

Old Dominion University ODU Digital Commons

Mathematics & Statistics Faculty Publications

Mathematics & Statistics

1990

Construction of the Best Monotone Approximation on Lp [0, 1]

J. J. Swetits Old Dominion University

S. E. Weinstein Old Dominion University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/mathstat_fac_pubs Part of the <u>Mathematics Commons</u>

Repository Citation

Swetits, J. J. and Weinstein, S. E., "Construction of the Best Monotone Approximation on Lp [0, 1]" (1990). *Mathematics & Statistics Faculty Publications*. 112. https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/mathstat_fac_pubs/112

Original Publication Citation

Swetits, J. J., & Weinstein, S. E. (1990). Construction of the best monotone-approximation on Lp [0,1]. Journal of Approximation Theory, 61(1), 118-130. doi:10.1016/0021-9045(90)90028-0

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics & Statistics at ODU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics & Statistics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of ODU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@odu.edu.

Construction of the Best Monotone Approximation on $L_p[0, 1]$

J. J. SWETHTS AND S. E. WEINSTEIN

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia 23508, U.S.A.

Communicated by R. Bojanic

Received October 27, 1987

1. INTRODUCTION

For $1 \le p < \infty$, let L_p denote the Banach space of *p*th power Lebesgue integrable functions on [0, 1] with $||f||_p = (\int_0^1 |f|^p)^{1/p}$. Let M_p denote the set of nondecreasing functions in L_p . For $1 , each <math>f \in L_p$ has a unique best approximation from M_p , while, for p = 1, existence of a best approximation from M_1 follows from Proposition 4 of [6].

Recently, there has been interest in characterizing best L_1 approximations from M_1 [1-4, 8]. The approach, in most instances, was measure theoretic. In [8], a duality approach was used to extend the results to all L_p , $1 \le p < \infty$.

In a recent paper [4] an explicit construction was given for a best L_1 approximation to f from M_1 . The purpose of this paper is to show that this construction extends to all the L_p -spaces, $1 . The <math>L_{\infty}$ case was investigated by Ubhaya [9, 10].

2. Best Monotone Approximation in $L_p[0, 1]$ for 1

Let $f \in L_p[0, 1]$ for $1 . We wish to find <math>g^*$ nondecreasing and in $L_p[0, 1]$ such that

$$\int_0^1 |f-g^*|^p \leq \int_0^1 |f-g|^p \quad \text{for all such } g.$$

From duality [5], g^* best approximates f in the above sense if and only if

$$\int_0^1 (g^* - g)(f - g^*) |f - g^*|^{p-2} \ge 0$$

for all nondecreasing g in $L_p[0, 1]$.

0021-9045/90 \$3.00 Copyright © 1990 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved. We now establish a constructive solution to this problem.

DEFITION 1. For $f \in L_p[0, 1]$, 1 , and any real c let

$$\phi_c = (f-c)|f-c|^{p-2},$$
(1)

$$k_c(x) = \int_0^x \phi_c, \qquad 0 \le x \le 1.$$
⁽²⁾

$$m_c = \min\{k_c(x): 0 \le x \le 1\},\tag{3}$$

and

$$x(c) = \max\{x: k_{c}(x) = m_{c}\}.$$
(4)

LEMMA 1. x(c) is nondecreasing in c.

Proof. First we establish that $\phi_c(x) > \phi_d(x)$ for c < d. Let $e_c = f - c$. Then $e_c(x) > e_d(x)$ for c < d.

If $e_c(x) > e_d(x) \ge 0$, then

$$\phi_{c}(x) = e_{c}^{p-1}(x) > e_{d}^{p-1}(x) = \phi_{d}(x).$$
If $e_{c}(x) \ge 0 > e_{d}(x)$, then $\phi_{c}(x) \ge 0 > \phi_{d}(x)$.
If $0 > e_{c}(x) > e_{d}(x)$, then $|e_{c}(x)| < |e_{d}(x)|$ and
 $-\phi_{c}(x) = -e_{c}(x)|e_{c}(x)|^{p-2}$
 $= |e_{c}(x)|^{p-1}$
 $< |e_{d}(x)|^{p-1}$
 $= -e_{d}(x)|e_{d}(x)|^{p-2}$

 $= -\phi_d(x).$ Next assume to the contrary that x(c) > x(d) for some c < d. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} k_{c}(x(c)) &= \int_{0}^{x(c)} \phi_{c} \\ &= \int_{0}^{x(d)} \phi_{c} + \int_{x(d)}^{x(c)} \phi_{c} \\ &= k_{c}(x(d)) + \int_{x(d)}^{x(c)} \phi_{c} \\ &> k_{c}(x(d)) + \int_{x(d)}^{x(c)} \phi_{d} \\ &= k_{c}(x(d)) + k_{d}(x(c)) - k_{d}(x(d)) \\ &> k_{c}(x(d)), \end{aligned}$$

by the definition of $m_d = k_d(x(d))$. This contradicts the definition of x(c).

