

## Old Dominion University ODU Digital Commons

---

Communication Disorders & Special Education  
Faculty Publications

Communication Disorders & Special Education

---

2011

# Gains, Losses, and Life Goals Identified by Caregivers of Individuals with Disabilities in the United States


Sharon A. Raver

*Old Dominion University*, [sraverla@odu.edu](mailto:sraverla@odu.edu)

Anne M. P. Michalek

*Old Dominion University*, [aperrott@odu.edu](mailto:aperrott@odu.edu)

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/cdse\\_pubs](https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/cdse_pubs)

 Part of the [Family, Life Course, and Society Commons](#), [Public Policy Commons](#), and the [Social Welfare Commons](#)

---

### Repository Citation

Raver, Sharon A. and Michalek, Anne M. P., "Gains, Losses, and Life Goals Identified by Caregivers of Individuals with Disabilities in the United States" (2011). *Communication Disorders & Special Education Faculty Publications*. 4.  
[https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/cdse\\_pubs/4](https://digitalcommons.odu.edu/cdse_pubs/4)

### Original Publication Citation

Raver, S. A., & Michalek, A. M. (2011). Gains, losses, and life goals identified by caregivers of individuals with disabilities in the United States. *Social Welfare: Interdisciplinary Approach*, 2(1), 78-84. doi: 10.21277/swv2i1.192

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Communication Disorders & Special Education at ODU Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Communication Disorders & Special Education Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of ODU Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@odu.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@odu.edu).

# GAINS, LOSSES, AND LIFE GOALS IDENTIFIED BY CAREGIVERS OF INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES IN THE UNITED STATES

*Sharon A. Raver, Anne M. P. Michalek*  
*Old Dominion University*  
*Norfolk, VA USA*

## **Abstract**

It is often reported that caregivers of individuals with disabilities experience stress as they manage caregiving responsibilities while they make the effort to balance family and work. Thirty-one caregivers of individuals with an array of disabilities in the United States completed a qualitative survey in this pilot study that asked them to identify their gains and losses from providing care and to identify their life goals. The gains from caregiving were identified as enhanced empathy and compassion, and the losses as strained family relationships, and less personal time. The most commonly identified life goals were experiencing happiness and achieving financial stability. The implications of these results on professionals' attempts to support caregivers and their families are discussed.

**Key words:** *caregivers of children/adults with disabilities, life goals, stress, family relationships, disability policy, disability governmental support.*

## **Introduction**

Parents, siblings, and extended family members may experience stress and an array of other feelings as they adjust to the demands of caring for someone with special needs while trying to balance family, work, and their other responsibilities (McDonald, Poertner, & Pierpoint 1999; Plant & Sanders 2007; Raina et al., 2004). Providing care for an individual with a disability may drain physical and emotional energy, as well as financial resources (Floyd & Gallagher, 1997; Murphy et al., 2006). Research has shown that caregivers of children with disabilities report increased health and psychological problems when compared to parents of children without disabilities (Florian & Findler, 2001; Maes et al., 2003; Murphy et al., 2006). In addition, expenses for medical procedures, therapies, specialized care, and adaptations increase the ongoing financial burdens placed on caregivers of children with special needs, causing parents greater worry and tension (Parish et al., 2008). Although parents and guardians expect to perform caregiving tasks during the upbringing of a young child, these responsibilities take on a new significance when a child is diagnosed with a disability. With a disability, roles and duties may change, and caregivers often face the possibility that a child may require long-term care beyond the typical child-rearing years (Raina et al., 2005).

