**Old Dominion University**
**ODU Digital Commons**

Psychology Faculty Publications

Psychology

2010

# Most Common Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms Associated With Rheumatoid Arthritis in Persons of European Ancestry Confer Risk of Rheumatoid Arthritis in African Americans

Laura B. Hughes

Richard J. Reynolds

Elizabeth E. Brown

James M. Kelley

Brian Thomson

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/psychology_fac_pubs

Part of the Rheumatology Commons

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Psychology at ODU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Psychology Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of ODU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@odu.edu.

**Authors**

Laura B. Hughes, Richard J. Reynolds, Elizabeth E. Brown, James M. Kelley, Brian Thomson, Doyt L. Conn, Beth L. Jonas, Andrew O. Westfall, Miguel A. Padilla, and Leigh F. Callahan

# Most Common Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms Associated With Rheumatoid Arthritis in Persons of European Ancestry Confer Risk of Rheumatoid Arthritis in African Americans

Laura B. Hughes,[1] Richard J. Reynolds,[1] Elizabeth E. Brown,[1] James M. Kelley,[1]
Brian Thomson,[2] Doyt L. Conn,[3] Beth L. Jonas,[4] Andrew O. Westfall,[1] Miguel A. Padilla,[5]
Leigh F. Callahan,[4] Edwin A. Smith,[6] Richard D. Brasington,[7] Jeffrey C. Edberg,[1]
Robert P. Kimberly,[1] Larry W. Moreland,[8] Robert M. Plenge,[2] and S. Louis Bridges, Jr.[1]

*Objective.* Large-scale genetic association studies have identified >20 rheumatoid arthritis (RA) risk alleles among individuals of European ancestry. The influence of these risk alleles has not been comprehensively studied in African Americans. We therefore sought to examine whether these validated RA risk alleles are associated with RA risk in an African American population.

*Methods.* Twenty-seven candidate single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were genotyped in 556 autoantibody-positive African Americans with RA and 791 healthy African American control subjects. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) for each SNP were compared with previously published ORs for RA patients of European ancestry. We then calculated a composite genetic risk score (GRS) for each individual based on the sum of all risk alleles.

*Results.* Overlap of the ORs and 95% CIs between the European and African American populations was observed for 24 of the 27 candidate SNPs. Conversely, 3 of the 27 SNPs (*CCR6* rs3093023, *TAGAP* rs394581, and *TNFAIP3* rs6920220) demonstrated ORs in the opposite direction from those reported for RA patients of European ancestry. The GRS analysis indicated a small but highly significant probability that African American patients relative to control subjects were enriched for the risk alleles validated in European RA patients ($P = 0.00005$).

*Conclusion.* The majority of RA risk alleles previously validated for RA patients of European ancestry showed similar ORs in our population of African Americans with RA. Furthermore, the aggregate GRS supports the hypothesis that these SNPs are risk alleles for RA in the African American population. Future large-scale genetic studies are needed to validate these risk alleles and identify novel RA risk alleles in African Americans.

[1]Laura B. Hughes, MD, MSPH, Richard J. Reynolds, MD, PhD, Elizabeth E. Brown, PhD, MPH, James M. Kelley, PhD, Andrew O. Westfall, MS, Jeffrey C. Edberg, PhD, Robert P. Kimberly, MD, S. Louis Bridges, Jr., MD, PhD: University of Alabama at Birmingham; [2]Brian Thomson, BS, Robert M. Plenge, MD, PhD: Brigham and Women's Hospital and The Broad Institute, Boston, Massachusetts; [3]Doyt L. Conn, MD: Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia; [4]Beth L. Jonas, MD, Leigh F. Callahan, PhD: University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill; [5]Miguel A. Padilla, PhD: Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia; [6]Edwin A. Smith, MD: Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston; [7]Richard D. Brasington, MD: Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri; [8]Larry W. Moreland, MD: University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

Drs. Hughes and Reynolds contributed equally to this work.

Dr. Plenge has received consulting fees, speaking fees, and/or honoraria from Biogen Idec and Merck (less than $10,000 each).

