS marker-based genotyping information) (Fig. 6). These findings confirm that four seed weight-governing CNMS markerassociated genes identified at both the QTL and eQTL target regions for seed weight could serve as potential candidates for the regulation of seed weight expression in the chickpea.

To validate further the results of the eQTL mapping and the cis-regulation of the genes, the eQTLs that were identified for the four CNMS marker-associated genes were analysed for differential expression at two seed developmental stages of low (100-seed weight 5-13 g) and high/very high (47-55 g) seed weight in 12 contrasting germplasm lines along with parents (ICC 4958 and ICC 17163) and 10 homozygous RIL mapping individuals using semi-quantitative and quantitative RT-PCR assays. Two of these genes (cis-regulated eQTLs) (one on chromosome 2 and another on chromosome 7) were differentially expressed during seed development (as compared with the leaf). The two genes remaining showed almost equal levels of gene expression at the seed developmental stages of both the low and high/very high seed weight germplasm lines, parents, and mapping individuals. The CNMS marker-associated gene [LOB-domain protein 40 (LBD40)] on chromosome 2 was down-regulated (at least 2-fold) at the seed developmental stages of the low seed weight germplasm lines, parents, and mapping individuals (as compared with the leaf), while for the high/very high seed weight germplasm lines, parents, and mapping individuals, this gene was up-regulated during seed development (as compared with the leaf) (Fig. 7A). In contrast, another CNMS marker-associated gene [KANADI protein (KAN)] on chromosome 7 was upregulated (at least 2-fold) at the seed developmental stages of both the low and high/very high seed weight germplasm lines, parents, and mapping individuals under study (Fig. 7B).

The comparison of 17 seed tissue-/developmental stagespecific genomic and cDNA sequences, including two seed weight-regulating differentially expressed CNMS markerassociated genes (LOB-domain protein- and KANADI protein-encoding genes) (Fig. 4) in the low and high/very high seed weight desi and kabuli germplasm lines and homozygous RIL mapping individuals and parental genotypes revealed the presence of the expected microsatellite repeat motif sequences. The detailed comparative sequence analysis with the existing genome sequence database of five low and high/very high seed weight genotypes (ICC 4958, ICC 4951, CDC Frontier, ICCV2, and PI489777) confirmed the occurrences of variable numbers of microsatellite repeat units in the known regulatory elements/TFBS of all 17 of the CNMS marker-associated genes that differentiated at least two of the low and high/very high seed weight contrasting germplasm lines and mapping individuals studied (Table 1). Notably, the presence was observed of variable repeat units, such as $(GA)_n$ and $(CAA)_n$, in the signal sequence binding sites of the regulatory elements (GAGA8HVBKN3 and RAV1AAT) of two seed weight-regulating differentially expressed CNMS marker-associated genes (LOB-domain protein- and KANADI protein-encoding genes), respectively, which differentiated all of the low seed weight germplasm lines, homozygous RIL mapping individuals, and parental genotype (ICC 17163) from the high/very high seed weight germplasm lines, parent (ICC 4958), and homozygous RILs (Fig. 4). These findings thus infer the significant correlation between fragment length polymorphism due to microsatellite repeat unit variability at known regulatory elements/TFBS of genes with their differential expression during seed development in the chickpea. The expansion and contraction of microsatellite repeats in the regulatory regions of genes have significance in controlling the gene expression of many traits, including amylose content in rice (Bao et al., 2002), protein quality in maize (Dresselhaus et al., 1999), and light and salicylic responses in Brassica (Zhang et al., 2006).

Molecular haplotyping and association analysis in seed weight-regulating CNMS marker-associated genes

A genetic association analysis was conducted by correlating the genotyping data of two seed weight-regulating CNMS marker-associated TF genes with the seed weight-specific phenotyping information (5–55g) of 96 germplasm lines (association panel). This analysis revealed a significantly high degree of association of two of these CNMS markers derived from the LOB-domain protein- ($P=1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ with $R^2=0.39$) and KANADI protein- ($P=3.1 \times 10^{-2}$ with $R^2=0.18$) encoding TF genes with 100-seed weight in the chickpea. The estimation of marker allele effects in these two CNMS marker-associated genes depicted their overall strong effect on seed weight regulation in the germplasm lines (Fig. 4). There was a >3-fold increase in the marker allele effects of the LOB-domain protein- and KANADI protein-encoding TF gene-derived CNMS markers, amplifying 160/162 bp and



