

This is a repository copy of Parisian ruin of self-similar Gaussian risk processes.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/147168/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Dębicki, K, Hashorva, E and Ji, L orcid.org/0000-0002-7790-7765 (2015) Parisian ruin of self-similar Gaussian risk processes. Journal of Applied Probability, 52 (03). pp. 688-702. ISSN 0021-9002

https://doi.org/10.1239/jap/1445543840

© 2015, Applied Probability Trust. This is an author produced version of an article published in Journal of Applied Probability. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



PARISIAN RUIN OF SELF-SIMILAR GAUSSIAN RISK PROCESSES

KRZYSZTOF DĘBICKI, ENKELEJD HASHORVA, AND LANPENG JI

Abstract: In this paper we derive the exact asymptotics of the probability of Parisian ruin for self-similar Gaussian risk processes. Additionally, we obtain the normal approximation of the Parisian ruin time and derive an asymptotic relation between the Parisian and the classical ruin times.

Key Words: Parisian ruin time; Parisian ruin probability; self-similar Gaussian processes; fractional Brownian motion; normal approximation; generalized Pickands constant.

AMS Classification: Primary 60G15; secondary 60G70

1. Introduction

Let $\{X_H(t), t \ge 0\}$ be a centered self-similar Gaussian process with almost surely continuous sample paths and index $H \in (0, 1)$, i.e., $Var(X_H(t)) = t^{2H}$ and for any a > 0 and $s, t \ge 0$

$$Cov(X_H(at), X_H(as)) = a^{2H}Cov(X_H(t), X_H(s)).$$

Let β , c be two positive constants. In risk theory the surplus process of an insurance company can be modeled by

(1)
$$R_u(t) = u + ct^{\beta} - X_H(t), \quad t \ge 0,$$

where u is the so-called initial reserve, ct^{β} models the total premium received up to time t, and $X_H(t)$ represents the total amount of aggregated claims (including fluctuations) up to time t. Typically, classical risk models assume a linear premium income, meaning that $\beta = 1$. In this paper we deal with a more general case $\beta > H$ allowing for non-linear premium income. Below we shall refer to R_u as the self-similar Gaussian risk process. The justification for choosing self-similar processes to model the aggregated claim process comes from [32], where it is shown that the ruin probability for self-similar Gaussian risk processes is a good approximation of the ruin probability for the classical risk process. Recent contributions have shown that self-similar Gaussian processes such as fractional Brownian motion (fBm), sub-fractional Brownian motion and bi-fractional Brownian motion are useful in modeling of financial risks, see e.g., [18, 24, 25, 28, 37] and the references therein.

For any $u \geq 0$, define the classical ruin time of the self-similar Gaussian risk process by

(2)
$$\tau_u = \inf\{t \ge 0 : R_u(t) < 0\} \quad (\text{with } \inf\{\emptyset\} = \infty)$$

and thus the probability of ruin is defined as

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{u}<\infty\right\}.$$

The classical ruin time and the probability of ruin for self-similar Gaussian risk processes are well studied in the literature; see, e.g., [24, 25, 15].

Recently, an extension of the classical notion of ruin, that is the *Parisian ruin*, focused substantial interest; see [8, 4, 7] and the references therein. The core of the notion of the Parisian ruin is that now one allows the

 $Date \colon \mathbf{September}\ 3,\ 2014.$

surplus process to spend a pre-specified time under the level zero before the ruin is recognized. To be more precise, let T_u model the pre-specified time which is a positive deterministic function of the initial reserve u. In our setup, the *Parisian ruin time* of the self-similar Gaussian risk process R_u is defined as

(4)
$$\tau_u^* = \inf\{t \ge T_u : t - \kappa_{t,u} \ge T_u\}, \text{ with } \kappa_{t,u} = \sup\{s \in [0,t] : R_u(s) \ge 0\}.$$

Here we make the convention that $\sup\{\emptyset\} = 0$.

In this contribution we focus on the Parisian ruin probability, i.e.,

(5)
$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_u^* < \infty\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\inf_{t \ge 0} \sup_{s \in [t, t + T_u]} R_u(s) < 0\right\}.$$

We refer to [4, 30, 5, 8] for recent analysis of (5) for the Lévy surplus model.

Assume for the moment that X_H is a standard Brownian motion, $\beta = 1$ and $T_u = T > 0, u > 0$. Thus R_u is the Brownian motion risk process with a linear trend. As shown in [30], for any $u \ge 0$

(6)
$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_u^* < \infty\right\} = \frac{\exp\left(-c^2T/2\right) - c\sqrt{2\pi T}\Phi(-c\sqrt{T})}{\exp\left(-c^2T/2\right) + c\sqrt{2\pi T}\Phi(c\sqrt{T})}\exp(-2cu),$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the distribution function of a standard Normal random variable. Since the case $\beta \neq 1$ seems to be completely untractable, even for the Brownian motion risk process, one has to resort to bounds and asymptotic results, allowing the initial capital u to become large, see e.g., [17].

This contribution is concerned with the asymptotic behaviour of the Parisian ruin probability for a large class of self-similar Gaussian risk processes as $u \to \infty$. Under a local stationarity condition on the correlation of the self-similar process X_H (see (11)) and a mild condition on T_u (see (16)), in Theorem 3.1 we derive the asymptotics of the Parisian ruin probability. Interestingly, as a corollary, it appears that for the fBm risk process with a linear trend if H > 1/2, then

(7)
$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{u}^{*} < \infty\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{u} < \infty\right\} (1 + o(1)), \quad u \to \infty$$

even if T_u grows to infinity at a specified rate, as $u \to \infty$.

The combination of (7) with the asymptotic behaviour of $\mathbb{P}\{\tau_u < \infty\}$ derived in [24] implies thus the exact asymptotic behaviour of the Parisian ruin probability.

Additionally, we derive the approximation of the conditional (scaled) Parisian ruin time and the asymptotic relation between the classical ruin time and the Parisian ruin time given that the Parisian ruin occurs. This result goes in line with, e.g., [2, 12, 17, 21, 25, 27, 20, 19, 22, 33], where the approximation of the classical ruin time is considered. The obtained normal approximation of the Parisian ruin time is a new result even for the Brownian motion risk process with a linear trend.

