

### University of Pennsylvania ScholarlyCommons

Departmental Papers (ESE)

Department of Electrical & Systems Engineering

10-2018

# Inertial Velocity and Attitude Estimation for Quadrotors: Supplementary Material

James B. Svacha Jr University of Pennsylvania, jsvacha@seas.upenn.edu

Kartik Mohta University of Pennsylvania, kmohta@seas.upenn.edu

Michael Watterson University of Pennsylvania, wami@seas.upenn.edu

Giuseppe Loianno University of Pennsylvania, loiannog@seas.upenn.edu

Vijay Kumar *University of Pennsylvania,* kumar@seas.upenn.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.upenn.edu/ese\_papers Part of the <u>Electrical and Computer Engineering Commons</u>, and the <u>Systems Engineering</u> <u>Commons</u>

#### **Recommended** Citation

James B. Svacha Jr, Kartik Mohta, Michael Watterson, Giuseppe Loianno, and Vijay Kumar, "Inertial Velocity and Attitude Estimation for Quadrotors: Supplementary Material", . October 2018.

This paper is posted at ScholarlyCommons. https://repository.upenn.edu/ese\_papers/834 For more information, please contact repository@pobox.upenn.edu.

# Inertial Velocity and Attitude Estimation for Quadrotors: Supplementary Material

#### Disciplines

Electrical and Computer Engineering | Engineering | Systems Engineering

## Inertial Velocity and Attitude Estimation for Quadrotors: Supplementary Material

James Svacha<sup>1</sup>, Kartik Mohta<sup>1</sup>, Michael Watterson<sup>1</sup>, Giuseppe Loianno<sup>2</sup>, and Vijay Kumar<sup>1</sup>

#### I. PARALLEL TRANSPORT ON $S^2$

We now demonstrate that the parallel transport on  $S^2$  with the Levi-Civita connection corresponding to the metric induced by  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is equivalent to eq. (19) of the parent document, assuming the vector is transported along the geodesic from p to q. Without loss of generality, we will assume p is the north pole (i.e., the point  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$  when the sphere is naturally embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ) of the 2-sphere, since this manifold is symmetric under rotation.

Parallel transport is a linear operation on vectors because the covariant derivative is linear [1]

$$\nabla_X(Y+Z) = \nabla_X Y + \nabla_X Z, \tag{1}$$

$$\nabla_X(fY) = f\nabla_X Y + \nabla_{fX} \cdot Y. \tag{2}$$

If f is a constant,  $\nabla_{fX} = 0$ , and thus for constants a and b:

$$\nabla_X (aY + bZ) = a\nabla_X Y + b\nabla_X Z. \tag{3}$$

If we denote the parallel transport of a vector  $\mathbf{u} = a\mathbf{v} + b\mathbf{w}$  from the tangent space at p to the tangent space at q through the geodesic from p to q by  $\tau_{pq}(\mathbf{u})$ , we have

$$\tau_{pq}(\mathbf{u}) = a\tau_{pq}(\mathbf{v}) + b\tau_{pq}(\mathbf{w}),\tag{4}$$

for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and vectors v and w in the tangent space at p.

Hence, if we can show that, for some basis vectors  $\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{\perp}$  in the tangent space  $T_p S^2$ ,

$$\tau_{pq}(\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}) = R_{qp}\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}, \quad \tau_{pq}(\mathbf{v}_{\perp}) = R_{qp}\mathbf{v}_{\perp}, \qquad (5)$$

then we have shown that eq. (19) of the parent document is true for any vector  $\mathbf{v}_p$  in the tangent space at p. We

This work was supported by the ARL grants W911NF-08-2-0004, W911NF-17-2-0181, ONR grants N00014-07-1-0829, N00014-14-1-0510, ARO grant W911NF-13-1-0350, NSF grants IIS-1426840, IIS-1138847, DARPA grants HR001151626, HR0011516850.

<sup>2</sup>The author is with the New York University, Tandon School of Engineering, 6 MetroTech Center, 11201 Brooklyn NY, USA. email: {loiannog}@nyu.edu. will show this by first constructing differential equations from the parallel transport equation, then by showing that they are satisfied by the components of tangent vectors  $\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{\perp}$  moving according to eq. (19) of the parent document. We use stereographic coordinates during this process.

