# Retrofit of Concrete Moment Frames with Masonry Infill in High Seismic Zones

Pembo, Philippines

A Senior Project presented to the Faculty of the Architectural Engineering Department California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo

> In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree Bachelor of Science

> > by

Patricia Pope

Madeleine Rasmussen

Zorana Tat

June 2019

© 2019 Patricia Pope, Madeleine Rasmussen, Zorana Tat

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	
ABET REQUIREMENTS	1-5
GENERAL NOTES	6
STRUCTURAL MATERIALS	7
KEY PLANS AND ELEVATIONS	K1
TYPICAL MEMBER SIZES	C1-C2
UNIT LOAD TAKEOFF	C3
SEISMIC COEFFICIENTS	L1-L6
LOADING DEMANDS	L7
STORY FORCES	L8
STORY DRIFT	L9-L10
OUT OF PLANE ANALYSIS	L11-L14

# APPENDIX

RISA OUTPUT	A1
SP COLUMN OUTPUT	A2-A13
SEISMIC EVALUATION CHECKLIST	A14

# PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND INTENT

# **Global Considerations**

Seismic events happen all throughout the world and each country has a slightly different way of handling the design of structures subjected to seismic forces. The goal of this project is to provide citizens of the Philippines with an effective way to retrofit their potentially unsafe buildings in the event of an earthquake. However, this retrofit manual will be able to help globally in other third world countries that have similar building techniques. In order to achieve this type of solution, we had to come up with options that took into account the lack of access to tools, materials, and skilled construction.

# **Social Considerations**

When beginning to address the impact of our solution, it was important to consider the means of a typical family in the Philippines in order to complete the project outlined herein. In many third world countries, including the Philippines, governing bodies have adopted some form of a building code intended to be used when designing and constructing a building. In practice, however, the governing bodies do not regulate or enforce this building code, resulting in poor design and construction practices. This in turn, results in poorly built structures.

The type of masonry infilled structures addressed in this report can fail in many ways, but the deadliest is when failure occurs within the CMU infill wall. Large seismic events can cause the walls to crack diagonally in shear, as well as cause out of plane failure. Out of plane failure is characterized by the CMU infill falling out from the concrete moment frame.

When out of plane failure occurs, there are many consequences that can occur. Firstly, out-of-plane failure can be detrimental due to its ability to cause fatalities. During a seismic event, the masonry can fall out from the wall, possibly landing on people inside the building or in the spaces around the building below. This can potentially result in a massive loss of life within the area. Secondly, when masonry falls out of plane there can be significant damages to any vehicles or personal property of the occupants when wall debris falls to the streets below. Most importantly, walls falling inhibits the ability of rescue vehicles to reach affected areas after an earthquake. When this failure occurs, a lot of time and money must be spent to clean up and buildoze the fallen debris. Due major hazards of the results of out of plane failure, it should be avoided in any case.

# **Cultural Considerations**

Poorly built structures in third world countries are constructed partly due to the lack of financial means to hire an engineer and construct a safe building. This lack of

means is demonstrated by how residential construction is expanded. In the United States, when a family is growing, the existing culture is to buy a new home, or to buy new land to expand a home, or to build a new house. In the Philippines, due to extremely high prices for land, it is typical for families to build a new story on top of their currently existing home. In combination with the lack of engineering and specialty in seismic design, this method of expansion can have catastrophic results in the event of a large earthquake.

# **Environmental Considerations**

When masonry infilled structures fail in shear or out of plane, they must be entirely replaced. Because these failure types are very common, when an earthquake hits this area, many buildings will need to have major replacements. The buildings would fail and produce significant amounts of debris which would overflow the landfills. Replacing an entire building (or many buildings) can have a large impact on the environment due to the need for new materials to be mass manufactured and transported to the site. The manufacturing process releases dangerous air emissions, releases wastewater contaminants, and drains the earth's resources. The retrofit idea proposed in this manual would significantly lessen the amount of materials required to make a building safe during an earthquake, thus reducing the need for buildings to be completely rebuilt or replaced.

# **Economic Considerations**

Special considerations were taken in order to provide a low-cost solution that would be accessible to as many people as possible.

When approaching a solution to the problem at hand, we maintained a focus on engineering retrofit ideas that are feasible for the Philippine people and the economic climate they face. Typical construction in the United States employs the use of specialized products like Simpson Strong Tie connections and expensive/specialized building methods which are not available in the Philippines. (i.e. use of cranes, welding, etc.). These building methods require high levels of skilled labor to construct which is expensive, this results in average people building their own homes to save money. This is directly contrasted with the level of specialized labor and products available for sale within the local economy in the Philippines. Because of this lack of specialized products and labor, the buildings in the Philippines are very simple. This created the challenge of engineering a retrofit solution that Philippine people in the field would be able to accomplish. In creating a retrofit idea, we always came back to the idea of creating a solution that is simple enough for village contractors to implement themselves. By creating a simple solution that is easy to understand and implement, there can be many opportunities for buildings to get retrofitted without the use of skilled labor. In doing this, local contractors can complete the retrofit themselves using local materials and our manual provided to them.

# **Team Evaluations**

# By Tricia Pope:

# Maddie:

Easy to work with and got tasks done on time. Maddie became an expert on the building systems and created construction documents quickly and easily. She was not able to attend all of our group meetings but always made sure to keep up with the decisions/work assigned at meetings.

# Zorana:

Extremely passionate and enthusiastic about the project. She worked to come up with solutions throughout the entire length of the project and was constantly updating and enhancing the project as we went. Zorana worked on the small details and inner workings of the project tirelessly while also keeping in mind the broader concerns of social/cultural/economic/etc.

# By Madeleine Rasmussen:

# Tricia:

Laid back and easy to work with. Had valuable input throughout project and was instrumental to formatting and coding excel sheets used to calculate values. Attended group meetings and was productive in working throughout them. Maybe could speak up more so we can hear all the great things she has to bring to the table.