Most of the studies that have examined the needs and concerns of caregivers of individuals with special needs have focused on the experiences of one kind of caregiver, typically mothers, who care for young children (Florian & Findler, 2001; Harden, 2005; Judge, 1998; McDonald et al., 1999; Murphy et al., 2006; Plant & Sanders, 2007; Rosenzweig, Brennan, & Ogilvie, 2002), examined a specific disability group such as intellectual disabilities (Grant & Whittell, 2000; White & Hastings, 2004) or emotional and behavior disorders (Harden, 2005; McDonald et al., 1999), or examined relatively few variables that may affect the lives of those in the caregiving role (King et al., 1999). To be responsive, professionals need a better understanding of the broad objectives which guide caregivers as they provide day-to-day care for an individual with special needs, regardless of the disability, the caregiver's relationship to the individual, or whether the individual needing assistance is a child or an adult. These objectives, or life goals, are the foundation of each family's definition of what constitutes a good quality of life (Bailey et al., 1998). Human services professionals may be more effective in implementing policies, making policy recommendations and, ultimately, may be better equipped to offer responsive support services to families if they have more knowledge of what families are striving to achieve in their lives.

**The object of the research:** The present pilot study asked caregivers to identify the benefits and losses from caregiving and to identify their life goals.

**The aim of the research:** It was hypothesized that there may be more commonalities, than differences, in caregivers' goals regarding how they would like to live their lives.

### **Methodology and Organization**

#### *Research sample*

Thirty-one caregivers of individuals with a variety of disabilities participated. A caregiver was defined as any individual, at least 18 of years of age, who assumed at least 50% daily caregiving responsibility of an individual with any type or degree of a disability. A disability was defined as a condition that was identified as a disability by the medical community, such as autism spectrum disorder, cerebral palsy, that required some accommodation or modification in how that individual lived his/her life in order for the individual to reach his/her optimal functioning. The characteristics of respondents, and those for whom they provided care, are summarized in Table 1. The majority of respondents were female (74%) and were responsible for caring for a child (73.0%). Most of the caregivers were married (48.8%) and had completed a college education (61.3%). Respondents indicated that their family members were diagnosed with a range of disabilities with the most common being a physical disability (33.1%). The majority of caregivers indicated that their family members' disability fell in the moderate (46%) level of impairment.

To examine the benefits and losses of adults who served as caregivers of individuals with special needs, and their life goals, a qualitative survey was developed. The survey was distributed to two educational facilities which served children with special needs (preschool through middle school grades) and two family support groups located in the southeastern section of a southern state in the United States. All participants completed a paper survey which contained instructions and a self-addressed, stamped envelope so surveys could be returned anonymously. Responses were written directly on the survey which took about 15 minutes to complete. All participants completed the survey voluntarily. Of the one hundred and thirty surveys that were distributed, thirty-one were returned, a 23% return rate. Many in the potential sample refused participation citing they did not have time to complete the survey, which may explain the low return rate.

Table 1

Characteristics of Caregivers and Family Members			
Caregiver	Percent of Respondents	Family Member Who Receives Care	Percent of Respondents
Gender		Relationship to Caregiver	
Female	74.0	Child	73.0
Male	26.0	Sibling	14.1
Age		Relative	12.9
Up to 20 years	3.2	Age	
Up to 30 years	22.6	Up to 6 years	32.3
Up to 40 years	29.0	Up to 20 years	48.2
50 years and older	45.2	Up to 40 years	9.7
Living Status		Up to 65 years	6.5
Married	48.8	Older than 65 years	3.3
Alone	35.5	Disability	
With a partner	15.7	Autism	19.4
Time caring for family member with disability		Down's Syndrome	19.4
Up to 10 years	58.0	Multiple Disabilities	9.7
Up to 20 years	32.3	Mental Retardation	19.4
Longer than 21 years	9.7	Physical Disability	33.1
Education		Severity of Disability	
Primary	3.2	Mild	29.0
Secondary	12.9	Moderate	46.0
Vocational training	23.4	Severe	25.0
University	61.3		
Currently Employed			
Yes	64.5		
Part-time	12.9		
No	23.4		

The survey consisted of 5 open-ended questions, in which respondents could write their opinion. The survey asked for demographic information about the caregiver: gender; marital status, age, educational level, length of time the respondent had been caring for the identified family member; and current employment status. Further, information about the individual being cared for was gathered: the disability, the severity of the disability, age of the individual, and the relationship of the individual to the respondent. The next part of the survey requested write-in responses to what respondents considered their "biggest gains" and "biggest losses" from serving as a caregiver. In the last section, respondents wrote their two most important life goals. Life goals were defined as a driving force which gave life purpose and comprised what a person lived for or desired to achieve. All responses were summarized and clustered into principal ideas.

