ARCHIVIO DELLA RICERCA

University (of Parma	Research	Repository
--------------	----------	----------	------------

Study of the bacterial diversity of foods: PCR-DGGE versus LH-PCR

This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

Original

Study of the bacterial diversity of foods: PCR-DGGE versus LH-PCR / Garofalo, Cristiana; Bancalari, Elena; Milanović, Vesna; Cardinali, Federica; Osimani, Andrea; Savo Sardaro, Maria Luisa; Bottari, Benedetta; Bernini, Valentina; Aquilanti, Lucia; Clementi, Francesca; Neviani, Erasmo; Gatti, Monica. - In: INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD MICROBIOLOGY. - ISSN 0168-1605. - 242(2017), pp. 24-36. [10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2016.11.008]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11381/2818372 since: 2022-01-18T14:22:44Z

Publisher: Elsevier B.V.

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2016.11.008

Terms of use: openAccess

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available

Publisher copyright		

(Article begins on next page)

Study of the bacterial diversity of foods: PCR-DGGE versus LH-PCR Cristiana Garofalo^a, Elena Bancalari^b, Vesna Milanović^{a*}, Federica Cardinali^a, Andrea Osimani^a, Maria Luisa Savo Sardaro^b, Benedetta Bottari^b, Valentina Bernini^b, Lucia Aquilanti^a, Francesca Clementi^a, Erasmo Neviani^b, Monica Gattib ^aDipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Alimentari ed Ambientali, Università Politecnica delle Marche, via Brecce Bianche, 60131 Ancona, Italy ^bDipartimento di Scienze degli Alimenti, Università degli Studi di Parma, 43124 Parma, Italy * Corresponding author: Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Alimentari ed Ambientali, Università Politecnica delle Marche, via Brecce Bianche, 60131, Ancona, Italy. Tel.: +39 071 2204782; fax.: +39 071 2204988. E-mail address: v.milanovic@univpm.it (V. Milanović)

33 Abstract

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

53

55

The present study compared the two culture-independent methods, polymerase chain reaction - denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (PCR-DGGE) and length-heterogeneity polymerase chain reaction (LH-PCR) for revealing food bacterial microbiota. Total microbial DNA and RNA were extracted directly from fourteen fermented and unfermented foods, and domain A of the variable regions V1 and V2 of the 16S rRNA gene was analyzed through LH-PCR and PCR-DGGE. Finally, the outline of these analyses was compared with bacterial viable counts obtained after bacterial growth on suitable selective media. For the majority of the samples, RNA-based PCR-DGGE revealed species that the PCR-DGGE based on DNA analysis was not able to highlight. Either by analyzing DNA and RNA, LH-PCR identified several lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and coagulase negative cocci (CCN) species that were not identified by PCR-DGGE. This phenomenon was particularly evident in food samples with viable loads < 5.0 Log cfu g⁻¹. Furthermore, LH-PCR was able to detect an higher number of peaks in the analyzed food matrices respect to the PCR-DGGE signals. From these considerations an higher sensitivity of LH-PCR respect to PCR-DGGE may be suggested. However, PCR-DGGE, allowed the identification of

some other species (LAB included) not identified by LH-PCR. By consequence, certain LH-PCR peaks not attributed to

48 known species within the LH-PCR database could be solved by merging PCR-DGGE identification results. Overall, this 49 study also showed that LH-PCR is a promising method for food microbiology, indicating the necessity to expand the

50 LH-PCR database, which is based, up to now, only on LAB isolates from dairy products. This study also represents a

51 contribution to the knowledge about the bacterial microbiota occurring in some foods that have been poorly investigated, 52

such as seaweeds and soy-based products (tofu, soy "milk", soy "yogurt").

54 **Highlights**

- The foods' bacterial microbiota was explored by LH-PCR, PCR-DGGE and viable counts,
- 56 The total microbial DNA and RNA were extracted directly from foods
- 57 Only partial overlapping of bacteria was found by using LH-PCR and PCR-DGGE
- 58 A higher sensitivity of LH-PCR respect to PCR-DGGE may be suggested
- 59 The LH-PCR database needs to be expanded

60

61 Keywords: bacterial microbiota; PCR-DGGE; LH-PCR; fermented and unfermented foods; DNA; RNA

1. Introduction

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

64

In the food microbiology field, there is a continuous and increasing interest in profiling microbial food ecosystems in order to characterize food fermentation, to preserve foods from spoilage and to investigate the ecology of food-borne pathogens. Indeed, the food microbiota can be distinguished as pathogens, spoilage and pro-technological depending on their role in the food ecosystem. The presence and the relative abundance of one or all these groups can vary based on the type of foods and the possible contamination (generally classified in primary or secondary) or after the deliberate adjunct of starter cultures, as are often applied for fermented food production. Until 30 years ago, the growth of microorganisms on synthetic media was the only way to perform microbiological investigation of foods. After the development of PCR, it has become possible to develop several molecular techniques aimed to identify the food-borne microorganisms by avoiding cultivation. These "culture-independent techniques" analyze nucleic acids (DNA and/or RNA) extracted directly from food microbial cells in order to study the microbial ecology and dynamics of food ecosystems (Cocolin et al., 2013). Several advantages of the culture-independent methods over culture-dependent methods may be underlined: i) the chance to investigate food microbial populations independently from the capacity of the microorganisms to grow on synthetic media, which is often linked to the difficulty of a such media to reproduce the microbial natural habitat conditions; ii) the microbiota of a specific food is examined irrespective of the physiological status of the microbial cells [i.e., Viable But Not Cultivable (VBNC), stressed and/or injured cells]; iii) the less represented microbial cells may not be revealed through traditional microbiological methods; iv) the rapidity and reliability of PCR-based methods (Cocolin et al., 2013; Ercolini et al., 2004). Furthermore, it is important to note that it is possible to analyze either DNA or RNA using culture-independent methods. By analyzing the total microbial DNA extracted directly from a food ecosystem, it is possible to gain information about the microbial diversity. RNA analysis [and in particular analyses of the ribosomal RNA (rRNA)] is useful to define the microbial species that are either metabolically active and consequently participate in food transformation/fermentation (Cocolin et al., 2013; Dolci et al., 2013), or dormant or dead non-lysed bacteria that can contain high numbers of ribosomes (Blazewicz et al., 2013). Among the several culture-independent methods based on PCR, the polymerase chain reaction - denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (PCR-DGGE) and length-heterogeneity polymerase chain reaction (LH-PCR) techniques show great potential for outlining the microbial diversity. PCR-DGGE has been widely used for profiling microbiota of foods and environmental samples since the late 1990s (Aquilanti et al., 2016a; Cocolin et al., 2013). LH-PCR is most commonly

used to monitor microbial community changes in soils or other environments different from foods (Brusetti et al., 2006;

Moreno et al., 2011; Suzuky, 1998). LH-PCR has been applied from 2008 to study the LAB composition of different dairy foods, such as milk, curd and cheeses during the ripening period and also in natural whey starters for cheese production (Gatti et al., 2014; Neviani et al., 2013). To our knowledge, this community-level molecular technique based on 16S rRNA gene analysis has never been used to investigate the microbiota of other foods. For this reason, the only bacterial food database available is that built with bacterial strains of dairy origin (Gatti et al., 2008; Lazzi et al., 2004). The aim of this study was to compare the efficiency of the two culture-independent methods PCR-DGGE and LH-PCR in studying the bacterial diversity of several fermented and unfermented foods in comparison with viable counts obtained after bacterial growth on different selective media. To the best of our knowledge these two techniques have never been compared before by analyzing either the total microbial DNA or RNA extracted directly from the food samples.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Reference strains and culture conditions

Two bacterial reference strains (*Lactobacillus brevis* DSMZ 20556 and *Lactobacillus plantarum* DSMZ 2601) were used as controls in the PCR-DGGE analyses. These cultures were purchased from the *Deutsche Sammlung von Mikrorganismen und Zellkulturen* (DSMZ Collection, Braunschweig, Germany, http://www.dsmz.de/) and grown on MRS agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) at 30°C for 48 h under anaerobiosis.