# Zorana:

Was very enthusiastic about the project which made working with her fun. Took initiative which is appreciated but sometimes needs to delegate and trust others a bit better when completing various project tasks. Made models for presentation and attended group meetings. Had a large role in final retrofit solution idea/and calculations associated

# By Zorana Tat:

# Tricia:

Tricia contributed by formatting the excel spreadsheets for the calculations. Tricia was able to demonstrate a firm understanding of the initial calculations such as load combinations, unit load take off, and load flow.

# Maddie:

Maddie completed the AutoCAD drawings of the details. Maddie demonstrated a firm understanding of construction.

# What did you learn on your own while working on the project?

# Tricia Pope:

What I found most valuable throughout this project was how to take the mindset of an engineer with the limitations of materials/labor/etc. in a different country. I learned a lot about how other parts of the world approach engineering and building safety by going through the building codes and design examples from the Philippines and Nepal.

# Madeleine Rasmussen:

Throughout this project I learned just how fortunate we are in the United States to have a building code that is adapted nationwide and is strictly enforced. I have grown a new appreciation for these entities that make sure our structures are safe and enforce building codes. In completing this project I have come to respect and understand what building officials and inspectors do and why we need them as a society. This project taught me how to think outside the box and take a specific problem and come up with a solution that is applicable using various skills. In researching masonry retrofits I gained an expanded knowledge for the behavior of this material and the buildings that they make up. Lastly, I learned about the cultural needs for different building economies and how to consider them when engineering.

# Zorana Tat:

I learned how to think with a retrofit mindset as well as work around existing cultural building techniques. An easy "retrofit" idea would be to remove the inadequate existing structure and build a new one, however this project showed me how to build around existing structures as well as how avoid being invasive to the existing tenants.

In addition, I learned how to adjust to different cultural building materials and techniques. A third world country such as the Philippines has a very different building culture from the United States. The United States has access to many different types of materials and specialized skill sets. The United States also has strict regulations on building permits as well as ensuring a licensed professional is working on the building. The Philippines has engineers and builders with the skillset to build, however those people are expensive and there is no government regulation on the building code. This results in average people building when and how they want.

# What methodology did you use to learn on your own?

# Tricia Pope:

The main approach I took to learning on my own was spending a lot of time reading various resources. To learn about the building system we worked with, I read the chapter of the masonry code pertaining to participating vs. non-participating masonry infill. Additionally, I spent time working to understand the foreign building codes by reading and comparing the design practices to those I know from the United States.

# Madeleine Rasmussen:

Utilizing our resources and studying previous explorations where CMU walls occur were both crucial to learning tools throughout this project. Curiosity was a huge part of being able to learn on my own. By having a desire to delve deeper into the information I came across, I was able to understand the "WHY" in various engineering designs.

# Zorana Tat:

To learn on my own I utilized the skillset of the faculty on campus and the existing retrofit ideas on the Build change website. For example, I received notes on how to design a concrete moment frame from the Civil Engineering 552 Advanced Concrete Design class taught by Professor Chadwell. I also gained knowledge by speaking to my advisor Professor James Mwangi who had firsthand experience in the Philippines.

# **GENERAL NOTES**

# NOTES:

(1) TYPICAL MEMBER SIZES DETERMINED BASED ON NEPAL NATIONAL BUILDING CODE (NBC 205: 1994)

(2) MEMBER SIZES WERE CONVERTED FROM SI UNITS TO ENGLISH UNITS TO CARRY OUT CALUCLATIONS

(3) DETERMINATION OF SEISMIC COEFFICIENTS BASED ON NATIONAL STRUCTURAL CODE OF THE PHILIPPINES: VOLUME 1, SIXTH EDITION, FOURTH PRINTING, 2013 (NSCP)

(4) ANALYSIS BASED ON 2 STORY BUILDING. ROOF LEVEL REFERRED TO AS STORY II

# ABBREVIATIONS:

LFRS - LATERAL FORCE RESISTING SYSTEM

REINF - REINFORCEMENT

TYP. - TYPICAL

- (N) NEW
- (E) EXISTING

CONC. - CONCRETE

CMU - CONCRETE MASONRY UNIT

**UNO - UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE** 

O.C. - ON CENTER

EMBED. - EMBEDMENT

EA. - EACH

# STRUCTURAL MATERIALS

MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS: Typical unless noted otherwise in calculations.

MASONRY:

3-Cell Blocks, 15 cm X 20 cm X 40 cm Typical Masonry Grouted with Density 22 (kN/m<sup>3</sup>)

# CONCRETE:

Slab on grade: f'c = 4000 psi Concrete Overlay: f'c = 4000 psi Concrete Framing: f'c = 4000 psi

**REINFORCING STEEL:** 

4T16, T08, & T06 Fe415 STEEL BARS.









KEY PLAN





K2



# CMU DIMENTIONS







# SI UNITS

DEAD LOAD UNI	T WEIGHTS	
Component	Density (kN/m^3)	Weight (kPa)
Reinforced Concrete, Stone	23.6	-
CMU, Normal Weight	21.2	-
Masonry Grout	22	-
Plaster (1" both sides)	-	0.48
Cement Tile	-	0.77
UNIFORM LIV	'E LOAD	
Residential Basic Floor Area	1.9	kPa

# ENGLISH UNITS

DEAD LOAD UNI	T WEIGHTS		
Component	Density (pcf)	Weight (psf)	
Reinforced Concrete, Stone	151.59	-	
CMU, Normal Weight	136.17	-	
Masonry Grout	141.31	-	
Average Block/Grout Weight	138.74	-	*/
Plaster (1" both sides)	-	10.03	
Cement Tile	-	16.08	

