### **BMC** Cancer





# *RASSF1* tumor suppressor gene in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: correlation of expression, chromosomal status and epigenetic changes

Eliana Amato<sup>1</sup>, Stefano Barbi<sup>1</sup>, Matteo Fassan<sup>1</sup>, Claudio Luchini<sup>1,3</sup>, Caterina Vicentini<sup>1</sup>, Matteo Brunelli<sup>1</sup>, Giuseppe Malleo<sup>2</sup>, Aldo Scarpa<sup>1,3\*</sup> and Giorgio Malpeli<sup>1,2</sup>

#### Abstract

**Background:** The Ras Association Domain Family Member 1 (*RASSF1*) is one of the most frequently reported methylation-inactivated tumor suppressor genes in primary pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas (PDAC). Limited information is still available about the impact of *RASSF1* gene silencing on the expression of its different isoforms in neoplastic cells.

**Methods:** A series of 96 primary PDAC, with known clinico-pathological parameters, was tested for *RASSF1* methylation status by methylation-specific PCR, *RASSF1* locus copy number alterations by fluorescence in situ hybridization, and Rassf1a protein expression by immunohistochemistry. A further series of 14 xenografted primary PDAC and 8 PDAC-derived cell lines were tested to obtain a detailed methylation mapping of CpG islands A and C of the *RASSF1* locus by pyrosequencing and to evaluate the expression of Rassf1 variants by qRT-PCR.

**Results:** Methylation of CpG island A of the *RASSF1* gene was observed in 35 % of the tumors and allelic loss of *RASSF1* locus was seen in 30 disomic and in 20 polysomic cases (52 %). Rassf1a immunohistochemical expression was downregulated in half of primary PDAC, and this downregulation was neither correlated with methylation of *RASSF1* promoter nor with *RASSF1* copy number alterations. *RASSF1* status did not influence patients' prognosis. The expression of the seven RASSF1 isoforms in xenografts and cell lines showed that RASSF1A, RASSF1B, and RASSF1C isoforms were present in all xenografts and cell lines, whereas RASSF1D, RASSF1E, and RASSF1F isoforms were variably expressed among samples. RASSF1G was never expressed in either xenografts or cell lines. The variable expression of RASSF1 isoforms in PDAC xenografts and cell lines was not dependent on *RASSF1* methylation status of CpG islands A and C.

**Conclusions:** *RASSF1* alterations occurring in PDAC mainly consist in variations of expression of the different isoforms. Different genetic mechanisms seem to contribute to *RASSF1* deregulation in this setting, but *RASSF1* methylation does not seem to substantially affect RASSF1 isoforms expression.

Keywords: Ductal adenocarcinoma, Pancreas, RASSF1, Rassf1a, Methylation, Pyrosequencing, Quantitative PCR

<sup>1</sup>ARC-NET Centre for Applied Research on Cancer, Department of Pathology and Diagnostics, The Hospital and University of Verona, Verona, Italy

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2016 Amato et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: aldo.scarpa@univr.it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, The Hospital and University of Verona, Verona, Italy

#### Background

A recent genome-wide profiling of DNA methylation of 167 pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas (PDAC) identified 3522 genes that showed an altered methylation status [1]. Among these genes, the Ras Association Domain Family Protein 1 (*RASSF1*) locus showed frequent hypermethylation, confirming a previous report of *RASSF1* locus hypermethylation in two thirds of primary PDAC and seven of eight PDAC cell lines [2].

*RASSF1* is a putative tumor suppressor gene that controls tumor growth by inhibiting the RAS pathway [3-6]. Recent studies assigned additional complex roles to RASSF1 in cell life such as promotion of apoptotic signaling, microtubule stabilization and mitotic progression [7-12]. As constituent of RAS/PI3K/AKT, RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK and Hippo cancer pathways, an important role in solid tumors has been suggested [12-14] and, in this context, RASSF1 is one of the most frequently reported methylation-inactivated tumor suppressor genes [12, 15]. It has also been reported a prognostic role for RASSF1 methylation in a series of cancer types (liver, gastric, prostate, bladder, kidney, brain and pituitary neoplasms), where an altered methylation status has been associated to a more aggressive biological behaviour [13, 16–21]. *RASSF1* methylation has been also reported as a good predictor of response to gemcitabine-chemotherapy in lung cancer [22]. RASSF1 locus on chromosome 3p21.3 generates seven transcript isoforms (RASSF1 A-G) by differential promoter usage and alternative splicing [23]. Two CpG islands are associated to RASSF1: 1) CpG island A, common to RASSF1A, D, E, F and G, in the promoter region, and 2) CpG island C in the regulatory region of RASSF1B and C. RASSF1A and RASSF1C are the major isoforms and they are ubiquitously expressed in normal tissues [23]. Expression of RASSF1 isoforms has never been studied in primary PDAC, whereas in PDAC cell lines RASSF1A was found to be expressed only in cells resulting unmethylated with a qualitative methylation specific PCR [2]. Among the remaining RASSF1 variants, only RASSF1F was tested and found frequently expressed in PDAC cell lines [2].

