Twisted quadratic moments for Dirichlet L-functions at s = 2

Stéphane Louboutin

Twisted quadratic moments for Dirichlet L-functions at $s = 2$

Stéphane R. LOUBOUTIN
Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, I2M,
Marseille, FRANCE
stephane.louboutin@univ-amu.fr

April 26, 2019

To appear in Publ. Math. Debrecen

Abstract

Let c, n be given positive integers. Let $q > 2$ be coprime with c. Let X_q be the multiplicative group of order $\phi(q)$ of the Dirichlet characters modulo q. Set

$$M(q,c,n) := \frac{2}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi \in X_q \\ \chi(-1)=(-1)^n}} \chi(c)|L(n,\chi)|^2.$$

The goal of this paper is to explain how one can compute explicit formulas for $M(q,c,n)$ for a given small integers n and c. As an example, we give explicit formulas for $M(q,c,2)$ for $c \in \{1,2,3,4,6\}$ and for $M(p,5,2)$ for p a prime integer. As a consequence, we show that a previously published formula for $M(p,3,2)$ is false.

[0]2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary. 11M06. Key words and phrases. Dirichlet L-functions, mean values, quadratic moments.

1 Introduction

Let c, n be given positive integers. Let $q > 2$ be coprime with c. Let X_q be the multiplicative group of order $\phi(q)$ of the Dirichlet characters modulo q. Set

$$M(q,c,n) := \frac{2}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{\chi\in X_q \\ \chi(-1)=(-1)^n}} \chi(c)|L(n,\chi)|^2.$$

In [Lou01] we developed a method for obtaining formulas for $M(q,1,n)$. For example, by [Lou01, Theorem 2], we have

$$M(q,1,2) = \frac{\pi^2}{90} \times \left\{\phi_4(q) + \frac{10}{q^2}\phi_2(q)\right\}, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\phi_k(q) = \prod_{p|q}\left(1 - \frac{1}{p^k}\right) \qquad (k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}).$$

In [Lou14], for a given $c > 1$ we developed a method for obtaining formulas for $M(q,c,1)$. For example, in the cases that the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z})^*$ is trivial of order 1, i.e. for $c \in \{1,2\}$, by [Lou14, (1) and (3)] and [Lou15, Theorem 2], we have

$$M(q,c,1) = \frac{\pi^2}{6c} \times \left\{\phi_2(q) - \frac{3c\phi_1(q)}{q}\right\}. \tag{2}$$

In the cases that the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z})^*$ is of order 2, i.e. for $c \in \{3,4,6\}$, by [Lou14, (4) and (5)] and [Lou15, Theorem 4], we have

$$M(q,c,1) = \frac{\pi^2}{6c}\times\left\{\phi_2(q) - \frac{3c\phi_1(q)}{q} - \frac{(c-1)(c-2)\chi_c(q)}{q}\prod_{p|q}\left(1 - \frac{\chi_c(p)}{p}\right)\right\},$$

where χ_c is the non-trivial character on the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z})^*$. i.e. where $\chi_c(n) = 1$ if $n \equiv \pmod c$ and $\chi_c(n) = -1$ if $n \equiv -1 \pmod c$. We point out that according to [Lou15, Section 4] as c gets bigger such explicit formula become very complicated, there is no known closed formula for $M(q,c,2)$ and we gave formulas for $c \in \{1,2,3,4,5,6,8,10\}$. Restricting himself to prime moduli, in [Liu, Corollary 1.1] H. Liu gives explicit formulas for $M(p,c,2)$ for $c = 1,2,3,4$, without explaining why he did not consider neither non-prime moduli nor values of $c > 4$. Here, focussing on the particular example of $M(q,c,2)$, we explain how to extend Liu's results to non-prime moduli and values of $c > 4$. We will prove the following result and we will show that the formula for $M(p,3,2,)$ in [Liu, Corollary 1.1] is false (compare with [Lou15, Theorem 4]):