Address correspondence and reprint requests to S. Louis Bridges, Jr., MD, PhD, Division of Clinical Immunology and Rheumatology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, 1530 3rd Avenue South, SHEL 210, Birmingham, AL 35294-2182. E-mail: lbridges@uab.edu.

Submitted for publication February 26, 2010; accepted in revised form August 24, 2010.

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a phenotypically heterogeneous, systemic autoimmune disease characterized by chronic destructive inflammation in synovial joints. The disease can be subdivided into 2 groups

**Table 1.** Characteristics of the autoantibody-positive rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients in CLEAR I and CLEAR II*

| Characteristic | CLEAR I (n = 228) | CLEAR II (n = 328) | P |
|---|---|---|---|
| Age at onset of RA, mean ± SD years | 48.8 ± 13.4 | 43.4 ± 14.0 | <0.0001 |
| Disease duration at enrollment, mean ± SD months | 12.8 ± 7.3 | 133.8 ± 112.7 | <0.0001 |
| Female sex, % | 84.2 | 83.5 | 0.83 |
| Anti-CCP antibody positive, % | 85.1 | 87.1 | 0.52 |
| RF positive, % | 95.7 | 96.6 | 0.62 |
| Both anti-CCP antibody and RF positive, % | 80.6 | 82.6 | 0.56 |
| HLA SE positive, % | 45.9 | 39.5 | 0.16 |
| Current smoker, % | 29.8 | 25.3 | 0.24 |
| Ever smoker, % | 52.2 | 54.6 | 0.58 |

* In the Consortium for the Longitudinal Evaluation of African Americans with Early Rheumatoid Arthritis Registry I (CLEAR I), the sample sizes were n = 211 for rheumatoid factor (RF) positivity and both anti–cyclic citrullinated peptide (anti-CCP) antibody and RF positivity, and n = 218 for HLA shared epitope (SE) positivity. In CLEAR II, the sample sizes were n = 324 for female sex, n = 271 for anti-CCP antibody positivity, n = 322 for RF positivity, n = 265 for both anti-CCP antibody and RF positivity, and n = 258 for HLA SE positivity. Differences between groups for demographic parameters were determined with *t*-tests for independent samples.

(autoantibody positive or autoantibody negative) according to the presence or absence of either rheumatoid factor (RF) or autoantibodies to cyclic citrullinated peptide (anti-CCP). Genetic and environmental risk factors and their interaction are also known contributors to RA pathogenesis (1). Advances in the field of human genetics have led to a dramatic increase in the number of disease risk alleles identified in RA patients of European ancestry, and at least 20 common risk alleles have been discovered to date (2,3). However, because the vast majority of the large-scale genetic association studies have been conducted in autoantibody-positive persons of European ancestry, the question about whether these alleles are associated with RA risk in other ethnic groups remains unaddressed. We sought to study the association of these previously identified RA risk loci in a large group of well-characterized African American patients with RA.

Specifically, we hypothesized that many of the risk loci identified in populations of European ancestry will also demonstrate risk for RA in African Americans. Most RA risk alleles outside of the major histocompatibility complex region have moderate effect sizes; thus, large sample sizes have been required for identification and replication. We anticipated that it would be difficult to demonstrate strong statistical support for individual risk alleles in our African American population of 556 autoantibody-positive patients with RA and 791 healthy control subjects. To address this limitation, we used 2 methodologic approaches. We first tested whether the odds ratios (ORs) for individual risk alleles are consistent (with overlapping 95% confidence intervals [95% CIs]) or inconsistent (with 95% CIs that do not overlap) between the European and African American populations. As a second step, we derived an aggregate genetic risk score (GRS) in our population of African American patients with RA and control subjects and analyzed whether the cumulative effects of the validated risk alleles for RA in Europeans also confer risk for RA in African Americans (4).

## PATIENTS AND METHODS

**Study subjects.** We analyzed 27 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in 556 African Americans with autoantibody-positive RA, defined as RF-positive serum or anti-CCP antibody–positive serum. The analysis was limited to autoantibody-positive individuals, because the risk alleles tested here were those previously validated in autoantibody-positive patients of European ancestry (2,5–7). All patients with RA were participants in the Consortium for the Longitudinal Evaluation of African Americans with Early Rheumatoid Arthritis (CLEAR) registry. The CLEAR registry enrolls self-identified African Americans who meet the American College of Rheumatology (formerly, the American Rheumatism Association) 1987 revised criteria for the classification of RA (8). CLEAR participants are recruited from the University of Alabama at Birmingham (coordinating site), Emory University/Grady Hospital, the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, the Medical University of South Carolina, and Washington University.