### Results of the research

Respondents' answers to the gains and losses as a result of being a caregiver are summarized in Table 2. The most commonly reported gains were a renewed positive perspective on life and joy from observing a family member learn new skills. The most common losses identified were strained relationships within and outside the family, including concerns for siblings, and a reduction in social or personal time. Finally, respondents were asked to identify their two most important life goals. The most common life goals reported were: 1) experiencing happiness, and 2) achieving financial stability.

Table 2

Summarized Write-In Responses to Survey Question: “What do you perceive as the biggest gains and losses from providing long-term care to a family member with a disability?”

Perceived Gains	Perceived Losses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Knowing I have grown as a person</li> <li>• Patience; Humbleness; Gratitude</li> <li>• Satisfaction from being helpful</li> <li>• A new perspective on life</li> <li>• Ability to prioritize what’s really important</li> <li>• Empathy, compassion, and improved insight about others</li> <li>• Kinder treatment of others</li> <li>• An increased understanding and knowledge about children/adults with special needs</li> <li>• Rejoicing while observing my child’s constant improvement with independent living</li> <li>• Joy at watching my child learning to talk</li> <li>• Excitement at watching my child learn new things due to my consistency and skill in instruction/parenting</li> <li>• Knowing my family member is safe and has a good quality of life</li> <li>• Having a strong bond with family members and knowing my child knows her/his family</li> <li>• Experiencing unconditional love</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Financial stability and security</li> <li>• Loss of income</li> <li>• Frustration and anger when schools do not meet child’s needs</li> <li>• No social life and no opportunity to start a relationship</li> <li>• No opportunity to have an intimate relationship with spouse</li> <li>• Loss of personal sense of self</li> <li>• Loss of free time/freedom</li> <li>• Having to wait to have more children</li> <li>• Loss of ability to sustain normalcy in public</li> <li>• Understanding that child will be different from other children</li> <li>• Loss of my original dreams for family member to be independent</li> <li>• Siblings have to sacrifice and have a different childhood, with increased responsibilities</li> <li>• Difficult for grandparents and other family members</li> </ul>

### Conclusions and discussion

It is generally accepted that being a caregiver for an individual with a disability can involve prolonged periods of time, energy, unpleasant tasks, and frequent disruption of family routines, particularly when health concerns are involved (Schultz & Quitner, 1998). Like the present study, others have found that some caregivers report feeling a loss of control over day-to-day events (Murphy et al., 2006). Additional evidence which may support this observation is the large number of caregivers who refused to complete the survey, stating that they did not have time for anything that was not mandatory.

In examining the gains and losses of caregiving, the respondents reported experiencing what may seem as contradictory feelings. That is, the same caregivers who reported feeling a loss of their personal identity simultaneously reported that they felt enriched by their current caregiving role. This may be interpreted to mean that the caregivers in this study could experience strained family relationships, while at the same time, experience an understanding that the source of these was not a singularly negative factor in their lives.

The family member for whom they provided care could cause stressful feelings but these individuals could also provide caregivers with love and, at times, be a source of pride. In this small sample of respondents, this finding appeared to be especially the case when the caregiver was a parent, rather than a relative.

