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# ABSTRACT <br> MAINTAINABILITY ANALYSIS OF MINING TRUCKS WITH DATA ANALYTICS 

Abdulgani Kahraman

April 24, 2018
The mining industry is one of the biggest industries in need of a large budget, and current changes in global economic challenges force the industry to reduce its production expenses. One of the biggest expenditures is maintenance. Thanks to the data mining techniques, available historical records of machines' alarms and signals might be used to predict machine failures. This is crucial because repairing machines after failures is not as efficient as utilizing predictive maintenance.

In this case study, the reasons for failures seem to be related to the order of signals or alarms, called events, which come from trucks. The trucks ran twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week, and drivers worked twelve-hour shifts during a ninemonth period. Sequential pattern mining was implemented as a data mining methodology to discover which failures might be connected to groups of events, and SQL was used for analyzing the data.

According to results, there are several sequential patterns in alarms and signals before machine breakdowns occur. Furthermore, the results are shown differently depending on shifts' sizes. Before breakdowns occur in the last five shifts a hundred percent detection rates are observed. However, in the last three shifts it is observed less than a hundred-percentage detection rate.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 Introduction ..... 1
1.1 Recent Technological Developments in Mining Industry ..... 1
1.1.1 Vehicle Health Monitoring System in Mining Industry ..... 3
1.2 Big Data in Mining Industry ..... 6
1.3 Maintenance ..... 7
1.3.1 Corrective Maintenance ..... 7
1.3.2 Preventive Maintenance ..... 7
1.3.3 Predictive Maintenance. ..... 8
1.3.4 The Cost of Maintenance in Mining Industry ..... 9
1.3.5 Predictive Maintenance and Cost ..... 10
2 Big Data Analytics and Predictive Maintenance in the Mining Industry: A Literature Review ..... 13
3 Sequence Mining Methodology for Predictive Maintenance of Mining Trucks ..... 17
3.1 Sequential Pattern Mining. ..... 18
3.2 Categories of Patterns ..... 21
3.2.1 Periodic Patterns ..... 21
3.2.2 Approximate Patterns ..... 22
3.2.3 Statistically Significant Patterns ..... 23
3.3 Dataset Details ..... 24
3.3.1 Primary Features in Dataset and Summarization of General Processes ..... 24
3.3.2 Preprocessing of Dataset and Features Selection ..... 27
3.4 Implementation of the Sequential Pattern Mining ..... 29
4 Experimental Results: Frequent Sequences ..... 33
4.1 Results for Failure code 1104 ..... 33
4.1.1 Pattern Results for Last 3 Shifts. ..... 33
4.1.2 Pattern Results for Last 5 Shifts ..... 37
4.2 Results for Failure code 1140 ..... 41
4.2.1 Pattern Results for Last 3 Shifts ..... 42
4.2.2 Pattern Results for Last 5 Shifts ..... 47
4.3 Results for Failure code 1143 ..... 50
4.3.1 Pattern Results for Last 3 Shifts ..... 50
4.3.2 Pattern Results for Last 5 Shifts. ..... 55
5 Conclusion ..... 60
REFERENCES ..... 62
CURRICULUM VITAE ..... 65

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 - Some recent mining techniques (www.uky.edu, n.d.) ..... 13
Figure 2- Antennas on the mining trucks [www.highservice.com, n.d.] ..... 15
Figure 3- Type of maintenance ..... 19
Figure 4- Breakdown of direct mining costs in large open pit mines in the US (Fekete, 2015) ..... 20
Figure 5- The Data Mining Process (Kantardzic, \& Zurada, 2005) ..... 28
Figure 6- Definitions of Features ..... 36
Figure 7- An Example of Breakdowns on the Status Table ..... 38
Figure 8- An example of Events Column on the Machine Health Status Table ..... 38
Figure 9- Small part of event data columns after preprocessing for reason 1140 ..... 40
Figure 10- An example of patterns for breakdown reason 1140 ..... 41
Figure 11- Patterns for failure code 1104, comparing last three shifts and confidence percentages ..... 44
Figure 12- Sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1104 in last three shifts ..... 45
Figure 13- Sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1104 in last three shifts above
70\% ..... 46
Figure 14- Graphical illustration of patterns and confidence for failure code 1104 in last three shifts ..... 46
Figure 15-Patterns for failure code 1104, comparing last five shifts and confidence percentages ..... 49
Figure 16- Sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1104 in last five shifts ..... 50
Figure 17- Graphical representation of sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1104 in last five shifts ..... 50
Figure 18- Graphical illustration of patterns and confidence for failure code 1104 in last five shifts ..... 51
Figure 19- Patterns for failure code 1140, comparing last three shifts and confidence percentages ..... 53
Figure 20-Sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1140 in last three shifts ..... 54
Figure 21- Sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1140 in last three shifts above
70\% ..... 56
Figure 22- Graphical illustration of patterns and confidence for failure code 1140 in last three shifts ..... 56
Figure 23-Patterns for failure code 1140, comparing last five shifts and confidence percentages ..... 58
Figure 24- Sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1140 in last five shifts ..... 59
Figure 25- Graphical representation of sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1140 in last five shifts ..... 59
Figure 26- Graphical illustration of patterns and confidence for failure code 1140 in last five shifts ..... 60
Figure 27- Patterns for failure code 1143 with comparing last three shifts and confidence percentages ..... 61
Figure 28- Sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1143 in last three shifts above $60 \%$ confidence. ..... 63
Figure 29- Graphical illustration of patterns and confidence for failure code 1143 in last three shifts ..... 64
Figure 30-Patterns for failure code 1143, comparing last five shifts and confidence percentages ..... 66
Figure 31- Sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1143 in last five shifts ..... 67
Figure 32- Graphical representation of sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1143 in last five shifts ..... 68

Figure 33- Graphical illustration of patterns and confidence for failure code 1143 in last five shifts

## 1 INTRODUCTION

In this study, first, the basic mining techniques' information related to the mining industry is mentioned. Second, recent technological developments and big data developments in the mining industry are presented. Third, the maintenance costs for big vehicles and how these maintenance expenses might be reduced, thanks to data mining, is considered. Lastly, as a case study, sequential pattern mining is used as a methodology on the dataset for predictive maintenance and results are shared.

### 1.1 Recent Technological Developments in Mining Industry

Since early civilization, individuals have utilized mining strategies to extract minerals from the soil. The citizens of ancient civilizations were all interested in mining. In the past, mining was slow-going and unsafe. As time has progressed, society has created more secure and exact strategies for finding and revealing substances found in the soil.

In the beginning, diggers employed primitive devices for burrowing. Mining shafts were burrowed out by hand, and the entire process was exceptionally long. Inevitably, individuals started using fire to clear burrows and reach more prominent profundities at a quicker rate. Amid the 1600s, diggers began utilizing explosives to break up expansive rocks. Motorized mining apparatuses, such as drills, would not be invented for a few more decades, and it was not until the Industrial Revolution began in the 1700s that mineworkers started improving the explosives they operated and created more progressive mining gear, such as drills, lifts and steam-powered pumps (www.generalkinematics.com, 2015).