The CLEAR registry has 2 arms: CLEAR I (enrollment from 2000 to 2005), a longitudinal arm for patients with early RA (duration <2 years) who are followed up longitudinally until 5 years of disease duration, and CLEAR II (enrollment began in 2006 and is ongoing), a cross-sectional arm in which patients with any disease duration (typically longstanding) are seen at one time point. In the current study, we

analyzed only the autoantibody-positive participants: 228 patients with RA from CLEAR I and 328 patients with RA from CLEAR II. Detailed demographic and clinical data and DNA samples are available for CLEAR participants (see http://medicine.uab.edu/rheum/70918/ for details).

Healthy African American control subjects whose sex, age, and geographic location were similar to those of the patients with RA were recruited through the CLEAR registry. This group comprised 132 control subjects from CLEAR I and 171 control subjects from CLEAR II. The remaining 501 African American control subjects were recruited from the Birmingham, Alabama area. All participants provided informed consent under the approval of each respective institutional review board. Anti-CCP antibodies and RF were determined using previously described methods (9).

**Genotyping.** SNP selection was based on previously identified and validated risk alleles in autoantibody-positive RA patients of European ancestry (5,6,10–22) as well as those identified in a recent genome-wide association study (GWAS) meta-analysis by Stahl et al (7), which included >5,000 autoantibody-positive European patients with RA and 20,000 control subjects (Table 2).

SNP genotyping was performed at the Broad Institute using the Sequenom iPLEX assay, as previously described (15). For quality control, we required that each SNP meet the following criteria: a missing-genotype rate of <10%, a minor allele frequency (MAF) of >1%, and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, with $P > 0.001$. We then excluded individuals for whom data were missing for >10% of the SNPs passing quality control.

**Statistical analysis.** We performed SNP associations with RA risk using the software package Plink v1.06 (http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/purcell/plink) (23). ORs reflected the differences between patients and control subjects for MAFs in the African American population and were tested with Pearson's chi-square test. The analysis included 556 autoantibody-positive patients with RA and 791 control subjects, after filtering was done for quality control. We did not perform a Bonferroni adjustment, because each of the SNPs tested is a validated risk allele for RA in the European population. Had we used a Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons, we would not have declared statistical significance unless the $P$ value was less than the nominal alpha level of 0.002 (i.e., 0.05 divided by 27).

We compared ORs for RA patients of European ancestry with those for our population of African American patients with RA. The ORs and 95% CIs for European patients were derived from a meta-analysis of 5,539 autoantibody-positive patients with RA and 20,169 control subjects of European descent (7). Differences in ORs between the European and African American populations were considered to be significant if the 95% CIs of the ORs did not overlap. The statistical power to detect a significant association of SNPs with RA in African Americans was calculated using the Genetic Power Calculator (24). These power calculations were based on the ORs for association with RA in the European population, the sample size of African Americans, and the MAFs in the African American population.

As a final step, we derived an aggregate GRS in our population of autoantibody-positive African American patients with RA and control subjects (4). For each subject, the sum (or count) of the number of risk alleles across the 27 SNPs

was calculated (possible range 0–54). We chose a GRS count approach rather than a weighted GRS (in which each SNP in the GRS is weighted by the OR), because we did not want to assume that the OR for African Americans was the same as the OR for individuals of European ancestry. The total number of risk versus non-risk alleles between patients and control subjects was then assessed using contingency table analysis, and the hypothesis that the type of allele was independent of case or control status was assessed with Pearson's chi-square statistic. Equivalently, the GRS score (probability that the individual had risk alleles) was modeled as a binomial response with case–control status as a dichotomous predictor, using generalized linear models. Both approaches were implemented in the statistical package R (25).