- 115 2.2. *Sampling*
- The fourteen food samples were arbitrarily chosen among fermented and not fermented foods both of animal and vegetal origin. Particularly, *burrata*, butter, cream cheese, feta cheese, kefir, pasteurized milk, salami, seaweed, soy "milk", soy sprouts, soy "yogurt", table olives, tofu and tomatoes were analyzed. They were purchased in local groceries, and for each food sample we prepared a bulk made from 5 to 10 subsamples, taking into account different product brands and expiry dates.

- 122 2.3. Sample preparation
- For solid foods, 10 g of each subsample was homogenized in 90 mL of sterile peptone water (0.1% peptone), by using a Stomacher apparatus (400 Circulator, International PBI, Milan, Italy) at 260 rpm for 3 min. The bulk of each solid food sample was prepared by combining all subsample homogenates in a sterile container and mixing with a magnetic stirrer

126 for 15 min. The bulks of liquid food samples were prepared by pouring 10 mL of each subsample into a sterile container 127 and mixing as described above. 128 129 2.4. Bacterial viable counts 130 131 Serial dilutions of the bulks were performed in sterile peptone water, and aliquots (100 µL for the spread-plate method 132 or 1 mL for the pour-plate method) were streaked in triplicate onto opportune agar plates. Media and growth conditions 133 used for enumeration of the main groups of the culturable bacteria (aerobic mesophilic bacteria, mesophilic and 134 thermophilic streptococci, mesophilic and thermophilic lactobacilli, micrococci and staphylococci, *Pseudomonodaceae*, 135 enterococi, total coliforms and Enterobacteriaceae) present in food samples are shown in Table 1. The results of the 136 viable counts are expressed as mean ± standard deviation of the Log of colony-forming units (cfu) per gram or milliliter 137 of sample. 138 139 2.5. DNA extraction from the food samples 140 141 An aliquot (1 mL) of bulk from each food sample was centrifuged at 16,000 g for 3 min, and the microbial DNA was 142 extracted from the pellets using a DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, The Netherlands) according to the kit 143 manufacturer's instructions. The DNA quantity and purity were assessed using a Nanodrop ND 1000 (Thermo Fisher 144 Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). 145 146 2.6. RNA extraction from the food samples and cDNA synthesis 147 148 For the extraction of microbial RNA, a 2 mL aliquot of bulk from each food sample was centrifuged for 5 min at 16,000 149 g, the supernatants were discarded, the pellets were covered with RNA later Stabilization Solution (Ambion, Foster City, 150 CA, USA), and they were stored at -80°C until the extraction. The total RNA was then extracted from the pellets with 151 the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). The mechanical lyses of the cells, following the kit manufacturer's instructions, was 152 performed using glass beads of 425-600 µm diameter (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and a Mixer Mill MM 300 153 (Qiagen) at 30 Hz for 5 min. The RNA extraction proceeded according to the kit manufacturer's instructions. 154 One microliter of RNase-free DNase (DNase I Amplification Grade, Sigma Aldrich) was added to 8 µL of total 155 extracted RNA, and the mixture was incubated at 37°C for 30 min to digest all residual DNA. The RNA samples were 156 checked for the presence of residual DNA by PCR amplification, and if PCR products were obtained, the DNase

157 treatment was repeated to eliminate DNA. The quantity and purity of the extracted RNA was determined using a

Nanodrop ND 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific); RNA quality was further checked by agarose gel (1%) electrophoresis.

Prior to cDNA synthesis, the concentration of isolated RNA was normalized to 50 ng μ L⁻¹; 10 μ L were then reverse

transcribed in cDNA using SensiFAST cDNA Synthesis Kit for RT-qPCR (Bioline, London, UK) as recommended by

the manufacturer. Oligo (dT) and random hexamer primers were used to prime the synthesis of first-strand cDNA.

162

163

158

160

161

2.7. PCR-DGGE and reverse transcription (RT)-PCR-DGGE analysis

164

165 2.7.1 DNA extraction from references strains

166

168

169

Some colonies of the pure reference cultures were suspended in 300 µL of TE buffer (10 mMTris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM

EDTA pH 8.0), and the suspension underwent DNA extraction using the method proposed by Hynes et al. (1992) with

some modifications as described by Osimani et al. (2015). The DNA quantity and purity were assessed as described in

paragraph 2.5.

171172

2.7.2. PCR-DGGE protocol

173

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

Bacterial DNA and synthesized cDNA were amplified with primers 63F (5'- CAGGCCTAACACATGCAAGTC -3')

(Lane, 1991) and 355R (5'- GCTGCCTCCGTAGGAGT -3') (Amann et al., 1990) which amplify domain A of the

variable regions V1 and V2 of the 16S rRNA gene; a theoretical amplicon length of approximately 276-327 bp was

expected (Castillo et al., 2006; Garcia-Garcerà et al., 2012; Grice et al., 2008; Suzuki et al., 1998). A GC clamp (5'-

forward primer (63F). Approximately 50 ng of template DNA and 2 μL (about 50 ng) of cDNA were amplified in a 50

μL reaction volume containing 1.25 U of Taq DNA polymerase (AmpliTaq Gold, Life Technologies, Milan, Italy), 1X

reaction buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTPs and 0.5 µM of each primer. The amplification reactions were

performed in a thermal cycler (My cycler, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Milan, Italy) using the following cycling program:

initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 25 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 45 sec, annealing at 49°C for

45 sec and extension at 72°C for 2 min. The final extension was at 72°C for 7 min (Lazzi et al., 2004).

Five microliters of each PCR product was checked by electrophoresis in 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel in 0.5X TBE (45

mMTris-borate, 1 mM EDTA) containing 0.5 μg mL⁻¹ethidium bromide at 100 V for 45 min, using the Hyper Ladder

187 100 bp (Bioline, London, UK) as a molecular weight standard. Gels were visualized under UV light and photographed with the Complete Photo XT101 system (Explera, Jesi, Italy).

A vertical electrophoresis system DCode (Bio-Rad Laboratories) was used for the DGGE analysis. PCR products (20 μL) obtained with primers 63F_{GC}/355R were applied to 0.8 mm polyacrylamide gel [8% (w/v) acrylamide/bisacrylamide gel 37.5:1], containing a 30-60% urea-formamide denaturing gradient that increased in the direction of the electrophoresis (100% corresponded to 7 M urea and 40% (w/v) formamide), and run with 1X TAE buffer (0.04 mol L-¹Tris-acetate, 0.001 mol L-¹ EDTA). The gels were run at a constant voltage of 130 V for 4.5 h at 60°C. After electrophoresis, the gels were stained for 20 min in TAE 1X containing SYBR Green I Stain 1X (Lonza, Walkersville, MD, USA), visualized under UV light and photographed with the Complete Photo XT101 system (Explera). To allow the standardization of band migration and gel curvature between different gels, a reference ladder made with 5 μL of the PCR products obtained from the DNA extracted from pure cultures of each of two reference strains was loaded in the gel. Amplification reactions and DGGE runs were performed in duplicate on the same extracts.

2.7.3. Sequencing of the DGGE bands and sequence analysis

All the DGGE bands were excised from the gels using sterile pipette tips, and the DNA from each band was eluted in 50 μL sterile deionized water overnight at +4°C, as performed by Garofalo et al. (2008). Five microliters of the eluted DNA was re-amplified under the same conditions as described in paragraph 2.7.2., but using forward primer 63F without the GC clamp. These PCR amplicons were then sent to Beckman Coulter Genomics (London, UK) for purification and sequencing. The electropherograms were also checked and edited to remove unreadable portions (mainly at 5' and 3' ends) or to detect double peaks. Finally, the sequences in FASTA format were compared with those deposited in the GenBank DNA database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) using the basic BLAST search tools (Altschul et al., 1990).

