

# **CHARACTERIZATION AND EVALUATION OF ALKALINE ACTIVATED MORTARS SYNTHESIZED FROM BINARY AND TERNARY BLENDS OF PALM OIL FUEL ASH, GROUND GRANULATED BLAST FURNACE SLAG AND FLY ASH**

### **OTHMAN MOSBAH MOHAMED ELBASIR**

## **UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA**

**2018**

### **CHARACTERIZATION AND EVALUATION OF ALKALINE ACTIVATED MORTARS SYNTHESIZED FROM BINARY AND TERNARY BLENDS OF PALM OIL FUEL ASH, GROUND GRANULATED BLAST FURNACE SLAG AND FLY ASH**

**by**

#### **OTHMAN MOSBAH MOHAMED ELBASIR**

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy** 

**August 2018**

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

#### *In the Name of Allah, the Most Beneficent, the Most Merciful*

First of all, all praise goes to the Almighty Allah, the Creator and the Sustainer of the universe, and peace be upon his prophet Mohamed, his companions and believers. I am deeply thankful that my PhD research is finally completed. Thank You Allah.

I would like to thank the Ministry of Higher Education and of Higher Institute of Science and Technology in Libya for allowing me to pursue my PhD in Malaysia. I would also like to acknowledge the supports of Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) through the Research University (RUI) grant scheme (1001/PAWAM/814191).

Throughout my PhD study, I have been assisted by a group of people who have directly or indirectly supported me to complete this research. I would like to express my gratitude to the management of the School of Civil Engineering, USM for the supports and provision of academic environment required to pursue my research.

My sincere gratitude goes to my main supervisor Prof. Dr. Megat Azmi Megat Johari and my co-supervisor Prof. Dr. Zainal Arifin Ahmad for their invaluable supports, guidance, and constructive feedback and comments throughout the period of this research.

 My special thanks are due to Palm Oil Industries for providing me with the palm oil fuel ash. I would like to thank YTL Cement Technical Centre, Pulau Indah for providing me with GGBFS. Similarly, I am grateful to Lafarge Malaysia Berhad (Associated Pan Malaysia Cement Sdn Bhd) for providing me with the fly ash.

The technical assistance provided by the technical staffs in the School of Civil Engineering and School of Materials and Mineral Resources Engineering in USM are

gratefully acknowledged Mr. Shahril and Mr. Fauzi cannot be forgotten for their kind help during the treatment of the palm oil fuel ash. Further, I would like to thank Messrs Mohammad Khairy, Mohd Azam, Mohamad Zaini, Abdul Rashid Selamat and Johari (Joe) in the School of Materials and Mineral Resources Engineering in USM for their assistance in the microstructural examinations.

I would like to dedicate this research to the soul of my father Mosbah Mohamed Elbasir. I also would like to dedicate this research to my mother Sheetweh whose prayers have given me the strength to complete this research. I am profoundly grateful to my elder brother Ali Mosbah Elbasir for his continuous support and encouragement.

 Finally, but not least, my appreciation goes to my brothers and my sisters for their encouragement. I would like to express my special thanks to my wife Kaoter and my lovely children for their patience and endurance throughout the period of this research.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**











![](_page_7_Picture_108.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_100.jpeg)

3.9.4 Thermal stability of single and ternary blended (u-POFA, FA 94

![](_page_9_Picture_94.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_92.jpeg)

#### **REFERENCES 190**

#### **APPENDICES**

### **APPENDIX A: CALCULATION OF MIX PROPORTION OF TRIAL MIX OF GEOPOLYMER AND ALKALINE ACTIVATED MORTARS**

### **LIST OF PUBLICATIONS**

### **LIST OF TABLES**

**Page**

![](_page_11_Picture_102.jpeg)