In the following lemma, as usual $x(-\infty)$ and $x(+\infty)$ denote respectively $\lim_{t \to -\infty} x(t)$ and $\lim_{t \to -\infty} x(t)$.

LEMMA 2. (a) $x(-\infty) = 0$, (b) $x(+\infty) = 1$.

Proof. The proofs of (a) and (b) are similar. Thus we present only part (a).

Since $k_c(0) = 0$, it suffices to show that for any x satisfying $0 < x \le 1$, $\lim \inf_{c \to -\infty} k_c(x) > 0$.

For any c < 0 define the set $E_c = \{x \in [0, 1] : f(x) < c\},\$

and let E_c^c denote the complement of E_c in [0, 1]. Then,

$$|c|^{p} \mu\{E_{c}\} \leq \int_{E_{c}} (-f)^{p} \leq ||f||_{p}^{p},$$

where μ denotes Lebesgue measure. Thus,

$$\mu\{E_{c}\} \leq \|f\|_{p}^{p}/|c|^{p}.$$

Next consider $E_c(x) \equiv E_c \cap [0, x]$:

$$|f-c|^{p-1} \leq \gamma_p \{|f|^{p-1} + |c|^{p-1}\},\$$

where

$$\gamma_p = \max\{1, 2^{p-2}\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{E_{c}(x)} (f-c) |f-c|^{p-2} \right| &\leq \int_{E_{c}(x)} |f-c|^{p-1} \\ &\leq \gamma_{p} \left\{ \int_{E_{c}(x)} |f|^{p-1} + |c|^{p-1} \, \mu\{E_{c}\} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|c|} \, \gamma_{p} \left\{ \int_{E_{c}(x)} |f|^{p} + |c|^{p} \, \mu\{E_{c}\} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{2\gamma_{p} \, \|f\|_{p}^{p}}{|c|}. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{c \to -\infty} \int_{E_c(x)} (f-c) |f-c|^{p-2} = 0.$$

Finally, consider $E_c^c(x) = E_c^c \cap [0, x]$. Since $\lim_{c \to -\infty} \mu\{E_c^c(x)\} = x$, we can choose \underline{c} so that $\mu\{E_c^c(x)\} > x/2$. Then, for $c < \underline{c}$

$$(f-c)|f-c|^{p-2} = ((f-\underline{c}) + (\underline{c}-c))|(f-\underline{c}) + (\underline{c}-c)|^{p-2}$$

> $(f-\underline{c})|f-\underline{c}|^{p-2}$ on $E_{\underline{c}}^c$.

Also, $E_c^c \subseteq E_c^c$ for $c < \underline{c}$, and therefore since $\mu\{E_{\underline{c}}^c(x)\} > x/2 > 0$

$$\int_{E_{\varepsilon}^{c}(x)} (f-\varepsilon) |f-\varepsilon|^{p-2} \ge \int_{E_{\varepsilon}^{c}(x)} (f-\varepsilon) |f-\varepsilon|^{p-2}$$
$$\ge \int_{E_{\varepsilon}^{c}(x)} (f-\varepsilon) |f-\varepsilon|^{p-2} > 0.$$

Therefore, for any x satisfying $0 < x \le 1$,

$$\lim_{c\to\infty} \inf_{x\in C_c(x)} |f-c|^{p-2} > 0,$$

and thus since

$$\int_{0}^{x} (f-c) |f-c|^{p-2} = \int_{E_{\epsilon}^{c}(x)} (f-c) |f-c|^{p-2} + \int_{E_{\epsilon}(x)} (f-c) |f-c|^{p-2}$$

we can conclude that

$$\liminf_{c \to -\infty} \int_0^x (f-c) |f-c|^{p-2} > 0.$$

The following lemma shows that x(c) is continuous from the right. As usual x(c+) denotes $\lim_{t\to c+} x(t)$.