2.8. LH-PCR analysis

The V1 and V2 16S rRNA gene regions of bacterial DNA and synthesized cDNA were amplified by using primers 63F and 355R. The 63F primer was 5' end-labelled with 6-carboxyfluorescein (FAM). Length heterogeneity in the PCR amplicons was detected by capillary electrophoresis (ABI Prism 310, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA). The PCR and capillary electrophoresis conditions were those described by Bottari et al. (2010). The fragment sizes (base pairs) were determined using GeneMapper software version 4.0 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) and the local

Southern method to generate a sizing curve from the fragment migration of the internal size standard (GS500 LIZ®; Applied Biosystems Foster City, USA). The minimum noise threshold was set at 150 fluorescence units. The peaks, corresponding to amplicons of specific length on the electropherogram profiles, represent fragments of different sizes, and the areas under the peaks depend on the amounts of the fragments (Lazzi et al., 2004). Each peak, corresponding to amplicons of specific length on the electropherogram profiles, was putatively attributed to a bacterial species according to a published database (Lazzi et al., 2004; Gatti et al., 2008). LH-PCR assays were performed in duplicate on the same DNA extracts and cDNAs used for PCR-DGGE analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Bacterial viable counts

To support the culture-independent analyses, eight different selective media were used in order to enumerate and detail as much as possible the cultivable fraction of the main bacterial groups presumptively present in 14 food samples: mesophilic and thermophilic streptococci, mesophilic and thermophilic lactobacilli, micrococci and staphylococci, aerobic mesophilic bacteria, *Pseudomonodaceae*, enterococi, total coliforms and *Enterobacteriaceae*. The results of bacterial viable counts are shown in Tables 3 and 4. On the basis of the results of bacterial viable counts, the fourteen selected food samples were divided in two categories. The first category included samples with viable counts on PCA higher than 5.0 Log cfu g⁻¹ or Log cfu mL⁻¹ (*burrata*, feta cheese, kefir, salami, soy sprouts, soy "yogurt" and tofu) (Table 3), while the second category included samples with viable counts on PCA lower than 5.0 Log cfu g⁻¹ or Log cfu mL⁻¹ (butter, cream cheese, pasteurized milk, seaweed, soy "milk", table olives, and tomatoes) (Table 4).

3.2. PCR-DGGE and RT-PCR-DGGE analyses

The DGGE profiles obtained from the analysis of the bacterial DNA extracted directly from the 14 food samples are shown in Figure 1 (panel A). DGGE fingerprints of the bacterial communities of most of the food samples analyzed, such as tofu, soy "yogurt", kefir, feta cheese, salami, seaweeds, butter, soy "milk", and tomatoes, were rather simple, containing 1 to 3 different bands (Fig. 1-panel A). By contrast, soy sprouts, *burrata*, pasteurized milk, cream cheese, and table olives showed more complex DGGE profiles (from 4 to 6 bands).

As shown in Figure 1 (panel B), the gel obtained after RT-PCR-DGGE analysis was characterized by a profile richer in bands than that in the PCR-DGGE gel achieved by analyzing DNA. The closest relatives, the percent identities, and the

accession numbers of sequences obtained from the PCR-DGGE and RT-PCR-DGGE bands are reported in Table 2. For the majority of the samples, the sequencing results of the bands excised from the RT-PCR-DGGE gel highlighted species not previously detected by DNA analysis (Table 2, Table 3 and Table 4). The DNA-based PCR-DGGE technique allowed the detection of several bacterial species ascribed to *Lactobacillus*, *Leuconostoc*, *Staphylococcus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Anoxybacillus*, *Acinetobacter*, *Pantoea* and *Allomonas*, while some more species ascribed to *Bacillus*, *Bradyrhizobium*, *Sphingomonas*, *Sphingobium*, *Caldilinea*, *Sulfobacillus*, *Curvibacter*, *Lysinibacillus*, *Enterobacter*, *Aeromonas* and *Serratia* were identified through the RNA-based PCR-DGGE analysis.

Unexpectedly, for foods of plant origin (except soy sprouts), the sequencing results of some or all excised DGGE bands revealed the presence of eukaryotic chloroplast DNA related to the corresponding plants. Specifically, the DNA of *Glycine soja* chloroplast was detected in soy-based foods (tofu, soy "yogurt" and soy "milk"), while the chloroplast

259 DNA of Solanum lycopersicum and Olea europea was found in tomatoes and table olives, respectively.

3.3. LH-PCR analysis

Results of LH-PCR analysis consist of electropherogram profiles as shown in Figure 2. Each peak of the LH-PCR electropherogram corresponds to a DNA (or cDNA) amplicon. The different amplicon sizes of the peaks were used to identify bacterial species by comparison with LH-PCR database. LH-PCR analysis performed on the 14 food samples did not generally show differences in terms of species identification within samples by analyzing microbial DNA or RNA (Tables 3 and 4). In detail, 42 DNA and 39 cDNA amplicons were found, but among these, only 11 DNA amplicons and 10 cDNA amplicons could be attributed to known bacterial species of the LAB and cocci coagulasenegative (CCN) categories. The remaining 31 DNA amplicons and 29 cDNA amplicons did not match any known species in the LH-PCR references database (unattributed amplicons). Among these unattributed amplicons, only 14 were in common between LH-PCR and RT-LH-PCR, while the other 33 (18 DNA amplicons and 15 cDNA amplicons) were different. In particular, soy "milk", butter and tomatoes showed only unattributed DNA and cDNA amplicons (Table 4).

3.4 PCR-DGGE vs LH-PCR

Considering the number of detected peaks by DNA and RNA-based LH-PCR respect the number of species detected by DNA and RNA-based PCR-DGGE method, LH-PCR seemed to be a generally more sensitive technique for study the bacterial diversity of foods (Tables 3 and 4). In general, LH-PCR was able to identify more LAB and CCN species respect PCR-DGGE, but this latter method was able to identify even other different species. As expected, a high

biodiversity was observed for the first group of food samples characterized by viable load on PCA higher than 5.0 Log cfu g-1 or mL-1 (Table 3). In detail, Lb. delbrueckii (feta cheese and kefir) and Lb. helveticus (kefir) were the only species detected by both methods. Other LAB species such as *Str. thermophilus* (burrata, feta cheese, kefir and salami), Lb. helveticus (burrata, feta cheese), Lc. lactis (feta cheese, kefir, salami, soy sprouts), E. faecalis (feta cheese, kefir, tofu), Lb. rhamnosus (feta cheese), P. acidilactici (feta cheese, salami) and Lb. plantarum (salami) the same as CCN species K. kristinae (soy sprouts, tofu) were detected only by LH-PCR. Some other LAB species as Lb. crispatus (burrata, kefir), Lb. ruminis (feta cheese), Lb. sakei (salami), and Leuc. mesenteroides (feta cheese) were identified exclusively by PCR-DGGE. This method also allowed the detection of other species ascribed to *Pseudomonas*, Staphylococcus, Bacillus, Pantoea, Rhizobium, Enterobacter, Lysinibacillus, Aeromonas and Serratia throughout different food samples. PCR-DGGE detected only chloroplast DNA in soy "yogurt" while LH-PCR method was able to identify two LAB species (Lb. delbrueckii and Lc. lactis) for this food sample. Moreover, LH-PCR showed 1 (salami) to 8 (tofu) unattributed peaks for this group of analyzed samples. The bacterial diversity of the second group of foods characterized by viable load on PCA lower than 5.0 Log cfu g⁻¹ or mL⁻¹ (Table 4) was poorly described by LH-PCR as some food samples like butter, soy "milk" and tomatoes had only unattributed peaks (up to 5), while other samples had only few identified peaks. Str. thermophilus was the only species identified in cream cheese and pasteurized milk by this method. This species was also detected in table olives together with E. faecalis, Lb. helveticus, Lb. fermentum and Lb. plantarum; the latter species was identified even by RT-PCR-DGGE. The only two species detected by LH-PCR in seaweeds were E. faecium and K. kristinae. The only LAB detected exclusively by PCR-DGGE was Leuc, mesenteroides in cream cheese and table olives. Using this method, other species ascribed to Pseudomonas, Bradyrhizobium, Curvibacter, Acinetobacter, Bacillus, Anoxybacillus, Undibacterium, Lewinella, Sphingobium, Caldilinea, Sulfobacillus, Allomonas and Sphingomonas were also detected throughout different food samples.