- Table 3.5: Mix proportions of alkali activated mortar based u-POFA used for Taguchi optimization 80
- Table 3.6: Mix proportions of alkali activated mortar based FA used for Taguchi optimization 81
- Table 3.7: Mix proportions of alkali activated mortar based GGBFS used for Taguchi optimization 81
- Table 3.8: Optimization of the factors combination of u-POFA, FA, and GGBFS alkali activated mortar 82
- Table 3.9: The mixture proportions of the binary blended based alkali activated mortars 85
- Table 3.10: The mixture proportions of the Ternary blended based alkali activated mortars. 88
- Table 4.1: Chemical Compositions of t-POFA, f-POFA and u-POFA Using XRF 97
- Table 4.2: Physical properties of the POFA base materials 99
- Table 4.3: Changes of compressive strength of the trial mixes of u-POFA at 3, 7, 14, and 28 days 110
- Table 4.4: Changes of compressive strength of the trial mixes of fly ash at 3, 7, 14, and 28 days 111
- Table 4.5: Changes of compressive strength of the trial mixes of GGBFS at 3, 7, 14, and 28 days 111
- Table 4.6: Optimization of the factors combination of u-POFA, FA, and GGBFS alkali activated mortar mixture at different curing ages 119
- Table 4.7: Chemical compositions of u-POFA, FA, and GGBFS analyzed by XRF 127
- Table 4.8: Physical properties of u-POFA, FA and GGBFS **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Table 4.9**:** Composition of some oxides of the alkali activated mortar 127

#### **LIST OF FIGURES**

#### **Page**

- Figure 2.1 K, Ca cyclo ortho (sialate di siloxonate hydrate 31
- Figure 2.2 Conceptual model for geopolymerisation (Duxson *et al.*, 2007) 36
- Figure 2.3 Typical reaction mechanism of geopolymerisation reaction (Pacheco-Torgal *et al.*, 2008a) 37
- Figure 3.1 Factors affecting the geopolymer mortar synthesis 55
- Figure 3.2 a and b: Flow chart of the methodology carried out in this research **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 3.3 Palm oil fuel ash in waste repository near palm oil mill 59
- Figure 3.4 The stage of drying the POFA in the oven 59
- Figure 3.5: The stage of sieving the POFA at 300  $\mu$ m 60
- Figure 3.6: The stage of grinding the POFA in the ball mill machine 60

Figure 3.7: G-POFA  $60$ 

Figure 3.8:  $t$ -POFA 61

- Figure 3.9: Different types of POFA grades 62
- Figure 3.10: Fly Ash 63
- Figure 3.11: GGBFS 64
- Figure 3.12: Fine aggregate 65
- Figure 3.13 : Raw materials for alkaline activator  $67$
- Figure 3.14: Types of sodium silicate (Na2SiO<sub>3)</sub> with initial silica modulus 67

Figure 3.15: Malvern 3000 laser diffraction particle size analyse 68

Figure 3.16: Micromeritics accupyc 1330 helium autopycnometer 68

Figure 3.17: XRF Device used in chemical compositions analysis 69

Figure 3.18: Bruker, D8 X-ray diffraction (XRD) instrument 70

- Figure 3.19: Scanning electron microscopy in combination with energy dispersive X- ray spectroscopy (FESEM/EDX) device  $71$
- Figure 3.20: Fourier Transform Infra-Red (FTIR) Iinstrument 72
- Figure 3.21: Thermal analysis apparatus 72
- Figure 3.22: Preparation of specimens (a) mixing, (b) vibration, and (c) casting 76
- Figure 3.23: Curing of samples in oven curing wrapped with heat resistant vinyl bags 76
- Figure 3.24: Room curing at ambient temperature 77
- Figure 3.25: Compressive strength machine test 77

Figure 3.26: Samples exposure to sulfate and acid 93

Figure 3.27: Samples placed inside the electrical furnace after heating 94

Figure 4.1: Stages of POFA treatment **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