LEMMA 3. x(c+) = x(c). Proof. For $\delta > 0$ $k_{c+\delta}(x(c+\delta)) \le k_{c+\delta}(x(c))$ $= \int_0^{x(c)} \phi_{c+\delta}$ $\le \int_0^{x(c)} \phi_c$ $= k_c(x(c))$ $= m_c$. Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0 +$ we obtain

$$k_c(x(c+)) = \int_0^{x(c+)} \phi_c \leq m_c.$$

By the definition of m_c , $k_c(x(c+)) \ge m_c$. Thus $k_c(x(c+)) = m_c$, and, therefore, $x(c+) \le x(c)$. Since x(c) is nondecreasing, it follows that x(c+) = x(c).

In general, x(c) may be discontinuous. If

$$x(c-) < x(c+) = x(c),$$

where x(c-) denotes $\lim_{t\to c-} x(t)$, then we say c is a jump for $x(\cdot)$.

Locating the jumps for $x(\cdot)$ will enable us to define the following approximation g^* which we shall prove to be the best nondecreasing L_p approximation to $f \in L_p[0, 1]$.

DEFINITION 2. Since $x(\cdot)$ is nondecreasing and right continuous, by Lemma 2 each $t \in (0, 1)$ is in some interval [x(c-), x(c)]. Thus, we define a function $g^*(t)$ on (0, 1) by

if
$$t = x(c)$$
 for some real c, let
 $g^*(t) = \inf \{u: x(u) = x(c)\},$
(5)

if c is a jump point for $x(\cdot)$ and $x(c-) \leq t < x(c)$,

$$let g^*(t) = c.$$
(6)

LEMMA 4. $g^*(t)$ is nondecreasing on (0, 1).

Proof. Let $\{c_i\}$ be the set of all jump points of x(c), and let $t_1 < t_2$. If $t_1 = x(c)$ and $t_2 = x(u)$, then c < u since $x(\cdot)$ is nondecreasing. By definition, $g^*(t_1) \leq g^*(t_2)$.

If $t_1 = x(c)$ and $x(c_i - 1) \le t_2 < x(c_i)$ for some *i*, then $c < c_i$. It follows that $g^*(t_1) \le c < c_i = g^*(t_2)$.

Suppose there exist i, j such that $x(c_{j-}) \leq t_1 < x(c_j)$ and $x(c_i-) \leq t_2 < x(c_i)$. If i=j, then $t_1 = c_j = g^*(t_1) = g^*(t_2)$. If $i \neq j$ and if $c_j > c_i$, then $x(c_i) \leq x(c_j-)$, which contradicts $t_1 < t_2$. Hence $c_j \leq c_i$, and $g^*(t_1) \leq g^*(t_2)$.

Finally, suppose that $x(c_i) \leq t_1 < x(c_i)$ for some *i* and $t_2 = x(c)$. Then $c_i \leq c$, and $g^*(t_1) \leq g^*(t_2)$.

LEMMA 5. Let

$$A_{p} = \left\{ x \in (0, 1) : \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{x-\varepsilon}^{x+\varepsilon} |f(t) - f(x)|^{p} = 0 \right\}.$$

Then, $\mu\{A_p\} = 1$.

122

Proof. Let $T_{\varepsilon}f(x) = (1/2\varepsilon) \int_{x-\varepsilon}^{x+\varepsilon} |f(t) - f(x)|^p dt$ and let $Tf(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} T_{\varepsilon}f(x)$. Pick $g \in C[0, 1]$ such that $||f-g||_p < 1/n$. By the continuity of g, Tg = 0.

Let h = f - g. Then, $h \in L_p[0, 1]$. Also, since 1

$$T_{\varepsilon}h(x) \leq 2^{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{x-\varepsilon}^{x+\varepsilon} |h(t)|^p dt + |h(x)|^p \right).$$

Therefore,

$$Th(x) \leq 2^{p-1} \left(\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{x-\varepsilon}^{x+\varepsilon} |h(t)|^p dt + |h(x)|^p \right)$$

and thus on [0, 1]

$$Th \leq 2^{p-1}(Mh^p + |h|),$$

where M is the maximal function defined for all $F \in L_1[0, 1]$ by

$$(MF)(x) = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < \infty} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{x-\varepsilon}^{x+\varepsilon} |F(t)| dt.$$

Now,

$$T_{\varepsilon} f \leq 2^{p-1} (T_{\varepsilon} g + T_{\varepsilon} h).$$

Therefore,

$$Tf \leq 2^{p-1}(Tg+Th) = 2^{p-1}Th \leq 4^{p-1}(Mh^p + |h|^p).$$

Thus, for any y > 0,

if
$$Mh^p \leq 4^{1-p}y$$
 and $|h|^p \leq 4^{1-p}y$, then $Tf \leq 2y$.