Theorem 1 *Let $q > 1$ be coprime with $c \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$. Define*

c	u_c	v_c
1	10	0
2	70	0

c	u_c	v_c
3	210	80
4	490	360
6	1830	2240

Let X_q^+ be the group of order $\phi(q)/2$ of the even Dirichlet characters modulo q. For $c \in \{3, 4, 6\}$, let χ_c be the only non-trivial character on the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/c\mathbb{Z})^* = \{\pm 1\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} M(q, c, 2) &:= \frac{2}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \in X_q^+} \chi(c)|L(2, \chi)|^2 \\ &= \frac{\pi^4}{90c^2} \times \left\{ \phi_4(q) + \frac{u_c \phi_2(q)}{q^2} + \frac{v_c \chi_c(q)}{q^3} \prod_{p|q} \left(1 - \frac{\chi_c(p)}{p}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

As a Corollary we recover the formulas in [Liu, Corollary 1.1], correct his formula for $M(p, 3, 2)$ and give a new formula, the one for $M(p, 6, 2)$:

Corollary 2 *Let $p > 3$ be a prime integer. We have*

$$M(p, c, 2) = \frac{\pi^4}{90c^2} \times \frac{(p^2 - 1)(p^2 + u_c + 1)}{p^4} \qquad (c \in \{1, 2\})$$

and

$$M(p, c, 2) = \frac{\pi^4}{90c^2} \times \frac{p^4 + u_c p^2 + v_c \chi_c(p) p - (u_c + v_c + 1)}{p^4} \qquad (c \in \{3, 4, 6\}).$$

We also have the explicit formulas

$$M(p, 3, 2) = \frac{\pi^4}{810} \times \frac{p^4 + 210p^2 + 80p\chi_3(p) - 291}{p^4},$$

$$M(p, 4, 2) = \frac{\pi^4}{1440} \times \frac{p^4 + 490p^2 + 360\chi_4(p) - 851}{p^4}$$

and

$$M(p, 6, 2) = \frac{\pi^4}{3240} \times \frac{p^4 + 1830p^2 + 2240p\chi_6(p) - 4071}{p^4}.$$

Let us explain how we performed some numerical computation to check our formulas for $M(p,c,2)$ and let us justify that the formula for $M(p,3,2)$ in [Liu, Corollary 1.1] is not correct. Let $p>3$ be a prime integer. We refer to [Was, Chapter 4] for the justification of what follows. For χ_0 the trivial character modulo p we have $L(s,\chi_0)=(1-p^{-s})\zeta(s)$ and $L(2,\chi_0)=\frac{p^2-1}{p^2}\frac{\pi^2}{6}$. For $\chi_0\neq\chi\in X_p^+$, we have

$$L(2,\chi)=-W_\chi\frac{2\pi^2}{p^{3/2}}L(-1,\bar\chi)=W_\chi\frac{\pi^2}{p^{3/2}}B_{2,\bar\chi}=W_\chi\frac{\pi^2}{p^{5/2}}\sum_{a=1}^{p-1}\bar\chi(a)a^2,$$

where the root number W_χ is a complex number of absolute value equal to 1. Hence,

$$M(p,c,2)=\frac{2\pi^4}{p-1}\times\left\{\frac{(p^2-1)^2}{36p^4}+\sum_{\chi_0\neq\chi\in X_p^+}\chi(c)\frac{\left|\sum_{a=1}^{p-1}\chi(a)a^2\right|^2}{p^5}\right\}.$$