## RESULTS

The characteristics of the patients with RA who are participants in CLEAR I and CLEAR II are shown in Table 1. The demographic characteristics of the 2 groups were similar, with the exception of values for the mean age at RA onset and disease duration, which were significantly different in CLEAR II participants than in CLEAR I participants because of differences in inclusion criteria for the 2 CLEAR arms.

The allele frequencies of each of the candidate SNPs tested for association with RA risk in our African American cohort are shown in Table 2. Notably, some RA risk alleles were present at a substantially lower frequency in African Americans compared with Europeans (e.g., *ANKRD55*, *PTPN22*, *IRF5*, *IL2/IL21*). We previously reported the allele frequencies and ORs for 5 SNPs in the *STAT4* region (rs11889341, rs10931481, rs7574865, rs8179673, and rs10181656) in 723 African American patients with RA (including the 556 autoantibody-positive patients reported here, plus autoantibody-negative patients) and 660 of the control subjects (26). The MAFs for African American patients with RA and control subjects for the *STAT4* rs11889341 T allele were determined to be 0.141 and 0.133, respectively, using ABI TaqMan assays. These values are consistent with results obtained using the Sequenom platform, as in the current study.

We compared the ORs and 95% CIs for our African American population with those reported in a recently published meta-analysis of RA patients of European ancestry (7). Of the 27 SNPs tested, 24 demonstrated ORs and 95% CIs that overlapped between the European and African American populations (Table 2 and Figure 1). Only 1 of the 24 SNPs, *CTLA4* rs3087243, showed a statistically significant association with RA in African Americans (OR 0.75 [95% CI 0.62–0.91], $P = 0.003$). Seven of the 24 SNPs (*TNFAIP3* rs10499194,