Therefore, $\{Tf > 2y\} \subseteq \{Mh^p > 4^{1-p}y\} \cup \{|h|^p > 4^{1-p}y\}$, where each of the three sets in this relationship denotes the subset of [0, 1] which satisfies the respective inequality. By Theorem 7.5 and inequality (5), p. 138, of Rudin [7].

$$\mu\{Mh^{p} > 4^{1-p}y\} \leq 3 \cdot 4^{p-1}y^{-1} \|h^{p}\|_{1} \leq 3 \cdot 4^{p-1}y^{-1} \|h\|_{p}^{p}$$

and

$$\mu\{|h|^{p} > 4^{1-p}y\} \leqslant 4^{p-1}y^{-1} \|h\|_{p}^{p}$$

Therefore,

 $\mu\{Tf>2y\}\leqslant 4^py^{-1}/n^p,$

and since n is arbitrary,

$$\mu\{Tf>2y\}=0.$$

Furthermore, since y > 0 is also arbitrary,

$$\mu\{Tf>0\}=0$$

Note. This proof parallels the cited results in Rudin [7].

LEMMA 6. If $x(c) \in A_p$ as defined in Lemma 5 then

- (a) f(x(c)) = c, and
- (b) $g^*(x(c)) = c$.

Proof. (a) Let $x(c) \in A_p$ and assume f(x(c)) > c. Then by the definition of A_p

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{x(c)-\varepsilon}^{x(c)} |f(y)-f(x(c))|^p dy = 0.$$

For any $\delta > 0$, let

$$B_{\delta} = \{ y \in [0, 1] : |f(y) - f(x(c))| < \delta \},\$$

and let B_{δ}^{c} be the complement of B_{δ} in [0, 1].

Also for any $\varepsilon > 0$, let $I_{\varepsilon} = [x(c) - \varepsilon, x(c)] \cap [0, 1]$. Since

$$\int_{I_{\epsilon}} |f(y) - f(x(c))|^{p} dy \ge \int_{B_{\delta}^{c} \cap I_{\epsilon}} |f(y) - f(x(c))|^{p} dy$$
$$\ge \delta \int_{B_{\delta}^{c} \cap I_{\epsilon}} |f(y) - f(x(c))|^{p-1} dy$$
$$\ge \delta^{p} \mu \{ B_{\delta}^{c} \cap I_{\epsilon} \},$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta}^{\varepsilon} \cap I_{\varepsilon}} |f(y) - f(x(c))|^{p-1} dy = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mu \{ B^c_{\delta} \cap I_{\varepsilon} \} = 0.$$

124

Thus, letting $\gamma_p = \max\{1, 2^{p-1}\},\$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{B_{\delta}^{c} \cap I_{\varepsilon}} (f-c) |f-c|^{p-2} \right| \\ &\leq \int_{B_{\delta}^{c} \cap I_{\varepsilon}} |f-c|^{p-1} \\ &\leq \gamma_{p-1} \int_{B_{\delta}^{c} \cap I_{\varepsilon}} |f-f(x(c))|^{p-1} + \gamma_{p-1} \int_{B_{\delta}^{c} \cap I_{\varepsilon}} |f(x(c))-c|^{p-1} \\ &= \gamma_{p-1} \int_{B_{\delta}^{c} \cap I_{\varepsilon}} |f-f(x(c))|^{p-1} + \gamma_{p-1} |f(x(c))-c|^{p-1} \mu\{B_{\delta}^{c} \cap I_{\varepsilon}\} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left| \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{\delta}^{c} \cap I_{\varepsilon}} (f-c) |f-c|^{p-2} \right| \\ &\leq \gamma_{p-1} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{B_{\delta}^{c} \cap I_{\varepsilon}} |f-f(x(c))|^{p-1} \\ &+ \gamma_{p-1} |f(x(c)) - c|^{p-1} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mu \{ B_{\delta}^{c} \cap I_{\varepsilon} \} \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{B'_{\delta} \cap I_{\epsilon}} (f-c) |f-c|^{p-2} = 0.$$
⁽⁷⁾