4.00

156 bp alleles in the high/very high seed weight germplasm lines compared with the low seed weight germplasm lines amplifying 158 bp and 150 bp alleles, respectively (Fig. 4). The differential marker allele effects of the CNMS markers in the regulatory regions of the genes thus reflect their significance for rapid trait association analyses in the chickpea. Collectively, the results of the differential expression profiling, seed weight QTL and eQTL mapping, selective genotyping, and association analysis identified one regulatory element in each of the two seed weight-governing CNMS markerassociated TF genes (LOB-domain protein- and KANADI protein-encoding genes) controlling seed weight in the chickpea. The significance of these two identified TF genes was clearly understood by correlating their differential expression profiling and the cis-regulation of the varied CNMS repeats in the known regulatory elements/TFBS (GAGA8BKN3 and RAV1AAT) with strong biased CNMS marker allele effects in the contrasting high/very high seed weight rather than low seed weight RILs, segregating mapping individuals and germplasm lines.

To perform the seed weight-regulating gene haplotypespecific association mapping, the genotyping information of the CNMS marker in the regulatory element/TFBS and one synonymous SNP identified in the coding sequence of the CNMS marker-associated LOB-domain protein-encoding TF gene (showing the potential for strong association with seed weight) among 96 germplasm lines (association panel) were utilized. It enabled six haplotypes in the entire 3400 bp sequenced amplicon of this gene to be constituted (Fig. 8). The haplotype-specific LD mapping and genetic association analysis using these CNMS-SNP marker-based haplotypes in the LOB-domain protein-encoding TF gene revealed a higher association potential ($P=1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ with $R^2=0.47$) of the gene haplotypes with seed weight compared with that of the individual CNMS marker (Fig. 8). This suggests that the CNMS-SNP marker-based gene-haplotype combinations efficiently provide higher LD resolution ($r^2 > 0.20$ with P < 0.0001) and enhance the association potential of genes for seed weight in the chickpea. Interestingly, three specific haplotype groups, [(GA)₁₂-A/G], [(GA)₁₃-A/G], and [(GA)₁₄-A/G], differentiating the low (42 germplasm lines, 100-seed weight varied from 5g to 13g), high (23, 31-41g), and very high (31, 47-55) seed weight chickpea germplasm lines were identified, respectively (Fig. 8). These three gene haplotypes thus showed significantly higher association potentials for low ($P=1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ with $R^2=0.43$) and high ($P=1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ with $R^2=0.48$)/very high ($P=1.1 \times 10^{-6}$ with $R^2=0.49$) seed weight differentiation among the chickpea genotypes. The differential expression profiling at the two seed developmental stages of the contrasting germplasm lines representing the three varying seed weight haplotype groups of the CNMS markerassociated gene (LOB-domain protein-encoding TF) revealed their up-regulated expression (at least 2.5-fold), specifically at the seed developmental stages of 12 germplasm lines, parents, and 10 homozygous RIL mapping individuals (selected randomly from each of the low and high/very high seed weight haplotype groups) compared with that of the leaf (Fig. 8). These findings confirm the association potential of (GA)_n CNMS repeat motifs carrying GAGA8HVBKN3 regulatory elements and the haplotypes identified in the LOB-domain protein-encoding TF gene for seed weight differentiation and their implications in deciphering transcriptional regulatory gene functions during seed development in the chickpea.