PDAC is characterized by a large number of chromosomal alterations among which loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at chromosomal arm 3p21.3, where RASSF1 resides, has been reported to occur as ranging from 43 to 75 % of cases [24–26].

In this study, we report the evaluation of 96 primary PDAC for Rassf1a protein immunohistochemical expression, *RASSF1* gene copy number by FISH, and methylation of *RASSF1* locus by methylation-specific PCR. To get insight into the correlation of expression data and methylation of *RASSF1*, we used 14 primary PDACs xenotransplanted in nude mice and 8 PDAC cell lines to perform a detailed analysis of methylation of CpG islands A and C of the *RASSF1* locus by DNA pyrosequencing and mRNA expression analysis of RASSF1 variants by quantitative RT-PCR.

#### Methods

#### PDAC tissues

A series of 96 formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE) primary PDAC, whose clinico-pathological characteristics are shown in Table 1, was considered. The series was studied for Rassf1a expression by immunohistochemistry (IHC) and *RASSF1* gene copy number by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) by using 4 tissue microarrays (TMAs). The Manual Tissue Arrayer MTA-1 (Beecher Instruments, Silver Spring, MD) was used in the assembling of the TMAs and three 1 mm tissue cores *per* case were included. Ten additional normal pancreatic parenchyma cores were used as controls and integrated in the TMAs.

#### PDAC xenografts and cell lines

Fourteen fresh primary PDAC xenografted in nu/nu mice (mean age  $57 \pm 7$ ; Male/Female = 7/7; T3N0M0 = 6, T3N1M0 = 8; G2 = 10, G3 = 4) [27] and 8 PDAC-derived cell lines (PACA3, PACA44, PT45, CFPAC, PC, HPAF, PSN, PANC1) [28] were studied for DNA methylation at *RASSF1* locus and mRNA expression of RASSF1 isoforms. Frozen material from neither primary tumors nor their normal counterparts for these xenografted cancers was available. Cell lines were grown in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 2 mM glutamine and 10 % FBS and incubated at standard conditions (37 °C, 5 % CO<sub>2</sub>).

#### Ethics

The materials used have been collected under Program 853 protocol 298CE 15/02/2002 and Program 1885 protocol 52438 on 23/11/2010. The protocols include informed consent of the patient and were approved by the local ethics committee of the Integrated University Hospital Trust of Verona. The first approval (prog. 853) regarded the collection of pancreas samples for use in molecular research studies. This was later updated (prog. 1885) for the creation of a coordinated biobank for the collection of samples from all cancer patients that included neoplastic and associated local and distant normal tissue. The approved programs include tissue processing and storage methods of FFPE tissues of both neoplastic and normal tissue, including the creation of tissue microarrays. The latter program included amendments to address the later regulatory issues of data disclosure in genomic studies. Animal housing and all the protocols involving the use of experimental animals in this study were carried out with the authorization of the Italian Ministry of Health (approved protocol N. 184/ 2008-B).

Table 1	Distribution of	96 pa	ancreatic ductal	adenocarcinomas	grouped by	RASSF1/CEP3	status and	clinico-pathological features
---------	-----------------	-------	------------------	-----------------	------------	-------------	------------	-------------------------------

Parameter	Class	RASSF1 retained	RASSF1 loss	RASSF1 loss	RASSF1 gain
		CEP3 diploid	CEP3 diploid	CEP3 polyploid	CEP3 polyploid
Sex	F	14 (56.0 %)	11 (36.7 %)	8 (40.0 %)	6 (28.6 %)
	Μ	11 (44.0 %)	19 (63.3 %)	12 (60.0 %)	15 (71.4 %)
Age	Mean	63	58	64	63
рТ	Т3	25 (100.0 %)	28 (93.3 %)	17 (85.0 %)	20 (95.2 %)
	T4	0 (0.0 %)	2 (6.7 %)	3 (15.0 %)	1 (4.8 %)
рN	NO	5 (20.0 %)	7 (23.3 %)	4 (20.0 %)	3 (14.3 %)
	N1	20 (80.0 %)	23 (76.7 %)	16 (80.0 %)	18 (85.7 %)
рМ	MO	24 (96.0 %)	29 (96.7 %)	18 (90.0 %)	18 (85.7 %)
	M1	1 (4.0 %)	1 (3.3 %)	2 (10.0 %)	3 (14.3 %)
Grading	G1	0	1 (3.3 %)	0	0
	G2	14 (56.0 %)	17 (56.7 %)	11 (55.0 %)	12 (57.1 %)
	G3	11 (40.0 %)	12 (40.0 %)	9 (45.0 %)	9 (42.9 %)
TNM	П	5 (20.0 %)	7 (23.3 %)	3 (15.0 %)	3 (14.3 %)
	Ш	19 (76.0 %)	20 (66.6 %)	12 (60.0 %)	15 (71.4 %)
	IV	1 (4.0 %)	3 (10.0 %)	5 (25.0 %)	3 (14.3 %)
TOTAL	-	25	30	20	21

#### Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Immunostaining was performed on 4  $\mu$ m-thick FFPE sections using anti-Rassf1 antibody (HPA040735; Atlas antibodies, Stockholm, Sweden;1:200 dilution) with an automatic stainer (Bond instrument, Vision Biosystem Leica, Milan, Italy) as described previously [29, 30]. Appropriate positive and negative controls were run concurrently. Rassf1 immunostaining was considered positive when cells showed unambiguous cytoplasm staining. Sparse normal exocrine cells showed nuclear staining, which was not retained for scoring. The intensity of cytoplasmic staining was scored in a four-tiered scale: 0 = negative, 1 + = weak staining, 2 + = moderate staining, 3 + = strong staining, similarly to other immunohistochemical study [31].

#### Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)

FISH assay for *RASSF1* locus was performed on four 4-µmthick sections from TMAs, using a home-made Spectrum Orange labeled DNA probe for the relevant region and a commercially available conjugated Cy3, Spectrum Green labeled, centromeric enumeration probe (CEP3) (Abbott-Vysis, Downer Grove, IL, USA). Briefly, BAC clone specific for chromosome 3p (RP11-894C9), mapping at 3p21.31 and belonging to the Roswell Park Cancer Institute libraries (Peter J. de Jong at http://bacpac.chori.org/) was selected. Paraffin sections were hybridized with the probe labeled by nick translation [32], using 500 ng of probe labeled with Fluorolink Cy3-dUTP or Fluor-X-dCTP (Amersham, Buckinghamshire, UK). For each sample, at least 110 nuclei were counted for *RASSF1* signals and alphasatellite sequences of chromosome 3 centromere (CEP3), as previously reported [33]. Results were expressed as the mean value of *RASSF1* copy number: CEP3 signal ratio per cell.

#### Nucleic acids extraction

Nucleic acids were prepared from FFPE primary PDAC tissues, and from frozen sections of PDAC xenografts. Tumor cellularity was manually enriched by microdissection to at least 70 %. DNA was purified by QiAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen), following manufacturer's instructions. RNA was extracted from PDAC cell lines and xenografts with TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen) following manufacturer's instructions, then treated with DNAse I (Invitrogen) for 15 min at room temperature, and finally incubated at 65 °C for 10 min for enzyme inactivation.

#### **Bisulfite treatment of DNA**

DNA was chemically modified with sodium bisulfite using MethylSeq Kit (Applied Biosystems) to convert unmethylated cytosine to uracil, while methylated cytosines resist to conversion. DNA was incubated with sodium bisulfite for 16 h at 50 °C in a heat-block and used for subsequent experimental procedures.

#### RASSF1A methylation-specific PCR (MSP)

Methylation-specific PCR (MSP) was performed according to Pizzi et al. [34]. Reference unmethylated DNA was from healthy donor peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Reference full methylated DNA was CpGenome Universal Methylated DNA (Chemicon International).

#### Methylation-specific DNA pyrosequencing analysis

Bisulfite-modified DNAs were evaluated by pyrosequencing [35], using primers and conditions previously described [36]. DNA pyrosequencing provides the methylation status of single CpGs at the specific genomic region analyzed. The degree of methylation at each CpG position was determined from the ratio of C and T by the Pyro Q-CpG Software (Biotage AB). Pyrosequencing was performed on the sense and antisense strand of *RASSF1A* (nucleotides –163 to +262 in chromosome 3: 50353109–50353534, NC\_0000003.10) and of *RASSF1C* (nucleotides –86 to +193 in chromosome 3: 50349706– 50349985, NC\_0000003.10).

## Identification of alternatively spliced mRNA isoforms of RASSF1 transcribed from CpG island A

RASSF1A is the main transcript isoform arising from the promoter containing CpG island A and the D, E, F and G isoforms originate from alternative splicing of RASSF1A. Taking advantage of their different length, isoforms A, D, E, F were identified by microfluidic chipelectrophoretic separation (DNA 1000 chip, 2100 Bioanalyzer, Agilent technologies) of PCR products. PCR amplification of cDNAs used primers designed in first and last exon of the gene (NCBI Reference Sequence NM\_007182) and was performed as previously described [36]. As RASSF1G lacks the last exon of RASSF1, it was amplified separately with appropriate primers [36].

## Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

Messenger RNA expression of the major RASSF1 variants RASSF1A, RASSF1B and RASSF1C was determined in 14 xenografted PDAC and 8 PDAC cell lines. RNA samples were retrotranscribed to cDNA using the First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche). A reverse transcriptase minus cDNA was prepared for each sample as a control. QRT-PCR was carried out as previously described [36] in 25 µl total volume containing 4 ng of cDNA, 1x Power SYBR Green I Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), 400 nM of each primer. After a starting denaturation for 10 min at 95 °C, 45 PCR cycles (15 s 95 °C and 1 min 60 °C) have been performed on ABI PRISM 7900HT SDS instrument (Applied Biosystems). The relative expression level was calculated using transcript level of RPLPO as reference gene and the standard (=1) was the average of the levels of expression of all samples. QRT-PCR data analysis was performed according to the comparative method following the User Bulletin #2 (Applied Biosystems).