First, the vectorial representation  $\mathbf{q}$  of the point q on the sphere is represented as a function of the stereographic coordinates

$$\mathbf{q}(t) = \frac{1}{1 + s_x^2(t) + s_y^2(t)} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 2s_x(t) \\ 2s_y(t) \\ 1 - s_x^2(t) - s_y^2(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (6)

From now on, we suppress the dependence of  $s_x(t)$  and  $s_y(t)$  on t unless necessary. Differentiating this with respect to  $s_x$  and  $s_y$  gives us the tangent basis vectors, denoted  $\mathbf{e}_x$  and  $\mathbf{e}_y$ 

$$\mathbf{e}_{x} = \frac{1}{(1+s_{x}^{2}+s_{y}^{2})^{2}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 2(1-s_{x}^{2}+s_{y}^{2}) \\ -4s_{x}s_{y} \\ -4s_{x} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_{y} = \frac{1}{(1+s_{x}^{2}+s_{y}^{2})^{2}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -4s_{x}s_{y}\\ 2(1+s_{x}^{2}-s_{y}^{2})\\ -4s_{y} \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

By taking the dot products of these vectors, we obtain the components of the induced metric tensor

$$g_{xx} = g_{yy} = \frac{4}{(1 + s_x^2 + s_y^2)^2},$$
(9)

$$g_{xy} = g_{yx} = 0.$$
 (10)

The Christoffel symbols can be computed using the formula [2]

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{m} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{i}} g_{jk} + \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{j}} g_{ki} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{k}} g_{ij} \right\} g^{km},$$
(11)

where  $i, j, k, m \in \{x, y\}$  and  $g^{km}$  are the components of the inverse of the metric tensor  $g_{km}$ . The Christoffel symbols for the affine connection are

$$\Gamma_{ij}^{k} = \frac{2}{1 + s_x^2 + s_y^2} \cdot \begin{cases} s_k & i = j \neq k \\ -s_k & i \neq j \text{ or } i = j = k. \end{cases}$$
(12)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The authors are with the GRASP Lab, University of Pennsylvania, 3330 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA. email: {jsvacha, kmohta, wami, kumar}@seas.upenn.edu.

Any vector  ${\bf v}$  in the tangent space  ${\rm T}_p S^2$  can be constructed

$$\mathbf{v} = v_x \mathbf{e}_x + v_y \mathbf{e}_y. \tag{13}$$

The parallel transport equations are obtained by setting the covariant derivative of  $\mathbf{v}$  to zero. This provides

$$\frac{dv_k}{dt} = -\sum_{i,j} \Gamma^k_{ij} v_j \frac{ds_i}{dt}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$
(14)

or, after substituting the Christoffel Symbols,

$$\dot{v}_{x} = \frac{2((s_{y}\dot{s}_{x} - s_{x}\dot{s}_{y})v_{y} + (s_{x}\dot{s}_{x} + s_{y}\dot{s}_{y})v_{x})}{1 + s_{x}^{2} + s_{y}^{2}}$$

$$\dot{v}_{y} = \frac{2((s_{x}\dot{s}_{y} - s_{y}\dot{s}_{x})v_{x} + (s_{x}\dot{s}_{x} + s_{y}\dot{s}_{y})v_{y})}{1 + s_{x}^{2} + s_{y}^{2}}.$$
(15)

Now, we construct  $\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{\perp}$  and see that their components, in terms of  $\mathbf{e}_x$  and  $\mathbf{e}_y$ , satisfy eq. (15). Let  $\mathbf{r}(t)$  be the time-parameterized path on the geodesic from  $\mathbf{p}$  to  $\mathbf{q}$ . Define  $\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}$  as

$$\mathbf{v}_{\parallel} = \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt}|_{t=0} = [\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{\times}\mathbf{p},\tag{16}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  is an angular velocity vector that is orthogonal to both  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$ . If  $\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}$  is transported according to eq. (19) of the parent document, then

$$\tau_{pq}(\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}) = R_{qp}\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}$$
  