**Table 2.** Comparison of ORs for risk alleles in the European and African American populations*

| SNP | Chr | Gene | Minor allele/ major allele | MAF Patients | MAF Controls | P | OR (95% CI) African Americans | OR (95% CI) Europeans | MAF, European patients | P |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| rs3087243 | 2 | *CTLA4* | A/G | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.003 | 0.75 (0.62–0.91) | 0.87 (0.83–0.91) | 0.44 | 0.340 |
| rs11889341† | 2 | *STAT4* | T/C | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.071 | 1.22 (0.98–1.53) | 1.16 (1.10–1.23) | 0.22 | 0.231 |
| rs1980422 | 2 | *CD28* | C/T | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.073 | 1.19 (0.98–1.44) | 1.12 (1.06–1.18) | 0.24 | 0.216 |
| rs3761847 | 9 | *TRAF1-C5* | A/G | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.128 | 1.13 (0.97–1.32) | 1.13 (1.08–1.18) | 0.43 | 0.326 |
| rs6859219 | 5 | *ANKRD55* | T/C | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.144 | 0.73 (0.48–1.12) | 0.85 (0.78–0.93) | 0.18 | 0.139 |
| rs10499194‡ | 6 | *TNFAIP3* | T/C | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.164 | 1.16 (0.94–1.42) | 0.91 (0.87–0.96) | 0.27 | 0.148 |
| rs13031237 | 2 | *REL* | T/G | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.185 | 1.20 (0.92–1.56) | 1.13 (1.07–1.18) | 0.37 | 0.146 |
| rs934734 | 2 | *SPRED2* | G/A | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.231 | 1.10 (0.94–1.28) | 1.13 (1.06–1.21) | 0.52 | 0.346 |
| rs2812378 | 9 | *CCL21* | G/A | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.232 | 1.10 (0.94–1.28) | 1.10 (1.05–1.16) | 0.34 | 0.228 |
| rs3890745 | 1 | *TNFRSF14* | C/T§ | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.270 | 0.92 (0.79–1.07) | 0.89 (0.85–0.94) | 0.32 | 0.318 |
| rs11586238‡ | 1 | *CD58* | G/C | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.281 | 0.87 (0.67–1.13) | 1.13 (1.07–1.19) | 0.24 | 0.164 |
| rs10919563‡ | 1 | *PTPRC* | A/G | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.342 | 1.08 (0.92–1.26) | 0.88 (0.82–0.94) | 0.13 | 0.362 |
| rs548234 | 6 | *PRDM1* | C/T | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.436 | 1.12 (0.84–1.49) | 1.10 (1.05–1.16) | 0.33 | 0.100 |
| rs2476601 | 1 | PTPN22 | A/G | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.507 | 1.23 (0.66–2.29) | 1.94 (1.81–2.08) | 0.10 | 0.665 |
| rs10488631 | 7 | *IRF5* | T/C | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.530 | 1.16 (0.72–1.87) | 1.25 (1.14–1.37) | 0.13 | 0.156 |
| rs3218253 | 22 | *IL2RB* | A/G | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.575 | 1.07 (0.85–1.33) | 1.09 (1.03–1.15) | 0.26 | 0.121 |
| rs706778 | 10 | *IL2RA* | C/T | 0.50 | 0.49 | 0.656 | 1.04 (0.89–1.21) | 1.11 (1.06–1.17) | 0.44 | 0.268 |
| rs26232 | 5 | *C5orf13, GIN1* | T/C | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.687 | 0.97 (0.82–1.14) | 0.93 (0.88–0.98) | 0.29 | 0.138 |
| rs540386 | 11 | *TRAF6* | T/C | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.756 | 0.97 (0.81–1.16) | 0.88 (0.83–0.94) | 0.14 | 0.307 |
| rs874040 | 4 | *RBPJ* | C/G | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.771 | 1.02 (0.87–1.21) | 1.18 (1.12–1.24) | 0.33 | 0.530 |
| rs13315591‡ | 3 | *PXK* | C/T | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.799 | 0.98 (0.83–1.15) | 1.13 (1.04–1.23) | 0.10 | 0.326 |
| rs4750316‡ | 10 | *PRKCQ* | C/G | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.813 | 1.02 (0.87–1.19) | 0.87 (0.82–0.92) | 0.19 | 0.417 |
| rs6822844‡ | 4 | *IL2, IL21* | T/G | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.829 | 1.06 (0.63–1.77) | 0.90 (0.84–0.95) | 0.18 | 0.069 |
| rs4810485‡ | 20 | *CD40* | T/G | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.908 | 1.02 (0.75–1.38) | 0.85 (0.80–0.90) | 0.25 | 0.196 |
| rs3093023 | 6 | *CCR6* | A/G | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.035 | 0.79 (0.64–0.98) | 1.11 (1.06–1.16) | 0.47 | 0.175 |
| rs394581 | 6 | *TAGAP* | C/T | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0.080 | 1.15 (0.98–1.34) | 0.91 (0.87–0.96) | 0.30 | 0.225 |
| rs6920220 | 6 | *TNFAIP3* | A/G | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.321 | 0.88 (0.68–1.13) | 1.22 (1.16–1.29) | 0.22 | 0.383 |

* Minor and major alleles refer to the African American population. The bottom 3 rows show the odds ratios (ORs) for 3 risk alleles (single-nucleotide polymorphisms [SNPs]) that were inconsistent between African American and European populations. Note that the ORs for all 3 of these SNPs were in opposite directions, and the 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) did not overlap. MAF = minor allele frequency.
† Genotyping results have previously been reported for this SNP, which is a proxy for rs7574865 (see Discussion).
‡ ORs are in opposite directions, but 95% CIs in the 2 populations overlap, indicating no statistically significant difference.
§ The minor allele for SNP rs3890745 (*TNFRSF14*) was switched for computing the African American OR to reflect the minor allele found in the European population.

*CD58* rs11586238, *PTPRC* rs10919563, *PXK* rs13315591, *PRKCQ* rs4750316, *IL2/IL21* rs6822844, and *CD40* rs4810485) demonstrated ORs in the direction opposite to that seen in RA patients of European ancestry, but the 95% CIs overlapped between the 2 populations, and there was no statistically significant difference between African American patients with RA and control subjects.

As shown in Table 2 (bottom 3 rows) and Figure 1, evidence for differences in the ORs between the 2 ethnic groups was observed for 3 of the 27 SNPs (*CCR6* rs3093023, *TAGAP* rs394581, and *TNFAIP3* rs6920220). Of these 3 SNPs, only *CCR6* rs3093023 showed a statistically significant association with RA in African Americans (OR 0.79 [95% CI 0.64–0.98], P = 0.035). All 3 of these risk alleles had ORs that were in the opposite

directions between the African American and European populations.

