

Optimizing PEEP in ARDS: comparison of diverse EIT parameters

Michael Czaplik^{1,2}, Ingeborg Biener¹, Andreas Follmann¹, Rolf Rossaint¹, Steffen Leonhardt²

¹Department for Anaesthesiology, University Hospital RWTH Aachen, Germany

²Department of Medical Information Technology, RWTH Aachen University, Germany

Abstract: Although EIT is a promising technique optimizing ventilator settings, the best approach that leads to an improved clinical outcome is still unknown. Against the background that diverse EIT derived parameters have been published in the last years, clinical studies focusing on defined outcome parameters have to clarify pros and cons of these measures. The aim of this study was to compare several EIT parameters in an animal trial of ARDS.

1 Introduction

Regarding intensive care medicine, EIT is a promising technique optimizing ventilator settings particularly in severely diseased patients suffering from Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS). To ensure a so-called protective ventilation, optimal PEEP settings are crucial. Although EIT enables to visualize ventilation and manoeuvre-dependent changes in air distribution such as stepwise PEEP variation, the optimal target parameter or clinical proceeding are unknown. This study aims to compare already published and new parameters in an animal model of ARDS and to correlate them with common clinical outcome parameters.

2 Methods

The study was approved by the German governmental institution (84-02.04.2012.A173). It was carried out considering the declaration of Helsinki in the care and use of animals. In this trial 6 pigs weighting 33.7 kg (30-36.1 kg) were used. After an initial measurement, experimental ARDS was induced in a double-hit approach. First, surfactant has been washout by repeated lavages. Second, tidal volume was increased to 20 ml/kg body weight leading to a ventilator induced lung injury. Periodic measurements of hemodynamics, blood gas analysis and EIT recording were performed at fixed points in time over 24 hours after established ARDS ("ALI1" to "ALI25"). After ALI1, randomization to either EIT or control group was carried out. At each point in time, FiO₂ setting was adapted to the current pO₂ measure obtained from blood gas analysis (target: 55-80 mmHg). In the control group, PEEP was set according to ARDS network table (table 1). In EIT group, a PEEP trial was performed: PEEP was changed as follows: +4, +2, 0, -2, -4 cmH₂O. After a two minutes equilibration period, an EIT sequence of 1 min was recorded and diverse parameters were calculated using MATLAB for each step: (1) center of gravity [1], (2) Impedance Ratio [2], (3) Global inhomogeneity index [3], (4) Regional Ventilation Delay Index [4], (5)

Difference in end-expiratory lung impedance [5], (6) Hyperdistension and collaps index [6]. EIT was defined to be best when Global Inhomogeneity Index was lowest that means when regional ventilation was as homogenous as possible.

Post mortem, (1) wet-to-dry ratios were assessed using lung tissue samples and (2) histopathologic measurements were performed.

3 Results

The described double hit approach led to a severe ARDS in all animals. All control pigs survived the entire study whereas two animals from the EIT group died 8 hours after presence of ARDS. PEEP was significantly higher in the EIT group (21.7 vs. 8.5 mbar, p<0.0001) leading to a higher peak inspiratory pressure (PIP) as well (47.0 vs. 34.4 mbar, p<0.0001). Although the EIT-guided PEEP setting obviously led to a more individual setting, it seems to be insufficient to solely focus on homogenous distribution of ventilation by using the GI index. In most cases high PEEPs led to high homogeneity but also to overdistension. It should be wise to limit PEEP e.g. by considering overdistension index. In histopathologic analysis it could clearly be seen that all lung tissue samples showed oedema, atelectasis and haemorrhage. A tendency towards less alveolar oedema and pronounced barotrauma was determined in EIT group as compared to the control group.

4 Conclusions

A combination of overdistension and homogeneity-related indexes should be used for optimizing PEEP settings.

References

- [1] Spontaneous Breathing During General Anesthesia Prevents the Ventral Redistribution of Ventilation as Detected by Electrical Impedance Tomography
- [2] I. Frerichs, P. A. Dargaville, H. van Genderingen, D. R. Morel, and P. C. Rimensberger, "Lung volume recruitment after surfactant administration modifies spatial distribution of ventilation," *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.*, vol. 174, no. 7, pp. 772–779, Oct. 2006.
- [3] Z. Zhao, K. Möller, D. Steinmann, I. Frerichs, and J. Guttman, "Evaluation of an electrical impedance tomography-based Global Inhomogeneity Index for pulmonary ventilation distribution," *Intensive Care Med.*, vol. 35, no. 11, pp. 1900–1906, Nov. 2009.
- [4] Muders T, Luepschen H, Zinserling J, et al. *Crit Care Med*, vol. 40, no. 3, 2012
- [5] Lung volume calculated from electrical impedance tomography in ICU patients at different PEEP levels
- [6] Bedside estimation of recruitable alveolar collapse and hyperdistension by electrical impedance tomography

Table 1: Tandem settings of FiO₂ and PEEP. To ensure objectivity, the mean was selected from the published PEEP range (Brower RG et al., 2004).

FiO ₂	0,3	0,4	0,5	0,6	0,7	0,8	0,9	1,0
PEEP	5	6 [5-8]	9 [8-10]	10	12 [10-14]	14	17[16-18]	21[18-24]

Excerpted from:

Proceedings
of the
15th International Conference on
Biomedical Applications of
**ELECTRICAL IMPEDANCE
TOMOGRAPHY**

Edited by Andy Adler and Bartłomiej Grychtol

April 24-26, 2014
Glen House Resort
Gananoque, Ontario
Canada



Carleton
UNIVERSITY



swisstom
Now I can see.

Dräger

IOP Publishing

Rassettica Testing

This document is the collection of papers accepted for presentation at the 15th International Conference on
Biomedical Applications of Electrical Impedance Tomography.
Each individual paper in this collection: © 2014 by the indicated authors.
Collected work: © 2014 Andy Adler and Bartłomiej Grychtol.
All rights reserved.

Cover design: Bartłomiej Grychtol
Photo credit: ©1000 Islands Photo Art Inc. / Ian Coristine

Printed in Canada

ISBN 978-0-7709-0577-4

Systems and Computer Engineering
Carleton University, 1125 Colonel By Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, K1S 5B6, Canada
adler@sce.carleton.ca
+1 (613) 520-2600

www.eit2014.org