=  $R_{qp}[\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{\times}\mathbf{p}$   
=  $[\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{\times}R_{qp}\mathbf{p}$   
=  $[\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{\times}\mathbf{q},$  (17)

where we have used the fact that, since  $R_{qp} = \exp(\theta_{qp}[\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{\times})$ , it commutes with  $[\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{\times}$ . We also define  $\mathbf{v}_{\perp}$ 

$$\mathbf{v}_{\perp} = [\mathbf{p}]_{\times} \mathbf{v}_{\parallel} = [\mathbf{p}]_{\times} [\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{\times} \mathbf{p}.$$
(18)

Then, as was the case with  $v_{\parallel}$ , if the parallel transport of  $v_{\perp}$  on the geodesic is described by eq. (19) of the parent document

$$\tau_{pq}(\mathbf{v}_{\perp}) = R_{qp}\mathbf{v}_{\perp}$$

$$= R_{qp}[\mathbf{p}]_{\times}[\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{\times}\mathbf{p}$$

$$= R_{qp}[\mathbf{p}]_{\times}R_{qp}^{\top}R_{qp}[\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{\times}\mathbf{p}$$

$$= R_{qp}[\mathbf{p}]_{\times}R_{qp}^{\top}[\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{\times}R_{qp}, \mathbf{p}, \qquad (19)$$

$$= R_{qp}[\mathbf{p}]_{\times}R_{qp}^{\top}[\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{\times}\mathbf{q}$$

$$= [R_{qp}\mathbf{p}]_{\times}[\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{\times}\mathbf{q}$$

$$= [\mathbf{q}]_{\times}[\boldsymbol{\omega}]_{\times}\mathbf{q}$$

where we used the identity that, for any rotation matrix  $R \in SO(3)$  and any vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,

$$[R\mathbf{v}]_{\times} = R[\mathbf{v}]_{\times}R^{\top}, \qquad (20)$$

if  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 & \omega_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\top}$  (the third component is zero since  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  is orthogonal to **p**, which is the north pole of the sphere), then, from (6) and (17)

$$\tau_{pq}(\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}) = \frac{1}{1 + s_x^2 + s_y^2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -\omega_2(s_x^2 + s_y^2 - 1) \\ \omega_1(s_x^2 + s_y^2 - 1) \\ 2(\omega_1 s_y - \omega_2 s_x) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

and, if  $\tau_{pq}(\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}) = v_{\parallel x}\mathbf{e}_x + v_{\parallel y}\mathbf{e}_y$ , then, from (8), we can verify

$$v_{\parallel x} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_2(1 + s_x^2 - s_y^2) - \omega_1 s_x s_y,$$
  

$$v_{\parallel y} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_1(s_x^2 - s_y^2 - 1) - \omega_2 s_x s_y.$$
(22)

If we substitute (22) into (15) and simplify, we obtain

$$\frac{(\omega_1 s_x + \omega_2 s_y) \dot{s}_y}{1 + s_x^2 + s_y^2} = 0,$$

$$\frac{(\omega_1 s_x + \omega_2 s_y) \dot{s}_x}{1 + s_x^2 + s_y^2} = 0.$$
(23)

But we know that  $\omega$  is orthogonal to q. Hence, from (6), we have  $\omega_1 s_x + \omega_2 s_y = 0$ . Thus, eq.s 15 are satisfied by (22).

Now, consider  $\mathbf{v}_{\perp}$ . We have from (6) and (19)

$$\tau_{pq}(\mathbf{v}_{\perp}) = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 - \frac{4s_x(\omega_1 s_x + \omega_2 s_y)}{(1 + s_x^2 + s_y^2)^2} \\ \omega_2 - \frac{4s_y(\omega_1 s_x + \omega_2 s_y)}{(1 + s_x^2 + s_y^2)^2} \\ \frac{2(\omega_1 s_x + \omega_2 s_y)(s_x^2 + s_y^2 - 1)}{(1 + s_x^2 + s_y^2)^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (24)

Again, one can verify that, if  $\tau_{pq}(\mathbf{v}_{\perp}) = v_{\perp x}\mathbf{e}_x + v_{\perp y}\mathbf{e}_y$ , then we have

$$v_{\perp x} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_1(1 - s_x^2 + s_y^2) - \omega_2 s_x s_y,$$
  

$$v_{\perp y} = \frac{1}{2}\omega_2(1 + s_x^2 - s_y^2) - \omega_1 s_x s_y.$$
(25)

Substituting (25) into (15) and simplifying yields

$$\frac{(\omega_1 s_x + \omega_2 s_y) \dot{s}_x}{1 + s_x^2 + s_y^2} = 0, 
\frac{(\omega_1 s_x + \omega_2 s_y) \dot{s}_y}{1 + s_x^2 + s_y^2} = 0.$$
(26)

Again, since  $\omega$  is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{q}$ , then  $\omega_1 s_x + \omega_2 s_y = 0$ and these equations are satisfied.