#### DNA sanger sequencing

*KRAS* (exons 2, 3, and 4) and *BRAF* (exon 15) genes mutational status was assessed by Sanger sequencing, as

described elsewhere [37]. PCR products were purified using Agencourt AMPure XP magnetic beads (Beckman Coulter) and labelled with BigDye<sup>®</sup> Terminator v3.1 (Applied Biosystems). Agencourt CleanSEQ magnetic beads (Beckman Coulter) were used for post-labeling DNA fragment purification, and sequence analysis was performed on the Applied Biosystems 3130xl Genetic Analyzer.

#### Statistical analysis

Pearson's correlation (r) and Student's *t-test* were used to compare mRNA expression and differentially methylated regions between groups of samples. Fisher's exact test and Cox multivariate model were used to calculate correlations between results and clinico-pathological features. *p*-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Where applicable, the tests were twotailed. For all the calculations, the R statistical software package was used (http://www.r-project.org).

#### **Results and discussion**

#### Rassf1a immunohistochemical expression is downregulated in half of primary PDAC

Rassf1a expression was evaluated by IHC in 10 normal pancreas, 96 primary PDAC tissues, 14 PDAC xenografts and 8 PDAC cell line. Normal pancreas had clear Rassf1a 2+ or 3+ immunoreactivity (Fig. 1) that was uniform in acinar cells and insulae, although the latter showed some degree of heterogeneity from 2+ to 3+. Some cells at the periphery of the insulae, possibly corresponding to non-ß insular cells, were more strongly stained. PDAC tissues showed a uniform immunostaining among the three different cores of TMAs representative of each single PDAC. In summary, primary PDAC showed a variable expression from 0 to 3+ (Fig. 1), where 7 (7.3 %) cases were negative, 41 (42.7 %) were 1+, 35 (36.5 %) were 2+, and 13 (13.5 %) were 3+ (Additional file 1: Table S1). On the whole, 50 % of primary PDAC (48/96) showed a down-regulation (0/1+) of Rassf1a in comparison to normal pancreas (p = 0.0018, Fisher's exact test). Rassf1a expression was not associated to any specific clinico-pathological parameter.

#### Rassf1a immunohistochemical expression is downregulated in half of xenografted PDAC and PDAC cell lines

In xenografted PDAC, Rassf1a expression was 0 in 3 (21 %) cases, 1+ in 5 (36 %) cases and 2+ in 6 (43 %) cases (Additional file 1: Table S2). Rassf1a was thus down-regulated (0/1+) in 8 of 14 (57 %) xenografts. All PDAC cell lines expressed Rassf1a: 4 (50 %) had 1+, 3 (37.5 %) had 2+ and 1 (12.5 %) had 3+ (Additional file 1: Table S3). Rassf1a was thus down-regulated in 4 of 8 (50 %) PDAC cell lines.



Fig. 1 IHC Rassf1a expression in normal pancreas and PDAC. **a** Exocrine and islet cells consistently showed a moderate/strong (2+/3+) Rassf1a cytoplasmic immunoreaction. **b** PDAC with only few positive cells, and with a weak reactivity (1+); between neoplastic cells there is a nonspecific staining deposition. **c** One of the PDAC cases totally negative (0). Original magnifications 10x and 20x

## RASSF1 locus shows frequent copy number alterations in PDAC

According to CEP3 FISH data, chromosome 3 was in a disomic status in 55 PDAC and polysomic in 41 (from 3 to 7 signals, mean 3.7). RASSF1 locus was retained in 46 cases and lost in 50 cases (Fig. 2). Thus, the combination of chromosome 3 ploidy status as assessed by CEP3 and RASSF1 gene copy number generated four distinct classes: 1) RASSF1 retained/CEP3 diploid (25 cases), 2) RASSF1 loss/CEP3 diploid (30 cases), 3) RASSF1 loss/ CEP3 polyploid (20 cases), and 4) RASSF1 retained/ CEP3 polyploid (21 cases) (Table 1 and Additional file 1: Table S1). Cases distributed uniformly among the four classes; no significant association was observed considering RASSF1 status and clinico pathological parameters. The presence of polysomy in CEP3, independently of the RASSF1 status, was significantly associated to a worse prognosis (Additional file 2: Figure S1). Patients with both disomic CEP3 and RASSF1 had a similar prognosis compared to patients with disomic CEP3 and loss of RASSF1.

#### CpG island A of RASSF1A is frequently methylated in PDAC

Methylation-specific PCR (MSP) detected methylation of *RASSF1A* in 34 of 96 (35.4 %) PDAC (Additional file 1: Table S1). In particular, methylation was detected in 18 of 50 (36 %) PDAC with loss of *RASSF1* and in 16 of 46 (34.7 %) PDAC without loss. There was no correlation between methylation of CpG island A as assessed by MSP and IHC expression of Rassf1a.