In today's technologically-advanced society, mining strategies are continuously progressing. For instance, improving surface mining procedures, diggers are presently able to extricate over 85 percent of minerals and 98 percent of metallic minerals without digging a shaft or imperiling the lives of workers (www.generalkinematics.com, 2015). Newlydeveloped machines utilized for grinding and crushing can extricate minerals from the soil with less energy than ever before.

Miners still use several techniques, such as explosives, trucks, drills and bulldozers, particularly if they must dig deep into the soil. In any case, innovations have permitted mineworkers in uncovering minerals with more exactness and less harm to the encompassing environment. More proficient apparatuses can be utilized to decrease energy consumption and increase the sum of minerals or metals gathered from the shaft.

Mining has made the world more modern compared to the past, but the threats of mining have resulted in the deaths of numerous laborers. As innovation progresses, mining procedures have indeed become more precise and productive. In the future, with technological developments, it is possible to mine for materials with fully automatic machines thanks to the Industry 4.0 system.

Figure 1 shows several mining techniques which are separated mainly into two categories: underground mining and surface mining. While underground mining contains drift, slope, and shaft mining, surface-mining methods involve area, contour, mountaintop removal, and auger which are in Figure 1 (www.uky.edu, n.d.). Moreover, there are different kinds of machines in the mining fields, and these machines are expensive vehicles and keeping these vehicles in working conditions is vital for companies. Nowadays, thanks to the monitoring systems, companies can follow and record these machines' statuses in every
moment, and it provides opportunities to take precautions before machine breakdowns occur.


Figure 1-Some recent mining techniques (www.uky.edu, n.d.)

### 1.1.1 Vehicle Health Monitoring System in Mining Industry

With technological developments companies try to record and follow their vehicles. Big vehicle production companies have their own monitoring systems for watching and taking control of their big vehicles, and these systems present a lot of advantages for mining companies (Viger, 2017). The Monitoring System is a recent technology for big and expensive vehicles, which are consistently worked for numerous hours. When the vehicles break down, it takes serious costs to repair them. Furthermore, since repairing these big machines take a significant amount of time, this completely influences the machine's availability. Hence, mining machines, especially large ones, are required to reduce the number of failures and enable operations without intrusion (Murakami, Saigo, Ohkura, Okawa \& Taninaga, 2002). In order for machines to continuously work, it is essential to
identify any problems and changes in status by physical examination early on that will signal the maintenance staff to take reasonable measures without waiting for total failures of valuable equipment.

Vehicle Health Monitoring System (VHMS) is one example of monitoring systems available to mining companies, and it is suitable to integrate with existing systems. With Internet of Things (IoT) every company has an opportunity to collect data, and they can analyze this data to set more efficient work schedules. Particularly, it is extremely crucial for companies which have big vehicles, because these kinds of vehicles need expensive maintenance. As a result of this, analyzing their past records and taking several precautions are vital not only for companies but also for employees because these precautions can provide safer workplaces. Currently, many companies have their own databases which were created by several monitoring systems and IoT.


Figure 2- Antennas on the mining trucks [www.highservice.com, n.d.]

This figure shows the location of antennas, radars and cameras. The last few decades of technological developments gave a priceless opportunity for companies. Currently, companies can benefit from technological devices with more affordable prices and it is possible to follow every step in the workplace. Now, expensive vehicles can be equipped with high-tech devices such as antennas, GPS, etc., and companies can remotely watch these vehicles and collect every signal and alarm from them and take crucial actions before harmful breakdowns occur. For these big trucks, left and right-side mirrors are not enough for seeing around the trucks, so several cameras are attached to the trucks. Radar and antennas provide location information and prevent accidents in the mining fields. Furthermore, there are several chips and sensors on various parts of the trucks which send
data to the main monitoring data center, so that companies have enough data about their trucks' conditions.

### 1.2 Big Data in Mining Industry

Big data is advanced data made by the action of computers, portable phones, implanted frameworks and other organized gadgets. Such information became more predominant as innovations such as radio frequency identification (RFID) and telematics progressed (Rouse, 2014). Moreover, machine information has increased utilization of the Internet of Things(IoT), and other big data management technologies that have been developed.

Big data, where different sensors and equipment create more structured data about their operations, performances or conditions, and can be used to complete various analyses, such as process optimization, improved maintenance or machine-to machine communication (Fekete, 2015).

This thesis proposes plan maintenance and shows the importance of big data and the analysis of data. Big data is an inevitable reality for every industry, including the mining industry because prices of ores are very changeable and machines, which are used for mining, are expensive to maintain. For this reason, collecting records of production and machine status are vital for mining companies to make more efficient production plans. Therefore, it is crucial for companies' futures to record and analyze this data and arrange their maintenance and production techniques. Furthermore, almost every big mining company is using machine data, and they save all useful information for their future operations.

Today, thanks to big data and IoT, companies could collect every transaction and details such as drivers' names, vehicles' location, status, weight, speed and so on for each production step. Moreover, this data can be analyzed, and used to make better and more productive work schedules and create less risky work places.

### 1.3 Maintenance

There is an increasing pressure on companies, urged by worldwide competition, to streamline operations involving item and item-related manufacturing system design, item manufacturing and system maintenance. Maintenance activities are ordinarily performed first by integration of maintenance and process engineering functions, then by application of machines and hardware, and finally, through proactive actions on those machines and equipment including preventive and predictive maintenance (Bastos, Lopes, \& Pires, 2012). In literature, it is possible to find three nonspecific sorts of maintenance: Corrective Maintenance, Preventive Maintenance, and Predictive Maintenance.

### 1.3.1 Corrective Maintenance

Corrective Maintenance(CM) actions are not schedulable, and this makes them harder to plan for and costlier to perform. It is usually not the preferred maintenance because it occurs suddenly and costs valuable money and time (Adolfsson \& Dahlström, 2011). Corrective maintenance is used when a system or machine fails. It includes repair and replacement of failed parts to make machines active again.

### 1.3.2 Preventive Maintenance

Preventive Maintenance (PM) aims at maintaining equipment in satisfactory operating conditions, and is fulfilled by providing for systematic control, detection, and correction of
incipient failures, before they cause great defects and usually a PM's planning is created according to equipment manufacturers' advices (www.revolvy.com, n.d.).

Preventive maintenance is routine and tends to follow planned schedules to prevent equipment and machinery breakdowns. The work is preemptively carried out on equipment in order to avoid its breakdown. Despite the benefits of this maintenance, it is not efficient for companies because it costs valuable money and time and does not allow for the use of parts of machines that are capable of working. Even when machines do not work, they may still contain parts that can last their full lifetimes, but preventive maintenance does not calculate for these situations.