Now, we have shown that eq. (19) of the parent document satisfies eq. (15) for the basis vectors of the tangent space at p,  $\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{\perp}$ . Hence, this is how we parallel transport any vector on the 2-sphere.

Algorithm 1 Riemannian UKF on  $S^2$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{procedure UKF}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1},\hat{P}_{k-1},\mathbf{u}_{k-1},\mathbf{y}_{k},T_{k-1}) \\ \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{k-1}^{k} \leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1}[0:1] \\ \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{k-1}^{k} \leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1}[2:10] \\ L_{k-1} \leftarrow \sqrt{(n+\lambda)}\hat{P}_{k-1} \\ \lambda_{0,k-1} \leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1} \\ \textbf{for } i = 1, \dots, n \ \textbf{do} \\ \delta_{i,k-1} \leftarrow L_{k-1}[0:1,i] \\ \delta_{i,k-1}^{k} \leftarrow L_{k-1}[2:10,i] \\ \lambda_{i,k-1}^{k} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} \exp_{\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{k-1}}(T\delta_{i,k-1}) \\ \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i,k-1} - \delta_{i,k-1}^{k} \end{bmatrix} \\ \lambda_{n+i,k-1} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} \exp_{\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{k-1}}(-T\delta_{i,k-1}) \\ \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i,k-1} - \delta_{i,k-1}^{k} \end{bmatrix} \\ \textbf{end for} \\ \textbf{for } i = 0, \dots, 2n \ \textbf{do} \\ \lambda_{i,k}^{-} \leftarrow \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{X}_{i,k-1},\mathbf{u}_{k-1}) \\ S_{i,k} \leftarrow \mathcal{X}_{i,k}^{-}[0:1,i] \\ S_{i,k}^{k} \leftarrow \mathcal{X}_{i,k}^{-}[2:10,i] \\ \textbf{end for} \\ \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{k}^{-} \leftarrow \text{EIGHTEDAVGSPHERE}(S_{0,k}, \dots, S_{2n,k}) \\ \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i,k}^{k-} \leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{k}^{-T} \quad \mathbf{s}_{k}^{-T} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \\ T_{k}^{k} \leftarrow \text{PARALLELTRANSPORT}(T_{k-1}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{k-1}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{k}^{-}) \\ \textbf{for } i = 0, \dots, 2n \ \textbf{do} \\ \delta_{i,k}^{-} \leftarrow S_{i,k}^{-T} \quad \log_{\hat{\mathbf{s}}}^{-S} S_{i,k} \\ \delta_{i,k}^{\prime} \leftarrow S_{i,k}^{-T} \quad \log_{\hat{\mathbf{s}}}^{-S} S_{i,k} \\ \delta_{i,k}^{\prime} \leftarrow S_{i,k}^{-T} \quad \log_{\hat{\mathbf{s}}}^{-S} S_{i,k} \\ \delta_{i,k}^{\prime} \leftarrow S_{i,k}^{-2n} \quad \mathcal{M} \ \textbf{do} \\ \mathcal{Y}_{i,k}^{k} \leftarrow \mathbf{h}(\mathcal{X}_{i,k}^{-}) \\ \textbf{end for} \\ \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{k}^{k} \leftarrow \sum_{i=0}^{2n} w_{i} \left[ \frac{\delta_{i,k}^{-1}}{\delta_{i,k}^{\prime}} \right] \left[ \delta_{i,k}^{-T} \quad \delta_{i,k}^{-T} \right] + Q \\ \textbf{for } i = 0, \dots, 2n \ \textbf{do} \\ \mathcal{Y}_{i,k}^{k} \leftarrow \mathbf{h}(\mathcal{X}_{i,k}^{-1}) \\ end for \\ \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{k}^{k} \leftarrow \sum_{i=0}^{2n} w_{i} \left[ \frac{\delta_{i,k}^{-1}}{\delta_{i,k}^{\prime}} \right] (\mathcal{Y}_{i,k} - \hat{\mathbf{y}_{k})^{\top} + R \\ \hat{P}_{xy,k} \leftarrow \sum_{i=0}^{2n} w_{i} \left[ \frac{\delta_{i,k}^{-1}}{\delta_{i,k}^{\prime}} \right] (\mathcal{Y}_{i,k} - \hat{\mathbf{y}_{k})^{\top} + R \\ \hat{P}_{xy,k} \leftarrow \sum_{i=0}^{2n} w_{i} \left[ \frac{\delta_{i,k}^{-1}}{\delta_{i,k}^{\prime}} \right] (\mathcal{Y}_{i,k} - \hat{\mathbf{y}_{k})^{\top} + R \\ \hat{P}_{xy,k} \leftarrow \sum_{i=0}^{2n} w_{i} \left[ \frac{\delta_{i,k}^{-1}}{\delta_{i,k}^{\prime}} \right] (\mathcal{Y}_{i,k} - \hat{\mathbf{y}_{k})^{\top} + R \\ \hat{P}_{xy,k} \leftarrow \sum_{i=0}^{2n} w_{i} \left[ \frac{\delta_{i,k}^{-1}}{\delta_{i,k}^{\prime}} \right] (\mathcal{Y}_{i,k} - \hat{\mathbf{y}_{k})^{\top} + R \\ \hat{P}_{xy,k} \leftarrow \sum_{i=0}^{2n} w_{i,k} \left[ \frac{\delta_{i,k}^{-1}}{\delta_{i,k}^{\prime}} \right] (\mathcal{Y}_{i,k} - \hat{\mathbf{y}_{k})^{\top} + R \\ \hat{P}_{xy,k} \leftarrow \sum_{i=0}^{2n} w_{i,k} \left[ \frac{\delta_{i,k}^{-1}}{\delta_{i,k}^$$