Other research has found both negative and positive outcomes from the caregiving role (Grant & Whittell, 2000; Heiman, 2002). However, as encouraging as this outcome may be, professionals must still be concerned about the long-term resilience of the caregivers they serve. The daily struggles of life can deplete anyone's coping abilities suddenly and unexpectedly. When stress extends beyond what may be viewed as reasonable by a caregiver, any caregiver can crumble under the strain. If caregiver's needs are not acknowledged and sufficiently managed, the situation can create additive stress on caregivers which can affect the entire family's psychological, financial, and emotional well-being. The respondents' responses to the "losses" associated with caregiving responsibilities seemed to support this view. The majority of caregivers reported that constant financial pressure, the difficulty of maintaining "normalcy" in public, the loss of freedom (e.g., personal free time, travel) and the strain on family and extra-family relationships were significant sources of distress. This information, although perhaps not new in itself, is useful to any professional serving families with individuals with special needs. Sometimes through repetition, professionals may forget the urgency with which these emotions may be impacting the caregivers with whom they interact. Staying vigilantly aware of this reality should help service providers better gauge the status and current needs of the caregivers they serve.

#### **Caregiver Identified Life Goals**

Stress has been conceived as a balance between external environmental demands and the perceived internal ability to respond (Raina et al., 2005). The caregivers in this study suggested that their life goals were a source of stress to them if achievement was inhibited by caregiving demands. The implications of each life goal will be discussed.

*Goal to experience happiness.* Consistently caregivers reported that services which focused on the *whole family unit* were the most useful to them, and consequently, added to their desire for happiness. The caregivers in this pilot study seemed to understand that dealing with complex needs for a long period of time can wear one down, both physically and emotionally. The research suggests that this is especially true when the individual receiving care has psychiatric and/or behavioral problems (Maes et al., 2003). As one's energy is depleted, it may be safe to surmise that happiness becomes more difficult to achieve. Respite care and other kinds of care relief programs were a common need identified as fundamental to those surveyed. As they noted, these programs permitted them to recharge emotionally and physically. Unfortunately, during challenging economic times, it is usually care relief programs that are some of the first to be cut, even though families may consider them fundamental to their ability to cope and manage stress.

*Goal to experience financial stability.* Financial stability/security appeared elusive to many of the respondents in this study. The majority of caregivers indicated that present financial supports provided to families in the United States were inadequate. Emerson (2003) indicated that often mothers reduce the number of hours they work or leave jobs to provide care for a child with a disability. In this study, the desire to find or keep a job that met the family's needs was repeatedly indicated as an urgent need by the respondents.

Further, becoming a caregiver of a child with the potential of long-term care introduces additional roles and, therefore, requires substantial rearrangement of priorities and energy within families (Raina et al., 2004). Other researchers have suggested that parents of individuals with intellectual disabilities may be uniquely stressed by concerns about the independent

functioning of their child in the future and the need to provide on-going care into adulthood (Floyd & Gallagher 1997). Any professional offering support to these families must remain extremely sensitive to the requests they place on caregivers so they do not unintentionally add additional economic or emotional burdens.

### **Limitations of the research**

There are several limitations which must be addressed when examining the outcomes of this study. First, the sample was small, the majority were female, college-educated, employed full-time and resided in the same geographical area. Clearly, a larger sample and one with a variety of demographic may have yielded different results. Second, because the survey was first used in this pilot, it lacked reliability and validity data. Third, a large portion of the respondents were married or had a partner which may have influenced their responses. Finally, different outcomes may have been generated if all family members being cared for were the same age and were receiving the same level of educational, instrumental, and social support.

### **Conclusion**

The caregivers of this study made it clear that caring for an individual with a disability presents challenges, added responsibilities, and rewards. The results suggest that caregivers are often attempting to balance extremely high levels of stress and that timely, concrete supports could be helpful in mitigating their anxiety. In reporting data from a national survey in the United States, Parish and colleagues (2008) noted elevated rates of material hardship among families raising children with disabilities (e.g., cost of therapies, loss of income) when compared with families without a disabled family member. The Parish study, and the present one, highlight the need for policies at the national and state levels which more effectively target support systems which ease family-specific struggles. Clearly, more research is needed to clarify the specific strains families face and to identify where new policies would be most cost-effective. Nevertheless, it does appear safe to say that caregivers believe more needs to be done to support them as they attempt to manage the needs of their entire family.