### 1.3.3 Predictive Maintenance

Predictive Maintenance (PDM) has a significant difference from the other maintenance types. During regular operation to reduce failures, PDM directly monitors the status and performance of equipment and provides an opportunity to take precautions before machine failures (www.emaint.com, 2017). Although PDM is more complex compared to the others, it provides several advantages thanks to monitoring. PDM reduces maintenance cost, unnecessary preventative maintenance, unplanned maintenance and provides more efficient work.

This next figure shows three main maintenance types and their definitions. Predictive maintenance is the most efficient maintenance. However, corrective maintenance is the costliest maintenance type.


Figure 3- Type of maintenance

### 1.3.4 The Cost of Maintenance in Mining Industry

Currently, in every industry, mechanization is used for more effective production, and some of the most expensive equipment belongs to the mining industry. For example, according to the United States Census the largest absolute increase in the mining industry's capital investments occurred from 2006 to 2015 (up $\$ 75.4$ billion or 75.9 percent) (US Census Bureau., 2017). The Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) has calculated comparative maintenance costs for different maintenance techniques in US dollars per horsepower (HP) per year. Researchers found that a preventive (scheduled) maintenance strategy is the most expensive to run at $\$ 24.00$ per HP. A corrective (reactive) maintenance strategy is the second most costly at $\$ 17.00$ per HP but has the additional cost of compromising safety. Maintaining a 750 HP motor with a scheduled maintenance strategy would cost approximately $\$ 18,000$ per year, while a reactive maintenance strategy would cost $\$ 12,750$ a year, according to the EPRI study (www.ni.com, 2015). For example, according to Caterpillar (CAT), mining trucks have between 2000 and 5000 HP (www.cat.com, n.d.). When companies calculate this maintenance cost for a mining truck
according to the HP calculation, it becomes apparent how costly expenses can become for the companies. The next figure provides an example that shows the percentage of maintenance costs in pit mines for the US.


Figure 4- Breakdown of direct mining costs in large open pit mines in the US (Fekete, 2015)

According to Fekete, explanation of this figure: "A mining site's cost structure consists of three main parts: maintenance, labor and consumables. Figure 4 shows that maintenance related costs account for about one-third of total operational costs (Campbell \& ReyesPicknell, 2006), which makes maintenance the largest controllable cost. It includes items such as replacement parts, human resources, supplies and other items (Lewis \& Steinberg, 2001). Though it demonstrates the expenditures of North American open pit mines, other locations and mine types show similar proportions. Mining companies can focus on improving maintenance processes with advanced technologies (such as big data and connected machines), as this area is the largest contributor for their operational expenditures. "(Fekete, 2015).

### 1.3.5 Predictive Maintenance and Cost

After industrial revolution, companies focus on more profit, less expenses and safer workplaces. For this reason, maintenance, which is one of the biggest expenses for
companies, has become more significant. Furthermore, researchers have begun to discover more efficient maintenance techniques, and currently predictive maintenance has become more crucial for companies. Many of these crucial reasons for improving mining equipment reliability and maintainability are summarized as follows: (Peng \& Vayenas, 2014).

- to maximize profit
- to reduce the cost of poor reliability/maintainability
- to reduce the use of mining equipment services in an unplanned manner because of short notice
- to provide more accurate short-term forecasts for equipment operating hours
- to overcome challenges imposed by global competition
- to take advantage of lessons learned from other industrial sectors such as aerospace, defense, and nuclear power generation
- to improve workplace safety

Bastos claims that 99 percent of machine failures are identified by a few pointers and fulfilling organizations' requirements leads to heavy expenses in maintenance systems, and maintenance, considered non-value adding, which is continuously evaluated for cost reduction, keeping the machines in excellent working condition (Bastos, Lopes \& Pires, 2014). The main goal of predictive maintenance is to find the optimal time for needed maintenance before harmful events may occur and reduce maintenance cost.

Most of the failures do not happen instantaneously, and more often than not there are a few sorts of degradation processes or indications of transitions from typical states to failures. Subsequently, the genuine conditions and their trends ought to be surveyed and anticipated
amid the degradation handle, and fitting maintenance actions ought to be taken some time before a breakdown occurs. This is the fundamental target of predictive maintenance.

## 2 BIG DATA ANALYTICS AND PREDICTIVE MAINTENANCE IN THE MINING INDUSTRY: A LITERATURE REVIEW

Machinery diagnostics and maintenance are tremendous and diverse, primarily due to a wide variety of production systems. Dozens of papers on this subject, which include theories and applicable methods, show up each year in academic journals, conferences and technical reports. In this section, several papers are summarized.

Like other companies, information technology is crucial for mining companies and recently, mining companies have collected huge data by using new high-tech devices such as GPS, monitoring systems, and fleet management systems, etc. As a result of this, currently, companies can analyze these datasets (Yildirim \& Dessureault, 2007).
"Big Data in the Mining Industry" was a paper was written by Fekete (2015). In the article, Fekete discusses the challenges of the mining industry, such as, the fast dropping prices of commodities and the technological developments that have forced companies to update their structures. Thanks to the Internet of Things (IoT), several mining companies now have the opportunity to collect big datasets to improve operations and efficiency. Furthermore, huge maintenance expenses force mining companies to make more reasonable maintenance schedules. According to Fekete, after interviewing with some mining companies from Australia, it was concluded that predictive maintenance, Big Data, IoT and Data Analytics create safe workplaces, provide efficient maintenance and decrease maintenance expenditures (Fekete, 2015).

Cartella et al. (2014) defines a different approach for predictive maintenance, called Hidden Semi-Markov Models (HSMMs), and they discuss the theoretical formalization of
the model, as well as a few experiments performed using both simulated and factual information with the aim of technique approval. In this paper, all performed tests are able to accurately appraise the current state of the machinery and viably foresee a predefined event with generally low normal absolute error. According to these research results, the model's appropriateness to real-world settings can be advantageous, particularly where, in real-time, the Remaining Useful Lifetime (RUL) of a machine can be calculated, and results show that HSMM would be beneficial for condition monitoring and gauging useful lifetime applications (Cartella, Lemeire, Dimiccoli \& Sahli, 2014).

Sihong Peng et al. (2014) presents a study about the implementation of genetic algorithms on Underground Mining Equipment as a case study for predictive maintenance. They assumed that failures of mining equipment caused by an array of factors followed the biological evolution theory. A software was created for predictive maintenance according to their dataset and according to their opinion, these failures follow the natural advancement theory. They used several case studies to focus on practical investigations of a Load Haul Dump (LHD) vehicle with two different terms: three and six months. According to their prediction case studies, a factual test is carried out to look at the similitude between the anticipated data set with the real-life data set in the same period. This research aims at comparing real data to the prediction of this software and analyzes how successful genetic algorithms would be successful for prediction of maintenance. As a result, these two different time interval studies are investigated, and they did not show major impacts of chronological sequence in their prediction results (Peng et al. 2014).