The $(GA)_n$ CNMS repeat motif in the regulatory element region (GAGA8HVBKN3) of the LOB-domain protein-encoding TF gene (LBD40, plant-specific LOB TF gene family) has been implicated in a variety of transcriptional activities that occur during developmental processes in plants, such as Arabidopsis and rice (Shuai et al., 2002; Yang et al., 2006; Husbands et al., 2007; Majer and Hochholdinger, 2011). Likewise, the involvement of the (CAA)_n CNMS repeat motif in the regulatory element region (RAV1AAT) of the KANADI protein-encoding TF gene (higher plant-specific class III HD-Zip gene family) in controlling growth and development has also been reported in Arabidopsis (Hawker and Bowman, 2004; Izhaki and Bowman, 2007; Ilegems, 2010). Two seed weight-governing cis-regulated CNMS marker-associated genes (LOB-domain protein- and KANADI protein-encoding genes), identified and validated by integrating the differential expression profiling, QTL and eQTL mapping, selective genotyping, haplotyping, and association mapping, were analysed in detail using the AGRIS regulatory network database (AtRegNet; http://arabidopsis.med.ohio-state.edu). The direct interaction of the (CAA)_n CNMS repeat motifs carrying the C-terminal domain of the RAV1 TF DNA-binding protein (B3; VP1/ ABI3 TF) that is present in the upstream sequences of KANADI protein-encoding genes was observed with one of the seed weight-regulating CNMS marker-associated LOBdomain protein-encoding TF genes, LBD40 (as identified in the present study) along with the MADS (SEPALLATA3) TF gene. These interacting genes have been established to be key regulators of embryogenesis during seed development in Arabidopsis (Zheng et al., 2009; Le et al., 2010; Agarwal et al., 2011; Majer and Hochholdinger, 2011). Therefore, it would be interesting to identify the upstream (upstream factors interacting/regulating the TF genes) and downstream (TFs regulating/interacting with the downstream structural genes) targets of these two seed weight-regulating CNMS marker-associated TF genes and their interactions specifically at CNMS repeat-containing known regulatory elementbinding sites for understanding the transcriptional regulation of such potential regulatory elements (alleles and haplotypes) during seed development in the chickpea.

In summary, the 666 informative functional CNMS markers possessing relatively high intraspecific polymorphic potentials that were developed from the non-coding URRs of genes have been shown to have pronounced applicability in chickpea genome analyses, including the construction of a functional transcript map and QTL/eQTL mapping and for the quantitative assessment of seed weight (Supplementary Fig. S5 at *JXB* online). Significantly, most of these mapped CNMS markers controlling *cis-/trans*-regulatory gene expression and functions are based on differential expression profiling, strong biased marker allele/haplotype effects, and fragment length polymorphism due to varied CNMS repeats



Fig. 8. The seed weight-specific molecular haplotyping, LD mapping, genetic association analysis, and gene haplotype-specific expression profiling of a CNMS marker-associated LOB-domain protein-encoding TF gene, validating its potential for seed weight regulation in chickpea. The genotyping of one CNMS marker in the regulatory element/TFBS and one synonymous SNP (A/G) identified in the coding sequence component of this TF gene (A) among 96 contrasting low and high seed weight germplasm lines (association panel) constituted six haplotypes (B). Three specific haplotype (B) groups [(GA)₁₂-A/G], [(GA)₁₃-A/G], and [(GA)₁₄-A/G], which were represented by 42, 23, and 31 germplasm lines showed significant association potentials for low (100 seed weight varied from 5g to 13g) and high (31–41 g)/very high (47–55g) seed weight differentiation (**C**), respectively. (D) The LD mapping with genotyping data of six haplotypes produced higher LD estimates (r^2 >0.20 and P<0.0001) across the entire 3400 bp sequenced region of the TF gene. The columns below the diagonal indicate the correlation frequency (r^2) among a pair of six different haplotypes constituted in a gene, whereas columns above the diagonal specify the P-value (P<0.01) of LD estimates (r^2) for these haplotype combinations at 1000 permutations. (E) The differential up-regulated expression of the haplotypes of this gene in seed developmental stages of low and high/very high seed weight germplasm lines, parents, and homozygous RIL mapping individuals representing haplotype groups compared with the leaf was also observed. Each bar represents the mean (\pm SE) of three independent biological replicates with two technical replicates for each sample used in the quantitative RT-PCR assay. *Significant differences in expression of gene haplotypes at two seed developmental stages of low and high seed weight germplasm lines, parents, and mapping individuals as compared with leaf at P<0.01 (LSD-ANOVA significance test). (This figure is available in colo

at TFBS, which could expedite the comprehensive use of integrative genetical genomics in the chickpea. Consequently, it would pin down the potential candidate gene targets (regulatory elements, alleles, and haplotypes) underlying QTLs (eQTLs) involved in transcriptional regulation of complex seed weight. The CNMS markers (allelic variants and haplotypes) in the seven genes, including the two (LOB-domain protein- and KANADI protein-encoding genes) harbouring seed weight QTLs/eQTLs identified in this study, once validated via large-scale fine-mapping/map-based gene cloning and transgenics (overexpression/knock-down), could be utilized for marker-assisted varietal improvement in chickpea. Although good candidates, the causal genes for seed weight QTLs/eQTLs may not necessarily be among the seven CNMS marker-associated genes found in the confidence intervals of QTLs/eQTLs.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at JXB online.