302

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

4. Discussion

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

303

In the present study, PCR-DGGE and LH-PCR analyses were carried out by using either DNA or RNA as template to study the bacterial diversity of different foods. Specifically, rRNA has been widely applied to assess the metabolically active populations of foods and environmental communities because it is has a much shorter half-life time than DNA after cell lyses (Blazewicz et al., 2013; Bleve et al., 2003; Cocolin et al., 2013; Dolci et al., 2013, 2015). Furthermore, the detection of rRNA is linked to the presence of ribosomes and therefore protein synthesis, indicating that it can be considered a suitable marker of viability (Cocolin et al., 2013; Dolci et al., 2013, 2015). However, most up-to-date

literature (not restricted to food-ecosystems) reveals that the general use of rRNA as a reliable indicator of metabolic state in microbial assemblages has limitations. Blazewicz et al. (2013) highlights the complex and often contradictory relationships between rRNA, growth and activity. For example, dormant cells can contain high numbers of ribosomes. Therefore, while DGGE and LH-PCR, based on DNA analyses, highlights the presence of bacteria either dead (intact and lysed) or viable or dormant, RT-PCR-DGGE and RT-LH-PCR analyses reveal the non-lysed dead cells, viable bacteria as well as the dormant cells within the food ecosystems under study. Interestingly, in the present study, in some cases RT-PCR-DGGE found bacterial species that PCR-DGGE did not (each food sample was analyzed twice and the results were reproducible). This result is in agreement with a previous study performed by Dolci and colleagues (2013) on Fontina PDO cheese. Indeed, they found that RNA was a more informative target than DNA in profiling the bacterial dynamics of this smear-ripened cheese. This phenomenon was explained by the higher abundance of rRNA copy numbers respect to 16S rRNA gene copy numbers for bacterial cells (Dolci et al., 2013; Prosser et al., 2010). This effect is even more evident for species with several copies of rRNA operon (is possible to have from 1 to 15 copies) distributed within bacterial chromosome. During PCR amplification the most abundant template are amplified and therefore RNA target analyses may result by higher sensitivity respect to DNA target analyses. It has been proposed that low represented bacterial community (less than 1% of the total microbiota) cannot be detect by using DNA-based PCR-DGGE thus limiting the complete description of a bacterial ecosystem. On the opposite, RT-PCR-DGGE may overcome this detection threshold and thus provides a more complete and reliable picture of the microbiota within a food environment (Dolci et al., 2013; Prosser et al., 2010). A few other microbiological studies have used RT-PCR-DGGE to profile the microbiota of foods and in particular cheeses, such as Planalto de Bolona, Castelmagno, Ragusano and feta cheese (Alessandria et al., 2010; Dolci et al., 2010; Randazzo et al., 2002; Rantsiou et al., 2008). To our knowledge, RT-LH-PCR has been applied only twice, and for studying natural whey starters used to produce Grana Padano cheese (Rossetti et al., 2009; Santarelli et al., 2008). Regarding PCR-DGGE, it is interesting to note that by using either DNA or RNA, in some food samples, despite the complex DGGE profiles, after sequencing of all of the DGGE bands with different electrophoretic mobilities in the gel, the same species were found. This PCR-DGGE drawback is mainly related to the presence of 16S rRNA gene heterogeneous multi-copies in the bacterial genome of the same species (Cocolin et al., 2013; Dolci et al., 2015; Ercolini, 2004; Garofalo et al., 2015 a, b). The DNA from the three food samples based on soy (tofu, soy "yogurt" and soy "milk") showed the same DGGE profile, probably related to soy as raw material. In these three soy-based foods, together with tomatoes and table olives, the sequence alignments with sequences deposited in GenBank showed the presence of chloroplast DNA with high identity percentage (99%). This result could be explained by the fact that when studying the composition of bacteria in

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

eukaryotic organisms, a separation of nucleic acids from bacteria and eukaryotes may be impossible (Huys et al., 2008). Furthermore, the primers used in this study, targeting the V1 variable region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene, did not present a complete specificity for bacterial DNA and amplified eukaryotic DNA as well. The presence of eukaryotic chloroplast DNA bands was more frequent in PCR-DGGE than in RT-PCR-DGGE. Large amounts of eukaryotic chloroplast/mitochondria DNA can interfere with the PCR amplification decreasing the amount of bands from the microorganisms. This negative effect can probably be reduced in RT-PCR-DGGE, because cDNA was a much purified starting material for PCR, thus further increasing the efficiency of this method with respect to DNA-based PCR-DGGE. In particular, with PCR-DGGE or LH-PCR it was not possible to define the bacterial ecology of soy "milk" except for the detection of B. megaterium through RT-PCR-DGGE. This result can be indeed explained by a very low bacterial contamination (low viable counts) probably resulting in a small amount of bacterial DNA extracted compared to eukaryotic DNA from soy. In contrast, despite the high viable counts obtained in soy "yogurt", Lb. delbrueckii and Lc. lactis were the bacterial species identified solely by LH-PCR. In tofu, RT-PCR-DGGE allowed the identification of various species and genera belonging to Enterobacteriaceae, such as En. cloacae, Enterobacter sp., En. ludwigii, Serratia sp., and Aeromonas sp. This result was also confirmed by the bacterial load obtained on VRBA (5.1 \pm 0.02 Log cfu g^{-1}) and VRBGA (3.8 \pm 0.13 Log cfu g^{-1}) for this type of soy-based food. By LH-PCR and RT-LH-PCR, we detected E. faecalis and K. kristinae. This finding is in agreement with previous studies conducted on traditional fermented soybean products (Feng et al., 2013) and with the viable counts on M17, MRS, SBA and MSA. In soy sprouts, spoilage agents such as Pseudomonas sp. and Ps. plecoglossicida together with Pantoea sp., P. anthophila and R. giardinii were found by means of PCR-DGGE and RT-PCR-DGGE. The detection of Pseudomonas sp. was also confirmed by viable counts on PAB, highlighting a high level of this contaminant corresponding to $8.3 \pm$ 0.08 Log cfu g⁻¹. Pseudomonas sp. includes Gram-negative rod-shaped bacteria considered food spoilage agents of proteinaceous foods such as meat, poultry, fish, shellfish, milk and some dairy products (Franzetti and Scarpellini, 2007; Liao, 2006). Soy natural contaminants K. kristinae (Feng et al., 2013) and Lc. lactis were also found by LH-PCR and RT-LH-PCR. Among the complex and extremely variable microbiota of kefir (Prado et al., 2015), Lb. helveticus and Lb. delbrueckii (although this latter with sequence identity lower than 97%) were found by either PCR-DGGE or LH-PCR with both approaches (DNA and RNA). RT-PCR-DGGE also found L. fusiformis, a new species previously detected in soy-based fermented foods (Chettri and Tamang, 2015), while LH-PCR and RT-LH-PCR detected other LAB, such as Lc. lactis, E. faecalis and Str. thermophilus. This microbial pattern was also confirmed by the high viable counts on LAB media (MRS and M17) and on enterococci selective media (SBA) on the order of 7-8 Log cfu g⁻¹.