To overcome the limitation in statistical power due to the relatively small size of our cohort of African American patients with RA, we calculated a GRS based on all RA risk alleles for each person in the study. This approach combines the effect of each risk allele into a single aggregate score, which can then be used to test whether the GRS differentiates between patients with RA and control subjects. The GRS analysis demonstrated that the probability of having risk alleles was higher in patients (mean GRS = 0.43) than in control subjects (mean GRS = 0.41; P = 0.00005) (Figure 2). To exclude the possibility that the observed difference was due to a single SNP with a large effect size, we repeated the GRS analysis without the *CTLA4 rs3087243* SNP;

**Figure 1.** Scatter plot of the odds ratios (ORs) for single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), with replicated association in European populations on the ordinate and ORs for the same SNPs tested in the African American population on the abscissa. Solid symbols indicate SNPs for which the ORs are consistent between populations, and open symbols indicate SNPs for which the ORs are inconsistent between populations. The 2 triangles represent the SNPs (rs3087243 and rs3093023) that have statistically significant association with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) in the African American sample. The solid diamond at the top of the figure represents *PTPN22*, which has ORs of 1.94 and 1.23 in RA patients of European ancestry and African American patients with RA, respectively. The diagonal line represents the equal OR between the 2 populations.

the result remained significant (for patients, GRS = 0.41; for control subjects, GRS = 0.40 [$P = 0.0003$]). This observation supports the hypothesis that many risk alleles observed in European patients with RA are also found in African American patients with RA.

## DISCUSSION

To date, the majority of GWAS and subsequent meta-analyses of GWAS data in RA have focused on individuals of European and East Asian ancestry. It has become clear from these and other large-scale genetic studies of complex diseases such as RA that genetic risk loci can differ between these different ethnic groups (18,22,27). There is a paucity of data from large, well-characterized groups of African American patients with RA. In this study, we sought to test the hypothesis that RA risk alleles validated in populations of patients of European ancestry would also be associated with RA in African Americans. The power to test individual risk alleles in our African American sample was limited compared with that in studies in European patients with RA. The results of this study, however, demonstrated (via the GRS analysis) that, cumulatively, risk alleles for

RA in Europeans also confer risk for RA in African Americans. Therefore, we conclude that the 2 populations are best characterized as being genetically homogeneous with respect to validated risk alleles for RA.

We observed that the ORs between the European and African American populations were consistent for 24 of the 27 risk loci validated in Europeans with RA. One interpretation of this finding is that the genetic etiology of RA risk in the 2 populations is very similar. However, only 1 of the validated RA risk alleles in Europeans achieved statistically significant association with the risk of RA in the African American population (*CTLA4* rs3087243). Although the CLEAR cohort is the largest group of African American patients with RA currently available for analysis, the current study had limited power to detect genetic associations. This limited power, as shown in Table 2, can be attributed to the small effect size of many individual risk alleles and the low frequency of some risk alleles in the African American population. The limited power to detect association signals also affected our ability to demonstrate between-population inconsistencies for the ORs. For example, the low-frequency *PTNP22* SNP rs2476601 (MAF = 0.02) showed the largest between-population difference



**Figure 2.** Cumulative distribution of the genetic risk scores (GRS) between patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA; red) and control subjects (blue). The y-axis represents the cumulative probability that patients or control subjects have the given GRS. The GRS is defined as the individual proportion of risk alleles carried for the 27 single-nucleotide polymorphisms that have validated association with RA in populations of European ancestry. The means are 0.43 for patients and 0.41 for control subjects.

(Figure 1), with ORs of 1.23 in African Americans and 1.94 in Europeans. However, due to the large 95% CIs for the OR for African Americans, we were unable to conclude that these ORs were different between populations (Table 2).

