

Social Skills Training Tool in Virtual Reality, Intended for Managers and Sales Representatives

Jean-Daniel Taupiac, Nancy Rodriguez, Olivier Strauss, Pierre Beney

► **To cite this version:**

Jean-Daniel Taupiac, Nancy Rodriguez, Olivier Strauss, Pierre Beney. Social Skills Training Tool in Virtual Reality, Intended for Managers and Sales Representatives. IEEE-VR: Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces, Mar 2019, Osaka, Japan. 26th Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces, 2019. hal-02091017

HAL Id: hal-02091017

<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02091017>

Submitted on 5 Apr 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

Social skills training tool in Virtual Reality, intended for managers and sales representatives

Jean-Daniel Taupiac^{1,2}, Nancy Rodriguez¹, Olivier Strauss¹, Pierre Beney³
¹LIRMM, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier (France)
²Capgemini Customer Service Development, Bayonne (France)
³Safran Helicopter Engines, Tarnos (France)

#095

INTRODUCTION

- Social skills: "The ability of an interactant to choose among available communicative behaviors in order that he may accomplish his own interpersonal goals during an encounter while maintaining the face and line of his fellow interactants within the constraints of the situation" (Wiemman, 1977) [1]
- Widely used in managerial and commercial professions
- Today managers and sales representatives training → role-playing sessions with an instructor

ROLEPLAYS LIMITS

- Human and financial costs
- Lack of realism and contextualization:
 - Actors: peers or instructors
 - Different actors = differences in ways to play
 - Learners expect to be pushed into a difficult position
 - Difficulties to offer varying profiles and environments
 - Not made in real context
 - Limits in the emotional dimension
- Objectivity's importance for assessment:
 - Currently assessment is subjective → based on instructors observations & feelings
 - Important for roleplays debriefings

RELATED WORK

- Virtual characters tend to induce a social presence for learners [2] → favor learning [3]
- Uses of "Wizard of Oz" approach [4]:
 - Control remotely virtual characters
 - Avoid voice recognition & AI problems
 - Let study how users interact [5,6]
 - Explore automaton conception trails [7,8]
- Effects of gender during human-machine interactions [9]
- Limit variability effects by confronting subjects with same-gender characters [7,10]
- HMD integration [11-15]

PROTOTYPE



"Wizard of Oz" control panel



Managers' scene



Sales representatives' scene




Real objects tracking


EXPERIMENTS

- To validate :
- How users feel social interaction ?
 - How users feel present in the virtual environment ?
- Generic scenario: restaurant-choice negotiation
- Reuse of sales representatives' scene
 - With a single virtual character → same gender
- Process:
1. Prototype test
 2. IPQ presence questionnaire [16]
 3. Social presence questionnaire [17]
 4. Open interview
- 54 co-workers:
- 63% men, 37% women
 - Average age: 36(±10)

RESULTS



- Open interviews explanations:
- "Cartoon style"
 - "Simplistic rendering style"
 - Improving Experienced Realism → impact on learners' attention ?



- Limits:
- Carried out inside an open space → potential negative impact on presence
 - Software engineer population
 - 56% have been aware of works (52% discussions, 13% presentation video, 7% prototype test)

CONCLUSIONS

- ✓ Encouraging results about the prototype design:
 - General & Spatial Presence
 - Involvement
 - Social Presence
- Areas of improvement noticed:
 - Non-verbal behaviors
 - Scenario content
 - Environment realism

FUTURE WORKS

- Analyze impacts of Experienced Realism on learners' attention
- Experiments on end-users (managers & sales representatives):
 - Tool's usability
 - Learning contributions: verbal & non-verbal behaviors
 - Learners' motivation
 - Mistakes awareness
 - Self-confidence
 - Added value