Figure S1. A physical map of the chickpea constructed using 666 CNMS marker-associated genes with an average density of 521.4kb.

Figure S2. Hierarchical cluster display representing the expression profile of 220 CNMS marker-associated genes

that were differentially expressed in six different vegetative and reproductive tissues of the chickpea genotype ICC 4958.

Figure S3. A functional transcript map (genetic linkage map) of the chickpea (ICC 4958×ICC 17163) constructed using 238 parental polymorphic CNMS marker-associated genes.

Figure S4. The frequency distribution of 100-seed weight (g) among 190 individuals of a RIL mapping population (ICC 4958×ICC 17163) revealed the significant variation of seed weight between parental genotypes and among mapping individuals, and depicted a goodness-of-fit to the normal distribution.

Figure S5. Characteristics, functional significance, and utility of genome-wide CNMS marker-associated genes for large-scale genotyping applications, including integrative genetical genomics for understanding the complex quantitative trait of seed weight in chickpea.

Table S1. Chickpea genotypes used in the study for evaluating the amplification and polymorphic potential of CNMS markers among 25 chickpea genotypes.

Table S2. Characteristics of 666 CNMS markers developed from the non-coding upstream regulatory sequence components of 603 protein-coding genes of chickpea.

Table S3. Genomic distribution of physically mapped CNMS marker-associated genes on eight chickpea chromosomes.

Table S4. CNMS marker-associated chickpea genes predicted to function as master regulatory transcription factors in plants.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Department of Biotechnology (DBT), Government of India, through their research grant (102/IFD/SAN/2161/2013–14) for this research work. AK and SD acknowledge the CSIR (Council of Scientific and Industrial Research) and DBT for the award of Senior/Junior Research Fellowships. We thank the DNA Sequencing Facility, NIPGR for the automated fragment analysis and sequencing. We are grateful to the editor and reviewers for critically evaluating the manuscript and providing constructive comments for its improvement.

References

Agarwal G, Jhanwar S, Priya P, Singh VK, Saxena MS, Parida SK, Garg R, Tyagi AK, Jain M. 2012. Comparative analysis of *kabuli* chickpea transcriptome with *desi* and wild chickpea provides a rich resource for development of functional markers. *PLoS One* **7**, e52443.

Agarwal P, Kapoor S, Tyagi AK. 2011. Transcription factors regulating the progression of monocot and dicot seed development. *Bioessays* **33**, 189–202.

Bao S, Corke H, Sun M. 2002. Microsatellites in starch-synthesizing genes in relation to starch physicochemical properties in waxy rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **105,** 898–905.

Baxter L, Jironkin A, Hickman R, *et al.* 2012. Conserved noncoding sequences highlight shared components of regulatory networks in dicotyledonous plants. *The Plant Cell* **24**, 3949–3965.

Bevilacqua A, Fiorenza MT, Mangia F. 2000. A developmentally regulated GAGA box-binding factor and Sp1 are required for transcription of the hsp70.1 gene at the onset of mouse zygotic genome activation. *Development* **127**, 1541–1551.

Bharadwaj C, Srivastava R, Chauhan SK, Satyavathi CT, Kumar J, Faruqui A, Yadav S, Rizvi AH, Kumar T. 2011. Molecular diversity and phylogeny in geographical collection of chickpea (*Cicer* sp.) accessions. *Journal of Genetics* **90**, e94–e100.

Bharathan G, Janssen BJ, Kellogg EA, Sinha N. 1997. Did homeodomain proteins duplicate before the origin of angiosperms, fungi, and metazoa? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 94, 13749–13753

Boerjan W, Vuylsteke M. 2009. Integrative genetical genomics in *Arabidopsis. Nature Genetics* **41**, 144–145.

Bolle C, Herrmann RG, Oelmuller R. 1996. Different sequences for 5'-untranslated leaders of nuclear genes for plastid proteins affect the expression of the beta-glucuronidase gene. *Plant Molecular Biology* **32**, 861–868.

Busturia A, Lloyd A, Bejarano F, Zavortink M, Xin H, Sakonju S. 2001. The MCP silencer of the *Drosophila* Abd-B gene requires both pleiohomeotic and GAGA factor for the maintenance of repression. *Development* **128**, 2163–2173.

Caicedo AL, Williamson SH, Hernandez RD, et al. 2007. Genomewide patterns of nucleotide polymorphim in domesticated rice. *PLoS Genetics* **3**, e163.