Brief outline of the paper: In Section 2 we introduce our notation and present a preliminary result concerning the tail of the sup-inf functional of a Gaussian random field. The exact asymptotics of the Parisian ruin probability is given in Section 3, while the time of the Parisian ruin is analyzed in Section 4. Proofs of the above results are relegated to Section 5.

2. Notation and Preliminaries

Let $\{X_H(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a centered self-similar Gaussian process with almost surely continuous sample paths and index $H \in (0,1)$, as defined in the Introduction. By $\{B_{\alpha}(t), t \geq 0\}$ we denote a standard fBm with Hurst index $\alpha/2 \in (0,1]$.

It is useful to define, for $\beta > H$ and c > 0

(8)
$$Z(t) = \frac{X_H(t)}{1 + ct^{\beta}}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

Indeed, by self-similarity of X_H , for any u positive

$$(9) \quad \mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_u^* < \infty\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t \ge 0} \inf_{s \in [t, t + T_u]} \left(X_H(s) - cs^{\beta}\right) > u\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t \ge 0} \inf_{s \in \left[0, T_u u^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}\right]} Z(t + s) > u^{1 - \frac{H}{\beta}}\right\}.$$

If follows that (cf. [24, 25]) $\sigma_Z(t) = \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(Z(t))}$ attains its maximum on $[0, \infty)$ at the unique point

$$t_0 = \left(\frac{H}{c(\beta - H)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$$

and

$$\sigma_Z(t) = A - \frac{BA^2}{2}(t - t_0)^2 + o((t - t_0)^2)$$

as $t \to t_0$, where

(10)
$$A = \frac{\beta - H}{\beta} \left(\frac{H}{c(\beta - H)} \right)^{\frac{H}{\beta}}, \quad B = \left(\frac{H}{c(\beta - H)} \right)^{-\frac{H+2}{\beta}} H\beta.$$

In the rest of the paper we assume the local stationarity of the standardized Gaussian process $\overline{X}_H(t) := X_H(t)/t^H$, t > 0 in a neighborhood of the point t_0 i.e.,

(11)
$$\lim_{s \to t_0, t \to t_0} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left((\overline{X}_H(s) - \overline{X}_H(t))^2\right)}{K^2(|s - t|)} = Q > 0$$

holds for some positive function $K(\cdot)$ which is assumed to be regularly varying at 0 with index $\alpha/2 \in (0,1)$. Condition (11) is common in the literature; most of the known self-similar Gaussian processes (such as fBm, sub-fBm, and bi-fBm) satisfy (11), see e.g., [23]. Note that the local stationarity at t_0 and the self-similarity of the process X_H imply the local stationarity of X_H at any point r > 0 i.e.,

$$\lim_{s \to r, t \to r} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left((\overline{X}_H(s) - \overline{X}_H(t))^2\right)}{K^2(|s - t|)} = \left(\frac{t_0}{r}\right)^{\alpha} Q.$$

Throughout this paper we denote by $K^{\leftarrow}(\cdot)$ the asymptotic inverse of $K(\cdot)$; by definition

$$K^{\leftarrow}(K(t)) = K(K^{\leftarrow}(t))(1 + o(1)) = t(1 + o(1)), \quad t \to 0.$$

It follows that $K^{\leftarrow}(\cdot)$ is regularly varying at 0 with index $2/\alpha$; see, e.g., [17].

Let \mathcal{H}_{α} be the classical Pickands constant, defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_{lpha} = \lim_{T o \infty} rac{1}{T} \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} (\sqrt{2} B_{lpha}(t) - t^{lpha})
ight)
ight).$$

We refer to [1, 3, 11, 10, 14, 9, 16, 31, 36] for the basic properties of the Pickands and related constants. A new constant that shall appear in our results below is defined as

(12)
$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(T) = \lim_{S \to \infty} \frac{1}{S} \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(\sup_{t \in [0,S]} \inf_{s \in [0,T]} \left(\sqrt{2} B_{\alpha} (t+s) - (t+s)^{\alpha} \right) \right) \right) \in (0,\infty)$$

for any $T \in [0, \infty)$.

We conclude this section with a general result for the tail of the sup-inf functional applied to the Gaussian process Z. Recall that by $\Phi(\cdot)$ we denote the distribution function of a standard Normal random variable. In order to simplify the notation, we shall set

(13)
$$q = q(v) := K^{\leftarrow} \left(\frac{1}{v}\right), \quad v > 0.$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $\{Z(t), t \geq 0\}$ be the centered Gaussian process given as in (8), and let $x_i(\cdot), i = 1, 2$ be two functions such that $\lim_{v \to \infty} x_i(v) = x_i, i = 1, 2$ and $\lim_{v \to \infty} x_i(v)v^{-1/2} = 0, i = 1, 2$ for some $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ satisfying $x_2 > -x_1$. Further, for all v large denote $\Theta_{x_1,x_2}(v) = [t_0 - x_1(v)v^{-1}, t_0 + x_2(v)v^{-1}]$. Then, for any positive function $\lambda(\cdot)$ such that $\lim_{v \to \infty} \lambda(v) = \lambda \in [0, \infty)$ we have, as $v \to \infty$

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in\Theta_{x_{1},x_{2}}(v)}\inf_{s\in[0,\lambda(v)q]}Z(t+s)>v\right\} = \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(D_{0}\lambda)}{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}}\left(\Phi\left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}B^{\frac{1}{2}}x_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(-A^{-\frac{1}{2}}B^{\frac{1}{2}}x_{1}\right)\right) \times \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t>0}Z(t)>v\right\}(1+o(1)),$$

where $D_0 = 2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} A^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} Q^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$, and $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\cdot)$ defined in (12) is positive and finite.

The complete proof of Theorem 2.1 is given in Section 5.