REFERENCES

1. J. M. Wiemann. Explication and test of a model of communicative competence. Human communication research, 3(3):195-213, 1977.
2. H. C. Lane, M. J. Hays, M. G. Core, and D. Auerbach. Learning intercultural communication skills with virtual humans: Feedback and Fidelity. Journal of Educational Psychology, 105(4):1026, 2013.
3. R. Moreno and R. E. Mayer. Personalized messages that promote science learning in virtual environments. Journal of Educational Psychology, 96(1):165, 2004.
4. A. Cordar, A. Wendling, C. White, S. Lamptang, and B. Lok. Repeat after me: Using mixed reality humans to influence best communication practices. In Virtual Reality (VR), 2017 IEEE, pp. 148-156. IEEE, 2017.
5. D. DeVault, R. Artstein, G. Benn, T. Dey, E. Fast, A. Gainer, K. Georgila, J. Gratch, A. Hartholt, M. Lhommet, et al. SimSensei kiosks: A virtual human interviewer for healthcare decision support. In Proceedings of the 2014 international conference on Autonomous agents and multi-agent systems, pp. 1061-1068. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2014.
6. D. DeVault, K. Georgila, R. Artstein, F. Morbini, D. R. Traum, S. Scherer, A. S. Rizzo, and L.-P. Morency. Verbal indicators of psychological distress in interactive dialogue with a virtual human. In SIGDIAL Conference, pp. 193-202, 2013.
7. D. DeVault, J. Mell, and J. Gratch. Toward natural turn-taking in a virtual human negotiation agent. In AAAI Spring Symposium on Turntaking and Coordination in Human-Machine Interaction. AAAI Press, Stanford, CA, 2015.
8. J. Gratch, G. M. Lucas, A. A. King, and L.-P. Morency. It's only a computer: the impact of human-agent interaction in clinical interviews. In Proceedings of the 2014 international conference on Autonomous agents and multi-agent systems, pp. 85-92. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2014.
9. C. Nass, Y. Moon, and N. Green. Are machines gender neutral? genderstereotypic responses to computers with voices. Journal of applied social psychology, 27(10):864-876, 1997.
10. M. E. Hoque, M. Courgeon, J.-C. Martin, B. Mutlu, and R. W. Picard. Mach: My automated conversation coach. In Proceedings of the 2013 ACM international joint conference on Pervasive and ubiquitous computing, pp. 697-706. ACM, 2013.
11. S. Babu, E. Suma, T. Barnes, and L. F. Hodges. Can immersive virtual humans teach social conversational protocols? In Virtual Reality Conference, 2007. VR'07, IEEE, pp. 215-218. IEEE, 2007.
12. R. W. Hill Jr, J. Gratch, S. Marsella, J. Rickel, W. R. Swartout, and D. R. Traum. Virtual humans in the mission rehearsal exercise system. KI, 17(4):5, 2003.
13. L. Huguet, D. Lourdeaux, and N. Sabouret. Présentation du projet vteams [vteams project presentation]. In Workshop Affect, Compagnon Artificiel, Interaction (WACAI 2016) [In French], 2016.
14. M. Ochs, B. Donval, and P. Blache. Virtual patient for training doctors to break bad news. In Workshop, Affect, Compagnon Artificiel, Interaction, 2016.
15. D. Traum, W. Swartout, J. Gratch, S. Marsella, P. Kenny, E. Hovy, S. Narayanan, E. Fast, B. Martynovskiy, R. Baghat, et al. Virtual humans for non-team interaction training. In AAMAS-05 workshop on creating bonds with humanoids, vol. 2, p. 5, 2005.
16. T. W. Schubert. The sense of presence in virtual environments: A three-component scale measuring spatial presence, involvement, and realism. Zeitschrift für Medienpsychologie, 15(2):69-71, 2003.
17. J. N. Bailenson, J. Blascovich, A. C. Beall, and J. M. Loomis. Equilibrium theory revisited: Mutual gaze and personal space in virtual environments. Presence: Teleoperators & Virtual Environments, 10(6):583-598, 2001.