

# Chemical control of sorghum shoot fly: dosage, method and frequency of insecticide application in India

S. L. Taneja\* and V. K. Henry

International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru PO, Andhra Pradesh 502 324, India

Keywords Shoot fly; cypermethrin; 'Electrodyn' sprayer; *Atherigona soccata*; chemical control; oviposition deterrent; sorghum; application methods

## Introduction

Shoot fly, Atherigona soccata Rondani, is an important pest of sorghum in Asia, Africa and Mediterranean Europe. It attacks sorghum in the seedling stage [5-25 days after emergence (DAE)] and causes deadhearts, resulting in poor plant stand and reduced grain yield. Its attack is very severe in late-sown crops, as well as in the second crop where two crops a year are taken. Soil application and seed treatment with carbofuran have been recommended for late sowings in the rainy season as well as for sorghum grown in the post-rainy season in India (Thimmaiah et al., 1973; Usman, 1973). However, the effectiveness of carbofuran is mainly dependent on soil moisture. In the post-rainy season, carbofuran does not give effective control where sorghum is grown on receding soil moisture. At ICRISAT, where host-plant resistance work is carried out against spotted stem borer, early infestation by shoot fly interferes with stem borer resistance screening. Soil application of carbofuran at sowing also adversely affects artificially released borer larvae in the plant whorls (Taneja and Leuschner, 1985).

Foliar applications of contact insecticides using conventional high-volume sprayers were not effective in the control of shoot fly (Vedamoorthy *et al.*, 1965; AICSIP, 1969; Chachoria, 1972; Meksongsee, 1972); this was attributed to poor insecticide coverage on the undersurface of leaves. The new technology of electrodynamic spraying using a hand-held battery-operated 'Electrodyn' (registered Trade Mark, ICI Agrochemicals) sprayer produced electrically charged small droplets accurately deposited over most of the target surfaces (Coffee, 1981). The Electrodyn sprayer was extensively tested for shoot fly control in sorghum during the 1986–1988 post-rainy seasons.

## Materials and methods

Experiments were conducted during the post-rainy seasons in black soil (vertisols) regarding method of insecticide application, dose of insecticide and control efficacy on shoot fly-resistant and -susceptible sorghum genotypes.

## Application method

Shoot fly-susceptible genotype CSH 1 was sown on 25 September 1986 in rows 75 cm apart. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with 20 treatments and three replications. The plot size was eight rows of 6 m ( $6 \times 6 \text{ m}^2$ ). Thinning was carried out 1 day before the first spray, i.e. 5 days after crop emergence (DAE), maintaining 10 cm spacing between plants. Three methods of foliar spray application were tested: Electrodyn

Abstract Experiments in resistant and susceptible sorghum genotypes during the post-rainy season from 1986 to 1988 at ICRISAT. India showed that foliar applications of cypermethrin applied by 'Electrodyn' sprayer were significantly superior to high-volume and low-volume sprays. Effective control of shoot fly and higher grain yields were obtained when the spray was initiated 6 days after crop emergence. The lowest dosage of cypermethrin (22.5 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>) gave shoot fly control and grain yield increases similar to those of the higher dosages (33.75 and 45 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>). Chemical control of shoot fly had the greatest effect on shoot fly infestation and increases in grain yields in susceptible genotype CSH 1, followed by the local M35–1 and resistant ICSV 707. Cypermethrin applied by Electrodyn was significantly superior to the recommended practice of carbofuran soil application and high-volume spray of endosulfan in reducing shoot fly damage in all the three genotypes. The main effect of cypermethrin foliar application was in the reduction of shoot fly oviposition that resulted in less damage, indicating either an oviposition deterrent effect or death of the adults before oviposition.