A recent case study named "Earthmoving trucks condition level prediction using neural networks" from Greece by Marinelli et al. (2014) presents an artificial neural network
(ANN) model that predicts earthmoving trucks' condition levels using basic predictors. The results are compared to the respective predictive accuracy of the statistical method of discriminant analysis (DA). In this research, it is created an ANN-based predictive model, and used the capacity, age, kilometers travelled and maintenance level of trucks, which were collected from 126 earthmoving trucks. As a result, data processing identifies specifically a connection between kilometers travelled and maintenance level with the earthmoving trucks' condition grade. Furthermore, they found that the predictive performance of the proposed ANN model is very high for the validation process, and similar findings from the application of DA to the same data set using the same predictors. These models reached above 92 percent accuracy for prediction of trucks' condition level. As a result of that, the prediction decreases downtime, and its reverse influences earthmoving duration and cost, meanwhile increasing the maintenance and replacement policies' impressiveness. This research shows that a sound condition level prediction for earthmoving trucks is achievable through the utilization of easy to collect data and provides a comparative evaluation of the results of two widely applied predictive methods (Marinelli, Lambropoulos, \& Petroutsatou, 2014).

A theoretical study by Chen et al. (2016), predicted faults from the data acquisition and fusion strategies, and it used the fault prediction method based on full-vector spectrum which belongs to Dr. Bently and Dr. Muszynska. According to this method, the uncertainty of the spectrum structure can be extracted by the designed data acquisition and fusion method. This method also shows that the reliability of the diagnosis on fault character was improved, and it gives the technical foundation for the prediction and diagnosis research of the fault characters (Chen, Han, Lei, Cui, \& Guan, 2016).

Ullah et al. (2017) used one type of Machine Learning Methods for Predictive Maintenance. According to this paper, there were several reasons for increasing the internal temperature of electrical instruments, specifically contact issues, irregular loads, cracks in insulation, defective relays, terminal junctions and other similar issues. As a result of these reasons, they caused intrusive failures and potential damage to power equipment. In this paper, the authors explained the initial prevention mechanism for power substations using a computer-vision approach by taking advantage of infrared thermal images. This work included a total of 150 thermal pictures of different electrical equipment in 10 different substations in operating conditions, using 300 different hotspots. They used multilayer perceptron (MLP) to classify the thermal conditions of components of power substations into defect and non-defect classes. The performance of MLP reached 84 percent of accuracy with graph cut and this result showed the benefit of the proposed defect analysis approach (Ullah, Yang, Khan, Liu, Yang, Gao, \& Sun, 2017).

## OF MINING TRUCKS

With recent technological developments, collecting and analyzing big data is even vital for predictive maintenance in companies. There are several techniques for analyzing big data. In this thesis, sequential pattern mining techniques is used to make predictions about maintenance timing for mining trucks. The experimental results confirm that sequential pattern mining is suitable approach for discovering information relevant to machine failures.
"Data mining is a process of discovering various models, summaries, and derived values from a given collection of data." (Kantardzic, 2005). Data mining, which is one of the basic processes of Knowledge Discovery in Database (KDD), is the procedure of extracting hidden knowledge or patterns (non-trivial, implicit, previously unknown and potentially useful) from large information warehouses (Zhao \& Bhowmick -2003). The next figure shows data mining's main steps.


Figure 5- The Data Mining Process (Kantardzic, \& Zurada, 2005)

According to the steps in Figure 5, first state the problem which considers the reasons for machine failures. Second, data is collected for nine months from eleven mining trucks which belong to one mining company in North America. Afterwards, for more accuracy, the dataset must be cleaned, and must be eliminated from useless, duplicate data as a third step. Forth, for estimating model, which would be more useful for the dataset. Lastly, implement this technique and evaluate the results of the data mining process.

### 3.1 Sequential Pattern Mining

Sequential Pattern Mining is one of the most important mining techniques for analyzing big data. Sequential Pattern Mining (SPM) focuses on finding patterns that occur consecutively in a database or patterns which would be related to time or other values; the main aim is to discover related sequence patterns (Chueh, 2010). Implementation of SPM is very broad and can be used for efficient maintenance of vehicles, natural disasters, sales record analysis, marketing strategies, shopping sequences, medical treatments and DNA sequences, etc.; the subsequences and frequent relevant patterns from the given data can
also be found by SPM (Ubaidulla, Sushmitha, \& Vanitha, 2017). The aim of pattern mining is to discover useful, recent and unforeseen patterns in databases. A sequence database includes several sequences.

For instance, consider the following database:

| Name | Sequences |
| :--- | :--- |
| Seq1 | $\mathrm{a},(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}),(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g})$ |
| Seq2 | $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}), \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{b},(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{f})$ |
| Seq3 | $\mathrm{c},(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f})$ |
| Seq4 | $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b}$ |
| Seq5 | $(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{g}),(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ |

This database includes four sequences which are seq1, seq2, seq3, seq4 and seq5. For this example, take into consideration that the symbols "a", "b", "c", d", "e", "f", "g" and "h" symbolize different items sold in a supermarket, and "a" could be an "almond", "b" could be a "box of cereal", etc.

Now, a sequence is an ordered list of sets of items. For this example, suppose that each sequence shows what a customer bought in a supermarket. Consider the second sequence "seq2". This sequence shows that the second customer bought items "a" and "d" together, then bought item "c", then bought "b", and then bought "a", "b", and " $f$ " together.

For the dataset, like this example, there are several failure codes and many alarms and signals which would be indicators named detection groups for these specific breakdowns' codes. To try to discover several patterns called rules, and afterwards the results will be compared these patterns according to the counts for the last three and the five shifts before machine failures occur. The strength of a detection rate is measured by its support and confidence and calculation of these: (Lui Zhang-2000)

For example, an example of support, when consider two items A and B, and it can calculate the frequency of the item in the dataset. If consider a basket containing 7 items (3-oranges, 4-lemons) then support of any precise value can be calculated by the rate of number of occurrences to the total number of items in the basket (i.e., support(oranges) $=3 / 7$ ).

An example of confidence, this explains how likely $B$ is purchased when $A$ is purchased. This defines association between two items. For example, when a person buys tea is more likely to buy sugar as well or vice versa. This is measured by the proportion of transactions with item X , in which item Y also appears. As a formulization:
"The support of a rule, $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$, is the percentage of transactions in T that contains $\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y}$ and can be seen as an estimate of the probability, $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y})$. The rule support thus determines how frequent the rule is applicable in the transaction set T . Let n be the number of transactions in $T$. Let $n$ be the number of transactions in $T$.

The support of the rule $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is calculated as follows:

$$
\text { Support }=\frac{\operatorname{Count}(X \cup Y)}{n}
$$

Support is a useful measure because if it is too low, the rule may just occur due to chance. Furthermore, in a business environment, a rule covering too few cases (or transactions) may not be useful because it does not make business sense to act on such a rule (not profitable).

The confidence of a rule, $\mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$, is the percentage of transactions in T that contain X also contain Y , and can be seen as an estimate of the conditional probability, $\operatorname{Pr}(\mathrm{Y} \mid \mathrm{X})$. It is computed as follows:

$$
\text { Confidence }=\frac{\operatorname{Count}(X \cup Y)}{\operatorname{Count} X}
$$

Confidence thus determines the predictability of the rule. If the confidence of a rule is too low, one cannot reliably infer or predict Y from X. A rule with low predictability is of limited use." (Lui- Zhang 2000).