II. Algorithms

The following algorithms summarize the implementation of the UKF on the sphere.

Algorithm 2	Weighted	average	of	points	$p_1,\ldots,p_n$	on
a sphere						

**Algorithm 3** Parallel transport of the tangent basis T on the sphere from point  $p_1$  to point  $p_2$ 

**procedure** PARALLELTRANSPORT $(T, p_1, p_2)$   $\mathbf{p}_1 \leftarrow \text{POINTTOVECTOR}(p_1)$   $\mathbf{p}_2 \leftarrow \text{POINTTOVECTOR}(p_2)$   $\theta \leftarrow \cos^{-1}(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)$   $\mathbf{u} \leftarrow (\mathbf{p}_1 \times \mathbf{p}_2) / \|\mathbf{p}_1 \times \mathbf{p}_2\|$   $R = I + \sin \theta [\mathbf{u}]_{\times} + (1 - \cos \theta) [\mathbf{u}]_{\times}^2$  **return** RT**end procedure** 

**procedure** POINTTOVECTOR(s)  $s_x \leftarrow s[0]$   $s_y \leftarrow s[1]$   $x \leftarrow 2s_x/(1+s_x^2+s_y^2)$   $y \leftarrow 2s_y/(1+s_x^2+s_y^2)$   $z \leftarrow (1-s_x^2-s_y^2)/(1+s_x^2+s_y^2)$  **return**  $\begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \end{bmatrix}^\top$ **end procedure** 

**Algorithm 4** Conversion of a point s on the sphere to a unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ 

Algorithm 5 Conversion of a unit vector  $\mathbf{p}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to a point on the sphere in stereographic coordinates

**procedure** VECTORTOPOINT(**p**)  $x \leftarrow \mathbf{p}[0]$   $y \leftarrow \mathbf{p}[1]$   $z \leftarrow \mathbf{p}[2]$   $s_x \leftarrow x/(1+z)$   $s_y \leftarrow y/(1+z)$  **return**  $\begin{bmatrix} s_x & s_y \end{bmatrix}^{\top}$ **end procedure** 

#### REFERENCES

- W. Kühnel, *Differential Geometry: Curves Surfaces* - *Manifolds*, ser. Student mathematical library. American Mathematical Society, 2006. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.com/books?id=TyqUnlyV4Y4C
- [2] M. P. D. Carmo, *Riemannian Geometry*. Boston, MA: Birkhuser, 1992.