<sup>&</sup>quot;To whom correspondence should be addressed

Spraying equipment	Time of	Percentage plants with shoot fly		No. of pani		
	application (DAE) <sup>a</sup>	Eggs	Deadhearts	Main	Total	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>~1</sup> )
Electrodyn	6	9(16.0) <sup>c</sup>	8(15.5) <sup>c</sup>	138(4.878) <sup>d</sup>	$163(5.069)^d$	1544
	6 and 12	9(15.5)	8(14.8)	161(5.052)	188(5.205)	1729
	6, 12 and 18	6(12.9)	5(12.6)	220(5,392)	234(5.449)	1757
	12	45(41.9)	42(40.5)	99(4,528)	184(5,205)	1240
	12 and 18	36(36.1)	32(34.0)	114(4.723)	186(5.217)	1331
	18	64(54.3)	66(54.1)	67(4.038)	153(4.967)	1094
High volume	6	18(23.8)	18(23.1)	187(5.211)	223(5.392)	1236
	6 and 12	16(22.4)	19(26,0)	157(5.044)	193(5.252)	1174
	6, 12 and 18	14(20,9)	15(22.1)	157(5.041)	172(5.127)	1306
	12	43(41.1)	40(39.3)	126(4.762)	242(5.473)	1089
	12 and 18	30(31.8)	34(35.5)	147(4,900)	228(5.428)	1034
	18	50(45.2)	56(48.6)	86(4.445)	182(5.193)	956
Low volume	6	41(39.6)	42(40.1)	126(4.642)	168(5.016)	928
	6 and 12	39(38.6)	37(37.4)	181(5.174)	241(5.469)	924
	6, 12 and 18	46(42.3)	44(41.2)	119(4.659)	186(5.208)	1052
	12	45(42.1)	43(39.8)	93(4.498)	147(4.965)	893
	12 and 18	53(47.0)	52(45.7)	103(4.617)	211(5.335)	929
	18	58(49.6)	58(48.9)	54(3.946)	106(4.613)	837
Carbofuran at sowing		62(52.3)	62(50.8)	66(4.074)	187(5.171)	947
Untreated check		60(51.2)	61(50.4)	59(4.073)	159(5.045)	760
s.e.m.(±)		(4.48)	(4.28)	(0.2933)	(0.2366)	167
CV (%) <sup>c</sup>		(31)	(31)	(8)	(6)	18

"DAE, days after crop emergence: "plot size 18 m<sup>2</sup>; "numbers in parentheses are angular transformations; "numbers in parentheses are log transformations; "CV, coefficient of variation

[3 electrochargeable liquid (ED), 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>], high volume (2001ha<sup>-1</sup>) using a knapsack sprayer, and low volume (151ha<sup>-1</sup>) using a spinning-disc sprayer. Soil application of carbofuran (1.2 kg a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>) and untreated plots served as checks. A synthetic pyrethroid, cypermethrin (45 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>) was applied as a foliar treatment once (6, 12 or 18 DAE), twice (6 and 12 DAE or 12 and 18 DAE) or thrice (6, 12, and 18 DAE), using the three methods of spraying. The crop was maintained on receding soil moist-are without irrigation.

#### Insecticide dosage

During 1987, shoot fly-susceptible genotype CSH 1 was sown on 30 September in rows 75 cm apart. Thinning was carried out as described earlier. Three doses (22.5, 33.75 and 45 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>) of the insecticide cypermethrin were applied with the Electrodyn sprayer. The frequencies of application were once (6 DAE), twice (6 and 12 DAE) and thrice (6, 12 and 18 DAE); thus there were 10 treatments, including an untreated check, with three replications. The plot size was eight rows of 6 m (6 × 6 m<sup>2</sup>).

#### Chemical control and resistant genotypes

During the 1988 post-rainy season, three genotypes (susceptible CSH 1, local variety M35–1, and shoot flyresistant ICSV 707) were planted on 5 October in rows 75 cm apart. The experiment was laid out in a split-plot design with genotypes as main treatments and insecticide application as sub-treatments. There were 6 subtreatments: cypermethrin (22.5 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>) applied by an Electrodyn sprayer once (at 6 DAE) and twice (at 6 and 12 DAE); endosulfan (350 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>) applied by a knaspsack sprayer, once (at 6 DAE) and twice (at 6 and 12 DAE); carbofuran soil application (1.2 kg a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>) and an untreated check. The sub-plot size was eight rows of 9 m (6 × 9 m<sup>2</sup>), with three replications.