### 3.2 Categories of Patterns

Sequential patterns can be divided into three main categories: periodic patterns, statistically significant patterns, and approximate patterns. However, there are more varieties of models for sequential patterns in the literature (Esmaeili, \& Fazekas, 2010).

### 3.2.1 Periodic Patterns

According to Slimani \& Lazzez, the main purpose of this model is to discover occurrences of repeated patterns in data and to try to predict future characteristics of real situations; however, this model has several disadvantages, for example, misalignment might cause us to miss some interesting and crucial patterns. Experts have shown, as a solution for this restriction, a pattern might be filled partly to make the model more flexible. As an example, in the series $(\{a\}\{b\}\{c\}\{a\}\{b\}\{c\}\{a\}\{b\}\{c\})$, the pattern $\{a\}\{b\}\{c\}$ is a periodic pattern because it is repeated with a period equal to three. Each status in the pattern shows the periodicity, and this previous pattern is called a full periodic pattern. As an example, in a sequence like this $(\{a\}\{b\}\{c\}\{b\}\{a\}\{c\}\{a\}\{b\}\{a\}\{a\}\{c\}\{b\})$, a pattern $\{a\}^{*}\{b\}$ where *
is a wide range of items, there is no full periodic pattern with length 3 , and this is called a partial periodic pattern (Slimani \& Lazzez, 2014).

### 3.2.2 Approximate Patterns

In the real world, there are noisy data in almost every big data, and it is necessary to reduce the effects of these kinds of data on results. Another different and more flexible method is approximate patterns, which has a specific calculation with a compatibility matrix:
"For solving the problem of finding approximate patterns, the concept of compatibility matrix is introduced [4]. This matrix provides a probabilistic connection from observed values to the true values. Based on the compatibility matrix, real support of a pattern can be computed. Table 2 gives an example of the compatibility matrix.

| True <br> value | Observed value |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{I}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{I}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{I}_{\mathbf{4}}$ |
| $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 0.80 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 0.10 | 0.70 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.10 |
| $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.75 |

Table 2 Compatibility Matrix

For example, an observed I4 corresponds to a true occurrence of I1, I2, I3, and I4 with probability $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I} 1, \mathrm{I} 4)=0.05, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I} 2, \mathrm{I} 4)=0.10, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I} 3, \mathrm{I} 4)=0.10$, and $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I} 4, \mathrm{I} 4)=0.75$, respectively. Compatibility matrix usually is given by some domain expert but there are some ways to obtain and justify the value of each entry in the matrix so that even with a certain degree of error contained in matrix, sequential pattern mining algorithm can still produce results of reasonable quality.

A new metric, namely match is defined to quantify the significance of a pattern. The combined effect of support and match may need to scan the entire sequence database many times. Similar to other data mining methods, to tackle this problem sampling-based algorithms can be used.

Consequently, the number of scans through the entire database is minimized." (Esmaeili, \& Fazekas, 2010).

### 3.2.3 Statistically Significant Patterns

The calculation of support and confidence are crucial for sequential pattern mining, but just using these supporting values as a standardization measure might cause one to skip several important patterns; various data mining applications have tried to find a valid solution for this problem (Slimani \& Lazzez, 2014). On the other side, according to Esmaeili \& Gabor (2010), the number of occurrences (support) might be misleading, and there is no direct ratio between a repetitive number of patterns and a significance of patterns. Because of this, in several situations, many occurrences of an expected frequent pattern may not be as important as a few occurrences of an expected uncommon pattern, which is called surprising pattern instead of frequent pattern. In addition, the support threshold must be set very low to discover a small number of patterns with high information gain, and the information gain metric might be helpful to evaluate the degree of surprise of the pattern (Esmaeili, \& Fazekas, 2010).

The next step is deciding k most significant patterns, and this can be easily achieved by using a threshold value and the best k patterns that have an information gain greater than the specified threshold should be returned; however, the problem of the information gain value is difficult to define the location of the occurrences of the patterns (Slimani \& Lazzez,
2014). In a statistically significant method, the calculation of info gains and dataset and other values are crucial to get better results. For example:

Two input patterns’ sequences as such as:
$\mathrm{S} 1=(\{\mathrm{a}\}\{\mathrm{b}\}\{\mathrm{c}\}\{\mathrm{b}\}\{\mathrm{a}\}\{\mathrm{b}\}\{\mathrm{d}\}\{\mathrm{c}\}\{\mathrm{a}\}\{\mathrm{b}\}\{\mathrm{b}\}\{\mathrm{d}\})$, and
$\mathrm{S} 2=(\{\mathrm{b}\}\{\mathrm{c}\}\{\mathrm{d}\}\{\mathrm{b}\}\{\mathrm{a}\}\{\mathrm{b}\}\{\mathrm{a}\}\{\mathrm{b}\}\{\mathrm{a}\}\{\mathrm{b}\}\{\mathrm{d}\}\{\mathrm{c}\})$, then the pattern $\{\mathrm{a}\}\{\mathrm{b}\}$ has the same (three times occurred) information gain in the two sequences, it is dispersed in S1 but repeats consecutively in S2 (Slimani \& Lazzez, 2014).

### 3.3 Dataset Details

In this thesis, the data was collected for nine months by eleven mining trucks which belong to a mine in North America. The dataset was provided by a mining engineer, Mustafa Kahraman, who works with the mine. In this dataset, the trucks worked twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week and every shift represented a twelve-hour period. The dataset has more than three million rows and more than one hundred columns. This dataset included three main tables: status, production, and machine health status.

First, the status table is where equipment statuses are recorded: fail status, standby, production etc. Second, the production table is where truck cycles are saved with all associated details: shift date, driver name, material type, speed, location and so on. Lastly, the machine health status table is created by the machine health information for selected trucks generated by chips and sensors which are connected to different parts of the trucks.