3. Asymptotics of the Parisian Ruin Probability

In this section we display the main result of the paper, which is the asymptotics of the Parisian ruin probability $\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_u^*<\infty\right\}$, as $u\to\infty$, for the self-similar Gaussian risk model introduced in (1). First, we note that in the light of the seminal contribution [24]

(15)
$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{u} < \infty\right\} = \frac{A^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{\alpha}} Q^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}}{2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} B^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{u^{\frac{2H}{\beta} - 2}}{K(u^{\frac{H}{\beta} - 1})} \exp\left(-\frac{u^{2\left(1 - \frac{H}{\beta}\right)}}{2A^{2}}\right) (1 + o(1))$$

holds as $u \to \infty$. In order to control the growth of the deterministic time T_u , we shall assume that

(16)
$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{T_u u^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}}{K^{\leftarrow} (u^{\frac{H}{\beta} - 1})} = T \in [0, \infty).$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $\{R_u(t), t \geq 0\}$ be the self-similar Gaussian risk process given as in (1) with X_H satisfying (11) and $T_u, u > 0$ satisfying (16). If τ_u^* denotes the Parisian ruin time of R_u , then as $u \to \infty$

(17)
$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{u}^{*} < \infty\right\} = \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(D_{0}T)}{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}}\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{u} < \infty\right\} (1 + o(1)),$$

where $D_0 = 2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} A^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}} Q^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ with $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(T)$ defined in (12).

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is deferred to Section 5; it relies on the general result for the asymptotics of sup-inf functional of the Gaussian process Z, given in Theorem 2.1.

Remark 3.2. Observe that the Pickands constant $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(0)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{1} = 1$ (cf. [36]). It is not clear how to calculate $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(T)$ using the definition in (12). However for the special case $\alpha = 1$, (6) and (19) imply

(18)
$$\mathcal{F}_1(T) = \frac{\exp(-T/4) - \sqrt{\pi T}\Phi(-\sqrt{T/2})}{\exp(-T/4) + \sqrt{\pi T}\Phi(-\sqrt{T/2})}, \quad T > 0.$$

In this paper we shall refer to $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(T)$ as the generalized Pickands constant.

As a corollary of the last theorem we present next a result for the fBm risk processes with a linear trend where X_H is assumed to be a standard fBm B_{2H} . Specifically, for any $H \in (0, 1]$ we have

$$Cov(X_H(t), X_H(s)) = \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}), \quad t, s \ge 0$$

and thus (11) holds with $K(t) = t^H$, $t \ge 0$ and $Q = t_0^{-2H} = [H/(c(\beta - H))]^{-2H/\beta}$ if further $\beta > H$.

Corollary 3.3. Let $R_u(t) = u + ct - B_{2H}(t), t \ge 0$ and let $T_u, u > 0$ be such that $\lim_{u \to \infty} T_u u^{1/H-2} = T \in [0, \infty)$. If C > 0 and $H \in (0, 1)$, then as $u \to \infty$

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{u}^{*} < \infty\right\} = \mathcal{F}_{2H}(D_{0}T) \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2H}}}{\sqrt{H(1-H)}} \left(\frac{c^{H}u^{1-H}}{H^{H}(1-H)^{1-H}}\right)^{\frac{1}{H}-2} \times \exp\left(-\frac{c^{2H}u^{2(1-H)}}{2H^{2H}(1-H)^{2(1-H)}}\right) (1+o(1)),$$
(19)

where $D_0 = 2^{-\frac{1}{2H}}c^2H^{-2}(1-H)^{2-\frac{1}{H}}$.

Remark 3.4. Using the fact that $\mathcal{F}_{2H}(0) = \mathcal{H}_{2H}$, Corollary 3.3 implies that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_u^* < \infty\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_u < \infty\right\} (1 + o(1))$$

as $u \to \infty$, if T = 0 (i.e. $T_u = o(u^{(2H-1)/H})$). Thus, if H > 1/2, the asymptotics of the Parisian ruin probability coincides with the asymptotics of the classical ruin probability even if T_u grows to infinity, provided that T = 0. This property is another manifestation of the long-range dependence structure of fBm with Hurst index H > 1/2.

For the boundary case $T_u = Tu^{1/H-2}$ with T > 0, the Parisian ruin probability and the classical ruin probability are not asymptotically equivalent, as the initial capital u tends to infinity.

In [29] a different type of Parisian ruin is considered, where the deterministic pre-specified time T_u is replaced by an independent random variable (in particular, an exponential random variable is dealt with therein, see also [6]). In the following corollary we address the Parisian ruin probability of this model.

Corollary 3.5. Let $\{R_u(t), t \geq 0\}$ be the self-similar Gaussian risk process given as in (1) with X_H satisfying (11). If \mathcal{T} is a positive random variable independent of $\{R_u(t), t \geq 0\}$, then

(20)
$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\inf_{t\geq 0}\sup_{s\in[t,t+\mathcal{T}]}R_u(s)<0\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_u<\infty\right\}(1+o(1)), \quad u\to\infty$$

holds, provided that $2H + \alpha > 2\beta$.

4. NORMAL APPROXIMATION OF THE PARISIAN RUIN TIME

In this section we present a normal approximation for the conditional (scaled) Parisian ruin time. Additionally we derive an asymptotic relation between the classical ruin time and the Parisian ruin time, given that the Parisian ruin occurs.

Hereafter \xrightarrow{d} and \xrightarrow{p} stand for convergence in distribution and convergence in probability, respectively.

Theorem 4.1. Let τ_u, τ_u^* be the classical ruin time and the Parisian ruin time for the self-similar Gaussian risk process $\{R_u(t), t \geq 0\}$ given as in (1). If X_H satisfies (11) and $T_u, u > 0$ satisfies (16), then as $u \to \infty$

(21)
$$\frac{\tau_u^* - t_0 u^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}{A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{-\frac{1}{2}} u^{\frac{H}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta} - 1}} \Big| (\tau_u^* < \infty) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N},$$

where A, B are as in (10) and N is a standard Normal random variable. Moreover, as $u \to \infty$,

(22)
$$\frac{\tau_u^* - \tau_u}{u^{\frac{H}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta} - 1}} \left| (\tau_u^* < \infty) \stackrel{p}{\to} 0. \right|$$

The complete proof of Theorem 4.1 is given in Section 5.