Chan RL, Gago GM, Palena CM, Gonzalez DH. 1998. Homeoboxes in plant development. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* **1442**, 1–19.

Choudhary S, Gaur R, Gupta S. 2012. EST-derived genic molecular markers: development and utilization for generating an advanced transcript map of chickpea. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **124**, 1449–1462.

Choudhary S, Sethy NK, Shokeen B, Bhatia S. 2009. Development of chickpea EST-SSR markers and analysis of allelic variation across related species. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **118**, 591–608.

Clark RM, Wagler TN, Quijada P, Doebley J. 2006. A distant upstream enhancer at the maize domestication gene *tb1* has pleiotropic effects on plant and inflorescent architecture. *Nature Genetics* **38**, 594–597.

Colinas J, Birnbaum K, Benfey PN. 2002. Using cauliflower to find conserved non-coding regions in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiology* **129**, 451–454.

Creux NM, Ranik M, Berger DK, Mybrug AA. 2008. Comparative analysis of orthologous cellulose synthase promoters from *Arabidopsis*, *Populus* and *Eucalyptus*: evidence of conserved regulatory elements in angiosperms. *New Phytologist* **179**, 722–737.

Doss S, Schadt EE, Drake TA, Lusis AJ. 2005. *Cis*-acting expression quantitative trait loci in mice. *Genome Research* **15**, 681–691.

Dresselhaus T, Cordts S, Heuer S, Sauter M, Lorz H, Kranz E. 1999. Novel ribosomal genes from maize are differentially expressed in the zygotic and somatic cell cycles. *Molecular and General Genetics* **261**, 416–427.

Emilsson V, Thorleifsson G, Zhang B, et al. 2008. Genetics of gene expression and its effect on disease. *Nature* **452**, 423–428.

Freeling M, Subramaniam S. 2009. Conserved noncoding sequences (CNSs) in higher plants. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **12**, 126–132.

Fujimori S, Washio T, Higo K, et al. 2003. A novel feature of microsatellites in plants: a distribution gradient along the direction of transcription. *FEBS Letters* **554,** 17–22.

Garcia-Fernàndez J. 2005. The genesis and evolution of homeobox gene clusters. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **6**, 881–892.

Garg R, Patel RK, Jhanwar S, Priya P, Bhattacharjee A, Yadav G, Bhatia S, Chattopadhyay D, Tyagi AK, Jain M. 2011a. Gene discovery and tissue-specific transcriptome analysis in chickpea with massively parallel pyrosequencing and web resource development. *Plant Physiology* **156**, 1661–1678.

Garg R, Patel RK, Tyagi AK, Jain M. 2011*b*. *De novo* assembly of chickpea transcriptome using short reads for gene discovery and marker identification. *DNA Research* **18,** 53–63.

Garg R, Sahoo A, Tyagi AK, Jain M. 2010. Validation of internal control genes for quantitative gene expression studies in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **396**, 283–288.

Gaur R, Sethy NK, Choudhary S, Shokeen B, Gupta V, Bhatia S. 2011. Advancing the STMS genomic resources for defining new locations on the intra-specific genetic linkage map of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *BMC Genomics* **12**, 117.

Gibson G, Weir B. 2005. The quantitative genetics of transcription. *Trends in Genetics* **21,** 616–623.

Gujaria N, Kumar A, Dauthal P, et al. 2011. Development and use of genic molecular markers (GMMs) for construction of a transcript map of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **122**, 1577–1589.

Guo H, Moose SP. 2003. Conserved non-coding sequences among cultivated cereal genomes identify candidate regulator sequence elements and patterns of promoter evolution. *The Plant Cell* **15**, 1143–1158.

Gupta PK, Rustgi S. 2004. Molecular markers from the transcribed/ expressed region of the genome in higher plants. *Functional and Integrative Genomics* **4**, 139–162.

Hawker NP, Bowman JL. 2004. Roles for Class III HD-Zip and KANADI genes in *Arabidopsis* root development. *Plant Physiology* **135**, 2261–2270.

Hiremath PJ, Farmer A, Cannon SB, Woodward J, Kudapa H, Tuteja R, Kumar A, Bhanu Prakash A, Mulaosmanovic B, Gujaria N. 2011. Large-scale transcriptome analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), an orphan legume crop of the semi-arid tropics of Asia and Africa. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* **9**, 922–931.

Holland PWH. 2013. Evolution of homeobox genes. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Developmental Biology 2, 31–45.