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

372 In feta cheese, Lb. delbrueckii was revealed by using DNA and RNA as target by both molecular techniques applied in 373 this study. Six other LAB species, Str. thermophilus, Lc. lactis, E. faecalis, Lb. helveticus, Lb. rhamnosus and P. 374 acidilactici, were also identified by LH-PCR and RT-LH-PCR and had high viable counts on selective media for LAB 375 and specifically for enterococci (from 5.1 to 7.5 Log cfu g⁻¹). 376 The microbiota of salami had different profiles from the two techniques. PCR-DGGE and RT-PCR-DGGE confirmed 377 the presence of St. saprophyticus, Lb. sakei and St. xylosus, as frequently reported in the literature (Aquilanti et al., 378 2016). Intriguingly, high loads on the micrococcus- and staphylococcus-selective medium MSA were observed (7.2 \pm 379 0.04 Log cfu g⁻¹). LH-PCR and RT-LH-PCR showed the dominance of Lb. plantarum, P. acidilactici, Lb. fermentum, 380 Lc. lactis, Str. thermophilus and E. faecalis. High viable counts on LAB- and enterococcus-selective media (MRS, M17 381 and SBA), ranging from 5.1 to 7.6 Log cfu g⁻¹, were found. 382 In burrata, Pseudomonas sp. and Ps. fragi were detected by PCR-DGGE either by analyzing DNA or RNA, which was 383 also supported by their viable counts on PAB on the order of 4 Log cfu g⁻¹. Lb. crispatus was also identified by DNA-384 based PCR-DGGE. Thermophilic LAB, commonly used as starter cultures to produce high-moisture mozzarella cheese, 385 such as Str. thermophilus and Lb. helveticus (De Angelis and Gobbetti, 2011), were detected only by LH-PCR and RT-386 LH-PCR. This finding is in agreement with high viable counts (> 5.0 Log cfu g⁻¹) on M17 and MRS agar plates 387 incubated at 42°C. 388 In table olives, chloroplast DNA of Oleae europaea was found together with bacterial species ascribed to Leuc. 389 mesenteroides and Ac. johnsonii by DNA-based PCR-DGGE. Lb. plantarum, Br. cytisi, Ac. baumannii, Ps. fluorescens, 390 and Sphingomonas sp. were also found at the RNA level. No viable counts on PAB were found thus indicating that the 391 rRNA from Pseudomonas could derive from VBNC or dormant or dead non-lysed cells. Similarly, E. faecalis was 392 identified by RT-LH-PCR despite no growth was observed on enterococci selective media (SBA). Moreover, Lb. 393 fermentum, Lb. helveticus, Str. thermophilus and Lb. plantarum were found by combination of LH-PCR and RT-LH-394 PCR in accordance with the results of the viable counts on LAB selective media (MRS and M17), ranging from 3.8 to 395 3.9 Log cfu g⁻¹. 396 Members of the genus Acinetobacter were also detected by PCR-DGGE (DNA and RNA) in pasteurized milk together 397 with Pseudomonas sp., U. oligocarboniphilum and thermal-resistant spore-forming bacilli such as A. flavithermus and 398 Bacillus sp. Again, there was no visible bacterial growth on PAB as previously observed for table olives. The only 399 species identified by RT-LH-PCR was Str. thermophilus, as previously reported by Delgado and colleagues (2013). 400 Despite the low viable counts on M17 agar at 42°C (2.3 Log ufc mL⁻¹) RT-LH-PCR was able to detect Str.

thermophilus showing a higher sensitivity of this method respect PCR-DGGE.

To our knowledge, a paucity of data are present in the literature concerning the microbiota of seaweeds. In the present study, although with a very low percentage of identity (78%), the closest relatives to Lewinella sp. were found in seaweeds through PCR-DGGE. Because species belonging to this genus are marine bacteria (Oh et al., 2009), it is possible to speculate that it is effectively present in this matrix. Furthermore, other species with environmental origin were found by using RT-PCR-DGGE. In detail, besides B. smithii, C. aerophila was isolated from a hot spring sulfurturf in Japan (Sekiguchi et al., 2003), S. acidophilus was isolated from a hydrothermal vent in the Pacific Ocean (Li et al., 2011), and Sphingobium sp. comprises species generally isolated from soil (Singh and Lal, 2009). Despite low bacterial load found on MSA (2.0 Log cfu g⁻¹) and on SBA (1.4 Log cfu g⁻¹) K. kristinae was detected by DNA and RNA based LH-PCR, while E. faecium was identified only by DNA-based LH-PCR, thus confirming the high sensitivity of this technique. The presence of these species on seaweeds may be due to human contamination (K. kristinae) or could arise from aquatic habitats (E. faecalis) (Alexander et al., 2015). Even if non bacterial growth was detected on *Pseudomonas* selective media (PAB) for cream cheese, this food sample was contaminated by Pseudomonas sp. and Ps. fragi at the DNA and RNA levels detected by using PCR-DGGE, together with Leuc. mesenteroides (DNA level), Bradyrhizobium sp. and Cu. lanceolatus (RNA level). Str. thermophilus, which is commonly used as starter to produce this type of cheese (Buriti et al., 2007), was the only species detected by DNA based LH-PCR. Despite the relatively high bacterial load of 3.8 Log cfu g⁻¹, enumerated after growth on M17 agar at 42°C, the latter species was not identified by RNA based LH-PCR. This result could indicate the growth of other thermophilic LAB which could be identified after database enlargement since two unattributed peaks were detected at RT-LH-PCR. Like cream cheese, butter was contaminated with spoilage bacteria, specifically Ps. putida and Ps. reinekei, at the DNA and RNA levels by using PCR-DGGE. Intriguingly, no viable cells were found on PAB, probably because Pseudomonadaceae species were present in their VBNC form or dormant or dead non-lysed cells. In contrast, low viable counts on VRBA (2.4 ± 0.05 Log cfu g⁻¹) and VRBGA (2.3 ± 0.02 Log cfu g⁻¹) were found, although Enterobacteriaceae were not identified with PCR-DGGE and LH-PCR. These results could be explained by the low detection limit of these techniques or by the limited LH-PCR database as some unattributed peaks that were detected could be related to *Enterobacteriaceae*. The presence of chloroplast DNA of Solanum lycopersicum in tomatoes was detected by PCR-DGGE together with the viable contaminant Staphylococcus sp. although with sequence identity lower than 97%, while LH-PCR was not able to identify any bacterial species by using DNA or RNA as the target. Overall, by comparing PCR-DGGE and LH-PCR results, some interesting evidences emerged. In contrast to PCR-

DGGE, which allows the identification of the species by comparing the obtained sequences with a huge number of

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

sequences deposited in GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), LH-PCR peaks identification is based, up to date, on a dairy database mainly composed by LAB species (Gatti et al., 2008; Lazzi et al., 2004). One of the intriguing results of this study is that the identification of unattributed LH-PCR peaks, could be solved by merging PCR-DGGE identification results. For instance, on the basis of the PCR-DGGE results, the unattributed 306-base-pair amplicon detected by LH-PCR in table olives, pasteurized milk, cream cheese, butter, burrata and soy sprouts could be hypothesized to be a member of the genus Pseudomonas. On the other hand, LH-PCR allowed the detection of some LAB and CCN species not identified by PCR-DGGE. This phenomenon was particularly evident in food samples with viable loads < 5.0 Log cfu g⁻¹, specifically in pasteurized milk and seaweeds, thus suggesting the higher sensitivity of LH-PCR respect PCR-DGGE. In samples with viable bacterial loads > 5.0 Log cfu g⁻¹ (burrata, kefir, feta cheese and salami), instead, LAB species were generally also detected by PCR-DGGE. These findings were expected, as LAB are the dominant bacteria in these fermented foods. Discrepancies among LH-PCR and PCR-DGGE results in species identification could be due to different sensitivity of the techniques. For both these techniques, the available literature reports the ability to detect only the dominant species. In particular, a detection limit of 3 Log cfu per milliliter or per gram was found for PCR-DGGE (Cocolin et al., 2013) and approximately 4-5 Log cfu per milliliter or per gram was indicated for LH-PCR (Lazzi et al., 2004; Santarelli et al., 2013) although the detection limit for this latter method was defined only for milk and dairy products (Lazzi et al., 2004; Santarelli et al., 2013). However, this limit may vary depending on the complexity of the microbiota and of the nature of the food matrix (Cocolin et al., 2013; Ercolini et al., 2004). Furthermore, in the present study, LH-PCR was able to detect an higher number of fragments in the food matrices analyzed respect to the PCR-DGGE signals. This phenomenon could be explained by the fact that LH-PCR amplicons are separated through capillary electrophoresis, differently from PCR-DGGE that uses gel separation. Capillary electrophoresis has been reported to have higher sensitivity, resolution and discriminatory power compared to gel-based electrophoresis (Liljander et al., 2009; Beaubier et al., 2000). Moreover, DGGE bands from more than one species may comigrate which could result in underestimation of bacterial diversity (Heuer et al., 2001; Ercolini, 2004). Additionally, fair bands on the gel images may possibly be invisible to the eye and therefore remain undetected. Therefore, from all these considerations it is reasonable to suppose that in terms of sensitivity and resolution, the performance of LH-PCR could be greater than PCR-DDGE.