### **References**

1. Bailey, D., McWilliams, R., Darkes, L., Hebbeler, K., Simeonsson, R., Spiker, D., et al., (1998). Family outcomes in early intervention: A framework for program evaluation and efficacy research. *Exceptional Children*, 62, 313–328.
2. Emerson, E. (2003). Mothers of children and adolescents with intellectual disability: Social and economic situation, mental health status, and the self-assessed social and psychological impact of the child's difficulties. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 47, 385–399.
3. Florian, V., & Findler, L. (2001). Mental health and marital adaptation among mothers of children with cerebral palsy. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 71(3), 358–367.
4. Floyd, F. J., & Gallagher, E. M. (1997). Parental stress, care demands, and use of Support services for school-age children with disabilities and behavior problems. *Family Relations*, 46, 359–371.
5. Grant, G., & Whittell, B. (2000). Differentiated coping strategies in families with children or adults with intellectual disabilities: The relevance of gender, family composition and the life span. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 13, 256–275.
6. Harden, J. (2005). Parenting a young person with mental health problems: Temporal Disruption and reconstruction. *Sociology of Health and Illness*, 27(3), 351–371.

7. Heiman, T. (2002). Parents of children with disabilities: Resilience, coping and future expectations. *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities, 14*(2), 159–171.
8. Judge, S. L. (1998). Parental coping strategies and strengths in families of young children with disabilities. *Family Relations, 47*(3), 263–268.
9. King, G., King, S., Rosenbaum, P. & Goffin, R. (1999). Family-centered caregiving and well-being of parents of children with disabilities: Linking process with outcome. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 24*, 41–53.
10. Maes, B., Broekman, T. G., Dosen, A., & Nauts, J. (2003). Caregiving burden of families looking after persons with intellectual disability and behavioural or psychiatric problems. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research, 47*(6), 447–455.
11. McDonald, T. J., Poertner, J., & Pierpoint, J. (1999). Predicting caregiver stress: An ecological perspective. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 69*(1), 100–109.
12. Murphy, N. A., Christian, B., Caplin, D. A., & Young, P. C. (2006). The health of caregivers for children with disabilities: Caregiver perspectives. *Child Care Health and Development, 33*(2), 180–187.
13. Parish, S. L., Rose, R. A., Grinstein-Weiss, M., Richman, E. L., & Andrews, M. E. (2008). Material hardship in U.S. families raising children with disabilities. *Exceptional Children, 75*(1), 71–92.
14. Plant, K. M., & Sanders, M. R. (2007). Predictors of care-giver stress in families of preschool-aged children with developmental disabilities. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research, 51*(2), 109–124.
15. Raina, P., O'Donnell, M., Rosenbaum, P., Brehaut, J., Walter, S. D., Russell, D., et al. (2005). The health and well-being of caregivers of children with cerebral palsy. *Pediatrics, 115*(6), 626–636.
16. Raina, P., O'Donnell, M., Schweltnus, H., Rosenbaum, P., King, G., Brehaut, J., et al. (2004). Caregiving process and caregiver burden: Conceptual models to guide research and Practice. *BMC Pediatrics, 4*, 1471–2431.
17. Rosenzweig, J. M., Brennan, E. M., & Ogilvie, A. M. (2002). Work-family fit: Voices of parents of children with emotional and behavioral disorders. *Social Work, 47*(4), 415–424.
18. Schultz, R., & Quittner, A. (1998). Caregiver of children and adults with chronic illness conditions: Introduction to the special issue. *Health Psychology, 17*, 107–111.
19. White, N., & Hastings, R. P. (2004). Social and professional support for parents of adolescents with severe intellectual disabilities. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities, 17*, 181–190.