We observed differences in the direction of the ORs between European and African American populations for 3 loci (*CCR6* rs3093023, *TAGAP* rs394581, and *TNFAIP3* rs6920220). There are at least 3 explanations for these differences. First, inconsistent ORs might be attributable to weak correlations between tag SNPs and the causal allele (which has not yet been identified) with actual risk of RA. It is known from the International HapMap Project that the linkage disequilibrium structure between European and African American populations may be different for common SNPs in any given locus. Such an effect may be particularly striking if the causal allele is rare in the population (e.g., frequency <5%) (28). The second possible explanation is that these differences may be explained by genetic heterogeneity; a risk allele in European patients with RA may operate differently in African Americans in terms of its effect on RA risk. Such population-specific differences may reflect gene–gene or gene–environment interactions. The third possible explanation is that the inconsistency may simply be attributable to chance, given the number of hypotheses tested. Further investigation of these alleles among individuals of African ancestry is needed to explore these possibilities.

Although this study had limited statistical power because of the small sample size, when the SNP risk alleles were viewed together in the GRS analysis, there was evidence that the risk alleles in Europeans are also risk alleles in African Americans. The GRS was shown to be significantly different between patients with RA and control subjects, even after excluding the *CTLA4* SNP with the largest effect size. However, this approach indicates that even though most of the individual markers were not statistically associated with RA in African Americans, they may contribute to a panel of alleles that collectively confer risk.

Future studies of large, well-characterized cohorts of African American patients with RA and control subjects are needed to definitively determine whether the RA risk alleles in Europeans are associated with RA risk in African Americans. Large-scale GWAS in African American patients with RA are also needed in order to explore novel risk alleles among this genetically admixed ethnic group. We believe that detailed genetic studies of African Americans with RA will lead to important insights into the pathogenesis of this disease.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors were involved in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content, and all authors approved the final version to be published. Dr. Bridges, Jr. had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

**Study conception and design.** Hughes, Brown, Jonas, Smith, Brasington, Edberg, Moreland, Plenge, Bridges, Jr.

**Acquisition of data.** Hughes, Jonas, Callahan, Smith, Brasington, Edberg, Kimberly, Moreland, Plenge, Bridges, Jr.

**Analysis and interpretation of data.** Hughes, Reynolds, Brown, Kelley, Thomson, Conn, Westfall, Padilla, Smith, Brasington, Plenge, Bridges, Jr.

## REFERENCES

1. Mahdi H, Fisher BA, Kallberg H, Plant D, Malmstrom V, Ronnelid J, et al. Specific interaction between genotype, smoking and autoimmunity to citrullinated α-enolase in the etiology of rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Genet 2009;41:1319–24.
2. Raychaudhuri S, Thomson BP, Remmers EF, Eyre S, Hinks A, Guiducci C, et al. Genetic variants at CD28, PRDM1 and CD2/CD58 are associated with rheumatoid arthritis risk. Nat Genet 2009;41:1313–8.
3. Plenge RM. Recent progress in rheumatoid arthritis genetics: one step towards improved patient care. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2009; 21:262–71.
4. Karlson EW, Chibnik LB, Kraft P, Cui J, Keenan BT, Ding B, et al. Cumulative association of 22 genetic variants with seropositive rheumatoid arthritis risk. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:1077–85.
5. Raychaudhuri S, Remmers EF, Lee AT, Hackett R, Guiducci C, Burtt NP, et al. Common variants at CD40 and other loci confer risk of rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Genet 2008;40:1216–23.
6. Gregersen PK, Amos CI, Lee AT, Lu Y, Remmers EF, Kastner DL, et al. REL, encoding a member of the NF-κB family of transcription factors, is a newly defined risk locus for rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Genet 2009;41:820–3.
7. Stahl EA, Raychaudhuri S, Remmers EF, Xie G, Eyre S, Thomson B, et al. Genome-wide association study meta-analysis identifies 7 novel rheumatoid arthritis risk loci. Nat Genet 2010;42:508–14.
8. Arnett FC, Edworthy SM, Bloch DA, McShane DJ, Fries JF, Cooper NS, et al. The American Rheumatism Association 1987 revised criteria for the classification of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1988;31:315–24.
9. Mikuls TR, Holers VM, Parrish L, Kuhn KA, Conn DL, Gilkeson G, et al. Anti–cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody and rheumatoid factor isotypes in African Americans with early rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2006;54:3057–9.
10. Barton A, Thomson W, Ke X, Eyre S, Hinks A, Bowes J, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis susceptibility loci at chromosomes 10p15, 12q13 and 22q13. Nat Genet 2008;40:1156–9.
11. Barton A, Thomson W, Ke X, Eyre S, Hinks A, Bowes J, et al. Re-evaluation of putative rheumatoid arthritis susceptibility genes in the post-genome wide association study era and hypothesis of a key pathway underlying susceptibility. Hum Mol Genet 2008;17: 2274–9.