As a straightforward implication of Theorem 4.1 it follows that if $H + 1 = \beta$, then

(23)
$$(\tau_u^* - \tau_u) \Big| (\tau_u^* < \infty) \stackrel{p}{\to} 0, \quad u \to \infty.$$

Remark 4.2. In [25] a slightly more general class of Gaussian processes was considered. Under additional technical conditions as A1 and A3 therein similar results as in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 also hold for that class of Gaussian processes; the only difference is that in (21) and (22) we shall have $\sqrt{Var(X_H(u^{1/\beta}))}$ instead of $u^{H/\beta}$ and $s_0(u)$ (in their notation) instead of t_0 .

We note that extensions of our result to Gaussian processes with random variance under similar conditions as in [26] are also possible.

5. Proofs

This section is dedicated to proofs of Theorems 2.1, 3.1 and 4.1 and Corollary 3.5. We first present a crucial lemma which can be seen as an extension of the celebrated Pickands lemma; see, e.g., [34, 35, 36]. We refer to [13] for recent developments in this direction.

Let λ_1, λ_2 be two given positive constants. Consider the family of centered Gaussian random fields

$$\{X_v(t,s), (t,s) \in [0,\lambda_1] \times [0,\lambda_2]\}$$

indexed by v > 0. We shall assume that its variance equals 1 and the correlation functions $r_v(t, s, t', s') = \text{Cov}(X_v(t, s), X_v(t', s')), (t, s), (t', s') \in [0, \lambda_1] \times [0, \lambda_2], v > 0$ satisfy the following two conditions:

C1. There exist constants $D > 0, \alpha \in (0,2]$ and a positive function $f(\cdot)$ defined in $(0,\infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{v \to \infty} (f(v))^2 (1 - r_v(t, s, t', s')) = D |s + t - s' - t'|^{\alpha}$$

holds for any $(t, s), (t', s') \in [0, \lambda_1] \times [0, \lambda_2]$.

C2. There exist constants $C > 0, v_0 > 0, \gamma \in (0, 2]$ such that, for any $v > v_0$, with $f(\cdot)$ given in C1,

$$(f(v))^{2}(1 - r_{v}(t, s, t', s')) \le C(|s - s'|^{\gamma} + |t - t'|^{\gamma})$$

holds uniformly with respect to $(t, s), (t', s') \in [0, \lambda_1] \times [0, \lambda_2]$.

Lemma 5.1. Let $\{X_v(t,s), (t,s) \in [0,\lambda_1] \times [0,\lambda_2]\}, v > 0$ be the family of centered Gaussian random fields with variance equal to 1 defined above. If both **C1** and **C2** hold, then for any positive function $\theta(\cdot)$ satisfying $\lim_{v\to\infty} f(v)/\theta(v) = 1$ we have

$$(24) \quad \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t \in [0,\lambda_1]} \inf_{s \in [0,\lambda_2]} X_v(t,s) > \theta(v)\right\} = \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(D^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\lambda_1, D^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\lambda_2) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\theta(v)} \exp\left(-\frac{(\theta(v))^2}{2}\right) (1 + o(1))$$

as $u \to \infty$, where

$$\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left(\sup_{t \in [0, \lambda_1]} \inf_{s \in [0, \lambda_2]} \left(\sqrt{2}B_{\alpha}(t+s) - (t+s)^{\alpha}\right)\right)\right) \in (0, \infty).$$

Proof of Lemma 5.1: Note that the sup-inf functional satisfies **F1-F2** in [13]. The proof follows by similar arguments as the proof of Lemma 1 therein, and therefore we omit the technical details. \Box The next result plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 3.1. We refer to [24] for its proof.

Lemma 5.2. Let $\{Z(t), t \geq 0\}$ be defined as in (8) and set $v(u) = u^{1-H/\beta}$. If c > 0 and $\beta > H$, then for any $G > t_0$ we have as $u \to \infty$

(25)
$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{u} < \infty\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t \in [0,G]} \left(X_{H}(t) - ct^{\beta}\right) > u\right\} (1 + o(1))$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t \in \left[t_{0} - \frac{\ln v(u)}{v(u)}, t_{0} + \frac{\ln v(u)}{v(u)}\right]} Z(t) > v(u)\right\} (1 + o(1)).$$

Further, as $u \to \infty$

(26)
$$\mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{|t-t_0| > \frac{\ln v(u)}{v(u)}} Z(t) > v(u) \right\} = o\left(\mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{t \ge 0} Z(t) > v(u) \right\} \right).$$

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 2.1.** We shall give only the proof for the case $\infty > x_2 > 0 > -x_1 > -\infty$. The other cases can be established by similar arguments. Since our approach is of asymptotic nature, we assume in the following that v is sufficiently large so that $x_i(v) > 0$, i = 1, 2. Let $S > 2\lambda$ be any positive constant. With q = q(v) defined in (13) we denote

$$\triangle_k = [kSq, (k+1)Sq], \ k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \text{and} \ N_i(v) = |S^{-1}x_i(v)q^{-1}v^{-1}|, \ i = 1, 2,$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the ceiling function. For any small $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, set $\lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+ = \lambda + \varepsilon_0$ and $\lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^- = \max(0, \lambda - \varepsilon_0)$. It follows by Bonferroni's inequality that

$$(27) \qquad \sum_{k=-N_{1}(v)-1}^{N_{2}(v)+1} Q_{k}^{+}(v) \ge \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in \Theta_{x_{1},x_{2}}(v)} \inf_{s \in [0,\lambda(v)q]} Z(t+s) > v \right\} \ge \sum_{k=-N_{1}(v)}^{N_{2}(v)} Q_{k}^{-}(v) - \Sigma_{1}(v)$$

for large enough u, where

$$\begin{split} Q_k^+(v) &= & \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in\triangle_k}\inf_{s\in\left[0,\lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^-q\right]}Z(t_0+t+s)>v\right\}, \quad k\in\mathbb{Z},\\ Q_k^-(v) &= & \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in\triangle_k}\inf_{s\in\left[0,\lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+q\right]}Z(t_0+t+s)>v\right\}, \quad k\in\mathbb{Z},\\ \Sigma_1(v) &= & \sum_{-N_1(v)\leq k< l\leq N_2(v)}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in\triangle_k}\inf_{s\in\left[0,\lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+q\right]}Z(t_0+t+s)>v, \sup_{t\in\triangle_l}\inf_{s\in\left[0,\lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+q\right]}Z(t_0+t+s)>v\right\}. \end{split}$$