- Figure 4.2: XRD patterns of the base materials (t-POFA, f-POFA and u-POFA) 98
- Figure 4.3: Particle size distribution curves of the POFA base materials. 99
- Figure 4.4: Particle morphology of the base materials 100
- Figure 4.5: TGA of base materials (o-POFA, u-POFA) 101
- Figure 4.6: Compressive strength of alkali activated POFA based mortar at 7, 14, and 28 days. 102
- Figure 4.7: FTIR Spectra for Alkali Activated POFA Mortar for M1, M2 and M3 at 28 days 105
- Figure 4.8: XRD for Alkaline Activated Mortar Samples M1, M2, and M3 at 28 Days 107
- Figure 4.9: (a) FESM+EDX result of alkaline activated mortar M1. (b) FESM+EDX result of alkaline activated mortar M2. (c) FESM+EDX result of alkaline activated mortar M3. 109
- Figure 4.10: Effect of Na2SiO3-to-NaOH weight ratio on each response of compressive strength at different curing ages (a) u-POFA, (b) FA and (c) GGBFS 113
- Figure 4.11: Effect of NaOH concentration on compressive strength at different curing ages (a) u-POFA, (b) FA and (c) GGBFS 116
- Figure 4.12: Effect of silica modulus weight ratio on each response of compressive strength at different curing ages (a) u-POFA, (b) FA and (c) GGBFS 118
- Figure 4.13: Optimization of the factors combination of (A) u-POFA, (B) FA, and (C) GGBFS-based alkali activated mortars 119
- Figure 4.14: FTIR spectra for alkali activated mortar for samples (A) u-POFA, (B) FA, and (C) GGBFS at 28 days. 121
- Figure 4.15: XRD for alkaline activated mortar samples (A) u-POFA, (B) FA, and (C) GGBFS at 28 days 123
- Figure 4.16: FESEM/EDX result of alkaline activated mortar of u-POFA (A),  $FA(B)$  and GGBFS  $(C)$  125
- Figure 4.17: Particle size distribution curves of base materials 128
- Figure 4.18: XRD patterns of the base materials (u-POFA, FA and GGBFS) 128
- Figure 4.19: Particle morphology of the raw materials (a) u-POFA, (b) FA and (c) GGBFS 129
- Figure 4.20: Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of base materials (u-POFA, FA and GGBFS) **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- Figure 4.21: Compressive strength of alkali activated binary blended u-POFA+FA based mortar at 7, 14, and 28 days 132
- Figure 4.22: Compressive strength of alkali activated binary blended 132
- Figure 4.23: Compressive strength of alkali activated binary blended FA+GGBFS based mortar at 7, 14, and 28 days 133
- Figure 4.24: XRD for alkaline activated mortar samples Br6, Br13, and Br20 at 28 days 135
- Figure 4.25: FTIR spectra for alkali activated mortar samples Br6, Br13, and Br20 at 28 days 137
- Figure 4.26: FESEM/EDX result of alkaline activated mortars Br6, Br13, and Br20 at 28 days 140
- Figure 4.27: Thermogravimetric analysis for Br6, Br13, and Br20 at 28 days 142
- Figure 4.28: Differential thermal analysis for Br6, Br13, and Br20 at 28 days 143
- Figure 4.29. Compressive strength of alkali activated ternary blended u-POFA +FA+GGBFS based mortar at 3, 7, 14, and 28 days 144
- Figure 4.30 : FTIR spectra analysis ternary blended for mixture T1 and T3 146
- Figure 4.31: XRD for alkaline activated mortar ternary blended samples T1 and T3 at 28 days 147
- Figure 4.32: FESEM result of alkaline activated mortar ternary blended (T1) 50% u-POFA, 20% GGBFS and 30% FA and (T3) 40% u-POFA, 40% GGBFS and 20% FA 148
- Figure 4.33: Residual compressive load of u-POFA, FA, GGBFS, and ternary blended-based alkali activated mortars. Specimens before and after begin exposed to 5% Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 151
- Figure 4.34: Relative residual compressive Load of u-POFA, FA, GGBFS, and ternary blended - based alkali activated mortars. Specimens before and after begin exposed to 5% Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>152
- Figure 4.35: Residual compressive load of u-POFA, FA, GGBFS, and ternary blended - based alkali activated mortars. Specimens before and after begin exposed to 5% Mg<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 152
- Figure 4.36: Relative residual compressive Load of u-POFA, FA, GGBFS, and ternary blended - based alkali activated mortars. Specimens before and after begin exposed to 5% Mg<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> 153
- Figure 4.37: Residual compressive load of u-POFA, FA, GGBFS, and ternary blended - based alkali activated mortars. Specimens before and after begin exposed to 5% H2SO4 155
- Figure 4.38: Relative residual compressive Load of u-POFA, FA, GGBFS, and ternary blended - based alkali activated mortars. Specimens before and after begin exposed to 5% H2SO4 155
- Figure 4.39: Residual compressive load of u-POFA, FA, GGBFS, and ternary blended - based alkali activated mortars. Specimens before and after begin exposed to  $5\%$  C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 156
- Figure 4.40: Relative residual compressive Load of u-POFA, FA, GGBFS, and ternary blended - based alkali activated mortars. Specimens before and after begin exposed to  $5\%$  C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>156
- Figure 4.41: Visual appearance of (a) u-POFA, (b) FA, (c) GGBFS and (d) ternary blended-based alkali activated mortars. Specimens cured at ambient temperature after 28 days 157
- Figure 4.42: Visual appearance of u-POFA (a), FA (b), GGBFS (c) and ternary blended (d)based alkali activated mortars. After being exposed to 5% NaSO4 for 240 days 158
- Figure 4.43: Visual appearance of u-POFA (a), FA (b), GGBFS (c) and ternary blended (d) based alkali activated mortars. Mixtures after being exposed to 5% MgSO4 for 240 days. 160
- Figure 4.44: Visual appearance of u-POFA (a), FA (b), GGBFS (c) and ternary blended (d) based alkali activated mortars. Mixtures after being exposed to  $3\%$  H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> for 240 days. 161
- Figure 4.45: Visual appearance of u-POFA (a), FA (b), GGBFS (c) and ternary blended (d) blended - based alkali activated mortars. Mixtures after being exposed to 3% C2H4O2 for 240 days. 162
- Figure 4.46: XRD diffractograms of u-POFA, FA, GGBFS, and ternary blended - based alkali activated mortars. Mixtures # (Der1, Der2, Der3, and Der4) after being exposed to 5% Na2SO4 for 240 days, 164
- Figure 4.47: XRD diffractograms of u-POFA, FA, GGBFS, and ternary blended - based alkali activated mortars. mixtures # (Der1, Der2,Der3, and Der4) after being exposed to 5% MgSO4 for 240 days, 165
- Figure 4.48: XRD diffractograms of u-POFA, FA, GGBFS, and ternary blended - based alkali activated mortars. mixtures # (Der1, Der2,Der3, and Der4) after being exposed to 3% H2SO4 for 240 days. 165
- Figure 4.49: XRD diffractograms of u-POFA, FA, GGBFS, and ternary blended - based alkali activated mortars. Mixtures # (Der1,