Assuming CMU are fully grouted

UNIT [	DEAD LOAD TAKE	OFF			
	STORY II				
ITEM	THICKNESS (ft)	AREA (ft <sup>2</sup> )	APPLIED LOAD (lbs)		
SLAB	0.33	581	28907		
CEMENT TILE	-	581	9348		
RC COLUMN (x4)	4.593178333	2.180	6071		
MASONRY WALL (North/South)	4.593178333	8.938	5695		
MASONRY WALL (East/West)	4.593178333	13.781	8782		
PLASTER (1"EA. SIDE, North/South)	-	90.417	906		
PLASTER (1"EA. SIDE, East/West)	-	135.625	1360	NORTH-SOUTH	E
		Level Load:	61069	54467	Γ

	STORY I				
ITEM	THICKNESS (ft)	AREA (ft^2)	APPLIED LOAD (lbs)		
SLAB	0.33	581	28907		
CEMENT TILE	-	581	9348		
RC COLUMN (x4)	9.842525	2.180	13008		
MASONRY WALL (North/South)	9.842525	8.938	12205		
MASONRY WALL (East/West)	9.842525	13.781	18819		
PLASTER (1"EA. SIDE, North/South)	-	193.751	1942		
PLASTER (1"EA. SIDE, East/West)	-	290.626	2914	NORTH-SOUTH	EAST-WEST
		Level Load:	87143	72996	65410

W <sub>TOTAL</sub> (lbs)	148212
BASE SH	IEAR
V <sub>N/S</sub> (lbs)	57686
V <sub>E/W</sub> (lbs)	52651

UNIFORM LIVE LOAD 39.7 psf Residential Basic Floor Area



Ľ1

# SEISMIC COEFFICIENTS

NOTES:

(1) COEFFICIENTS DETERMINED USING NSCP C101-10

DETERMINATION OF SEISMIC BASE SHEAR COEF	FICIENTS		
NOTES	COEF.	VALUE	NSCP C101-10
SHORT PERIOD RESPONSE VALUE AT (T=0.2s)	S <sub>DS</sub> =	1.32	Figure 208-3
SEISMIC IMPORTANCE FACTOR IV, "STANDARD OCCUPANCY"	=	1	Table 208-1
SEISMIC SOURCE TYPE: "A"	M =	M>0.7	Table 208-4
DISTANCE TO SEISMIC FORCE < 5 km	N <sub>a</sub> =	1.2	Table 208-5
DISTANCE TO SEISMIC FORCE < 5 km	N <sub>v</sub> =	1.6	Table 208-6
SEISMIC ZONE	Z =	0.4	Figure 208-1
SEISMIC COEFFICIENT 0.44Na	C <sub>a</sub> =	0.528	Table 208-7
SEISMIC COEFFICIENT 0.64Nv	C <sub>v</sub> =	1.024	Table 208-8
EARTHQUAKE RESISTING SYSTEM (ORDINARY REINF. CONC. MOMENT FRAME)	R =	3.5	Table 208-11A
DESIGN BASE SHEAR COEFFICIENT, V = (3Ca/R)*W	(3Ca/R)=	0.452571429	208-5
DETERMINATION OF STRUCTURAL PERIOR	)		
NOTES	COEF.	VALUE	NSCP C101-10
REINF. CONC. MOMENT FRAME	C <sub>t</sub> =	0.0731	208-12
h <sub>n</sub> (m)	h <sub>n</sub> (I)	3.2	
	h <sub>n</sub> (II)	6	
CALCULATE STRUCTRUAL PERIOD, T = $C_t h_n^{(3/4)}$	T(I) =	0.174895993	

T(II) =

0.280240446



(1) PORTAL METHOD NOTES:

(2) ASSUMING INFLECTION POINT AT MIDSPAN OF COLUMNS

NOTE: (1) SINCE LFRS IS SYMMETRIC IN EACH ORTHOGANAL DIRECTION, ONE REPRESENTATIVE CALCULATION WILL BE CARRIED OUT (2) p=1.3 DUE TO LACK OF REDUNDANCY IN SYSTEM

		p= 1.3	S <sub>DS</sub> = 1.32	Afloor = 581.252 ft <sup>2</sup>				
ASCE 7-10: 2.3.2)	ATIONS	SEE BELOW Ibs	23065 lbs	23065 lbs	0 Ibs	sql 0	sql 0	SEE BELOW Ibs
<b>RFD LOAD COMBINATIONS (</b>	BASIC LOAD COMBIN	D=	L'=	L=	S=	R=	M=	E=
LR								
		1) 1.4D	2) 1.2D + 1.6L + 0.5(L <sub>r</sub> or S or R)	3) 1.2D + 1.6(L <sub>r</sub> or S or R) + (L or 0.5W)	4) 1.2D + 1.0W + L + 0.5(L <sub>r</sub> or S or R)	5) 0.9D + 1.0W	3) 1.2D + E <sub>v</sub> + E <sub>h</sub> + L + 0.2S	7) 0.9D - E <sub>v</sub> + E <sub>h</sub>

 $E_{h} = \rho Q_{E}$  $E_{v} = 0.2 S_{DS} D$ 

SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS (ASCE 7-10: 12.4.2.3) NOTE:  $Q_E = F_X AT STORY LEVEL, AT SPECIFIC LINE$ 

					Total	
						L
						L
						•••
						1
						(
ROOF)						
<u>.0RY II (I</u>						-
<b>DUTH ST</b>		lbs	bs	bs		
ORTH-S(		21866.6	16122.2	61069		
z						
	E 1					
	RY II, LIN					
	STO					
						1
		Е <sup>и</sup> =	E^=	D=		