Next, we shall derive upper bounds for $Q_k^+(v)$ and lower bounds for $Q_k^-(v)$. First, note that

$$Q_k^+(v) \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t \in \Delta_k} \inf_{s \in \left[0, \lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^- q\right]} \overline{Z}(t_0 + t + s) > \frac{v}{\sigma_Z^+(k, v)}\right\}$$

$$Q_k^-(v) \geq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t \in \Delta_k} \inf_{s \in \left[0, \lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+ q\right]} \overline{Z}(t_0 + t + s) > \frac{v}{\sigma_Z^-(k, v)}\right\},$$

where $\overline{Z}(t) := Z(t)/\sigma_Z(t), t \geq 0$ and

$$\sigma_Z^-(k,v) = \inf_{t \in \triangle_k} \inf_{s \in \left[0, \lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+ q\right]} \sigma_Z(t_0 + t + s), \quad \sigma_Z^+(k,v) = \sup_{t \in \triangle_k} \sup_{s \in \left[0, \lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^- q\right]} \sigma_Z(t_0 + t + s).$$

Furthermore, since

(28)
$$\sigma_Z(t) = A - \frac{A^2 B}{2} (t - t_0)^2 (1 + o(1)), \quad t \to t_0,$$

for any small $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ there exists v_0 such that for any $v > v_0$ (set below $B^{\pm} = B(1 \pm \varepsilon_1)$)

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_Z^-(k,v)} \le \frac{1}{A} + \frac{B^+}{2} \left(((k+1)S + \lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+)q \right)^2, \quad \frac{1}{\sigma_Z^+(k,v)} \ge \frac{1}{A} + \frac{B^-}{2} \left(kSq \right)^2$$

hold for $k = 0, \dots, N_2(v) + 1$, and also

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_Z^-(k,v)} \leq \frac{1}{A} + \frac{B^+}{2} \left(kSq \right)^2, \quad \frac{1}{\sigma_Z^+(k,v)} \geq \frac{1}{A} + \frac{B^-}{2} \left(((k+1)S + \lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^-)q \right)^2$$

hold for $k = -N_1(v) - 1, \dots, -1$. Moreover, for any $k = -N_1(v) - 1, \dots, N_2(v) + 1$, set $\overline{Z}_{k,v}(t,s) = \overline{Z}(t_0 + kSq + tq + sq), (t,s) \in [0,S] \times [0,\lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+]$. It follows from (11) that, for the correlation function $r_{\overline{Z}_{k,v}}(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)$ of $\overline{Z}_{k,v}$

(29)
$$\lim_{v \to \infty} 2v^2 (1 - r_{\overline{Z}_{k,v}}(t, s, t', s')) = Q |s + t - s' - t'|^{\alpha}$$

holds for any $(t,s),(t',s')\in[0,S]\times[0,\lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+]$. Furthermore, for sufficiently large v

$$2v^{2}(1-r_{\overline{Z}_{k,v}}(t,s,t',s')) \leq G_{0}\frac{K^{2}(q|s+t-s'-t'|)}{K^{2}(q)},$$

for all $(t,s), (t',s') \in [0,S] \times [0,\lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+]$, with some positive constant G_0 . Set $S_{\max} = \max\{|s+t-s'-t'|: (t,s), (t',s') \in [0,S] \times [0,\lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+]\}$. Using Potter bounds (cf. [17]), for any small $\delta > 0$ we have, when v is sufficiently large

$$\frac{K^{2}(q|s+t-s'-t'|)}{K^{2}(q)} \leq G_{1} \max \left(S_{\max}^{\alpha-\delta}, S_{\max}^{\alpha+\delta}\right) \left(\frac{|s+t-s'-t'|}{S_{\max}}\right)^{\alpha-\delta} \\
\leq G_{2}(|t-t'|^{\alpha-\delta} + |s-s'|^{\alpha-\delta})$$

holds uniformly with respect to $(t, s), (t', s') \in [0, S] \times [0, \lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+]$, where G_1, G_2 are two positive constants. Hence, by an application of Lemma 5.1, where we set

$$f(v) = \frac{v}{A}, \quad \theta_k(v) = \left(\frac{1}{A} + \frac{B^+}{2} \left(((k+1)S + \lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+)q \right)^2 \right) v, \quad D = \frac{Q}{2A^2},$$

we obtain, for any $k = 0, \dots, N_2(v) + 1$

$$Q_k(v) \ge \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(D_0 S, D_0 \lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\theta_k(v)} \exp\left(-\frac{(\theta_k(v))^2}{2}\right) (1 + o(1)), \quad u \to \infty,$$

where $D_0=D^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}=2^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}A^{-\frac{2}{\alpha}}Q^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$. Therefore, as $v\to\infty$ (set below $\zeta(v)=v^{-2}q^{-1}\exp(-\frac{v^2}{2A^2})$)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N_{2}(v)} Q_{k}(v) \geq \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(D_{0}S, D_{0}\lambda_{\varepsilon_{0}}^{+}) \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}v} \sum_{k=0}^{N_{2}(v)} \exp\left(-\frac{(\theta_{k}(v))^{2}}{2}\right) (1 + o(1))$$

$$= \frac{1}{S} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(D_{0}S, D_{0}\lambda_{\varepsilon_{0}}^{+}) \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \zeta(v) \int_{0}^{x_{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{B^{+}}{2A}x^{2}\right) dx (1 + o(1)),$$
(30)

where we used that $\lim_{v\to\infty} vq = \lim_{v\to\infty} vK^{\leftarrow}\left(\frac{1}{v}\right) = 0$ and $\lim_{v\to\infty} x_2(v)v^{-1/2} = 0$. Similarly, as $v\to\infty$

(31)
$$\sum_{k=-N_1(v)}^{-1} Q_k(v) \geq \frac{1}{S} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(D_0 S, D_0 \lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+) \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \zeta(v) \int_{-x_1}^0 \exp\left(-\frac{B^+}{2A} x^2\right) dx (1 + o(1)).$$