Der2, Der3, and Der4) after being exposed to 3% C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 240 days. 166

- Figure 4.50: FESEM for mixtures u-POFA (a), FA (b), GGBFS (c) and ternary blended (d) based alkali activated mortars. Specimens after begin cured at room temperature 167
- Figure 4.51: FESEM for mixtures u-POFA (a), FA (b), GGBFS (c) and ternary blended (d) based alkali activated mortars after begin exposed to 5% Na2SO4 for 240 days 168
- Figure 4.52: FESEM for mixtures u-POFA (a), FA (b), GGBFS (c) and ternary blended (d) based alkali activated mortars after begin exposed to  $5\%$  MgSO<sub>4</sub> for 240 days 169
- Figure 4.53: FESEM for mixtures u-POFA (a), FA (b), GGBFS (c) and ternary blended (d) based alkali activated mortars after begin exposed to  $3\%$  H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> for 240 days 170
- Figure 4.54: FESEM for mixture u-POFA (a), FA (b), GGBFS (c) and ternary blended (d) based alkali activated mortars after begin exposed to 3% C2H4O2for 240 days 171
- Figure 4.55: (a, b, c and d): Photographs of hardened individual and ternary blended (u-POFA, FA and GGBS) based mortars mixtures Ter1,Ter2,Ter3, and Ter4, before and after being exposed to elevated temperature of (A)  $28^{\circ}C$ , (B) at  $200^{\circ}C$ , (C) at  $400^{\circ}C$ , (D) at  $600^{\circ}C$ , (E) at  $800^{\circ}C$ , (F) at  $1000^{\circ}C$  173
- Figure 4.56: Residual compressive load of alkaline activated individual and ternary blended (u-POFA, FA and GGBFS) based mortars 175
- Figure 4.57: Relative residual compressive Load of alkaline activated individual and ternary blended (u-POFA, FA and GGBFS) based mortars 176
- Figure 4.58: (a)XRD diffractograms of u-POFA based mortar before and after being exposed to elevated temperature of (A) 28℃, (B)at 200℃,(C)at 400℃,(D)at 600℃,(E)at800℃,(F)at1000℃ 177
- Figure 4.59: (b)XRD diffractograms of FA based mortar before and after being exposed to elevated temperature of (A) 28℃, (B)at 200℃,(C)at 400℃,(D)at 600℃,(E)at800℃,(F)at1000℃ 178
- Figure 4.60: (c)XRD diffractograms of GGBFS based mortar before and after being exposed to elevated temperature of (A) 28℃, (B)at 200℃,(C)at 400℃,(D)at 600℃,(E)at800℃,(F)at1000℃ 179
- Figure 4.61: (d)XRD diffractograms of ternary blended ( u-POFA,FA and GGBFS) based mortar before and after being exposed to elevated temperature of (A) 28℃, (B)at 200℃,(C)at 400℃,(D)at 600℃,(E)at800℃,(F)at1000℃ 180
- Figure 4.62: FESEM for mixture of u-POFA based mortar before and after being exposed to elevated temperature of 200℃, to 1000℃ 182
- Figure 4.63: FESEM for mixture of Fly ash based mortar before and after being exposed to elevated temperature of 200℃, to 1000℃ 183
- Figure 4.64: FESEM for mixture of GGBFS based mortar before and after being exposed to elevated temperature of 200℃, to 1000℃ 185
- Figure 4.65: FESEM for mixture of ternary blended (u-POFA, FA and, GGBFS) based mortar before and after being exposed to elevated temperature of 200℃, to 1000℃ 186