Hubner N, Wallace CA, Zimdahl H, et al. 2005. Integrated transcriptional profiling and linkage analysis for identification of genes underlying disease. *Nature Genetics* **37**, 243–253.

Hulzink RJ, de Groot PF, Croes AF, Quaedvlieg W, Twell D, Wullems GJ, Van Herpen MM. 2002. The 5'-untranslated region of the ntp303 gene strongly enhances translation during pollen tube growth, but not during pollen maturation. *Plant Physiology* **129**, 342–353.

Husbands A, Bell EM, Shuai B, Smith HM, Springer PS. 2007. LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES defines a new family of DNA-binding transcription factors and can interact with specific bHLH proteins. *Nucleic Acids Research* **35**, 6663–6671.

Iglesias AR, Kindlund E, Tammi M, Wadelius C. 2004. Some microsatellites may act as novel polymorphic *cis*-regulatory elements through transcription factor binding. *Gene* **341**, 149–165.

Ilegems M, Douet V, Meylan-Bettex M, Uyttewaal M, Brand L, Bowman JL, Stieger, PA. 2010. Interplay of auxin, KANADI and Class III HD-ZIP transcription factors in vascular tissue formation. *Development* **137**, 975–984.

Izhaki A, Bowman JL. 2007. KANADI and class III HD-Zip gene families regulate embryo patterning and modulate auxin flow during embryogenesis in *Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell* **19**, 495–508.

Jain M, Misra G, Patel RK, et al. 2013. A draft genome sequence of the pulse crop chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). The Plant Journal 74, 715–729.

Jain M, Tyagi AK, Khurana JP. 2008. Genome-wide identification, classification, evolutionary expansion and expression analyses of homeobox genes in rice. *FEBS Journal* **275**, 2845–2861.

Jansen RC, Nap JP. 2001. Genetical genomics: the added value from segregation. *Trends in Genetics* **17**, 388–391.

Jhanwar S, Priya P, Garg R, Parida SK, Tyagi AK, Jain M. 2012. Transcriptome sequencing of wild chickpea as a rich resource for marker development. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* **10**, 690–702.

Joehanes R, Nelson JC. 2008. QGene 4.0, an extensible Java QTLanalysis platform. *Bioinformatics* **24**, 2788–2789.

Johanson U, West J, Lister C, Michaels S, Amasino R, Dean C. 2000. Molecular analysis of *FRIGIDA*, a major determinant of natural variation in *Arabidopsis* flowering time. *Science* **290**, 344–347.

Kappen C. 2000. The homeodomain: an ancient evolutionary motif in animals and plants. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **24,** 95–103.

Kim TY, Kim HU, Lee SY. 2010. Data integration and analysis of biological networks. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **21**, 78–84.

Kloosterman B, Oortwijn M, uitdeWilligen J, America T, de Vos R, Visser RG, Bachem CW. 2010. From QTL to candidate gene: genetical genomics of simple and complex traits in potato using a pooling strategy. *BMC Genomics* **11**, 158.

Koch MA, Haubold B, Mitchell-Olds T. 2000. Comparative evolutionary analysis of chalcone synthase and alcohol dehydrogenase loci in *Arabidopsis, Arabis,* and related genera (Brassicaceae). *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **17**, 1483–1498.

Koch MA, Weisshaar B, Kroymann J, Haubold B, Mitchell-Olds T. 2001. Comparative genomics and regulatory evolution: conservation and function of the Chs and Apetala3 promoters. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **18**, 1882–1891.

Kooiker M, Airoldi CA, Losa A, Manzotti PS, Finzi L, Kater MM, Colombo L. 2005. BASIC PENTACYSTEINE1, a GA binding protein that induces conformational changes in the regulator region of the homeotic *Arabidopsis* gene SEEDSTICK. *The Plant Cell* **17**, 722–729.

Krusche P, Tiskin A. 2010. New algorithms for efficient parallel string comparison. In: *The 22nd ACM symposium*. New York: ACM Press, 209–216.

Kujur A, Bajaj D, Saxena MS, Tripathi S, Upadhyaya HD, Gowda CLL, Singh S, Jain M, Tyagi AK, Parida SK. 2013. Functionally relevant microsatellite markers from chickpea transcription factor genes for efficient genotyping applications and trait association mapping. *DNA Research* **20**, 355–373.