Furthermore, with the same arguments as above for any $S_1 > 2\lambda$

(32)
$$\sum_{k=-N_1(v)-1}^{N_2(v)+1} Q_k(v) \leq \frac{1}{S_1} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(D_0 S_1, D_0 \lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^-) \frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \zeta(v) \int_{-x_1}^{x_2} \exp\left(-\frac{B^-}{2A} x^2\right) dx (1 + o(1)).$$

Consequently, (27) and (30-32) imply (set $\bar{\zeta}(v) := D_0 A^{\frac{3}{2}} \zeta(v) / \sqrt{B^+}$)

$$\frac{1}{D_{0}S_{1}}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(D_{0}S_{1}, D_{0}\lambda_{\varepsilon_{0}}^{-}) \left(\Phi\left(\left(\frac{B^{-}}{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}x_{2}\right) - \Phi\left(-\left(\frac{B^{-}}{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}x_{1}\right)\right)$$

$$\geq \lim\sup_{v\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in\Theta_{x_{1},x_{2}}(v)}\inf_{s\in[0,\lambda_{\varepsilon_{0}}q]}Z(t+s) > v\right\} / \bar{\zeta}(v)$$

$$\geq \lim\sup_{v\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in\Theta_{x_{1},x_{2}}(v)}\inf_{s\in[0,\lambda(v)q]}Z(t+s) > v\right\} / \bar{\zeta}(v)$$

$$\geq \lim\inf_{v\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in\Theta_{x_{1},x_{2}}(v)}\inf_{s\in[0,\lambda(v)q]}Z(t+s) > v\right\} / \bar{\zeta}(v)$$

$$\geq \lim\inf_{v\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in\Theta_{x_{1},x_{2}}(v)}\inf_{s\in[0,\lambda_{\varepsilon_{0}}q]}Z(t+s) > v\right\} / \bar{\zeta}(v)$$

Moreover, since

$$\Sigma_1(v) \leq \sum_{-N_1(v) \leq k < l \leq N_2(v)} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in \Delta_k} Z(t_0 + t) > v, \sup_{t \in \Delta_l} Z(t_0 + t) > v \right\}$$

similar arguments as in the proof of Eqs. (31) and (32) in [21] imply

(34)
$$\lim_{S \to \infty} \limsup_{v \to \infty} \Sigma_1(v) / \bar{\zeta}(v) = 0.$$

Let us assume for the moment that

(35)
$$\limsup_{S \to \infty} \frac{1}{S} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(S, D_0 \lambda) > 0.$$

Letting first $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1 \to 0$ and then $S, S_1 \to \infty$ we get from (33) and the definition of \mathcal{H}_{α} that

$$\infty > \mathcal{H}_{\alpha} \ge \liminf_{S \to \infty} \frac{1}{S} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(S, D_0 \lambda) \ge \limsup_{S \to \infty} \frac{1}{S} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(S, D_0 \lambda) > 0.$$

Further, in view of (15) and (25) we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t>0} Z(t) > v\right\} = D_0 A^{\frac{3}{2}} B^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha} \zeta(v) (1 + o(1)), \text{ as } v \to \infty.$$

Therefore, the claim of Theorem 2.1 follows with $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(\lambda) \in (0, \infty)$.

Next, we prove (35). Define

$$E_v = \bigcup_k \left(\triangle_{2k} \cap \Theta_{x_1, x_2}(v) \right), \quad N^*(v) = \sharp \{ k \in \mathbb{Z} : \triangle_{2k} \cap \Theta_{x_1, x_2}(v) \neq \emptyset \}.$$

For any v positive

$$(36) \qquad \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in\Theta_{x_1,x_2}(v)}\inf_{s\in[0,\lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+q]}Z(t,s)>v\right\}\geq\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in E_v}\inf_{s\in[0,\lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+q]}Z(t,s)>v\right\}.$$

Using Bonferroni's inequality and the same arguments as in the derivation of (30) we conclude that

$$(37) \quad \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in E_v}\inf_{s\in[0,\lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+q]}Z(t,s)>v\right\} \geq \frac{1}{2S}\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(D_0S,D_0\lambda_{\varepsilon_0}^+)\frac{A}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\zeta(v)\int_{-x_1}^{x_2}\exp\left(-\frac{B^+}{2A}x^2\right)dx-\Sigma_2(v),$$

where

$$\Sigma_{2}(v) = \sum_{k,l \in N^{*}(v),k>l} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in \Delta_{2k}} \inf_{s \in \left[0,\lambda_{\varepsilon_{0}}^{+}q\right]} Z(t_{0}+t+s) > v, \sup_{t \in \Delta_{2l}} \inf_{s \in \left[0,\lambda_{\varepsilon_{0}}^{+}q\right]} Z(t_{0}+t+s) > v \right\}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k,l \in N^{*}(v),k>l} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in \Delta_{2k}} Z(t_{0}+t) > v, \sup_{t \in \Delta_{2l}} Z(t_{0}+t) > v \right\}.$$

Similar arguments as in the proof of Eq. (32) in [21] show that

(38)
$$\limsup_{v \to \infty} \Sigma_1(v)/\bar{\zeta}(v) \le G_3 S \sum_{k>1} \exp\left(-G_4(kS)^{\alpha}\right)$$

for some positive constants G_3 , G_4 . Therefore, combining (33), (36-38) we conclude that

$$\liminf_{S_1 \to \infty} \frac{1}{S_1} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(S_1, D_0 \lambda) \ge \frac{1}{S} \left(\frac{1}{2D_0} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(D_0 S, D_0 \lambda) - G_5 S^2 \sum_{k \ge 1} \exp\left(-G_4 (kS)^{\alpha}\right) \right),$$

with some positive constant G_5 . Since $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}(D_0S, D_0\lambda)$ is positive and increasing as S increases, then for S sufficiently large the right hand side in the last formula is strictly positive, implying thus (35). This completes the proof.