### **LIST OF SYMBOLS**

![](_page_20_Picture_56.jpeg)

### **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

![](_page_21_Picture_108.jpeg)

### **PENCIRIAN DAN PENILAIAN MORTAR TERAKTIF ALKALI DISINTESIS DARIPADA CAMPURAN BINARI DAN TERNARI ABU SISA KELAPA SAWIT, SANGA RELAU BAGAS DAN ABU TERBANG TERBANGERGRANUL DAN ABU TERBANG**

#### **ABSTRAK**

Abu bahanapi kelapa sawit (POFA) dengan kehalusan yang berbeza (t-POFA, f-POFA dan u-POFA) memberi kesan kekuatan mampatan dan mikrostruktur mortar yang berasaskan POFA alkali teraktif. Campuran mortar ultrahalus POFA (u-POFA) alkali teraktif menunjukkan kekuatan mampatan yang tertinggi yang diukur antara 7 dan 28 hari. Pelbagai teknik penganalisaan (XRD, FTIR, dan FESEM-EDX) yang dilakukan pada sampel menunjukkan wujudnya pembentukan C-S-H dan N-A-S-H. Perbandingan telah dibuat secara individu untuk POFA, FA dan GGBFS sebagai mortar alkali teraktif menggunakan kaedah Taguchi. Keputusan kajian menunjukkan bahawa kekuatan mampatan tertinggi pada 28 hari rawatan secara individu POFA, FA dan GGBFS sebagai mortar alkali teraktif adalah masing-masing 41.20 MPa, 51.14 MPa dan 93.97 MPa. Kekuatan mampatan yang tinggi ini boleh dikaitkan dengan pembentukan pengikat gel (C-S-H dan N-A-S-H) dalam mortar alkali teraktif, seperti yang dibuktikan oleh analisis XRD, FTIR dan FESM-EDX. Mortar alkali teraktif binari dan ternari daripada tiga bahan (POFA, FA dan GGBFS) menunjukkan peningkatan kekuatan mampatan yang ketara apabila ditambah kepada kira-kira 25% berat u-POFA untuk campuran binari. Walau bagaimanapun, dalam ternari, kekuatan tertinggi diperolehi daripada 40% berat u-POFA, 20% berat FA dan 40% berat GGBFS. Kajian ini mengesahkan bahawa mortar alkali teraktif yang telah dibangunkan dalam kajian ini mempunyai prestasi yang amat baik apabila terdedah kepada pelbagai persekitaran yang agresif dan menunjukkan kestabilan terma yang tinggi apabila terdedah kepada suhu tinggi sehingga 1000 °C.