### 3.3.1 Primary Features in Dataset and Summarization of General Processes

These next tables show the short definitions of primary features for the dataset. Experts created all these definitions and designed the database. After showing these definitions,
these are next steps, making feature selection, select necessary parts of data, eliminate duplicate and missing values, and implement sequential pattern mining techniques. In all these processes, SQL Server Management Studio will be used as a tool. The next figures show some initial columns and short definitions of features for the dataset. In this thesis
the main focused feature is that events column which is created by alarms and signals.

| Features | Definitions |
| :---: | :---: |
| Equipment | Equipment ID |
| Equipment - Classification - Type | Hierarchy for equipment in the order of: Classification>Type |
| Equipment - Model | Hierarchy for equipment in the order of: Equipment>Model |
| Equipment Size | Weight capacity (short tons) |
| Equipment Classification | Internal Classification from Webentry |
| Equipment End Loc | Location of equipment at end of cycle |
| Equipment End Loc Pit | Pit Location at end of cycle |
| Equipment LP Type | Internal Type from Webentry |
| Equipment Model | Model of equipment |
| Equipment Type | Type of equipment |
| Event | Hierarchy for Event in the order of: Event |
| Operator Area | Area operator is assigned to |
| Operator Area Abbrev | Abbreviated Area operator is assigned to |
| Operator Assigned Crew | Crew number operator is assigned to |
| Operator H - Mine - Assigned Crew - ID | Hierarchy for Operator in the order of: Mine>Assigned Crew $>1 \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Operator H-Mine - Assigned Crew - Name | Hierarchy for Operator in the order of: Mine>Assigned Crew $>\mathrm{N}$ |
| Operator ID | Operator ID number |
| Operator Name | Full name of operator |
| Operator Supervisor Abbrev | Abbreviated name of operator supervisor |
| Operator Supervisor Name | Full name of operator supervisor |
| Shift Start Sec | Shiftstart time converted into time of shift in seconds |
| Shiftdate | Shiftdate as YYYY-MM-DD |
| Shiftdate | Shiftdate as YYYY-MM-DD |
| Shiftdate Day | Day number in a month |
| Shiftdate H - Year - Quarter - Month - Day - Shift | Hierarchy for shiftdate in the order of: Year>Quarter>Month>D. |
| Shiftdate Month | Month no |
| Shiftdate Month Name | Month name |
| Shiftdate Quarter | Quarter in a year |


| Shiftdate Shift | Shift no |
| :---: | :---: |
| Shiftdate Shift Scheduled Crew Name | Scheduled crew name |
| Shiftdate Shift Scheduled Crew No | Scheduled crew no |
| Shiftdate Week | Week number in a year |
| Shiftdate Weekday | Weekday no in a week |
| Shiftdate Weekday Name | Weekday name in a week |
| Shiftdate Year | Year no |
| Timeframe Completed Shift | Filter for completed shift - as yes and no |
| Timeframe Completed Shiftdate | Filter for completed date-as yes and no |
| Timeframe Crew Scheduled Modular | Scheduled crew no in Modular system |
| Timeframe Day | Day number in a month |
| Timeframe Days Since Last Process | Filter for the days since last time processed |
| Timeframe Last 120 Shifts including Partial Shifts | Filter for last 120 shifts- as yes and no |
| Timeframe Last 180 Shifts including Partial Shifts | Filter for last 180 shifts- as yes and no |
| Timeframe Last 28 Shiftdates | Filter for last 28 shifts- as yes and no |
| Timeframe Last 3 Shiftdates | Filter for last 3 shifts- as yes and no |
| Timeframe Last 30 Shiftdates | Filter for last 30 shifts- as yes and no |
| Timeframe Last 60 Shifts including Partial Shifts | Filter for last 60 shifts- as yes and no |
| Timeframe Last 7 Shiftdates | Filter for last 7 shifts- as yes and no |
| Timeframe Last 90 Shiftdates | Filter for last 90 shifts- as yes and no |
| Timeframe Last Processed Shiftdate | Filter for last cube processed date- as yes and no |
| Timeframe Month | Month no |
| Timeframe Quarter | Quarter in a year |
| Timeframe Shift | Shift no |
| Timeframe Shift Start | Shiftstart time converted into time of shift in seconds |
| Timeframe Shiftdate | Shiftdate as YYYY-MM-DD |
| Timeframe Week | Week number in a year |
| Timeframe Year | Year no |
| TOD 15min Of Day | Time of Day on count of 15 minute intervals (format: count of in |
| TOD Hour Of Day | Time of Day on hourly intervals (format: HH) |
| TOD Intvl 15min Text | Time of Day on 15 minute intervals (format: HH:MM:SS) |
| TOD Intvl Hrs Text | Time of Day on hourly intervals (format: HH:MM:SS) |
| TOD Intvl Min Text | Time of Day on minute to minute intervals (format: HH:Mp |
| TOD Intvl Sec Text | Time of Day on second by second intervals (format: HH:Mp |
| TOD Minute Of Day | Time of Day on minute to minute intervals (format: MM) |
| TOS 15 min Of Shift | Time of Shift on count of 15 minute intervals (format: cour |
| TOS Hour Of Shift | Time of Shift on hourly intervals (format: HH) |
| TOS Intvl 15min Text | Time of Shift on 15 minute intervals (format: HH:MM:SS) |
| TOS Intvl Hrs Text | Time of Shift on hourly intervals (format: HH:MM:SS) |
| TOS Intvl Min Text | Time of Shift on minute to minute intervals (format: HH:N |
| TOS Intvl Sec Text | Time of Shift on second by second intervals (format: HH:N |
| TOS Minute Of Shift | Time of Shift on minute to minute intervals (format: MM) |
| Type | Type of equipment |
| Lastloc.Location |  |
| Lastloc.Location Elevation |  |
| Lastloc.Location Full Pit Name |  |
| Lastloc.Location H-Mine - Region - Location | Hierarchy for Last Location in the order of: Mine>Region>L |
| Lastloc.Location H - Mine - Type - Location | Hierarchy for Last Location in the order of: Mine>Type>Loc |
| Lastloc.Location Main Pit |  |
| Lastloc.Location Pit |  |
| Lastloc.Location Region |  |
| Lastloc.Location Third Letter P |  |
| Lastloc.Location Type |  |
| Lastloc.Mine |  |
| Nextloc.Location |  |
| Nextloc.Location Elevation |  |
| Nextloc.Location Full Pit Name |  |
| Nextloc.Location H-Mine - Region - Location | Hierarchy for Next Location in the order of: Mine>Region> |

### 3.3.2 Preprocessing of Dataset and Features Selection

Before analyzing the data, as preprocessing steps, first removed most of the features that were not going to be used in the analysis. Furthermore, there are Non-Applicable (N/A) values and missing values. These would not be useful for the analysis and removed this data. In addition, duplicate data was deleted before implementing data mining techniques. In making the decision to select table features, it was consulted an expert who worked in this mining company and is knowledgeable about these trucks and the database. According to the expert, there would be a lot of distinctive features which may have an impact on breakdowns of trucks, such as driver mistakes, overloading, locations, and so on.

Furthermore, according to this expert, apart from these reasons there are several chips and sensors which record the trucks' status, signals, changes and alarms. After receiving this information, it was decided to analyze more mechanical failures which may be indicative of alarms and signals, which are called events in the database. Other identifying features need more in-depth research, truck expertise, and workplace-specific knowledge.