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 3.1.** The proof is based on an application of Theorem 2.1. From (9) we straightforwardly have that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_u^* < \infty\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t \ge 0} \inf_{s \in [0, S_v]} Z(t+s) > v\right\},\,$$

with

$$v = v(u) = u^{1 - \frac{H}{\beta}}$$
 $S_v = S_{v(u)} = T_u u^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}, u > 0.$

Further, condition (16) implies $\lim_{v\to\infty} S_v/q = T \in [0,\infty)$, and

(39)
$$\Pi(v) \le \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t>0} \inf_{s\in[0,S_v]} Z(t+s) > v\right\} \le \Pi(v) + \Sigma(v),$$

where

$$\Pi(v) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t \in \left[t_0 - \frac{\ln v}{v}, t_0 + \frac{\ln v}{v}\right]} \inf_{s \in [0, S_v]} Z(t+s) > v\right\}, \quad \Sigma(v) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{|t - t_0| \ge \frac{\ln v}{v}} Z(t) > v\right\}.$$

Taking $x_1(v) = x_2(v) = \ln v$ and $\lambda(v) = S_v/q$ in Theorem 2.1 we conclude that as $u \to \infty$

$$\Pi(v) = \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(D_0 T)}{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}} \mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{t \ge 0} Z(t) > v \right\} (1 + o(1)) = \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(D_0 T)}{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}} \mathbb{P}\left\{ \tau_u < \infty \right\} (1 + o(1)).$$

Moreover, from (26) we have as $u \to \infty$

$$\Sigma(v) = o(\Pi(v))$$

establishing the proof.

5.3. **Proof of Corollary 3.5.** For any u > 0 we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t>0}\inf_{s\in[t,t+\mathcal{T}]}\left(X_H(s)-cs^{\beta}\right)>u\right\} \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t>0}\left(X_H(s)-cs^{\beta}\right)>u\right\}=\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_u<\infty\right\}.$$

Further, for any small positive $\varepsilon \in (0, 2H + \alpha - 2\beta)$ by the independence of \mathcal{T} and the risk process

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0}\inf_{s\in[t,t+\mathcal{T}]}\left(X_{H}(s)-cs^{\beta}\right)>u\right\}$$

$$\geq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0}\inf_{s\in[t,t+\mathcal{T}]}\left(X_{H}(s)-cs^{\beta}\right)>u,\mathcal{T}< u^{\frac{2H+\alpha-2\beta-\varepsilon}{\alpha\beta}}\right\}$$

$$\geq \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\geq 0}\inf_{s\in[t,t+u]}\inf_{\frac{2H+\alpha-2\beta-\varepsilon}{\alpha\beta}}\left(X_{H}(s)-cs^{\beta}\right)>u\right\}\mathbb{P}\left\{\mathcal{T}< u^{\frac{2H+\alpha-2\beta-\varepsilon}{\alpha\beta}}\right\}.$$

Hence, the claim follows from Theorem 3.1, by letting $u \to \infty$.

5.4. **Proof of Theorem 4.1.** We use the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and u > 0

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{u}^{*}<\infty\right\}\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{\tau_{u}^{*}-t_{0}u^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}{A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{-\frac{1}{2}}u^{\frac{H}{\beta}+\frac{1}{\beta}-1}}\leq x\Big|\tau_{u}^{*}<\infty\right\}\quad=\quad\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{u}^{*}\leq t_{0}u^{\frac{1}{\beta}}+A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{-\frac{1}{2}}xu^{\frac{H}{\beta}+\frac{1}{\beta}-1}\right\}.$$

Next we focus on the asymptotics of

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{u}^{*} \leq t_{0}u^{\frac{1}{\beta}} + A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{-\frac{1}{2}}xu^{\frac{H}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta} - 1}\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t \in [0, t_{0}u^{\frac{1}{\beta}} + A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{-\frac{1}{2}}xu^{\frac{H}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta} - 1}]} \inf_{s \in [t, t + T_{u}]} \left(X_{H}(s) - cs^{\beta}\right) > u\right\}$$

$$= \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t \in [0, t_{0} + A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{-\frac{1}{2}}xv^{-1}]} \inf_{s \in [0, S_{v}]} Z(t + s) > v\right\},$$

where

$$v = v(u) = u^{1 - \frac{H}{\beta}}, \quad S_v = S_{v(u)} = T_u u^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}, \quad u > 0.$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\Pi_0(v) \le \mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, t_0 + A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{-\frac{1}{2}}xv^{-1}]} \inf_{s \in [0, S_v]} Z(t+s) > v \right\} \le \Pi_0(v) + \Sigma_0(v),$$

where

$$\Pi_{0}(v) = \mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{t \in \left[t_{0} - \frac{\ln v}{v}, t_{0} + A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{-\frac{1}{2}}xv^{-1}\right]} \inf_{s \in [0, S_{v}]} Z(t+s) > v \right\}$$

$$\Sigma_{0}(v) = \mathbb{P}\left\{ \sup_{t \in \left[0, t_{0} - \frac{\ln v}{v}\right]} Z(t) > v \right\}.$$

In the light of Theorem 2.1 and (26) we conclude that, as $u \to \infty$

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{u}^{*} \leq t_{0} u^{\frac{1}{\beta}} + A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{-\frac{1}{2}} x u^{\frac{H}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta} - 1}\right\} = (1 + o(1)) \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}(D_{0}T)}{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}} \mathbb{P}\left\{\tau_{u} < \infty\right\} \Phi(x).$$

Therefore, the claim of (21) follows by applying Theorem 3.1. Moreover, as shown in [25], Theorem 1

$$\frac{\tau_u - t_0 u^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}{A^{\frac{1}{2}} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} u^{\frac{H}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta} - 1}} \Big| (\tau_u < \infty) \stackrel{d}{\to} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \quad u \to \infty,$$

with $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ an N(0,1) random variable. Consequently, by Lemma 2.3 in [21]

$$\left(\frac{\tau_u - t_0 u^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}{A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{-\frac{1}{2}} u^{\frac{H}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta} - 1}}, \frac{\tau_u^* - t_0 u^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}{A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{-\frac{1}{2}} u^{\frac{H}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta} - 1}}\right) \left| (\tau_u^* < \infty) \xrightarrow{d} (\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}), \quad u \to \infty \right|$$

implying thus (22). This completes the proof.

Acknowledgement: The authors kindly acknowledge partial support by the Swiss National Science Foundation Grant 200021-140633/1, and the project RARE -318984 (a Marie Curie IRSES FP7 Fellowship). The first author also acknowledges partial support by NCN Grant No 2013/09/B/ST1/01778 (2014-2016).