459

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

5. Conclusions

461462

463

460

The efficiency of the two culture-independent methods PCR-DGGE and LH-PCR was compared by analyzing the bacterial ecology of 14 different foods (fermented and non fermented) using both DNA and RNA extracted directly

from food matrices as targets. Furthermore, the obtained results were compared with viable counts on different selective media chosen on the basis to cover the main bacterial groups presumptively present in tested food samples. Both culture-independent methods showed to be able to identify bacteria at species level fast and accurately without need of cultivation and isolation steps which are often laborious and time consuming. A generally good correlation was seen between the species identified by culture-independent methods and corresponding bacterial groups enumerated on eight different selective media (particularly evident for RNA-based PCR-DGGE and LH-PCR). For the majority of the samples, RT-PCR-DGGE revealed more species respect PCR-DGGE thus confirming the importance to use RNA as target instead of DNA to elucidate the food bacterial diversity. LH-PCR was able to identify several LAB and CCN species not detected by PCR-DGGE. This phenomenon was particularly evident in food samples with viable loads < 5.0 Log cfu g⁻¹, thus suggesting the higher sensitivity of LH-PCR respect to PCR-DGGE. Furthermore, if considering the number of detected peaks, LH-PCR showed again higher sensitivity when compared with PCR-DGGE method, but due to limited database based only on milk and dairy products, its main disadvantage remain the impossibility to identify those peaks. On the other hand, PCR-DGGE, a widely employed molecular technique in the food microbiology field allowed identification of some other species (LAB included) not identified by LH-PCR, hence some peaks corresponding to DNA or cDNA amplicons not identified by LH-PCR might probably be related to species identified by PCR-DGGE. As only partial overlapping of bacteria was found by using the two techniques, a combined use of LH-PCR and PCR-DGGE could be useful to describe accurately the food microbiota. The results of this study also showed that LH-PCR is a promising method for food microbiology, hence a further effort on LH-PCR database enlargement is recommended. This study also represents a contribution to the knowledge about the bacterial microbiota occurring in some foods that have been poorly investigated, such as seaweeds and soy-based products (tofu, soy "milk", soy "yogurt").

485

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

References

487

488

- Alexander, J., Bollmann, A., Seitz, W., Schwartz, T., 2015 Microbiological characterization of aquatic microbiomes
- 489 targeting taxonomical marker genes and antibiotic resistance genes of opportunistic bacteria. Sci. Total Environ.
- 490 512–513, 316–325.
- 491 Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., Lipman, D.J., 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol.
- 492 215, 403-410.
- 493 Amann, R. I., Krumholz, L., Stahl, D. A., 1990. Fluorescent-oligonucleotide probing of whole cells for determinative,
- 494 phylogenetic, and environmental studies in microbiology. J. Bacteriol. 172, 762-70.

- 495 American Public Health Association, 1978. Standard Methods for the Examination of Dairy Products. 14th Edn. APHA
- 496 Inc. Washington DC.
- 497 Anbu, P., Noh, M.J., Kim, D.H., Seo, J.S., Hur, B.K., Min, K.H., 2011. Screening and optimization of extracellular
- lipases by Acinetobacter species isolated from oil-contaminated soil in South Korea. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 10,
- 499 4147-4156.
- Aquilanti, L., Santarelli, S., Babini, V., Osimani, A., Garofalo, C., Polverigiani, S., Clementi, F., 2016a. PCR-DGGE
- for the profiling of cheese bacterial communities: strengths and weaknesses of a poorly explored combined
- 502 approach. Dairy Sci. & Technol. DOI 10.1007/s13594-016-0296-z.
- Aquilanti, L., Garofalo, C., Osimani, A. and Clementi, F., 2016b. Ecology of lactic acid bacteria and coagulase negative
- 504 cocci in fermented dry sausages manufactured in Italy and other Mediterranean. countries: an overview. Int. Food
- 505 Res. J. 23, 429-445.
- Aquilanti, L., Kahraman, O., Zannini, E., Osimani, A., Silvestri, G., Ciarrocchi, F., Garofalo, C., Tekin, E., Clementi,
- F., 2012. Response of lactic acid bacteria to milk fortification with dietary zinc salts. Int. Dairy J. 25, 52-59.
- Bannerman, T.L., 2003. Staphylococcus, Micrococcus and other catalase-positive cocci that grow aerobically. In:
- Murray, P.R., Baron, E.J., Jorgensen, J.H., Pfaller, M.A., Yolken, R.H. (ed.). Manual of clinical microbiology, 8th
- ed. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Barco, L., Belluco, S., Roccato, A., Ricci, A., 2014. Escherichia coli and Enterobacteriaceae counts on poultry
- carcasses along slaughter processing line, factors influencing the counts and relantionship between visual faecal
- contamination of carcasses and counts: a review. EFSA supporting publication 2014:EN-636, 107 pp.
- 514 Beaubier, N.T., Hart, A.P., Bartolo, C., Willman, C.L., Viswanatha, D.S., 2000. Comparison of capillary
- electrophoresis and polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis for the evaluation of T and B cell clonality by polymerase
- chain reaction. Diagn. Mol. Pathol. 9(3), 121-31.
- Beasly, S., Tuoril, H., Saris., P.E.J., 2003. Fermented soymilk with a monoculture of *Lactococcus lactis*. Int. J. Food
- 518 Microbiol. 81, 159–162.
- Blazewicz, S.J., Barnard, R.L., Daly, R.A., Firestone M.K., 2013. Evaluating rRNA as an indicator of microbial activity
- 520 in environmental communities: limitations and uses. The ISME Journal. 7, 2061-2068.
- Bleve, G., Rizzotti, L., Dellaglio, F, Torriani, S., 2003. Development of reverse transcription (RT)-PCR and real-time
- 522 RT-PCR assays for rapid detection and quantification of viable yeasts and molds contaminating yoghurts and
- 523 pasteurized food products. Appl. Environ. Microbio. 69, 4116-4122.
- Botta, C., Cocolin L., 2012. Microbial dynamics and biodiversity in tableolivefermentation:culture-dependent and-
- independent indepe