Now fix $\delta > 0$ so that $f(x(c)) > c + \delta$. Then, for $y \in B_{\delta}$,

$$0 < f(x(c)) - \delta - c < f(y) - c < f(x(c)) + \delta - c.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{B_{\delta} \cap I_{\epsilon}} (f-c) |f-c|^{p-2} > \begin{cases} \int_{B_{\delta} \cap I_{\epsilon}} (f(x(c)) - \delta - c) |f(x(c)) + \delta - c|^{p-2}, 1 0. \end{cases}$$

Using (7), it follows that

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{I_{\varepsilon}} (f-c) |f-c|^{p-2}$$

$$\geq Q \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mu \{B_{\delta} \cap I_{\varepsilon}\} = Q > 0.$$

Hence, for $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently small,

$$\int_{x(c)-\varepsilon}^{x(c)} (f-c) |f-c|^{p-2} > 0.$$

Thus $k_c(x(c) - \varepsilon) < k_c(x(c))$, contradicting the definition of x(c).

In a similar way, we get a contradiction if we assume that f(x(c)) < c. Hence f(x(c)) = c.

(b) If $x(u) = x(c) \in A_p$, then (a) implies that c = f(x(c)) = f(x(u)) = u. Thus $\{u: x(u) = x(c)\} = \{c\}$. Therefore $g^*(x(c)) = c$:

LEMMA 7. If $x(c-) \leq t \leq x(c)$, then

- (a) $\int_{x(c-1)}^{t} \phi_c \ge 0$, and
- (b) $\int_{x(c-1)}^{x(c)} \phi_c = 0.$

Proof. If x(c-) = x(c), then the lemma holds trivially. Thus we need only consider the case x(c-) < x(c).

Assume that $\int_{x(c-)}^{t} \phi_c < 0$ for some t satisfying $x(c-) < t \le x(c)$. Then for $\delta > 0$ and sufficiently small, $\int_{x(c-\delta)}^{t} \phi_{c-\delta} < 0$. Thus,

$$k_{c-\delta}(t) = \int_0^t \phi_{c-\delta}$$

$$< \int_0^{x(c-\delta)} \phi_{c-\delta}$$

$$= k_{c-\delta}(x(c-\delta))$$

$$= m_{c-\delta},$$

which is a contradiction. Thus (a) is verified.

From (a), $\int_{x(c-)}^{x(c)} \phi_c \ge 0$. If $\int_{x(c-)}^{x(c)} \phi_c > 0$, then

$$k_c(x(c-)) = \int_0^{x(c-)} \phi_c$$
$$< \int_0^{x(c)} \phi_c$$
$$= k_c(x(c))$$
$$= m_c,$$

which contradicts the definition of x(c). Thus (b) is verified.

LEMMA 8. $g^* \in L_p[0, 1].$

Proof. Let $\{c_i\}$ be the discontinuities of x(c). For $t \in [x(c_i -), x(c_i)]$, $g^*(t) = c_i$. By Lemma 5,

$$\int_{x(c_t-)}^t \phi_{c_t} \ge 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_{x(c_t-)}^{x(c_t)} \phi_{c_t} = 0.$$

Thus, by duality, $g^* \equiv c_i$ is the best constant approximation to f on $[x(c_i-), x(c_i)]$.

Let A_p be the set defined in Lemma 5. For $t \in A_p$ either t = x(c) for some c, in which case $f(x(c)) = c = g^*(x(c))$, or $x(c_i) = t < x(c_i)$ for some i.

If $i \neq j$, then $(x(c_i -), x(c_i)) \cap (x(c_j -), x(c_j)) = \emptyset$. Hence,

$$\int_{0}^{1} |f - g^{*}|^{p} = \int_{\bigcup_{i} (x(c_{i} -), x(c_{i}))} |f - c_{i}|^{p}$$
$$\leq \int_{\bigcup_{i} (x(c_{i} -), x(c_{i}))} |f|^{p} \leq ||f||_{p}^{p}.$$

Thus $f - g^* \in L_p[0, 1]$, and, therefore, $g^* \in L_p[0, 1]$.

We can now show that g^* is the best nondecreasing L_p approximation to f from $L_p[0, 1]$.

THEOREM. If $f \in L_p[0, 1]$, then g^* , as given in Definition 2, is the unique best nondecreasing L_p approximation to f from $L_p[0, 1]$.

Proof. Let A_p be as in Lemma 5, and let $\{c_i\}$ be the discontinuities of x(c). By Lemma 5, A_p has measure one. Let $A_p^1 = A_p \setminus \bigcup_i (x(c_i -), x(c_i))$. Define $\phi_{g^*} = (f - g^*) | f - g^* |^{p-2}$. By Lemma 6, $\phi_{g^*} = 0$ on A_p^1 .