References

- [1] J.M.P. Albin and H. Choi. A new proof of an old result by Pickands. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 15:339–345, 2010.
- [2] S. Asmussen and H. Albrecher. Ruin Probabilities. Advanced Series on Statistical Science & Applied Probability, 14. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, second edition, 2010.
- [3] S.M. Berman. Sojourns and Extremes of Stochastic Processes. The Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Statistics/Probability Series. Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Advanced Books & Software, Pacific Grove, CA, 1992.
- [4] I. Czarna and Z. Palmowski. Ruin probability with Parisian delay for a spectrally negative Lévy risk process. Journal of Applied Probability, 48(4):984–1002, 2011.
- [5] I. Czarna and Z. Palmowski. Dividend problem with Parisian delay for a spectrally negative Lévy risk process. J. Optim. Theory Appl., 161:239–256, 2014.
- [6] I. Czarna and Z. Palmowski. Parisian quasi-stationary distributions for asymmetric Lévy processes. Preprint. http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.3367, 2014.
- [7] I. Czarna, Z. Palmowski, and P. Świątek. Binomial discrete time ruin probability with Parisian delay. *Preprint*. http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.7761, 2014.
- [8] A. Dassios and S. Wu. Parisian ruin with exponential claims. Preprint. http://stats.lse.ac.uk/angelos/, 2008.
- [9] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, and L. Ji. Tail asymptotics of supremum of certain Gaussian processes over threshold dependent random intervals. *Extremes*, 17(3):411–429, 2014.
- [10] K. Dębicki and P. Kisowski. A note on upper estimates for Pickands constants. Statistics & Probability Letters, 78(14):2046–2051, 2008.
- [11] K. Dębicki. Ruin probability for Gaussian integrated processes. Stochastic Process. Appl., 98(1):151–174, 2002.
- [12] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, and L. Ji. Gaussian risk models with financial constraints. *Scandinavian Actuarial Journal, in press*, 2014.
- [13] K. Dębicki and K. Kosiński. On the infimum attained by the reflected fractional Brownian motion. Extremes, 17(431–446), 2014.
- [14] K. Dębicki, Z. Michna, and T. Rolski. Simulation of the asymptotic constant in some fluid models. Stochastic Models, 19(3):407–423, 2003.
- [15] A.B. Dieker. Extremes of Gaussian processes over an infinite horizon. Stochastic Process. Appl., 115(2):207–248, 2005.
- [16] A.B. Dieker and B. Yakir. On asymptotic constants in the theory of Gaussian processes. Bernoulli, 3:1600–1619, 2014.
- [17] P. Embrechts, C. Klüppelberg, and T. Mikosch. *Modelling Extremal Events*, volume 33 of *Applications of Mathematics (New York)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [18] P. Embrechts and M. Maejima. Selfsimilar Processes. Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2002.
- [19] P.S. Griffin. Convolution equivalent Lévy processes and first passage times. Ann. Appl. Probab., 23:1506–1543, 2013.
- [20] P.S. Griffin and R.A. Maller. Path decomposition of ruinous behaviour for a general Lévy insurance risk process. Ann. Appl. Probab., 22:1411–1449, 2012.
- [21] E. Hashorva and L. Ji. Approximation of passage times of γ -reflected processes with fBm input. Journal of Applied Probability, 51:1–14, 2014.
- [22] E. Hashorva and L. Ji. Extremes and first passage times of correlated fractional Brownian motions. *Stochastic Models*, 30(3):272–299, 2014.

- [23] E. Hashorva and L. Ji. Piterbarg theorems for chi-processes with trend. Extremes. In press, 2014.
- [24] J. Hüsler and V.I. Piterbarg. Extremes of a certain class of Gaussian processes. Stochastic Process. Appl., 83(2):257–271, 1999.
- [25] J. Hüsler and V.I. Piterbarg. A limit theorem for the time of ruin in a Gaussian ruin problem. Stochastic Process. Appl., 118(11):2014–2021, 2008.
- [26] J. Hüsler, V.I. Piterbarg, and E. Rumyantseva. Extremes of Gaussian processes with a smooth random variance. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 121(11):2592–2605, 2011.
- [27] J. Hüsler and Y. Zhang. On first and last ruin times of Gaussian processes. Statist. Probab. Lett., 78(10):1230–1235, 2008.
- [28] C. Klüppelberg and C. Kühn. Fractional Brownian motion as a weak limit of Poisson shot noise processes—with applications to finance. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 113(2):333–351, 2004.
- [29] D. Landriault, J.-F. Renaud, and X. Zhou. An insurance risk models with Parisian implementation delays. Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab., 16:583–607, 2014.
- [30] R. Loeffen, I. Czarna, and Z. Palmowski. Parisian ruin probability for spectrally negative Lévy processes. Bernoulli, 19(2):599–609, 2013.
- [31] M. Mandjes. Large deviations for Gaussian queues. John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, 2007.
- [32] Z. Michna. Self-similar processes in collective risk theory. J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal., 11(4):429-448, 1998.
- [33] Z. Palmowski and P. Świątek. A note on first passage probabilities of a Lévy process reflected at a general barrier. *Preprint.* http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.1025, 2014.
- [34] J.III. Pickands. Upcrossing probabilities for stationary Gaussian processes. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 145:51-73, 1969.
- [35] V.I. Piterbarg. On the paper by J. Pickands "Upcrossing probabilities for stationary Gaussian processes". Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Meh., 27(5):25–30, 1972.
- [36] V.I. Piterbarg. Asymptotic methods in the theory of Gaussian processes and fields, volume 148 of Translations of Mathematical Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [37] F. Russo and C. A. Tudor. On bifractional Brownian motion. Stochastic Process. Appl., 116(5):830-856, 2006.

Krzysztof Dębicki, Mathematical Institute, University of Wrocław, pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland E-mail address: Krzysztof.Debicki@math.uni.wroc.pl

ENKELEJD HASHORVA, UNIVERSITY OF LAUSANNE, BÂTIMENT EXTRANEF, UNIL-DORIGNY, 1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND E-mail address: Enkelejd.Hashorva@unil.ch

Lanpeng Ji, University of Lausanne, Bâtiment Extranef, UNIL-Dorigny, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland E-mail address: Lanpeng.JiQunil.ch