Taking $p=5$ for which the only $\chi_0\neq\chi\in X_5^+$ is the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{\bullet}{5}\right)$ for which $\sum_{a=1}^{4}\chi(a)a^2=1-2^2-3^2+4^2=4$, we obtain

$$M(5,c,2)=\frac{8\pi^4}{5^5}\left(5+\left(\frac{c}{5}\right)\right).$$

For $c\in\{1,2,3,4\}$ this formula yields the same value than the ones in Corollary 2. In particular they give $M(5,3,2)=32\pi^4/5^5$ whereas the formula in [Liu, Corollary 1.1] gives the wrong estimation $M(5,3,2)=238\pi^4/(9\cdot 5^5)$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Lemma 3 *Assume that* $\gcd(c,d)=1$. *Set*

$$S_{\cot^k}(d)=\sum_{a=1}^{d-1}\cot^k\left(\frac{\pi a}{d}\right),$$

with the convention that $S_{\cot^k}(1)=0$, *and*

$$S_2(c,d):=\sum_{a=1}^{d-1}\cot^2\left(\frac{\pi a}{d}\right)\cot^2\left(\frac{\pi ac}{d}\right), \tag{3}$$

with the convention that $S_2(c,1)=0$ *for* $c\geq 1$. *Then*

$$M(q,c,2)=\frac{\pi^4}{2q^4}\sum_{d\mid q}\mu(q/d)\Big((d-1)+2S_{\cot^2}(d)+S_2(c,d)\Big). \tag{4}$$

In particular,

$$M(p,c,2)=\frac{\pi^4}{2q^4}\Big((p-1)+2S_{\cot^2}(p)+S_2(c,p)\Big). \tag{5}$$

Proof. From [Lou01, Proposition 3] we have

$$L(2,\chi)=-\frac{\pi^2}{2q^2}\sum_{a=1}^{q-1}\chi(a)\cot'(\pi a/q)\qquad(\chi\in X_q^+)$$

and as in the proof of [Lou01, Proposition 3] we obtain

$$M(q,c,2)=\frac{\pi^4}{2q^4}\sum_{\substack{a=1\\ \gcd(a,q)=1}}^{q-1}\cot'(\pi a/q)\cot'(\pi ac/q),$$

with $\cot'=-1-\cot^2$ the derivative of cot. Using $\sum_{d|a\ and\ q}\mu(d)=0$ or 1 according as $\gcd(a,q)>1$ or $\gcd(a,q)=1$, we obtain

$$M(q,c,2)=\frac{\pi^4}{2q^4}\sum_{\substack{d>1\\ d|q}}\mu(q/d)\sum_{a=1}^{d-1}\Big(1+\cot^2\Big(\frac{\pi a}{d}\Big)\Big)\Big(1+\cot^2\Big(\frac{\pi ac}{d}\Big)\Big)\qquad(6)$$

and the desired result, thanks to the conventions $S_{\cot^2}(1)=S_2(c,1)=0$. •

Lemma 4 *Let $c>1$ be an integer. It holds that*

$$(\cot^2 x)(\cot^2(cx))=\frac{1}{c^2}\cot^4 x-\frac{2(c^2-1)}{3c^2}\cot^2 x+\frac{c^4-1}{15c^2}$$
$$-\frac{2}{c^2}\sum_{k=1}^{c-1}\frac{\frac{2\cot^3(k\pi/c)+\cot(k\pi/c)}{\sin^2(k\pi/c)}}{\cot(k\pi/c)-\cot x}+\frac{1}{c^2}\sum_{k=1}^{c-1}\frac{\frac{\cot^2(k\pi/c)}{\sin^4(k\pi/c)}}{(\cot(k\pi/c)-\cot x)^2}.$$

Proof. Adapt the proof of [Lou14, Lemma 4]. •

Lemma 5 *Let $d>1$ be an integer and $\theta\in(0,\pi)\setminus\in\{\pi a/d;\ 1\leq a\leq d-1\}$. Set*

$$T_m(\theta,d):=\frac{1}{d}\sum_{a=1}^{d-1}\frac{1}{(\cot\theta-\cot(\pi a/d))^m}.$$