<sup>5</sup> In all three experiments, observations on shoot fly oviposition were made 14 and 21 DAE and deadheart counts at 21 and 28 DAE. Observations were taken from the central two rows in each plot, in which all the plants and those with shoot fly eggs and deadhearts were counted to give the percentages of plants with eggs or deadhearts. At harvest, main stem panicles and tiller panicles were harvested separately from the central four rows in each plot, sun-dried and threshed. The grain yield was calculated on a per hectare basis and subjected to statistical analysis.

## **Results and discussion**

#### Application method

The effect of foliar application of cypermethrin on shoot fly damage and grain yield during the 1986 post-rainy crop season is given in *Table 1*. Shoot fly egg laying was significantly lower when cypermethrin was applied using an Electrodyn at 6 DAE, 6 and 12 DAE and 6, 12 and 18 DAE; however, it did not differ significantly from high-

volume spraying at the same times of application. The other treatments had more egg laying and did not differ from carbofuran and the untreated check. Egg laying was significantly increased when the first spray was applied at 12 DAE or later, indicating that spraying in the early growth stage (6 DAE) is essential to reduce egg laying. Among the foliar applications, the low-volume spray treatment had the maximum oviposition, indicating the ineffectiveness of this treatment in reducing shoot fly oviposition.

Deadhearts caused by shoot fly infestation were least when plants were sprayed by the Electrodyn in treatments that included the application 6 DAE. There was no difference in damage after one, two or three applications within application methods, provided that the treatment 6 DAE was included. However, in treatments where the treatment 6 DAE was omitted, even the Electrodyn spray did not reduce shoot fly damage. With the high-volume spray, shoot fly damage was reduced when the application 6 DAE was included, but damage reduction was not equal to that brought about by the Electrodyn spray. Two applications of low-volume spray at 6 and 12 DAE resulted in significantly lower infestation than the untreated check; however, it was significantly higher than that after the Electrodyn application, when the treatment 6 DAE was included. The low-volume spray resulted in poor coverage of the target surfaces, whereas the charged sprays improved deposition on the undersurface of leaves (Sharp, Bals and Villalba, 1986), which is the preferred site for oviposition. After hatching, the larva migrates to the leaf whorl, from where it penetrates down towards the growing tip. Inadequate insecticide coverage of the leaf and stem may result in poor and ineffective treatment. The main effect of the chemical application was on oviposition, as significantly less damage was recorded only in those treatments where oviposition was significantly low. This implies that chemical application either acted as an oviposition deterrent for the females, or the females were killed by the chemical action before they could lay the eggs. The failure of shoot fly control with foliar application of contact insecticides, even when started 4 days after crop emergence and repeated at weekly intervals (Yatham, 1967; AICSIP, 1969; Chachoria, 1972; Meksongsee, 1972), may be due to poor coverage of the undersurface of leaves by the high-volume sprays used by these workers.

Although soil application of carbofuran granules has been reported to give satisfactory control of shoot fly (Barry, 1972; Meksongsee, 1972; Kundu and Sharma, 1975; Srivastava and Jotwani, 1981; Sukhani and Jotwani, 1982), it did not reduce shoot fly damage in the study described, here, perhaps because of soil moisture and soil type. In the trial, the crop was raised in the post-rainy season on receding soil moisture in black soil; no rainfall was received during the growing period and supplementary irrigation was not given. Carbofuran uptake by plants largely depends on soil moisture, and this might not have been adequate.

Main panicle production was significantly higher in six treatments with low shoot fly damage (Electrodyn 6 and 12 DAE, and 6, 12 and 18 DAE; high volume 6 DAE, 6 and 12

DAE, and 6, 12 and 18 DAE; and low volume 6 and 12 DAE) but lower in treatments with high shoot fly damage (*Table 1*). However, total panicle production, main plant and tillers combined, did not differ significantly between treatments. Shoot fly damage caused tiller production, which contributed to the total grain yield; however, the grain yield from tillers was lower than that from the main plants.