Kujur A, Bajaj D, Saxena MS, Tripathi S, Upadhyaya HD, Gowda CLL, Singh S, Tyagi AK, Jain M, Parida SK. 2014. An efficient and cost-effective approach for genic microsatellite marker-based large-scale trait association mapping: identification of candidate genes for seed weight in chickpea. *Molecular Breeding* **34**, 241–265.

Lawson MJ, Zhang L. 2006. Distinct patterns of SSR distribution in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* and rice genomes. *Genome Biology* **7**, R14.

Le BH, Cheng C, Bui AQ, et al. 2010. Global analysis of gene activity during *Arabidopsis* seed development and identification of seed-specific transcription factors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **107**, 8063–8070.

Levy S, Hannennalli S, Workman C. 2001. Enrichment of regulatory signals in the conserved non-coding genomic sequences. *Bioinformatics* **17**, 871–877.

Liu K, Muse SV. 2005. PowerMarker: an integrated analysis environment for genetic marker analysis. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 2128–2129.

Loots GG, Ovcharenko I, Pachter L, Dubchak I, Rubin EM. 2002. rVista for comparative sequence-based discovery of functional transcription factor binding sites. *Genome Research* **12**, 832–839.

Maere S, Heymans K, Kuiper M. 2005. BiNGO: a Cytoscape plugin to assess overrepresentation of gene ontology categories in biological networks. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 3448–3449.

Majer C, Hochholdinger F. 2011. Defining the boundaries: structure and function of LOB domain proteins. *Trends in Plant Science* **16,** 47–52.

Martin P, Makepeace K, Hill SA, Hood DW, Moxon ER. 2004. Microsatellite instability regulates transcription factor binding and gene expression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **102**, 3800–3804.

Meister RJ, Williams LA, Monfared MM, Gallagher TL, Kraft EA, Nelson CG, Gasser CS. 2004. Definition and interactions of a positive regulatory element of the *Arabidopsis* INNER NO OUTER promoter. *The Plant Journal* **37**, 426–438.

Morishige DT, Childs KL, Moore LD, Mullet JE. 2002. Targeted analysis of orthologous phytochrome A regions of the sorghum, maize, and rice genomes using comparative gene-island sequencing. *Plant Physiology* **130**, 1614–1625.

Mukherjee K, Brocchieri L, Bürglin TR. 2009. A comprehensive classification and evolutionary analysis of plant homeobox genes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **26**, 2775–2794.

Muller C, Leutz A. 2001. Chromatin remodeling in development and differentiation. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development* **11**, 167–174.

Nayak SN, Zhu H, Varghese N, et al. 2010. Integration of novel SSR and gene-based SNP marker loci in the chickpea genetic map and establishment of new anchor points with *Medicago truncatula* genome. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **120**, 1415–1441.

Parida SK, Dalal V, Singh AK, Singh NK, Mohapatra T. 2009. Genic non-coding microsatellites in the rice genome: characterization, marker design and use in assessing genetic and evolutionary relationships among domesticated groups. *BMC Genomics* **10**, 140.

Parida SK, Pandit A, Gaikwad K, Sharma TR, Srivastava PS, Singh NK, Mohapatra T. 2010a. Functionally relevant microsatellites in sugarcane unigenes. *BMC Plant Biology* **10**, 251.

Parida SK, Yadava DK, Mohapatra T. 2010b. Microsatellites in *Brassica* unigenes: relative abundance, marker design and use in comparative physical mapping and genome analysis. *Genome* **53**, 55–67.

Pauli S, Rothnie HM, Chen G, He X, Hohn T. 2004. The cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter extends into the transcribed region. *Journal of Virology* **78**, 12120–12128.

Potokina E, Prasad M, Malysheva L, Röder MS, Graner A. 2006. Expression genetics and haplotype analysis reveal *cis* regulation of serine carboxypeptidase I (*Cxp1*), a candidate gene for malting quality in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Functional and Integrative Genomics* **6**, 25–35.

Reineke AR, Bornberg-Bauer E, Gu J. 2011. Evolutionary divergence and limits of conserved non-coding sequence detection in plant genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research* **39**, 6029–6043.

Salvi S, Sponza G, Morgante M, et al. 2007. Conserved noncoding genomic sequences associated with a flowering-time quantitative trait locus in maize. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **104,** 11376–11381.

Sangwan I, O'Brian MR. 2002. Identification of a soybean protein that interacts with GAGA element dinucleotide repeat DNA. *Plant Physiology* **129,** 1788–1794.