Now define $r(t) = \int_0^t \phi_{g^*}$. If t = x(c), then

$$r(t) = \int_{\mathcal{A}_p \cap [0, t]} \phi_{g^*}$$
$$= \sum_{c_i \leq c} \int_{x(c_i)}^{x(c_i)} \phi_{g^*}$$
$$= \sum_{c_i \leq c} \int_{x(c_i-)}^{x(c_i)} \phi_{c_i}$$
$$= 0, \qquad \text{by Lemma 7.}$$

If $x(c_j) \leq t < x(c_j)$, then

$$r(t) = \int_{x(c_j-)}^{t} \phi_{g^*}$$
$$= \int_{x(c_j-)}^{t} \phi_{c_j}$$
$$\ge 0, \qquad \text{by Lemma 7.}$$

We also have

$$r(1) = \sum_{i} \int_{x(c_i)}^{x(c_i)} \phi_{c_i} = 0.$$

Thus $r(t) \ge 0$.

Next we note that

$$\int_{0}^{1} g^{*} \phi_{g^{*}} = \int_{A_{p}} g^{*} \phi_{g^{*}}$$
$$= \sum_{i} \int_{x(c_{i})}^{x(c_{i})} c_{i} \phi_{c_{i}} = 0.$$

Now let g be a nondecreasing function in $L_p[0, 1]$. Define

$$g_n(x) = \begin{cases} g(x), & -n \le g(x) \le n \\ -n, & g(x) < -n \\ n, & n < g(x). \end{cases}$$

Then, pointwise, $g_n \to g$, $g_n \phi_{g^*} \to g \phi_{g^*}$, and $|g_n \phi_{g^*}| \leq |g \phi_{g^*}|$. By the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$\int_0^1 g_n \phi_{g^*} \to \int_0^1 g \phi_{g^*}$$

and, using integration by parts,

$$\int_0^1 g_n \phi_{g^*} = -\int_0^1 r(t) \, dg_n \leqslant 0,$$

since $r(t) \ge 0$ and g_n is nondecreasing. Therefore

$$\int_0^1 g\phi_{g^*} \leqslant 0 = \int_0^1 g^*\phi_{g^*}.$$

Thus, g^* is the best L_p nondecreasing approximation to f.

Remarks. (a) If $f \in C[0, 1]$, then Lemma 6 implies that x(c) is strictly increasing, and f is nondecreasing on

$$\{x(c): 0 < x(c) < 1\}$$
.

Furthermore the definition of g^* simplifies to

$$g^{*}(t) = \begin{cases} c_i, & x(c_i -) \le t \le x(c_i) \\ f(t), & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

where, as before, $\{c_i\}$ denotes the set of jumps of x(c).

(b) The method used in the proof of the theorem can be used in the proof of Lemma 8 to show that $g^* \equiv c_i$ is the best nondecreasing approximation to f on $[x(c_i-), x(c_i)]$.

References

- 1. R. B. DARST AND R. HUOTARI, Best L_1 -approximation of bounded approximately continuous functions on [0, 1] by nondecreasing functions, J. Approx. Theory 43 (1985), 178-189.
- 2. R. HUOTARI AND D. LEGG, Best monotone approximation in $L_1[0, 1]$, preprint.
- 3. R. HUOTARI AND D. LEGG, Monotone approximation in several variables, J. Approx. Theory 47 (1986), 219–227.
- R. HUOTARI, D. LEGG, A. MEYEROWITZ, AND D. TOWNSEND, The natural best L₁ approximation by nondecreasing functions. J. Approx. Theory 52 (1988), 132-140.
- R. HOLMES, "A Course in Optimization and Best Approximation," Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 257, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.

SWETITS AND WEINSTEIN

- 6. D. LANDERS AND L. ROGGE, Natural choice of L_1 approximants, J. Approx. Theory 33 (1981), 268–280.
- 7. W. RUDIN, "Real and Complex Analysis," 3rd cd., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1986.
- 8. P. W. SMITH AND J. J. SWETITS, Best approximation by monotone functions, J. Approx. Theory 49 (1987), 398-403.
- 9. V. A. UBHAYA, Isotone optimization, I, J. Approx. Theory 12 (1974), 146-159.
- 10. V. A. UBHAYA, Isotone optimization, II, J. Approx. Theory 12 (1974), 315-331.