Grain yields in five insecticide treatments (Electrodyn 6 DAE, 6 and 12 DAE, 6, 12 and 18 DAE, and 12 and 18 DAE, and high volume 6 and 12 DAE) were significantly higher than the untreated check. Compared with carbofuran, only the first three treatments gave significantly higher yields (*Table 1*). There was no significant difference in grain yield among these five treatments. This means that only one application of cypermethrin at 6 DAE adequately reduced shoot fly damage, resulting in an increased grain yield. This may not hold true when the fly population is very high and more than one application would be required for effective control.

## Insecticide dosage

All three dosages of cypermethrin (22.5, 33.75 and 45 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>) applied with the Electrodyn sprayer resulted in reduced oviposition, although not significantly so (Table 2). Insecticide dosage and frequency of application (once, twice or thrice) did not result in significant differences in oviposition. The lowest shoot fly damage (deadhearts) was recorded with three applications of cypermethrin (6, 12 and 18 DAE) at the highest dosage  $(45 \text{ g a.i. ha}^{-1})$ , although it did not differ significantly from the results of two and three applications of either dosage. Although one application (6 DAE) resulted in significantly higher damage than two or three applications, this damage was significantly less than that in the untreated check. All the insecticidal concentrations tested in this trial significantly reduced shoot fly damage compared with the untreated check; however, the differences between these treatments were not significant. The highest and lowest dosages gave similar control.

Numbers of main panicles were significantly higher in plots treated three times with the highest and the lowest dosages, compared with the untreated check. However, the total number of panicles did not differ significantly between the treatments. The maximum grain yield was recorded after three applications of the highest dosage (45 g a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup>), which was significantly higher than the untreated check and one application of insecticide (*Table 2*). A progressive reduction in grain yield was reduced from three to one; however, this reduction was not significant.

## Chemical control and resistant genotypes

The susceptible genotype CSH 1 suffered the maximum shoot fly oviposition and damage when untreated, followed by the local genotype M 35–1 and resistant ICSV

Table 2. Effect of foliar application of cypermethrin on shoot fly damage and grain yield in sor	Jhum, post-rainy season, 1987
--	-------------------------------

Dose (g. a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Time of application (DAE)"	Percentage plants with shoot fly		No. of panicles r		
		Eggs	Deadhearts	Main	Total	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
22.5	6 6 and 12 6, 12 and 18	18(24.5) 13(21.1) 15(22.2)	19(26.0) 7(14.9) 5(12.7)	139(4.923) <sup>d</sup> 153(4.975) 186(5.226)	173(5,149) <sup>2</sup> 171(5,084) 203(5,314)	2239 2724 3032
33.75	6 6 and 12 6, 12 and 18	20(24.9) 23(26.3) 16(23.2)	21(27.3) 6(13.6) 12(20.2)	138(4.923) 158(5.047) 158(5.060)	196(5.272) 185(5.216) 184(5.212)	2485 2842 3068
45	6 6 and 12 6, 12 and 18	13(20.6) 15(21.7) 18(24.3)	20(26.6) 6(13.0) 3(-8.4)	140(4.935) 169(5.123) 193(5.245)	179(5.175) 185(5.216) 216(5.359)	2287 2968 3566
Untreated cheek		32(34.2)	45(42.1)	109(4.679)	185(5.220)	1885
s.e.m.(±) CV(%)°		(6.5) (33)	( 4.2) (27)	(0.1644) (4)	(0.1377) (3)	$\frac{415}{18}$

\* 'As in Table 1

Table 3. Effect of chemical application on shoot fly damage and grain yield in sorghum, post-rainy season, 1988