DNA pyrosequencing was used to assess the methylation level of 51 CpGs within CpG island A of *RASSF1A* (17 in the promoter and 34 in the first exon) in 14 xenografted primary PDAC and 8 PDAC cell lines. Methylation was detected in 21 % (3/14) of PDAC xenografts (cases PDX1, PDX4 and PDX5) (Fig. 3 and Additional file 1: Table S2) and in 62 % (5/8) of PDAC cell lines (PACA44, PT45, PSN, PANC and PACA3) (Fig. 3 and Additional file 1: Table S3). The average methylation of 51 CpGs was above 70 % in two xenografts (PDX1 and PDX4) and 40 % in one (PDX5). Among the five PDAC cell lines with methylation, two showed an average level higher than 80 % (PACA44, PT45), two between 50 and







the 37 CpGs whithin the CpG island C in the indicated PDAC xenografts and cell lines, respectively

70 % (PSN, PANC) and one (PACA3) an average level below 30 %, due to partial methylation of CpGs from CpG14 to CpG34 within the first exon. The methylation status of CpG island A was distributed with no apparent preferential pattern.

None of the 37 CpG of CpG island C (9 in the promoter and 28 in the first exon) investigated by DNA pyrosequencing was methylated in either the 14 PDAC xenografts or the 8 cell lines (Fig. 3).

# The variable expression of RASSF1 isoforms in PDAC xenografts and cell lines is not dependent from CpG islands methylation

The expression levels of the *RASSF1* isoforms were evaluated by real-time qRT-PCR in 14 PDAC xenografts and 8 PDAC cell lines. The three main gene transcripts of the *RASSF1* locus (i.e. RASSF1A, RASSF1B and RASSF1C) were expressed in all xenografts and cell lines (Additional file 2: Figures S2 and S3). RASSF1A and RASSF1B expression levels were correlated (p = 0.010, r = 0.8) in both xenografts and cell lines.

Among the remaining 4 mRNA isoforms that are generated from alternative splicing of RASSF1A (RASSF1D, RASSF1E, RASSF1F, RASSF1G), expression of RASSF1F was observed in 8/14 (57 %) PDAC xenografts (PDX1, PDX2, PDX5, PDX6, PDX7, PDX10, PDX12, PDX14) and in 5/8 (62 %) cell lines (PACA3, CFPAC, PC, HPAF, PANC), while isoforms D-E were expressed in 2/14 (14 %) xenografts (PDX7 and PDX14) and in 2/8 (25 %) cell lines (PACA3 and PT45). *RASSF1G* was never expressed in either xenografts or cell lines.

No correlation between the expression level of the *RASSF1* isoforms and methylation of CpG islands was found.

## RASSF1 deregulation is not associated to a specific KRAS mutational profile

Of the 96 presented cases, 92 (96 %) showed mutations in *KRAS* gene and none in *BRAF* gene at Sanger sequencing. Of these 92, we have found: 42 (45.7 %) p.G12D, 31 (33.7 %) p.G12V, 18 (19.5 %) p.G12R, 1 (1.1 %) p.Q61H. There was not a statistical significance between any particular mutation and epigenetic, cytogenetic or clinical parameters. We searched *BRAF* mutations as it has been reported that mutations in this gene may be found in *KRAS* wild-type cancers and rarely may also be associated with *KRAS* mutations [37, 38]. Moreover, BRAF mutations have been reported to be characteristic of the peculiar subtype of pancreas cancer named "medullary" [38, 39], but none of our cases corresponded to such subtype.

## Correlation of expression, methylation and copy number status of RASSF1

*RASSF1* alteration has been suggested as a molecular hallmark of pancreatic cancer [2]. In the attempt to clarify the involvement of *RASSF1* in this setting, we performed a comprehensive analysis of expression, methylation and copy number status of this putative tumor suppressor gene in a relatively large series of primary PDAC, xenografts and PDAC cell lines.