Then

$$T_1(\theta,d)=(\sin^2\theta)\Big(\cot\theta-\cot(d\theta)\Big),$$

$$T_2(\theta,d)=(\sin^4\theta)\Big(d\cot^2(d\theta)-2(\cot\theta)(\cot(d\theta))+\cot^2\theta+(d-1)\Big)$$

and

$$-2(2\cot^3\theta+\cot\theta)\frac{T_1(\theta,d)}{\sin^2\theta}+(\cot^2\theta)\frac{T_2(\theta,d)}{\sin^4\theta)}$$
$$=(d-3)\cot^2\theta-3\cot^4\theta+2(\cot^3\theta+\cot\theta)\cot(d\theta)+d(\cot^2\theta)(\cot^2(d\theta)).$$

Proof. For $T_1(\theta,d)$, see [Lou14, Lemma 5] and take $\alpha=\cot\theta$. For $T_2(\theta,d)$, notice that $T_2(\theta,d)=(\sin^2\theta)\frac{dT_1(\theta,d)}{d\theta}$. •

Now, noticing that $\sum_{d|q}\mu(q/d)d^k = q^k\phi_k(q)$ and $\phi_0(q)=0$ for $q>1$, Theorem 1 follows from (4) and the following Proposition:

Proposition 6 *Assume that* $\gcd(c,d)=1$. *Set*

$$F_c(d) := \sum_{k=1}^{c-1}(\cot^3(k\pi/c)+\cot(k\pi/c))\cot(kd\pi/c),$$

with the convention that $F_1(d)=0$ *for* $d\geq 1$. *Then*

$$(d-1)+2S_{\cot^2}(d)+S_2(c,d) = \frac{Q_c(d)}{45c^2}+\frac{d^2}{c^2}S_2(d,c)+\frac{2d}{c^2}F_c(d),$$

where $Q_c(d) := d^4+5(7c^2-9c+4)d^2-(3c^4+5c^2+3)$. *Notice that* $S_2(d,c)$ *and* $F_c(d)$ *depend only on* d *modulo* c. *In particular, we have*

c	$S_2(d,c)$	$F_c(d)$	$45c^2\big((d-1)+2S_{\cot^2}(d)+S_2(c,d)\big)$
1	0	0	d^4+10d^2-11
2	0	0	d^4+70d^2-71
3	$\frac{2}{9}$	$\frac{8}{9}\chi_3(d)$	$d^4+210d^2+80d\chi_3(d)-291$
4	2	$4\chi_4(d)$	$d^4+490d^2+360d\chi_4(d)-851$
6	$\frac{164}{9}$	$\frac{224}{9}\chi_6(d)$	$d^4+1830d^2+2240d\chi_6(d)-4071$

Proof. Using (3) and Lemma 4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c^2S_2(c,d) &= S_{\cot^4}(d)-\frac{2(c^2-1)}{3}S_{\cot^2}(d)+\frac{c^4-1}{15}(d-1)\\ &\quad -2d\sum_{k=1}^{c-1}(2\cot^3(k\pi/c)+\cot(k\pi/c))\frac{T_1(k\pi/c,d)}{\sin^2(k\pi/c)}\\ &\quad +d\sum_{k=1}^{c-1}(\cot^2(k\pi/c))\frac{T_2(k\pi/c,d)}{\sin^4(k\pi/c)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the last assertion in Lemma 5 for $\theta = k\pi/c$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c^2S_2(c,d) &= S_{\cot^4}(d)-\frac{2(c^2-1)}{3}S_{\cot^2}(d)+\frac{c^4-1}{15}(d-1)\\ &\quad +d\Big((d-3)S_{\cot^2}(c)-3S_{\cot^4}(c)\Big)\\ &\quad +2d\sum_{k=1}^{c-1}(\cot^3(k\pi/c)+\cot(k\pi/c))\cot(kd\pi/c)\\ &\quad +d^2\sum_{k=1}^{c-1}(\cot^2(k\pi/c))(\cot^2(kd\pi/c)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $n > 1$ the $\cot(\pi k/n)$, $1 \le k \le n-1$, are the roots of

$$\frac{(X+i)^n-(X-i)^n}{2in} = X^{n-1} - \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6}X^{n-3} + \cdots \in \mathbb{Q}[X].$$