	Cypermethrin (DAE) <sup>a</sup>		Endosulfan (DAE)"		Carbofuran	<b>11</b>	
Genotype	6	6,12 -	6	6, 12	soil appli- cation	Untreated check	Mean
Oviposition (%)							
CSH 1 M 35–1 ICSV 707 Mean	31(33.7) <sup>6</sup> 25(29.8) 17(23.5) 24(29.0)	27(31.0) 22(27.9) 12(20.2) 20(26.4)	74(59.4) 49(44.5) 25(29.7) 49(44.5)	69(56.5) 45(42.2) 24(29.1) 46(42.6)	92(74.4) 71(57.4) 39(38.7) 67(56.8)	87(69.9) 68(55.3) 40(39.0) 65(54.7)	63(54.2) 47(42.8) 26(30.0)
Deadhearts (%)							
CSH 1 M 35–1 ISCV 707 Mean	19(25.5)° 19(24.9) 12(19.4) 16(23.3)	15(22.4) 17(23.9) 9(17.2) 13(21.2)	63(52.3) 43(40.9) 23(28.5) 43(40.6)	57(49.1) 39(38.7) 23(28.2) 40(38.7)	68(55.8) 53(46.5) 30(33.0) 50(45.1)	91(73.9) 64(53.3) 43(41.2) 66(56.2)	52(46.5) 39(38.0) 23(27.9)
Main panicles (per plot) <sup>h</sup>							
CHS 1 M 35–1 ICSV 707 Mean	237(5.466) <sup>,4</sup> 142(4.784) 137(4.808) 172(5.019)	244(5.497) 164(4.935) 157(4.976) 188(5.136)	161(5.072) 132(4.662) 123(4.727) 139(4.821)	193(5.252) 144(4.787) 128(4.841) 155(4.960)	205(5.305) 163(4.982) 149(4.969) 172(5.085)	162(5.071) 156(4.928) 100(4.596) 139(4.865)	201(5.277) 150(4.846) 132(4.820)
Total panieles (per plot) <sup>b</sup>	·						
CSH 1 M 35–1 ICSV 707 Mean	314(5.745) <sup>d</sup> 181(5.070) 212(5.298) 236(5.371)	336(5.815) 192(5.155) 232(5.432) 253(4,467)	272(5.594) 154(4.845) 188(5.161) 205(5.200)	306(5.722) 167(4.992) 197(5.278) 233(5.331)	308(5.724) 207(5.271) 201(5.283) 239(5.426)	259(5.546) 203(5.240) 152(5.019) 205(5.268)	299(5.691) 184(5.096) 197(5.245)
Grain yield (kg ha <sup>~1</sup> )							
CSH 1 M35–1 ICSV 707 Mean	3792 1600 2166 2519	3879 1910 3172 2987	2797 1295 2447 2180	3192 1601 2651 2482	3421 1775 2124 2440	3003 1619 2032 2218	3347 1633 2432
s.e.m.(±)for Genotype Treatment Genotype × Treatment CV (%) <sup>r</sup>		Oviposition (0.90) (1.51) (2.55) (11)	Deadhearts (1.44) (1.43) (2.69) (11)	Main panieles (0.1592) (0.0992) (0.2235) (6)	Total panicles (0.1361) (0.0800) (0.1858) (5)	Grain yield 105.1 115.6 210.9 14	

<sup>a</sup>As in Table 1; <sup>b</sup>plot size 27 m<sup>3</sup>; <sup>c-e</sup>As in Table 1

707 (*Table 3*). A similar trend was observed in all treatments with cypermethrin, endosulfan and carbofuran.

Foliar application of insecticide significantly reduced shoot fly oviposition when compared with the untreated check and carbofuran soil application; however, the damage was significantly lower in all the treatments, including carbofuran (*Table 3*). The least oviposition and damage was observed in plots treated with cypermethrin once or twice. The reduction in the oviposition and damage, as a result of chemical application, was greatest in

the susceptible genotype CSH I and least in the resistant ICSV 707.

The number of main panicles did not differ significantly between genotypes, nor between insecticidal treatments. Plots treated twice with cypermethrin produced significantly more panicles than the untreated check in CSH 1. Total panicle numbers did not differ significantly between the genotypes or between insecticidal treatments. A significantly higher grain yield was recorded in CSH 1, followed by ICSV 707 and M 35–1 (*Table 3*). Among the treatments, cypermethrin applied twice recorded a significantly higher grain yield than all other treatments. The grain yield in other treatments did not differ significantly from the untreated check.