- 526 Bottari, B., Santarelli, M., Neviani, E., Gatti, M., 2010. Natural whey starter for Parmigiano Reggiano: culture-
- independent approach. J. Appl. Microbiol. 108, 1676-1684.
- 528 Brady, C.L., Venter, S.N., Cleenwerck, I., Engelbeen, K., Vancanneyt, M., Swings, J., Coutinho, T.A., 2009. Pantoea
- 529 vagans sp. nov., Pantoea eucalypti sp. nov., Pantoea deleyi sp. nov. and Pantoea anthophila sp. nov. Int. J. Syst.
- 530 Evol. Microbiol. 59, 2339–2345.
- Brusetti, L., Borin, S., Mora, D., Rizzi, A., Raddadi, N., Sorlini, C., 2006. Usefulness of length heterogeneity-PCR for
- monitoring lactic acid bacteria succession during maize ensiling. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 56, 154-164.
- Buriti, F.C.A, Cardarelli, H.R, Filisetti T., Saad, S., 2007. Synbiotic potential of fresh cream cheese supplemented with
- 534 inulin and Lactobacillus paracasei in co-culture with Streptococcus thermophilus. Food Chem. 104, 1605–1610.
- Chapman, G.H., 1945. The Significance of Sodium Chloride in Studies of Staphylococci. J. Bacteriol. 50, 201–203.
- 536 Chettri, R., Tamang, J.P. 2015. *Bacillus* species isolated from tungrymbai and bekang, naturally fermented soybean
- foods of India. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 197, 72–76.
- 538 Cocolin, L., Alessandria, V., Dolci, P., Gorra, R., Rantsiou, K., 2013. Culture independent methods to assess the
- diversity and dynamics of microbiota during food fermentation. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 167, 29-43.
- De Angelis, M., Gobbetti, M., 2011. Pasta-filata cheeses: traditional Pasta-filata cheese, in: J.W. Fuquay (Ed.),
- Encyclopedia of Dairy Sciences (2nd ed.)vol. 1, Elsevier, New York, USA pp. 745–752.
- De Man, J.C., Rogosa, M., Sharpe, M.E., 1960. A medium for the cultivation of lactobacilli. J. Appl. Bact. 23, 130-135.
- D'Incecco, P., Gatti, M., Hogenboom, J.A., Bottari, B., Rosi, V., Neviani, E., Pellegrino, L., 2016. Lysozyme affects
- 544 the microbial catabolism of free arginine in raw-milk hard cheeses. Food Microbiol. 57, 16-22.
- 545 Delgado, S., Rachid, C.T.C.C, Fernández, E., Rychlik, T., Alegría, A. Peixoto, R.S., Mayo, B., 2013. Diversity of
- 546 thermophilic bacteria in raw, pasteurized and selectively-cultured milk, as assessed by culturing, PCR-DGGE
- and pyrosequencing. Food Microbiol. 36, 103-111.
- Dolci, P., Zenato, S., Pramotton, R., Barmaz, A., Alessandria, V., Rantsiou, K., Cocolin, L., 2013. Cheese surface
- 549 microbiota complexity: RT-PCR-DGGE, a tool for a detailed picture? Int. J. Food Microbiol. 162, 8–12.
- Dolci, P., Alessandria, V., Rantsiou, K., Cocolin, L., 2015. Advanced methods for the identification, enumeration, and
- 551 characterization of microorganisms in fermented foods In: Advances in fermented foods and beverages:
- improving quality, technologies and health benefits. Woodhead publishing series in food science, technology and
- nutrition. Editor Wilhelm Holzapfel, Eds. Elsevier, 2015.
- Donnarumma, G., Molinaro, A., Cimini, D., De Castro, C., Valli, V., De Gregorio, V., De Rosa, M., Schiraldi, C., 2014.
- 555 Lactobacillus crispatus L1: high cell density cultivation and exopolysaccharide structure characterization to
- highlight potentially beneficial effects against vaginal pathogens. BMC Microbiol. 14, 137.

- Ercolini, D., 2004. PCR-DGGE fingerprinting: novel strategies for detection of microbes in food. J. Microbiol. Methods
- 558 56, 297-314.
- Ercolini, D., Moschetti, G., Blaiotta, G., Coppola, S., 2001. The potential of a polyphasic PCR-DGGE approach in
- evaluating microbial diversity of natural whey cultures for water-buffalo mozzarella cheese production: bias of
- culture-dependent and culture-independent analyses. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 24, 610–617.
- Farnworth, E.R., Mainville, I., Desjardins M.P., Gardner, N., Fliss, I., Champagne, C., 2007. Growth of probiotic
- bacteria and bifidobacteria in a soy "yoghurt" formulation. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 116, 174–181.
- Feng, Z., Gao, W., Ren, D., Chena, X., Li, J., 2013. Evaluation of bacterial flora during the ripening of Kedongsufu, a
- typical Chinese traditional bacteria-fermented soy bean product. J. Sci. Food Agric. 93, 1471–1478.
- Franzetti, L., Scarpellini, M., 2007. Characterisation of *Pseudomonas* spp. isolated from foods. Ann. Microbiol. 57, 39-
- 567 47.
- Garcia-Garcerà, M., Coscollà, M., Garcia-Etxebarria, K., Martín-Caballero, J., González-Candelas, F., Latorre, A.,
- Calafell, F., 2012. Staphylococcus prevails in the skin microbiota of long-term immunodeficient mice.
- 570 Environ.Microbiol. 14, 2087-2098.
- 571 Garofalo, C., Osimani, A., Milanović, V., Taccari, M., Aquilanti, L., Clementi, F., 2015b. The occurrence of beer
- spoilage lactic acid bacteria in craft beer production. J.Food Sci. 80, M2845-M2852.
- Garofalo, C., Osimani, A., Milanović, V., Aquilanti, L., De Filippis, F., Stellato, G., Di Mauro, S., Turchetti, B.,
- Buzzini, P., Ercolini, D., Clementi, F., 2015a. Bacteria and yeast microbiota in milk kefir grains from different
- 575 Italian regions. FoodMicrobiol. 49, 123-133.
- 576 Garofalo, C., Silvestri, G., Aquilanti, L., Clementi, F., 2008. PCR-DGGE analysis of lactic acid bacteria and yeast
- dynamics during the production processes of three varieties of Panettone. J. Appl. Microbiol. 105, 243-254.
- 578 Gatti, M., De Dea Lindner, J., De Lorentiis, A., Bottari, B., Santarelli, M., Bernini, V., Neviani, E., 2008. Dynamics of
- whole and lysed bacterial cells during Parmigiano-Reggiano cheese production and ripening. Appl.Environ.
- 580 Microbiol. 74, 6161–6167.
- Gatti, M., Bottari, B., Lazzi, C., Neviani, E., Mucchetti, G., 2014. Invited Review: Microbial evolution in raw milk,
- long-ripened cheeses produced using undefined natural whey starters. J. Dairy Sci. 97, 573-591.
- 583 Grice, E.A., Kong, H.H., Renaud, G., Young, A. C., Bouffard, G.G., Blakesley, R.W., Wolfsberg, T.G., Turner M.L.,
- Segre, J. A., 2008. A diversity profile of the human skin microbiota. Genome Res. 18, 1043-1050.
- Heuer, H., Wieland, J., Schönwälder, A., Gomes, N. C. M., Smalla, K., 2001. Bacterial community profiling using
- 586 DGGE or TGGE analysis, in Environmental Molecular Microbiology: Protocols and Applications, ed.
- Rouchelle I. P., editor. (Wymondham: Horizon Scientific Press;), 177–190.