Rassf1a expression was downregulated in about 50 % of samples. However, such downregulation was not correlated with the RASSF1 methylation status, which indicates that RASSF1 methylation is not an essential mechanism for regulating protein expression in PDAC. This is the first study investigating RASSF1 isoforms expression in PDAC. RASSF1A, RASSF1B and RASSF1C mRNAs were expressed in all xenografts and cell lines, and, as observed for immunohistochemical data, no correlation between the expression level of the RASSF1 isoforms and methylation of CpG islands was found. However, RASSF1F was preferentially expressed by cell lines lacking methylation at CpG island A (Wilcoxon test, p = 0.008), in line with the results of Dammann et al. [2, 3]. This suggests that methylation of CpG island A may affect expression and splicing of RASSF1 transcripts regulated by the same promoter. The lack of correlation between the level of methylation of CpG island A and RASSF1A mRNA level in PDAC xenografts and cell lines further suggests that methylation is not decisive for transcription regulation of RASSF1 isoforms. No correlation was found between methylation and outcome of disease, at variance with other cancer types [13, 16–21]. A consistent number (71/96, 74 %) of RASSF1 locus alterations was found in our series, including 54 % (50/96) of losses and 22 % (21/96) of gains. The frequency of RASSF1 loss found is similar to that reported in a previous LOH microsatellite study investigating 82 PDAC xenografts [26]. Notably, among the 50 samples with loss of RASSF1 locus, 30 (60 %) had a normal chromosome 3 ploidy, while the remaining 20 (40 %) samples had chromosome 3 polysomy. Previous studies documented frequent chromosomal 3 alterations in pancreatic cancer [25-27, 35, 36], including in particular the allelic loss at 3p21.3 [24, 25]. Harada et al. observed frequent gains of chromosome 3 by high-density single nucleotide polymorphism array (78 % of cases), associated with losses in the specific region of chromosome 3p21 [26], this might reflect a propensity for 3p21.3 loss to occur as a secondary event of large 3p deletions, which involves regions coding for other tumor suppressor genes. Interestingly, chromosome 3 poliploidy was associated with a worse prognosis in our series, independently of the status of RASSF1 locus, while patients with a disomic status of both CEP3 and RASSF1 had a similar prognosis compared to patients with disomic CEP3 and loss of RASSF1. It has been described that RASSF1 methylation can have different roles depending on the ploidy status and patient's age in neuroblastoma [40]. However, there is no correlation between methylation and ploidy status in our PDAC series. It is possible that other tumor suppressor

genes in chromosome 3p may be implicated in the clinical course of these tumors.

#### Conclusions

*RASSF1* alterations occurring in PDAC mainly consist in variations of expression of the different isoforms. Different genetic mechanisms seem to contribute to *RASSF1* deregulation in this setting, but *RASSF1* methylation does not seem to substantially affect RASSF1 isoforms expression.

#### **Additional files**

Additional file 1: Table S1. Distribution of Rassf1a immunohistochemical (IHC) expression, *RASSF1* and *CEP3* status analyzed by fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis, and *RASSF1A* methylationspecific PCR (MSP) analysis in 96 PDAC cases. **Table S2**. *RASSF1A* methylation status (DNA pyrosequencing) and Rassf1a immunohistochemical (IHC) expression in 14 xenografted PDAC tissues. Average methylation values of 51 CpGs in CpG island A of *RASSF1A*, of which 17 CpGs in the promoter and 34 CpGs in the first exon are shown. (PDX = PDAC xenografts). **Table S3**. *RASSF1A* methylation status (DNA pyrosequencing) and Rassf1a immunohistochemical (IHC) expression in eight PDAC-derived cell lines. Average methylation values of 51 CpGs in CpG island A of *RASSF1A*, of which 17 CpGs in the promoter and 34 CpGs in the first exon are shown. (DOCX 42 kb)

Additional file 2: Figure S1. Survival rate of PDAC patients with RASSF1 disomy, loss of 3p, loss of 3p with polysomic CEP3 (CEP3+) and excess of CEP3 copy number. Figure S2. Expression of RASSF1A, RASSF1B and RASSF1C by quantitative RT-PCR (gRT-PCR) in PDAC xenografts. The expression levels of RASSF1A (A), RASSF1B (B) and RASSF1C (C) in 14 PDAC xenografts are shown. Expression data are the mean of three measures obtained by quantitative real time RT-PCR. Data were normalized using the expression level of the RPLPO gene as an internal reference. Data were analyzed according to the comparative method and standard (=1) was represented by the average expression of all samples. Numbers under bars refer to PDAC xenografts (PDX) as listed in Additional file 1: Table S2. Figure S3. Expression of RASSF1A, RASSF1B and RASSF1C by quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) in eight PDAC cell lines. Expression data are the mean of three measures obtained by quantitative real time RT-PCR. Data were normalized using the expression level of the RPLPO gene as an internal reference. Data were analyzed according to the comparative method and standard (=1) was represented by the average expression of all sample. Expression levels (mean + SD) are represented by white bars. (DOC 301 kb)

#### Abbreviations

CEP3: centromeric enumeration probe 3; FFPE: formalin-fixed paraffinembedded; MSP: methylation-specific PCR; PDAC: pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; qRT-PCR: quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction; RASSF1: ras association domain family member 1; TMA: tissue microarray.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

EA, GM, MF, AS: study design and conception; SB, GM, MF, CV, CL, GM, AS. statistical analysis, preparation of figures and tables; EA, SB, MF, CL, CV, MB, GM, GM, AS: experiments execution, data analysis and results interpretation; MF, CL, GM, AS: paper writing. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Associazione Italiana Ricerca Cancro (AIRC grant n. 12182); Cam-Pac FP7 Grant no: 602783; Italian Cancer Genome Project (FIRB RBAP10AHJB); FIMP-Italian Ministry of Health (CUP\_J33G13000210001).