Three seasons' data show the effectiveness of cypermethrin foliar application using the Electrodyn sprayer, in shoot fly control. However, effective control is obtained only when the first insecticide application is made within the first week after crop emergence. This one spray is sufficient for shoot fly control in moderately resistant genotypes, but a second application of insecticide a week later is required for susceptible genotypes, and in high shoot fly populations. Soil application of carbofuran and foliar application of endosulfan could not control shoot fly effectively in the post-rainy season. The main effect of cypermethrin foliar application was in the reduction of oviposition, resulting in lower damage. This implies that the chemical application acted either as an oviposition deterrent for the adult flies, or the females were killed before laying eggs. However, there was also some toxic effect of the insecticide, as evident from the 1987 and 1988 trials.

#### Notes and acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Mr A. Narasimha and Mr K. Hareendernath of Cereals Entomology for assistance and to Dr K. F. Nwanze for his critical comments on the manuscript.

Approved as J. A. No. 1324 by the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, A.P. 502 324, India.

#### References

AICSIP (1969) All India Coordinated Sorghum Improvement Project Progress Report 1969. Indian Council of Agricultural Research and Cooperative Agencies, Hyderabad, India

Barry, D. (1972) Chemical control of sorghum shoot fly on a susceptible variety of sorghum in Uganda. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **65**, 1123–1125

**Chachoria**, H. S. (1972) Control of shoot fly with insecticide spray and large scale trial in India. In: *Control of Sorghum Shoot Fly* (Ed. by M. G. Jotwani and W. R. Young) pp. 274–286, Oxford and IBH Company, Delhi

Coffee, R. A. (1981) Electrodynamic crop spraying. Outl. Agric. 10, 350-356

Kundu, G. G. and Sharma, J. K. (1975) Efficacy and compatibility in soil application of some systemic insecticides in the control of sorghum shoot fly, *Atherigona soccata* Rond. *Indian J. Entomol.* 37, 230–233

Meksongsee, B. (1972) Chemical control of shoot fly in Thailand. In: *Control of Sorghum Shoot Fly* (Ed. by M. G. Jotwani and W. R. Young), pp. 241–251, Oxford and IBH Company, Delhi

Sharp, D. G., Bals, E. J. and Villalba, G. (1986) Coffee leaf rust (*Hemileia vastatrix* Berk, and Br.) in Colombia II. Low volume application – droplet deposition and the implications for machine design. *Proc. 1986* Br. Crop Prot. Conf. Pests Dis. 385–392

Srivastava, K. P. and Jotwani, M. G. (1981) Efficacy of granular insecticides for the control of shoot fly. *Entomologists' Newslett.* 6, 58–59

Sukhani, T. R. and Jotwani, M. G. (1982) Spot treatment of granular insecticides for the control of sorghum shoot fly, *Atherigona soccata* (Rondani). *Indian J. Entomol.* 44, 117–120

Taneja, S. L. and Leuschner, K. (1985) Methods of rearing, infestation, and evaluation for *Chilo partellus* resistance in sorghum. In: *Proc. Int. Sorghum Entomology Workshop*, 15–21 July 1984, Texas A & M University, College Station, Texas, USA, pp. 175–188, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru, India

Thimmaiah, G., Panchabhavi, K. S., Desai, K. S. M., Usman, S. and Kajjari, N. B. (1973) Chemical control of sorghum shoot fly (*Atherigona varia soccata* Rondani (Diptera: Anthomyiidae)) in Mysore State. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* **43**, 294–298

Usman, S. (1973) Efficacy of granular insecticide for sorghum shoot fly control. In: *Control of Sorghum Shoot Fly* (Ed. by M. G. Jotwani and W. R. Young), pp. 252–261, Oxford and IBH Company, Delhi

Vedamoorthy, G., Thobbi, V. V., Matai, B. H. and Young, W. R. (1965) Preliminary studies with seed and seed furrow application of insecticides for the control of the sorghum stem maggot, *Atherigona indica* M. (Anthomyiidae), *Indian J. Agric, Sci.* **35**, 14–28

Yatham, S. (1967) Effect of irrigation on the efficacy of soil treatment with granular systemic insecticides against the sorghum shoot fly. *Atherigona varia* (R). *Israel J. Entomol.* **2**, 171–175

Received 9 March 1992 Revised 7 June 1992 Accepted 9 June 1992