Load Combination	D		Ť	S	R	N	ц	ш <sup>,</sup>	<b>BRAVITY</b> L	ATERAL
1) 1.4D	85497								85497	0
2) 1.2D + 1.6L + 0.5(L <sub>r</sub> or S or R)	73283	0	11533		0	- C	-		84816	0
3) 1.2D + 1.6(Lr or S or R) + (L or 0.5W)	73283	0	36905		0	0	- 0		110188	0
4) 1.2D + 1.0W + L + 0.5(L <sub>r</sub> or S or R)	73283	0	11533		0	C	- 0		84816	0
5) 0.9D + 1.0W	54962						- 0		54962	0
6) 1.2D + E <sub>v</sub> + E <sub>h</sub> + L + 0.2S	73283	0			- 0		16122.2	21866.6	73283	37989
7) 0.9D - E <sub>v</sub> + E <sub>h</sub>	54962						16122.2	21866.6	54962	5744

	GOVERNING LOAD COMBINATION - GRAVITY		
STORY II, LINE 1	3) 1.2D + 1.6(Lr or S or R) + (L or 0.5W)	110188	
	GOVERNING LOAD COMBINATION - SEISMIC	GRAVITY	LATERAL
STORY II, LINE 1	6) 1.2D + Ev + Eh + L + 0.2S	73283	37989

r I (FLOOR)					
NORTH-SOUTH STORY	STORY I, LINE 1	15629.4 lbs	39127.9 lbs	148212 lbs	
		En=	⊑^=	=	

								1	Total	
Load Combination		L	L,	S	Я	M	Ev E	ц Ц	<b>GRAVITY</b> L	ATERAL
1) 1.4D	207496								207496	0
2) 1.2D + 1.6L + 0.5(L <sub>r</sub> or S or R)	177854	36905	0	)	)	- (	-		84816	0
3) 1.2D + 1.6(L <sub>r</sub> or S or R) + (L or 0.5W)	177854	23065	0	0	0		- 0		200919	0
4) 1.2D + 1.0W + L + 0.5(L <sub>r</sub> or S or R)	177854	23065	0	0	0		- 0		200919	0
5) 0.9D + 1.0W	133391 -						- (		133391	0
6) 1.2D + E <sub>v</sub> + E <sub>h</sub> + L + 0.2S	177854	23065		)	- (		39127.9	15629.4	200919	54757
7) 0.9D - E <sub>v</sub> + E <sub>h</sub>	133391 -						39127.9	15629.4	133391	-23499

	GOVERNING LOAD COMBINATION - GRAVITY		
STORY II, LINE 1	1) 1.4D	207496	
			_
	GOVERNING LOAD COMBINATION - SEISMIC	GRAVITY	LATERAL
STORY II, LINE 1	6) 1.2D + Ev + Eh + L + 0.2S	200919	24757

I (ROOF)				
EAST-WEST STORY I	STORY II, LINE 1	20310.4 lbs	16122.2  bs	61069 lbs
		E <sub>h</sub> =	Ev=	D=

		_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	LATERAL	0	0	0	0	0	36433	4188
Total	GRAVITY	85497	84816	110188	84816	54962	73283	54962
	ų						20310.4	20310.4
	Ev Ev	•	•	•	•		16122.2	16122.2
	W		- c	0 C	0 C	0		
	Я		0	0	0		- 0	
	S		1533	3905	1533			
	Ļ		1	3(	1		-	
			0	0	0		0	
	D	85497 -	73283	73283	73283	54962 -	73283	54962 -
	Load Combination	1) 1.4D	2) 1.2D + 1.6L + 0.5(Lr or S or R)	3) 1.2D + 1.6(Lr or S or R) + (L or 0.5W)	4) 1.2D + 1.0W + L + 0.5(L <sub>r</sub> or S or R)	5) 0.9D + 1.0W	6) 1.2D + E <sub>v</sub> + E <sub>h</sub> + L + 0.2S	7) 0.9D - E <sub>v</sub> + E <sub>h</sub>

	GOVERNING LOAD COMBINATION - GRAVITY		
STORY II, LINE 1	3) 1.2D + 1.6(Lr or S or R) + (L or 0.5W)	110188	
	GOVERNING LOAD COMBINATION - SEISMIC	GRAVITY	LATERAL
STORY II, LINE 1	6) 1.2D + Ev + Eh + L + 0.2S	73283	36433

									old	
Load Combination		T	Ľ	S	Я	M	Ш Ц	4	<b>GRAVITY</b> L	ATERAL
1) 1.4D	207496								207496	0
2) 1.2D + 1.6L + 0.5(L <sub>r</sub> or S or R)	177854	30692	0	0	)	- (	•		84816	0
3) 1.2D + 1.6(Lr or S or R) + (L or 0.5W)	177854	23065	0	0	0	0	- 0		200919	0
4) 1.2D + 1.0W + L + 0.5(L <sub>r</sub> or S or R)	177854	23065	0	0	0	0	-		200919	0
5) 0.9D + 1.0W	133391	-		-			- (		133391	0
6) 1.2D + E <sub>v</sub> + E <sub>h</sub> + L + 0.2S	177854	23065		0	-		39127.9	13912.7	200919	53041
7) 0.9D - E <sub>v</sub> + E <sub>h</sub>	133391 -	1					39127.9	13912.7	133391	-25215

STORY II, LINE 1	[1] 1.4D	207496	
09	VERNING LOAD COMBINATION - SEISMIC	GRAVITY	LATERAL
STORY II, LINE 1	6) 1.2D + Ev + Eh + L + 0.2S	200919	53041