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>ARC-NET Centre for Applied Research on Cancer, Department of Pathology and Diagnostics, The Hospital and University of Verona, Verona, Italy. <sup>2</sup>Department of Surgery and Oncology, The Hospital and University of Verona, Verona, Italy. <sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, The Hospital and University of Verona, Verona, Italy.

#### Received: 13 August 2015 Accepted: 6 January 2016 Published online: 12 January 2016

#### References

- Nones K, Waddell N, Song S, Patch AM, Miller D, Johns A, et al. Genomewide DNA methylation patterns in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma reveal epigenetic deregulation of SLIT-ROBO, ITGA2 and MET signaling. Int J Cancer. 2014;135:1110–8.
- Dammann R, Schagdarsurengin U, Liu L, Otto N, Gimm O, Dralle H, et al. Frequent RASSF1A promoter hypermethylation and K-ras mutations in pancreatic carcinoma. Oncogene. 2003;22:3806–12.
- Dammann R, Li C, Yoon JH, Chin PL, Bates S, Pfeifer GP. Epigenetic inactivation of a RAS association domain family protein from the lung tumour suppressor locus 3p21.3. Nat Genet. 2000;25:315–9.
- Rodriguez-Viciana P, Sabatier C, McCormick F. Signaling specificity by Ras family GTPases is determined by the full spectrum of effectors they regulate. Mol Cell Biol. 2004;24:4943–54.
- Shivakumar L, Minna J, Sakamaki T, Pestell R, White MA. The RASSF1A tumor suppressor blocks cell cycle progression and inhibits cyclin D1 accumulation. Mol Cell Biol. 2002;22:4309–18.
- Vos MD, Ellis CA, Bell A, Birrer MJ, Clark GJ. Ras uses the novel tumor suppressor RASSF1 as an effector to mediate apoptosis. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275(46):35669–72.
- Arnette C, Efimova N, Zhu X, Clark GJ, Kaverina I. Microtubule segment stabilization by RASSF1A is required for proper microtubule dynamics and Golgi integrity. Mol Biol Cell. 2014;25:800–10.
- Fu L, Zhang S. RASSF1A promotes apoptosis and suppresses the proliferation of ovarian cancer cells. Int J Mol Med. 2014;33:1153–60.
- 9. Liu L, Guo C, Dammann R, Tommasi S, Pfeifer GP. RASSF1A interacts with and activates the mitotic kinase Aurora-A. Oncogene. 2008;27:6175–86.
- Reeves ME, Baldwin SW, Baldwin ML, Chen ST, Moretz JM, Aragon RJ, et al. Ras-association domain family 1C protein promotes breast cancer cell migration and attenuates apoptosis. BMC Cancer. 2010;10:562.
- Reeves ME, Firek M, Chen ST, Amaar Y. The RASSF1 gene and the opposing effects of the RASSF1A and RASSF1C isoforms on cell proliferation and apoptosis. Mol Biol Int. 2013;2013:145096.
- van der Weyden L, Adams DJ. The Ras-association domain family (RASSF) members and their role in human tumourigenesis. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2007;1776:58–85.
- Guo W, Cui L, Wang C, Guo Y, Shen S, Kuang G, et al. Decreased expression of RASSF1A and up-regulation of RASSF1C is associated with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Clin Exp Metastasis. 2014;31:521–33.
- 14. Grawenda AM, O'Neill E. Clinical utility of RASSF1A methylation in human malignancies. Br J Cancer. 2015;113:372–81.
- Donninger H, Clark JA, Monaghan MK, Schmidt ML, Vos M, Clark GJ. Cell cycle restriction is more important than apoptosis induction for RASSF1A protein tumor suppression. J Biol Chem. 2014;289:31287–95.
- Honda S, Haruta M, Sugawara W, Sasaki F, Ohira M, Matsunaga T, et al. The methylation status of RASSF1A promoter predicts responsiveness to chemotherapy and eventual cure in hepatoblastoma patients. Int J Cancer. 2008;123:1117–25.
- Liu L, Kron KJ, Pethe VV, Demetrashvili N, Nesbitt ME, Trachtenberg J, et al. Association of tissue promoter methylation levels of APC, TGFβ2, HOXD3 and RASSF1A with prostate cancer progression. Int J Cancer. 2011;129:2454–62.
- Kim JS, Chae Y, Ha YS, Kim IY, Byun SS, Yun SJ, et al. Ras association domain family 1A: a promising prognostic marker in recurrent nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer. Clin Genitourin Cancer. 2012;10:114–20.