12. Begovich AB, Carlton VE, Honigberg LA, Schrodi SJ, Chokkalingam AP, Alexander HC, et al. A missense single-nucleotide polymorphism in a gene encoding a protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTPN22) is associated with rheumatoid arthritis. Am J Hum Genet 2004;75:330–7.

13. Chang M, Rowland CM, Garcia VE, Schrodi SJ, Catanese JJ, van der Helm-van Mil AH, et al. A large-scale rheumatoid arthritis genetic study identifies association at chromosome 9q33.2. PLoS Genet 2008;4:e1000107.

14. Kurreeman FA, Padyukov L, Marques RB, Schrodi SJ, Seddighzadeh M, Stoeken-Rijsbergen G, et al. A candidate gene approach identifies the TRAF1/C5 region as a risk factor for rheumatoid arthritis. PLoS Med 2007;4:e278.

15. Plenge RM, Cotsapas C, Davies L, Price AL, de Bakker PI, Maller J, et al. Two independent alleles at 6q23 associated with risk of rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Genet 2007;39:1477–82.

16. Plenge RM, Seielstad M, Padyukov L, Lee AT, Remmers EF, Ding B, et al. TRAF1-C5 as a risk locus for rheumatoid arthritis: a genomewide study. N Engl J Med 2007;357:1199–209.

17. Remmers EF, Plenge RM, Lee AT, Graham RR, Hom G, Behrens TW, et al. STAT4 and the risk of rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus. N Engl J Med 2007;357:977–86.

18. Suzuki A, Yamada R, Chang X, Tokuhiro S, Sawada T, Suzuki M, et al. Functional haplotypes of PADI4, encoding citrullinating enzyme peptidylarginine deiminase 4, are associated with rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Genet 2003;34:395–402.

19. Thomson W, Barton A, Ke X, Eyre S, Hinks A, Bowes J, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis association at 6q23. Nat Genet 2007;39:1431–3.

20. Plenge RM, Padyukov L, Remmers EF, Purcell S, Lee AT, Karlson EW, et al. Replication of putative candidate-gene associations with rheumatoid arthritis in >4,000 samples from North America and Sweden: association of susceptibility with PTPN22, CTLA4, and PADI4. Am J Hum Genet 2005;77:1044–60.

21. Zhernakova A, Alizadeh BZ, Bevova M, van Leeuwen MA, Coenen MJ, Franke B, et al. Novel association in chromosome 4q27 region with rheumatoid arthritis and confirmation of type 1 diabetes point to a general risk locus for autoimmune diseases. Am J Hum Genet 2007;81:1284–8.

22. Suzuki A, Yamada R, Kochi Y, Sawada T, Okada Y, Matsuda K, et al. Functional SNPs in CD244 increase the risk of rheumatoid arthritis in a Japanese population. Nat Genet 2008;40:1224–9.

23. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet 2007; 81:559–75.

24. Purcell S, Cherny SS, Sham PC. Genetic Power Calculator: design of linkage and association genetic mapping studies of complex traits. Bioinformatics 2003;19:149–50.

25. R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2008. Online at http://www.r-project.org/.

26. Kelley JM, Hughes LB, Malik A, Danila MI, Edberg Y, Alarcon GS, et al. Genetic variants of STAT4 associated with rheumatoid arthritis in persons of Asian and European ancestry do not replicate in African-Americans. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:778–9.

27. Lee HS, Korman BD, Le JM, Kastner DL, Remmers EF, Gregersen PK, et al. Genetic risk factors for rheumatoid arthritis differ in Caucasian and Korean populations. Arthritis Rheum 2009;60:364–71.

28. Dickson SP, Wang K, Krantz I, Hakonarson H, Goldstein DB. Rare variants create synthetic genome-wide associations. PLoS Biol 2010;8:e1000294.