**GOVERNING LOAD COMBINATION - GRAVITY** 



			STORY	FORC	ES / DIA	PHRAGM	FOR	CES	
			DET						
NORTH	SOUTH		DET	ERMINE BU	ILDING WEIGH	HI IN EACH DIF	RECTION	Ν	
Story	W NS		Story	WEW					
	54467			50927					
l	72996			65410					
Σw <sub>x</sub>	127463		Σw <sub>x</sub>	116337					
				DETEDA			•		
	HVwc (lbs)	57686	1	DETERIV	IINATION OF 3	STORY FORCES	5		FORMULAS:
STORY (v)	h (ft)	k	h <sup>k</sup>	W (lbs)	$W(h^k)$	C	F (lbs)	F /W	Fx = C V
	10.60	1	10 60	51/67	1072100	0 593171993	336/1	0.6176	$\int x = O_{VX} v$
1	19.09	1	19.09	72006	766350	0.363171662	24045	0.0170	$\nabla v x = w_x(\Pi_x)/\Sigma w_x(\Pi_x)$
•	10.30	11	10.30	Σw.h. <sup>k</sup>	1838548	5.410020110 ΣΕ.,	57686	0.0204	
					1000040	2. x	0,000	Ľ	
			_						
EAST-WEST	V <sub>E/W</sub> (lbs)	52651							
STORY (x)	h <sub>x</sub> (ft)	k	h <sub>x</sub> <sup>k</sup>	W <sub>x</sub> (lbs)	$W_x(h_x^k)$	C <sub>vx</sub>	F <sub>x</sub> (lbs)	F <sub>x</sub> /W <sub>x</sub>	
11	19.69	1	19.69	50927	1002506	0.593470555	31247	0.6136	
	10.50	1	10.50	65410	686720	0.406529445	21404	0.3272	
				Σw <sub>x</sub> h <sub>x</sub> <sup>κ</sup>	1689226	ΣF <sub>x</sub>	52651		
				DETERMIN	ATION OF DIA	PHRAGM FOR	CES		
NORTH-SOUT	Ή						020		
STORY (x)	F <sub>i</sub> (lbs)	W <sub>x</sub> (lbs)	F <sub>px</sub> (lbs)	F <sub>px max</sub> (lbs)	F <sub>px min</sub> (lbs)	Design F <sub>px</sub> (lbs)			COEFFICIENTS
I	33641	54467	24650.30	28759	14379	24650			S <sub>DS</sub> 1.32
	24045	72996	33035.73	38542	19271	33036			I 1
Σ	= 57686	127463							
FAST-WEST			1						
STORY (x)	F <sub>i</sub> (lbs)	W <sub>v</sub> (lbs)	F <sub>nv</sub> (lbs)	F <sub>py may</sub> (lbs)	F <sub>ny min</sub> (lbs)	Desian F <sub>ex</sub> (lbs)			
	31247	50927	23048	26890	13445	23048			
11	51241								
1	21404	65410	29603	34537	17268	29603			



	-	DETERM	INATION OF STORY	DRIFTS	
4					
h <sub>x</sub> (in)	I (in <sup>4</sup> )	k (k-in) x 4 columns	F (Story Force) $\Delta$ (in)	Demand/Capacity	FORMULAS:
110.24	8210	1060.492	33.641 0.032	0.084591878	k (stiffness)=R (rigidity)
125.98	8210	710.447	24.045 0.034	0.090253684	F=k*Δ
					Fixed-Fixed End Conditions:
h <sub>x</sub> (in)	l (in <sup>4</sup> )	k (k-in) x 4 columns	F (Story Force) <mark>∆ (in)</mark>	Demand/Capacity	k=(12*E*I)/h <sup>3</sup>
h <sub>x</sub> (in) 110.24	I (in⁴) 8210	k (k-in) x 4 columns 1060.492	F (Story Force) <mark>Δ (in)</mark> 31.247 0.025	Demand/Capacity 0.078571833	k=(12*E*I)/h <sup>3</sup> I=b*h <sup>3</sup> /12
h <sub>x</sub> (in) 110.24 125.98	I (in⁴) 8210 8210	k (k-in) x 4 columns 1060.492 710.447	F (Story Force) Δ (in) 31.247 0.029 21.404 0.030	Demand/Capacity 0.078571833 0.08034069	k=(12*E*I)/h <sup>3</sup> I=b*h <sup>3</sup> /12
h <sub>x</sub> (in) 110.24 125.98	I (in⁴) 8210 8210	k (k-in) x 4 columns 1060.492 710.447	F (Story Force) <mark>∆ (in) 31.247 0.029 21.404 0.030</mark>	Demand/Capacity 0.078571833 0.08034069	k=(12*E*I)/h <sup>3</sup> I=b*h <sup>3</sup> /12
	1 h <sub>x</sub> (in) 110.24 125.98	1 h <sub>x</sub> (in) I (in <sup>4</sup> ) 110.24 8210 125.98 8210	S DETERM 1 h <sub>x</sub> (in)   (in <sup>4</sup> ) k (k-in) x 4 columns 110.24 8210 1060.492 125.98 8210 710.447	STORY DRIF1           DETERMINATION OF STORY           I         h_x (in)         I (in <sup>4</sup> ) k (k-in) x 4 columns F (Story Force) Δ (in)           110.24         8210         1060.492         33.641         0.032           125.98         8210         710.447         24.045         0.034	STORY DRIFT           DETERMINATION OF STORY DRIFTS           1         h <sub>x</sub> (in)         I (in <sup>4</sup> )         k (k-in) x 4 columns         F (Story Force) Δ (in)         Demand/Capacity           110.24         8210         1060.492         33.641         0.032         0.084591878           125.98         8210         710.447         24.045         0.034         0.090253684





# OUT OF PLANE BENDING

### DETERMINE OUT OF PLANE BENDING FORCE

			COEFFICIENTS / INPUTS
Input	Value	Reference	Description
S <sub>DS</sub>	1.32		
a <sub>p</sub>	1.25	Table 13.5-1	For architectural exterior walls, faseners.
R <sub>p</sub>	1	Table 13.5-1	
l <sub>p</sub>	1.5	Table 13.1-3	Importance factor, Life Safety
R <sub>p</sub> /I <sub>p</sub>	0.666666667		
z			Height of structure at point of attachment of architectural component with respect to the base
W <sub>p</sub>			Story I Wall Weight
h			Average roof height to base