Santi L, Wang Y, Stile MR, Berendzen K, Wanke D, Roig C, Pozzi C, Muller K, Muller J, Rohde W. 2003. The GA octodinucleotide repeat binding factor BBR participates in the transcriptional regulation of the homeobox gene Bkn3. *The Plant Journal* **34**, 813–826.

Schadt EE, Monks SA, Drake TA, et al. 2003. Genetics of gene expression surveyed in maize, mouse and man. Nature 422, 297–302.

Sethy NK, Shokeen B, Edwards KJ, Bhatia S. 2006. Development of microsatellite markers and analysis of intra-specific genetic variability in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **112**, 1416–1428.

Shuai B, Reynaga-Peña CG, Springer PS. 2002. The lateral organ boundaries gene defines a novel, plant-specific gene family. *Plant Physiology* **129**, 747–761.

Singh NK, Gupta DK, Jayaswal PK, et al. 2012. The first draft of the pigeonpea genome sequence. *Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology* **21**, 98–112.

Spensley M, Kim JY, Picot E, Reid J, Ott S, Helliwell C, Carré IA. 2009. Evolutionarily conserved regulatory motifs in the promoter of the *Arabidopsis* clock gene LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL. *The Plant Cell* **21**, 2606–2623.

Svistoonoff S, Creff A, Reymond M, et al. 2007. Root tip contact with low-phosphate media reprograms plant root architecture. *Nature Genetics* **39**, 792–796.

Tagle DA, Koop BF, Goodman F, Slightom JL, Hess DL, Jones RT. 1988. Embryonic epsilon and gamma globin genes of a prosimian primate (*Galago crassicaudatus*): nucleotide and amino acid sequences,

developmental regulation and phylogenetic foot-printing. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **20**, 439–455.

Terpstra IR, Snoek LB, Keurentjes JJ, Peeters AJ, van den Ackerveken G. 2010. Regulatory network identification by genetical genomics: signaling downstream of the *Arabidopsis* receptor-like kinase ERECTA. *Plant Physiology* **154**, 1067–1078.

Upadhyaya HD, Dwivedi SL, Baum M, Varshney RK, Udupa SM, Gowda CL, Hoisington D, Singh S. 2008. Genetic structure, diversity, and allelic richness in composite collection and reference set in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *BMC Plant Biology* **8**, 106.

Van Ooijen JW. 2009. *MapQTL 6: software for the mapping of quantitative trait loci in experimental populations of diploid species.* Wageningen, The Netherlands: Kyazma BV.

Varshney RK, Chen W, Li Y, et al. 2012. Draft genome sequence of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*), an orphan legume crop of resource-poor farmers. *Nature Biotechnology* **30**, 83–89.

Varshney RK, Song C, Saxena RK, et al. 2013. Draft genome sequence of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) provides a resource for trait improvement. *Nature Biotechnology* **31**, 240–246.

Werner JD, Borevitz JO, Warthmann N, Trainer GT, Ecker JR, Chory J, Weigel D. 2005. Quantitative trait locus mapping and DNA array hybridization identify an FLM deletion as a cause for natural flowering-time variation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **102**, 2460–2465.

Wingender E, Chen X, Hehl R, Karas H, Liebich I, Matys V, Meinhardt T, Prüss M, Reuter I, Schacherer F. 2000. TRANSFAC: an integrated system for gene expression regulation. *Nucleic Acids Research* **28**, 316–319.

Winter P, Benko-Iseppon AM, Hüttel B, et al. 2000. A linkage map of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genome based on recombinant inbred lines from a *C. arietinum×C. reticulatum* cross: localization of resistance genes for fusarium wilt races 4 and 5. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **101**, 1155–1163.

Yang X, Tuskan GA, Cheng MZ. 2006. Divergence of the *Dof* gene families in poplar, *Arabidopsis*, and rice suggests multiple modes of gene evolution after duplication. *Plant Physiology* **142**, 820–830.

Zhang L, Zuo K, Zhang F, Cao Y, Wang J, Zhang Y, Sun X, Tang K. 2006. Conservation of noncoding microsatellites in plants: implication for gene regulation. *BMC Genomics* **7**, 323.

Zheng Y, Ren N, Wang H, Stromberg AJ, Perry SE. 2009. Global identification of targets of the *Arabidopsis* MADS domain protein AGAMOUS-Like15. *The Plant Cell* **21**, 2563–2577.