- Kawai Y, Sakano S, Suehiro Y, Okada T, Korenaga Y, Hara T, et al. Methylation level of the RASSF1A promoter is an independent prognostic factor for clear-cell renal cell carcinoma. Ann Oncol. 2010;21:1612–7.
- Hesson L, Dallol A, Minna JD, Maher ER, Latif F. NORE1A, a homologue of RASSF1A tumour suppressor gene is inactivated in human cancers. Oncogene. 2003;22:947–54.
- Qian ZR, Sano T, Yoshimoto K, Yamada S, Ishizuka A, Mizusawa N, et al. Inactivation of RASSF1A tumor suppressor gene by aberrant promoter hypermethylation in human pituitary adenomas. Lab Invest. 2005;85:464–73.
- Fischer JR, Ohnmacht U, Rieger N, Zemaitis M, Stoffregen C, Manegold C, et al. Prognostic significance of RASSF1A promoter methylation on survival of non-small cell lung cancer patients treated with gemcitabine. Lung Cancer. 2007;56:115–23.
- Agathanggelou A, Cooper WN, Latif F. Role of the Ras-association domain family 1 tumor suppressor gene in human cancers. Cancer Res. 2005;65: 3497–508.
- Calhoun ES, Hucl T, Gallmeier E, West KM, Arking DE, Maitra A, et al. Identifying allelic loss and homozygous deletions in pancreatic cancer without matched normals using high-density single-nucleotide polymorphism arrays. Cancer Res. 2006;66:7920–8.
- Iacobuzio-Donahue CA, van der Heijden MS, Baumgartner MR, Troup WJ, Romm JM, Doheny K, et al. Large-scale allelotype of pancreaticobiliary carcinoma provides quantitative estimates of genome-wide allelic loss. Cancer Res. 2004;64:871–5.
- Harada T, Chelala C, Bhakta V, Chaplin T, Caulee K, Baril P, et al. Genome-wide DNA copy number analysis in pancreatic cancer using high-density single nucleotide polymorphism arrays. Oncogene. 2008;27:1951–60.
- Sorio C, Bonora A, Orlandini S, Moore PS, Capelli P, Cristofori P, et al. Successful xenografting of cryopreserved primary pancreatic cancers. Virchows Arch. 2001;438:154–8.
- Moore PS, Sipos B, Orlandini S, Sorio C, Real FX, Lemoine NR, et al. Genetic profile of 22 pancreatic carcinoma cell lines. Analysis of K-ras, p53, p16 and DPC4/Smad4. Virchows Arch. 2001;439:798–802.
- Luchini C, Parcesepe P, Nottegar A, Parolini C, Mafficini A, Remo A, et al. CD71 in gestational pathology: a versatile immunohistochemical marker with new possible applications. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol. 2015 Apr 21. [Epub ahead of print].
- Luchini C, Parcesepe P, Mafficini A, Nottegar A, Parolini C, Veronese N, et al. Specific expression patterns of epithelial to mesenchymal transition factors in gestational molar disease. Placenta. 2015;36(11):1318–24. doi:10.1016/j. placenta.2015.09.012.
- Hu L, Chen G, Yu H, Qiu X. Clinicopathological significance of RASSF1A reduced expression and hypermethylation in hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatol Int. 2010;4:423–32.
- Lichter P, Ledbetter SA, Ledbetter DH, Ward DC. Fluorescence in situ hybridization with Alu and L1 polymerase chain reaction probes for rapid characterization of human chromosomes in hybrid cell lines. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1990;87:6634–8.
- Pelosi G, Del Curto B, Trubia M, Nicholson AG, Manzotti M, Veronesi G, et al. 3q26 Amplification and polysomy of chromosome 3 in squamous cell lesions of the lung: a fluorescence in situ hybridization study. Clin Cancer Res. 2007;13:1995–2004.
- Pizzi S, Azzoni C, Bottarelli L, Campanini N, D'Adda T, Pasquali C, et al. RASSF1A promoter methylation and 3p21.3 loss of heterozygosity are features of foregut, but not midgut and hindgut, malignant endocrine tumours. J Pathol. 2005;206:409–16.
- Colella S, Shen L, Baggerly KA, Issa JP, Krahe R. Sensitive and quantitative universal Pyrosequencing methylation analysis of CpG sites. Biotechniques. 2003;35:146–50.
- Malpeli G, Amato E, Dandrea M, Fumagalli C, Debattisti V, Boninsegna L, et al. Methylation-associated down-regulation of RASSF1A and upregulation of RASSF1C in pancreatic endocrine tumors. BMC Cancer. 2011;11:351.
- Ishimura N, Yamasawa K, Karim Rumi MA, Kadowaki Y, Ishihara S, Amano Y, et al. BRAF and K-ras gene mutations in human pancreatic cancers. Cancer Lett. 2003;199:169–73.
- Calhoun ES, Jones JB, Ashfaq R, Adsay V, Baker SJ, Valentine V, et al. BRAF and FBXW7 (CDC4, FBW7, AGO, SEL10) mutations in distinct subsets of pancreatic

cancer: potential therapeutic targets. Am J Pathol. 2003;163:1255–60.

- Bosman FT, Carneiro F, Hruban RH, Theise ND. WHO classification of tumours of the digestive system. Lyon: IARC Press; 2010.
- Haruta M, Kamijo T, Nakagawara A, Kaneko Y. RASSF1A methylation may have two biological roles in neuroblastoma tumorigenesis depending on the ploidy status and age of patients. Cancer Lett. 2014;348:167–76.

## Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