 $\label{eq:FORMULAS (ASCE 7-16 13.3.1):} \begin{aligned} F_p &= 0.4^*(a_p)^*(S_{DS})^*(W_p) * (1 + 2^*(z/h))/(R_p/I_p) \\ F_{p,max} &= 1.6^*S_{DS}^*I_p^*W_p \\ F_{p,mins} &0.3^*S_{DS}^*I_p^*W_p \end{aligned}$ 

 $\label{eq:started} \begin{array}{l} \hline FORMULAS \\ M_u = w^* L^2 / 8 \\ A_{s,req} = M_u / (\phi^* f_y^* j^* d) \\ s = (A_{bar}^* 12) / A_{s,req} \end{array}$ 

# W<sub>p</sub> (psf) 78.30224237 h (ft) 19.68

Level	z (ft)	z/h	F <sub>p</sub> (psf)	Fp_max (psf)	Fp_min (psf)	Design Fp
Story II	10.50	0.53347019	160.228	248.062	46.512	160.228
Story I	0	0	77.519	248.062	46.512	77.519

NOTES:

(1) CODE REFERENCE: ASCE7-16: 13.3-1

### DESIGN MASONRY OUT OF PLANE SHEAR REINFORCEMENT

			COEFFICIENTS / INPUTS
Input	Value	Units	Description
j	0.95		Wide Compression Zone Factor
ф	0.9		Factor of Safety for Tension
d	1	in	Depth of Concrete to Flexural Steel
f <sub>y</sub>	60	ksi	Yield Strength of Steel Reinf.
A <sub>bar</sub>	0.11	in <sup>2</sup>	Area of #3 Rebar
A <sub>s,req</sub>		in²/ft	Area of steel required per 1 unit wall length
s <sub>req</sub>		in	Required spacing of steel
Aprovided		in²/ft	Area of steel provided per 1 unit wall length

Level	Wall Label	Length (ft)	M <sub>u</sub> (k-ft)	M <sub>u</sub> (k-in)	A <sub>s,req</sub>	s <sub>req</sub> (in)	Design s (in)	Aprovided	Use worst case Senario:
Ston/ II	1	19.69	7.761	0.647	0.012607291	104	48	0.0275	#3 rebar spaced @ 24" oc both directions
Story II	3	29.53	17.462	1.455	0.028366406	46	24	0.055	#3 rebar spaced @ ~60cm oc both directions
Story	1	19.69	3.755	0.313	0.006099494	216	120	0.011	
Story I	3	29.53	8.448	0.704	0.013723863	96	48	0.0275	



**RISA Outputs** 

	NORTH - SOUTH			
	Member	Axial (K)	Shear (K)	Moment (K-FT)
C1	M1	16.35	40.831	122.09
	M1	16.35	40.831	-8.568
CR	M2	-0.408	9.162	2.009
	M2	-0.408	9.162	-23.643
C1	M3	65.85	52.169	133.411
	M3	65.85	52.169	-33.531
CR	M4	22.608	28.838	35.343
	M4	22.608	28.838	-45.405
BM F	M5	23.331	16.758	-10.577
	M5	23.331	-43.242	68.874
BM R	M6	28.838	-0.408	-23.643
	M6	28.838	-22.608	45.405
	Max Col forces Max BM Forces	65.85	52.169	133.411



spColumn v6.00 Computer program for the Strength Design of Reinforced Concrete Sections Copyright - 1988-2019, STRUCTUREPOINT, LLC. All rights reserved



### Structure Point

Licensee stated below acknowledges that STRUCTUREPOINT (SP) is not and cannot be responsible for either the accuracy or adequacy of the material supplied as input for processing by the spColumn computer program. Furthermore, STRUCTUREPOINT neither makes any warranty expressed nor implied with respect to the correctness of the output prepared by the spColumn program. Although STRUCTUREPOINT has endeavored to produce spColumn error free the program is not and cannot be certified infallible. The final and only responsibility for analysis, design and engineering documents is the licensee's. Accordingly, STRUCTUREPOINT disclaims all responsibility in contract, negligence or other tort for any analysis, design or engineering documents prepared in connection with the use of the spColumn program. Licensed to: Cal Poly University. License ID: 69418-1064577-4-2356E-22641

STRUCTUREPOINT - spColumn v6.00	Page   <b>2</b>
Licensed to: Cal Poly University. License ID: 69418-1064577-4-2356E-22641	6/7/2019
u:\1 senior project\sp col\col 450mm x 450mm ground floor.col	1:58 PM

# Contents

1.	General Information	. 3
2.	Material Properties	3
	2.1. Concrete	. 3
	2.2. Steel	. 3
3.	Section	3
	3.1. Shape and Properties	. 3
	3.2. Section Figure	. 4
4.	Reinforcement	. 4
	4.1. Bar Set: ASTM A615	. 4
	4.2. Confinement and Factors	. 4
	4.3. Arrangement	. 4
5.	Factored Loads and Moments with Corresponding Capacities	. 5

# List of Figures

Figure	1: Column section		4
--------	-------------------	--	---

STRUCTUREPOINT - spColumn v6.00 Licensed to: Cal Poly University. License ID: 69418-1064577-4-2356E-22641 u:\1 senior project\sp col\col 450mm x 450mm ground floor.col

# 1. General Information

File Name	u:\1 senior\col 450mm x 450mm ground floor.col
Project	
Column	
Engineer	
Code	ACI 318-14
Bar Set	ASTM A615
Units	English
Run Option	Investigation
Run Axis	X - axis
Slenderness	Not Considered
Column Type	Structural

# 2. Material Properties

# 2.1. Concrete

Туре	Standard
fc	4 ksi
Ec	3605 ksi
fc	3.4 ksi
ε <sub>u</sub>	0.003 in/in
β1	0.85

# 2.2. Steel

Туре	Standard
fy	60 ksi
Es	29000 ksi
ε <sub>yt</sub>	0.00206897 in/in

# 3. Section

# 3.1. Shape and Properties

Туре	Rectangular
Width	17.72 in
Depth	17.72 in
Ag	313.998 in <sup>2</sup>
l <sub>x</sub>	8216.25 in <sup>4</sup>
l <sub>y</sub>	8216.25 in <sup>4</sup>
ſ <sub>x</sub>	5.11532 in
r <sub>y</sub>	5.11532 in
Xo	0 in
Yo	0 in