Hence, $S_{\cot^2}(n) = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{3}$ and $S_{\cot^4}(n) = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)(n^2+3n-13)}{45}$. The desired result follows. •

Corollary 7 *For $p \neq 2, 5$ a prime integer, we have*

$$M(q,5,2) = \frac{\pi^4}{2250p^4} \times \begin{cases} p^4+994p^2+1008p-2003 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod 5, \\ p^4+706p^2+144p-2003 & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod 5, \\ p^4+706p^2-144p-2003 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod 5, \\ p^4+994p^2-1008p-2003 & \text{if } p \equiv 4 \pmod 5. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Follows from (5), Proposition 6 and the computation of $S_2(r,5)$ and $F_5(r)$ for the value r of d modulo 5 ranging in $\{1,2,3,4\}$. •

Remarks 8 *As in [Zag], for q a positive integer set*

$$d(q;a_1,\cdots,a_n) = (-1)^{n/2}\sum_{k=1}^{q-1}\cot\left(\frac{ka_1}{q}\right)\cdots\cot\left(\frac{ka_n}{q}\right).$$

In [Zag, (47)], Zagier gave a reciprocity law for these generalized Dedekind sums under the assumption that q and the a_k's be pairwise coprime. For $S_2(c,q) = d(q;1,1,c,c)$ this assumption is not fulfilled. Hence, Proposition 6, where $F_c(x) := d(c;1,1,1,x) + d(c;1,x)$, which can be viewed as a reciprocity law for the sums $S_2(c,d)$ does not follow from Zagier's reciprocity law.

3 Conclusion

[Lou14, Lemma 4] which deals with $(\cot x)(\cot(cx))$ and the present Corollary 4 which deals with $(\cot^2 x)(\cot^2(cx))$ could easily be generalized to evaluate $(\cot^m x)(\cot^n(cx))$ for small values of m and n. Lemma 5 can be very easily generalized to evaluate $T_m(\theta,d)$ for small values of m. Lemma 3 can be generalized to express $M(q,c,n)$ in terms of the

$$S_{k,l}(c,d) := \sum_{a=1}^{d-1}\cot^k\left(\frac{\pi a}{d}\right)\cot^l\left(\frac{\pi ac}{d}\right),$$

see [Lou01]. Hence, following the method developed here one could obtain explicit formulas for $M(q,c,n)$ and $M(p,c,n)$ for other small values of n and

c. As explained here and in [Lou15], the formulas for $M(q,c,n)$ would get more complicated as $\phi(c)$ increases. We would get $\phi(c)$ twisted quadratic moments formulas, one for each value of d modulo c (notice that $\phi(c) = 1$ if and only if $c = 1, 2$ and $\phi(c) = 2$ if and only if $c = 3, 4, 6$). Only asymptotic estimates as in [Bet] and [LL] could yield explicit formulas for all c's.

References

[Bet] S. Bettin. On the reciprocity law for the twisted second moment of Dirichlet L-functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **368** (2016), 6887–6914.

[Liu] H. Liu. On the mean values of Dirichlet L-functions. *J. Number Theory* **147** (2015), 172–183.

[LL] S. H. Lee and S. Lee. On the twisted quadratic moment for Dirichlet L-functions. *J. Number Theory* **174** (2017), 427–435.

[Lou01] S. Louboutin. The mean value of $|L(k,\chi)|^2$ at positive rational integers $k \geq 1$. *Colloq. Math.* **90** (2001), 69–76.

[Lou14] S. Louboutin. A twisted quadratic moment for Dirichlet L-functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **142** (2014), 1539–154

[Lou15] S. Louboutin. Twisted quadratic moments for Dirichlet L-functions. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **52** (2015), 2095–2105.

[Was] L. C. Washington. *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields.* Second Edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **83**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

[Zag] D. Zagier. Higher dimensional Dedekind sums. *Math. Ann.* **202** (1973), 149–172.