- Huys, G., Vanhoutte, T., Joossens, M., Mahious, A.S., De Brandt, E., Vermeire, S., Swings, J., 2008. Coamplification
- of eukaryotic DNA with 16S rRNA gene-based PCR primers:possible consequences for population
- fingerprinting of complex microbial communities. Curr. Microbiol. 56, 553–557.
- Hurtado, A., Reguant, C., Bordons, A., Rozès, N., 2012) Lactic acid bacteria from fermented table olives. Food
- 592 Microbiol. 31, 1-8.
- Hynes, W.L., Ferretti, J.J., Gilmore, M.S., Segarra, R.A., 1992. PCR amplification of streptococcal DNA using crude
- cell lysates. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 94, 139-142.
- Lane D.J., 1991. 16S/23S rRNA sequencing. In: Stackebrandt E., Goodfellow M., editors. Nucleic acid techniques in
- bacterial systematics. Chichester, United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons.
- 597 Lazzi, C., Rossetti, L., Zago, M., Neviani, E., Giraffa, G., 2004. Evaluation of bacterial communities belonging to
- natural whey starters for Grana Padano cheese by length heterogeneity-PCR. J. Appl. Microbiol. 96, 481–490.
- Li, B., Chen, Y., Liu, Q., Hu, S., Chen, X., 2011. Complete genome analysis of Sulfobacillus acidophilusstrain TPY,
- isolated from a hydrothermal vent in the Pacific Ocean. J. Bacteriol.193, 5555–5556.
- 601 Liao, C.H., 2006. Part V, Spoilage bacteria, Chapter 19, Pseudomonas and related genera, In: Food spoilage
- microrganisms, Edited by Clive de W. Blackburn, CRC PressBoca Raton Boston New York Washington, DC. pp.
- 603 507-530.
- Liljander A., Wiklund, L., Falk, N., Kweku, M., Martensson, A., Felger, I., Färnert, A. Malar, J., 2009. Optimization
- and validation of multi-coloured capillary electrophoresis for genotyping of Plasmodium falciparum merozoite
- surface proteins (msp1 and 2). Malar. J. 8: 78.
- Manolopoulou, E., Sarantinopoulos, P., Zoidou, E., Aktypis, A., Moschopoulou, E., Kandarakis, I., G., Anifantakis,
- E.M., 2003. Evolution of microbial populations during traditional Feta cheese manufacture and ripening. Int. J.
- 609 Food Microbiol. 82, 153–161.
- Marchesi, J.R., Sato, T., Weightman, A.J., Martin, T.A., Fry, J.C., Hiom, S.H., Wade, W.G., 1998. Design and
- evaluation of useful bacterium-specific PCR primers that amplify genes coding for bacterial 16S rRNA. Appl.
- Environ. Microbiol. 64,795–799.
- Martorana, A., Alfonzo, A., Settanni, L., Corona, O., La Croce, F., Caruso, T., Moschetti, G., Francesca, N., 2016.
- Effect of the mechanical harvest of drupes on the quality characteristics of green fermented table olives. J. Sci.
- 615 Food Agric. 96, 2004–2017.
- Moreno, L.I., Mills, D., Fetscher, J., John-Williams, K., Meadows-Jantz, L., McCord B., 2011. The application of
- amplicon length heterogeneity PCR (LH-PCR) for monitoring the dynamics of soil microbial communities
- associated with cadaver decomposition. J. Microbiol. Methods, 84, 388-393.

- Neviani, E., Bottari, B., Lazzi, C., Gatti, M., 2013b. New developments in the study of the microbiota of raw-milk,
- long-ripened cheeses by molecular methods: The case of Grana Padano and Parmigiano Reggiano. Front.
- 621 Microbiol. 4, 36.
- Oh, H.M., Lee, K., Cho, J.C., 2009. Lewinella antarctica sp. nov., a marine bacterium isolated from Antarctic seawater.
- 623 Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 59, 65–68.
- Osimani, A., Garofalo, C., Aquilanti, L., Milanović, V., Clementi F., 2015. Unpasteurised commercial boza as a source
- of microbial diversity. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 194, 62-70.
- Pogačić, T., Šinko, S., Zamberlin, Š., Samaržija, D., 2013. Microbiota of kefir grains. Mljekarstvo 63, 3-14.
- Polychroniadou-Alichanidou, A., 2004. Part III: Solid Cultured Dairy Products. In: Hui, Y.H., Meunier- Goddik, L.,
- Solvejg Hansen, A., Josephsen, J., Nip, W.K., Stanfield, P.S., Toldrà, F. Handbook of Food and Beverage
- Fermentation Technology. CRC Press, New York.
- Prado, M.R., Blandón, L.M., Vandenberghe, L.P.S., Rodrigues, C., Castro, G.R., Thomaz-Soccol, V., Soccol, C.R. 2015.
- Milk kefir: composition, microbial cultures, biological activities, and related products. Front Microbiol. 6, 1177.
- Prosser, J., Jansson, J.K., Liu, Wen-Tso. 2010. Nucleic-acid-based characterization of community structure and function.
- In: Environmental Molecular Microbiology. Ed. by Wen-Tso Liu and Jansson J.K. Caister Academic Press.
- Norfolk, UK.
- Rantsiou, K., Urso, R., Dolci, P., Comi, G., Cocolin, L., 2008. Microflora of Feta cheese from four Greek
- manufacturers. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 126, 36–42.
- Ricciardi, A., Guidone, A., Zotta, T., Matera, A., Claps, S., Parente, E., 2015. Evolution of microbial counts and
- 638 chemical and physico-chemical parameters in high-moisture mozzarella cheese during refrigerated storage.
- 639 LWT-Food Sci. Technol. 63, 821–827.
- Rossetti, L., Fornasari, M.E., Gatti, M., Lazzi, C., Neviani, E., Giraffa. G., 2008. Grana Padano cheese whey starters:
- microbial composition and strain distribution. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 127, 168-171.
- Santarelli, M., Gatti, M., Lazzi, C., Bernini, V., Zapparoli, G.A., Neviani, E., 2008. Whey starter for Grana Padano
- cheese: effect of technological parameters on viability and composition of the microbial community. J. Dairy Sci.
- 644 91, 883–891.
- Saw, J.H., Mountain, B.W., Feng, L., Omelchenko, M.V., Hou, S., Saito, J.A., Stott, M.B., Li, D., Zhao, G., Wu, J.,
- Galperin, M.Y., Koonin, E.V., Makarova, K.S., Wolf, Y.I., Rigden, D.J., Dunfield, P.F., Wang, L., Alam, M.,
- 647 2008. Encapsulated in silica: genome, proteome and physiology of the thermophilic bacterium *Anoxybacillus*
- flavithermusWK1. Genome Biol. 9, R161.

649 Sekiguchi, Y., Yamada, T., Hanada, S., Ohashi, A., Harada, H., Kamagata, Y., 2003. Anaerolinea thermophila gen. 650 nov., sp. nov. and Caldilinea aerophila gen. nov., sp. nov., novel filamentous thermophiles that represent a 651 previously uncultured lineage of the domain Bacteria at the subphylum level. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 53, 652 1843-1851. 653 Server-Busson, C., Foucaud, C., Leveau, J.-Y., 1999. Selection of dairy Leuconostoc isolates for important technological 654 properties. J. Dairy Res. 66, 245-256. 655 Singh, A., Lal, R., 2009. Sphingobium ummariense sp. nov., ahexachlorocyclohexane (HCH)-degradingbacterium, 656 isolated from HCH-contaminated soil. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.59, 162–166. 657 Slanetz, L.W., Bartley, C.H., 1957. Numbers of enterococci in water, sewage, and feces determined by the membrane 658 filter technique with an improved medium. J. Bacteriol. 74, 591-596. 659 Suzuki, M.T., Rappe, M.S., Giovannoni, S.J., 1998. Kinetic bias estimates of coastal picoplankton community structure 660 obtained by measurements of small-subunit rRNA gene PCR amplicon length heterogeneity. Appl. Environ. 661 Microbiol. 64, 4522-4529. 662 Terzaghi, B.E., Sandine, W.E., 1975. Improved medium for lactic streptococci and their bacteriophages. Appl. 663 Microbiol. 29, 807-813.

Fig. 1. Bacterial DGGE profiles of the DNA (panel A) and RNA-cDNA (panel B) extracted directly from food samples and amplified with primers 63F_{GC} and 355R. The bands indicated by the letters were excised, re-amplified and subjected to sequencing. The identification of the bands is reported in Table 1; "L" indicates Ladder composed of Lactobacillus plantarum DSMZ 2601 (1) and Lactobacillus brevis DSMZ 20556 (2). Fig. 2. Examples of bacterial LH-PCR electropherogram profiles of the DNA (panel A) and RNA-cDNA (panel B) extracted directly from food samples (soy "milk" and feta cheese) and amplified with primers 63F and 355R. The X-axis shows peak sizes as base pairs (bp) and the Y-axis shows the peak intensity as relative fluorescence units. Some peaks sizes were attributed to bacterial species according to the LH-PCR published database (Lazzi et al., 2004; Gatti et al., 2008), as follows: Streptococcus thermophilus (318), Lactococcus lactis (319), Enterococcus faecalis (329), Lactobacillus delbrueckii (330), Lactobacillus helveticus (334), Lactobacillus rhamnosus (336, 290) and Pedicoccus acidilactici (345). The other peaks are unattributed.

Figure captions