Page | **3** 6/7/2019 1:58 PM STRUCTUREPOINT - spColumn v6.00 Licensed to: Cal Poly University. License ID: 69418-1064577-4-2356E-22641 u:\1 senior project\sp col\col 450mm x 450mm ground floor.col

### Page | **4** 6/7/2019 1:58 PM

# 3.2. Section Figure



Figure 1: Column section

# 4. Reinforcement

# 4.1. Bar Set: ASTM A615

Bar	Diameter	Area	Bar	Diameter	Area	Bar	Diameter	Area
	in	in <sup>2</sup>		in	in <sup>2</sup>		in	in <sup>2</sup>
#3	0.38	0.11	#4	0.50	0.20	#5	0.63	0.31
#6	0.75	0.44	#7	0.88	0.60	#8	1.00	0.79
#9	1.13	1.00	#10	1.27	1.27	#11	1.41	1.56
#14	1.69	2.25	#18	2.26	4.00			

### 4.2. Confinement and Factors

Confinement type	Tied
For #10 bars or less	#3 ties
For larger bars	#4 ties
Capacity Reduction Factors	
Axial compression, (a)	0.8
Tension controlled $\phi$ , (b)	0.9
Compression controlled $\phi$ , (c)	0.65

# 4.3. Arrangement

Pattern	All sides equal
Bar layout	Rectangular
Cover to	Longitudal bars
Clear cover	1.5 in
Bars	12 #6

Total steel area, As5.28 in²Rho1.68 %Minimum clear spacing3.91 in

# 5. Factored Loads and Moments with Corresponding Capacities

No	Pu	Mux	φM <sub>nx</sub>	фМ <sub>n</sub> /M <sub>u</sub>	NA Depth	d <sub>t</sub> Depth	٤	ф
	kip	k-ft	k-ft		in	in		
1	66.00	133.00	205.56	1.546	4.00	15.84	0.00888	0.900

Page | **5** 6/7/2019 1:58 PM





spColumn v6.00 Computer program for the Strength Design of Reinforced Concrete Sections Copyright - 1988-2019, STRUCTUREPOINT, LLC. All rights reserved



Structure Point

Licensee stated below acknowledges that STRUCTUREPOINT (SP) is not and cannot be responsible for either the accuracy or adequacy of the material supplied as input for processing by the spColumn computer program. Furthermore, STRUCTUREPOINT neither makes any warranty expressed nor implied with respect to the correctness of the output prepared by the spColumn program. Although STRUCTUREPOINT has endeavored to produce spColumn error free the program is not and cannot be certified infallible. The final and only responsibility for analysis, design and engineering documents is the licensee's. Accordingly, STRUCTUREPOINT disclaims all responsibility in contract, negligence or other tort for any analysis, design or engineering documents prepared in connection with the use of the spColumn program. Licensed to: Cal Poly University. License ID: 69418-1064577-4-2356E-22641

STRUCTUREPOINT - spColumn v6.00
Licensed to: Cal Poly University. License ID: 69418-1064577-4-2356E-22641
u:\1 senior project\sp col\col 450mm x 450mm roof.col

# Contents

.

General Information	. 3
Material Properties	. 3
2.1. Concrete	. 3
2.2. Steel	3
Section	3
3.1. Shape and Properties	. 3
3.2. Section Figure	. 4
Reinforcement	. 4
4.1. Bar Set: ASTM A615	. 4
4.2. Confinement and Factors	. 4
4.3. Arrangement	4
Factored Loads and Moments with Corresponding Capacities	. 5
	General Information Material Properties 2.1. Concrete 2.2. Steel Section 3.1. Shape and Properties 3.2. Section Figure Reinforcement 4.1. Bar Set: ASTM A615 4.2. Confinement and Factors 4.3. Arrangement Factored Loads and Moments with Corresponding Capacities

# List of Figures

Figure	1: Colu	mn section 4
--------	---------	--------------

Page | **2** 6/7/2019 2:02 PM STRUCTUREPOINT - spColumn v6.00 Licensed to: Cal Poly University. License ID: 69418-1064577-4-2356E-22641 u:\1 senior project\sp col\col 450mm x 450mm roof.col

# **1. General Information**

File Name	u:\1 senior project\col 450mm x 450mm roof.col
Project	
Column	
Engineer	
Code	ACI 318-14
Bar Set	ASTM A615
Units	English
Run Option	Investigation
Run Axis	X - axis
Slenderness	. Not Considered
Column Type	Architectural

# 2. Material Properties

# 2.1. Concrete

Туре	Standard
fc	4 ksi
Ec	3605 ksi
fc	3.4 ksi
ε	0.003 in/in
β1	0.85

# 2.2. Steel

Туре	Standard
f <sub>y</sub>	60 ksi
Es	29000 ksi
Eyt	0.00206897 in/in

# 3. Section

# 3.1. Shape and Properties

Туре	Rectangular
Width	17.72 in
Depth	17.72 in
Ag	313.998 in <sup>2</sup>
l <sub>x</sub>	8216.25 in <sup>4</sup>
ly	8216.25 in <sup>4</sup>
r <sub>x</sub>	5.11532 in
r <sub>y</sub>	5.11532 in
Xo	0 in
Yo	0 in

Page | **3** 6/7/2019 2:02 PM STRUCTUREPOINT - spColumn v6.00 Licensed to: Cal Poly University. License ID: 69418-1064577-4-2356E-22641 u:\1 senior project\sp col\col 450mm x 450mm roof.col

# 3.2. Section Figure

 Y
 •

 Y
 •

 •
 •

 •
 •

 •
 •

 •
 •

 •
 •

 •
 •

 •
 •

 •
 •

 •
 •

 •
 •

 •
 •

 •
 •

 •
 •

 •
 •

 •
 •

 •
 •

 •
 •

 •
 •

Figure 1: Column section

# 4. Reinforcement

# 4.1. Bar Set: ASTM A615

Bar	Diameter	Area	Bar	Diameter	Area	Bar	Diameter	Area
	in	in <sup>2</sup>		in	in <sup>2</sup>		in	in <sup>2</sup>
#3	0.38	0.11	#4	0.50	0.20	#5	0.63	0.31
#6	0.75	0.44	#7	0.88	0.60	#8	1.00	0.79
#9	1.13	1.00	#10	1.27	1.27	#11	1.41	1.56
#14	1.69	2.25	#18	2.26	4.00			

# 4.2. Confinement and Factors

Confinement type	Tied	
For #10 bars or less	#3 ties	
For larger bars	#4 ties	
Capacity Reduction Factors		
Axial compression, (a)	0.8	
Tension controlled $\phi$ , (b)	0.9	
Compression controlled $\phi$ , (c)	0.65	

# 4.3. Arrangement

Pattern	All sides equal
Bar layout	Rectangular
Cover to	Longitudal bars
Clear cover	1.5 in
Bars	8 #4

Page | 4 6/7/2019 2:02 PM STRUCTUREPOINT - spColumn v6.00 Licensed to: Cal Poly University. License ID: 69418-1064577-4-2356E-22641 u:\1 senior project\sp col\col 450mm x 450mm roof.col

Total steel area, As	1.60 in <sup>2</sup>
Rho	0.51 %
Minimum clear spacing	6.61 in

5. Factored Loads and Moments with Corresponding Capacities

No	Pu	Mux	φM <sub>nx</sub>	$\phi M_n/M_u$	NA Depth	d, Depth	ε <sub>t</sub>	ф
	kip	k-ft	k-ft		in	in		
1	23.00	45.00	68.06	1.513	2.64	15.97	0.01513	0.900

Page | **5** 6/7/2019 2:02 PM



1_0			BUILDING SYSTEM	NOTES:
1.1	NC NC	N/A	MATERIALS: Materials used for the gravity and lateral load resisting system shall consist of reinforced concrete and concrete masonry.	
1.2	о V	N/A	LOAD PATH: A minimum of two seperate lines of wall is required in each direction; an additional line of walls is required for each 8.0 m of building dimentions over 8.0 m. Walls considered for lateral resistance shall be at least 1.0 m long. Parallel walls are located no reater than 4.5 m apart. Walls shall be connected to the diaphragm at the top and bottom by a continuous reinforced concrete floor that is centered on the wall and continuous with the floor slab. A rigid diaphragm roof system IS required to resist seismic forces.	
1.3	C NC	N/A	STORY HEIGHTS: The maximum story height of the first floor is 3.0 m from the ground floor slab and the floor to floor height of the upper levels is no more than 2.8 m.	
1.4	C NC	N/A	WALLS: Walls shall consist of at least 15cm thick concrete masonry unites with sand cement mortar with no less than 40% net solid area.	
1.5	N C	N/A	DAMAGE: Structures have no earthquake or excessive weather related damage to the masonry walls or roof system. Damaged buildings are NON-COMPLIANT but may be repaired to become COMPLIANT.	
2.0			MASONRY WALLS	NOTES:
2.1	C C	N/A	INFILL MASONRY: Reinforced concrete frame (RC frame) built with masonry infill. Mortar between RC frame and infill must be greater than 3/8".	
	lf 1.1 - 2.1 are	e COMPI	.IANT, then see DET-01 & DET-02 for concrete overlay retrofit.	
3.0			CONCRETE MOMENT FRAME	NOTES:
3.1	C C	N/A	COLUMNS: Concrete columns must have a cross sectional area of 400mm x 400mm. If greater than 400mm x 400mm, then COMPLIANT. If less than 400mm x 400mm x 400mm, then NOT COMPLIANT.	

# if 3.1 is NOT COMPLIANT, then see DET-03 & DET-04 for column jacket retrofit



								f'c= 4,000	) psi
								fy= 60,000	) psi
				Tension					
			Stra	aight	Hook	Class E	3 Splice	Class A	A Splice
Bar	D <sub>b</sub>	A <sub>b</sub>	l <sub>d</sub>	top	l <sub>dk</sub>	(l <sub>d</sub> * 1.3)	top	(l <sub>d</sub> * 1.0)	top
#3	0.375	0.11	14	18	7	18	24	14	18
#4	0.5	0.2	19	25	9	25	32	19	25
#5	0.625	0.31	19	25	12	25	32	19	25
#6	0.75	0.44	28	37	14	37	48	28	37
#7	0.875	0.6	42	54	17	54	70	42	54
#8	1	0.79	47	62	19	62	80	47	62
#9	1.128	1	54	70	21	70	90	54	70
#10	1.27	1.27	60	78	24	78	102	60	78
#11	1.41	1.56	67	87	27	87	113	67	87
#14	1.693	2.25	80	104	32	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
#18	2.257	4	107	139	43	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

DRAWN BY: MGR			SHEET NUMBER:
CHECKED BY:	PFP,ZT	DEVELOPMENT LENGTH TABLE	
DATE:	06/04/19		TBI -01

Cal Poly

SEISMIC RETROFIT PEMBO, PHILIPPINES

Column F	Properites	Longitudinal Reinforcement			
Column Type Column Size		Quantity	Bar Size		
RC1	450 mm X 450 mm	8	#4		
FC1	450 mm X 450 mm	12	#6		

DRAWN BY:	MGR		SHEET NUMBER:
CHECKED BY:	PFP,ZT	COLUMIN REBAR SCHEDULE	
DATE: 06/04/19			TBI -02
CAL POLY		PEMBO, PHILIPPINES	