First, it will be used the Status Table which includes the shift number, time, date, reason number, category and so on. The category column shows the machine status and when it shows a breakdown status (when category equals 4), as an example to select one reason code, for example 1140, and a related time, date, and shift number. Afterwards, it will be combined this data with the events column from the Machine Health Status. Figure 6 is as an example of the Status Table:

| shiftdate_int | shi... | eq... | operid | TOS_startime | TOS_endtime | TOD_Event_Start | TOD_Event_End | PK | unit | duration | reason | status | category | comment | shiftdate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40632 | 2 | 210 | mmsunk | 0 | 21992 | 67500 | 3120 | $1.33787 \mathrm{e}+006127754430131$ | 1 | 21992 | 1140 | 1 | 4 | REPL... | 2011-04-01 00:00:00 |
| 40663 | 1 | 211 | mmsunk | 20477 | 23947 | 44760 | 48240 | $1.17595 \mathrm{e}+006127754430192$ | 1 | 3470 | 1140 | 1 | 4 | CRAC... | 2011-05-02 00:00:00 |
| 40619 | 1 | 210 | mmsunk | 10086 | 43200 | 34380 | 67500 | $1.26442 \mathrm{e}+006127754430104$ | 1 | 33114 | 1140 | 1 | 4 | FUEL ... | 2011-03-19 00:00:00 |
| 40558 | 2 | 211 | mmsunk | 0 | 3703 | 67500 | 71220 | $1.13997 e+006127754429983$ | 1 | 3703 | 1140 | 1 | 4 | AXLE ... | 2011-01-17 00:00:00 |
| 40558 | 1 | 211 | mmsunk | 25500 | 43200 | 49800 | 67500 | $1.22 \mathrm{e}+006127754429982$ | 1 | 17700 | 1140 | 1 | 4 | AXLE ... | 2011-01-17 00:00:00 |
| 40632 | 1 | 210 | mmsunk | 22947 | 43200 | 47220 | 67500 | $1.33175 \mathrm{e}+006127754430130$ | 1 | 20253 | 1140 | 1 | 4 | REPL... | 2011-04-01 00:00:00 |
| 40648 | 2 | 212 | mmsunk | 0 | 919 | 67500 | 68400 | $1.46819 \mathrm{e}+006127754430163$ | 1 | 919 | 1140 | 1 | 4 | FUEL ... | 2011-04-17 00:00:00 |
| 40618 | 2 | 212 | mmsunk | 6556 | 21456 | 74040 | 2580 | $1.30702 \mathrm{e}+006127754430103$ | 1 | 14900 | 1140 | 1 | 4 | HARD ... | 2011-03-1800:00:00 |
| 40619 | 2 | 210 | mmsunk | 0 | 36877 | 67500 | 18000 | $1.41508 \mathrm{e}+006127754430105$ | 1 | 36877 | 1140 | 1 | 4 | FUEL ... | 2011-03-19 00:00:00 |
| 40648 | 2 | 212 | mmsunk | 27432 | 35580 | 8520 | 16680 | $1.46843 \mathrm{e}+006127754430163$ | 1 | 8148 | 1140 | 1 | 4 | FUEL ... | 2011-04-17 00:00:00 |
| 40648 | 1 | 212 | mmsunk | 9603 | 43200 | 33900 | 67500 | $1.42777 \mathrm{e}+006127754430162$ | 1 | 33597 | 1140 | 1 | 4 | FUEL ... | 2011-04-17 00:00:00 |
| 40649 | 1 | 212 | mmsunk | 18739 | 43200 | 43020 | 67500 | $1.06974 \mathrm{e}+006127754430164$ | 1 | 24461 | 1140 | 1 | 4 | FUEL ... | 2011-04-1800:00:00 |
| 40649 | 2 | 212 | mmsunk | 0 | 3668 | 67500 | 71160 | 925378127754430165 | 1 | 3668 | 1140 | 1 | 4 | FUEL ... | 2011-04-18 00:00:00 |

Figure 7- An Example of Breakdowns on the Status Table

As a next step, it will be selected related events' column data from the Machine Health
Status Table, which was collected from signals and chips before and during the same failures' status. In this table, the events column is the most important column for the analyses because after selecting related events, it will be preprocessed this data in an attempt to discover some patterns. Figure 7 is as an example of the Machine Health Status
table:

| PK |  | shiftdate_int | shi... | TOD | TOS | operid | shiftindex | ddbkey | id | event | descr | equtid | time | timeTS | intf | load | status | $\wedge$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05014E2021805240 | 3.14141... | 40559 | 1 | 46105 | 21805 | 58334 | 29984 | 3141412 | 05014E2021805240 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 240 | 1295354905 | 2011-01-18 12:48:25.000 | Vims | Coal | Ready |  |
| 05014E2021831240 | 3.1462e... | 40559 | 1 | 46131 | 21831 | 58334 | 29984 | 3146200 | 05014E2021831240 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 240 | 1295354931 | 2011-01-18 12:48:51.000 | Vims | Coal | Ready |  |
| 05014E2221487240 | 3.08327... | 40559 | 1 | 45787 | 21487 | 58334 | 29984 | 3083272 | 05014E2221487240 | 05014E22 | Cycle | 240 | 1295354587 | 2011-01-18 12:43:07.000 | Vims | Coal | Ready |  |
| 05014E2023189240 | 3.36371... | 40559 | 1 | 47489 | 23189 | 58334 | 29984 | 3363712 | 05014E2023189240 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 240 | 1295356289 | 2011-01-18 13:11:29.000 | Vims | Coal | Ready |  |
| 05014E2023164240 | 3.3579e... | 40559 | 1 | 47464 | 23164 | 58334 | 29984 | 3357898 | 05014E2023164240 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 240 | 1295356264 | 2011-01-18 13:11:04.000 | Vims | Coal | Ready |  |
| 05014E2222857240 | 3.30455... | 40559 | 1 | 47157 | 22857 | 58334 | 29984 | 3304546 | 05014E2222857240 | 05014E22 | Cycle | 240 | 1295355957 | 2011-01-18 13:05:57.000 | Vims | Coal | Ready |  |
| 05014E2023223240 | 3.37329... | 40559 | 1 | 47523 | 23223 | 58334 | 29984 | 3373288 | 05014E2023223240 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 240 | 1295356323 | 2011-01-18 13:12:03.000 | Vims | Coal | Ready |  |
| 05014 E 2028623236 | 4.16707... | 40559 | 1 | 52923 | 28623 | 64037 | 29984 | 4167070 | 05014 E 2028623236 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 236 | 1295361723 | 2011-01-18 14:42:03.000 | Vims | OB Suitable | Ready |  |
| 05014E2028659236 | 4.17083... | 40559 | 1 | 52959 | 28659 | 64037 | 29984 | 4170832 | 05014 E 2028659236 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 236 | 1295361759 | 2011-01-18 14:42:39.000 | Vims | OB Suitable | Ready |  |
| 05014E2028491236 | 4.1592e... | 40559 | 1 | 52791 | 28491 | 64037 | 29984 | 4159204 | 05014E2028491236 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 236 | 1295361591 | 2011-01-18 14:39:51.000 | Vims | OB Suitable | Ready |  |
| 05014 E 2228256236 | 4.13219... | 40559 | 1 | 52556 | 28256 | 64037 | 29984 | 4132186 | 05014 E 2228256236 | 05014E22 | Cycle | 236 | 1295361356 | 2011-01-18 14:35:56.000 | Vims | OB Suitable | Ready |  |
| 05014E2227495236 | 4.02377... | 40559 | 1 | 51795 | 27495 | 64037 | 29984 | 4023772 | 05014 E 2227495236 | 05014E22 | Cycle | 236 | 1295360595 | 2011-01-18 14:23:15.000 | Vims | OB Suitable | Ready |  |
| 05014E2027740236 | 4.05934... | 40559 | 1 | 52040 | 27740 | 64037 | 29984 | 4059340 | 05014E2027740236 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 236 | 1295360840 | 2011-01-18 14:27:20.000 | Vims | OB Suitable | Ready |  |
| 05014E2027772236 | 4.06379... | 40559 | 1 | 52072 | 27772 | 64037 | 29984 | 4063786 | 05014E2027772236 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 236 | 1295360872 | 2011-01-18 14:27:52.000 | Vims | OB Suitable | Ready |  |
| 05014E2027802236 | 4.06755... | 40559 | 1 | 52102 | 27802 | 64037 | 29984 | 4067548 | 05014E2027802236 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 236 | 1295360902 | 2011-01-18 14:28:22.000 | Vims | OB Suitable | Ready |  |
| 05014 E 2027835236 | 4.06994... | 40559 | 1 | 52135 | 27835 | 64037 | 29984 | 4069942 | 05014 E 2027835236 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 236 | 1295360935 | 2011-01-18 14:28:55.000 | Vims | OB Suitable | Ready |  |
| 05014E2027962236 | 4.08567... | 40559 | 1 | 52262 | 27962 | 64037 | 29984 | 4085674 | 05014E2027962236 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 236 | 1295361062 | 2011-01-18 14:31:02.000 | Vims | OB Suitable | Ready |  |
| 05014E2028000236 | 4.09046... | 40559 | 1 | 52300 | 28000 | 64037 | 29984 | 4090462 | 05014E2028000236 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 236 | 1295361100 | 2011-01-18 14:31:40.000 | Vims | OB Suitable | Ready |  |
| 05014 E 2128012236 | 4.0932e... | 40559 | 1 | 52312 | 28012 | 64037 | 29984 | 4093198 | 05014E2128012236 | 05014E21 | Load | 236 | 1295361112 | 2011-01-18 14:31:52.000 | Vims | OB Suitable | Ready |  |
| 05014E2027929236 | 4.08157... | 40559 | 1 | 52229 | 27929 | 64037 | 29984 | 4081570 | 05014E2027929236 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 236 | 1295361029 | 2011-01-18 14:30:29.000 | Vims | OB Suitable | Ready |  |
| 05014E2027899236 | 4.07712... | 40559 | 1 | 52199 | 27899 | 64037 | 29984 | 4077124 | 05014E2027899236 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 236 | 1295360999 | 2011-01-18 14:29:59.000 | Vims | OB Suitable | Ready |  |
| 05014E2027865236 | 4.07336... | 40559 | 1 | 52165 | 27865 | 64037 | 29984 | 4073362 | 05014E2027865236 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 236 | 1295360965 | 2011-01-18 14:29:25.000 | Vims | OB Suitable | Ready |  |
| 05014E2230873236 | 4.50497... | 40559 | 1 | 55173 | 30873 | 64037 | 29984 | 4504966 | 05014E2230873236 | 05014E22 | Cycle | 236 | 1295363973 | 2011-01-18 15:19:33.000 | Vims | OB Suitable | Ready |  |
| 05014 E 2030651236 | 4.46974... | 40559 | 1 | 54951 | 30651 | 64037 | 29984 | 4469740 | 05014E2030651236 | 05014E20 | Dipper | 236 | 1295363751 | 2011-01-18 15:15:51.000 | Vims | OB Suitable | Ready |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |

Figure 8- An example of Events Column on the Machine Health Status Table

There are 35 different defined machine breakdown reasons in the dataset, and it will be implemented the same processes for three different failure codes which are related to more mechanical problems according to the expert. Next, it will be discovered several patterns between the same breakdown codes consecutively, and after that it will be discovered several patterns in the last three and five shifts' events column data occurring before the shift in which machine failures occur for the same failure codes. After that, it will be analyzed how many times these patterns occurred and compare pattern numbers with the last three and five shifts, which would be related failures according to these patterns' confidence calculation values.

### 3.4 Implementation of the Sequential Pattern Mining

Discovering unexpected and useful patterns in databases is the fundamental data mining task. In recent years, a trend in data mining has been to design algorithms for discovering patterns in sequential data. One of the most popular data mining techniques for finding patterns is sequential
pattern mining. It consists of discovering interesting subsequence patterns in a set of sequences, where the remarkable subsequences can be measured in terms of various criteria such as their occurrence frequency, length, and so on (Viger, 2017).

After deciding which sequential pattern mining technique would be a more appropriate technique for analyzing the alarms/signals, SQL Management Studio will be used as a tool, which has several functions to find patterns with T-SQL. It will be tried to discover some patterns within each breakdown reason after ordering them based on their date. For example, figure X shows the short part of event data between two 1140 failure codes, and it will be checked this data to find $2,3,4$, or 5 groups of sequential patterns. Next, it will be
compared it with the last three and five shifts' patterns. If there are any specific patterns in these last three shifts and five shifts, then it would be a reasonable indicator of this specific failure code. Additionally, the same processes will be implemented for four different breakdown codes.

| Event | Def |
| ---: | :--- |
| 0701FFEO | OEM interface timeout |
| 0201FFEO | OEM interface timeout |
| 0B010009 | PSC Event Number Changes |
| 0B010000 | Active Event Number Changes |
| OB011519 | Tach Right Front - Zero while truck mov |
| 2010006 | Running without load |
| OB01000C | Propel Restricted |
| OB01000A | Drive Status Normal |
| 2010005 | Stopped without load |
| OB010014 | Service BRK > 8mph |
| OB01FFE0 | OEM Interface Timeout |
| OB01FFE1 | OEM Interface Normal |
| 0201FFE0 | OEM interface timeout |
| OB010009 | PSC Event Number Changes |
| OB010000 | Active Event Number Changes |
| OB011519 | Tach Right Front - Zero while truck mov |
| OB010014 | Service BRK > 8mph |
| 2010006 | Running without load |
| 2010005 | Stopped without load |
| 2010000 | Dipper |
| OB01000C | Propel Restricted |
| OB01000A | Drive Status Normal |

Figure 9- Small part of event data columns after preprocessing for reason 1140

Figure 8 shows a small part of the data which comes from the preprocessed data. The first column is the main column, named the event column, which shows codes for events. The second column, named def, illustrates definitions of events. In the event column, the rows
which are bold and red in color, illustrate a simple example of one pattern for this data. Normally there are more than seven thousand rows of data after preprocessing between the same reason codes (code 1140). However, this example is a small part of this data and contains one pattern example. An example of finding a pattern for this small data result is illustrated in the next table. These patterns are a combination of common elements with varied sizes. These patterns occur in the same place and in the same shifts before the same failure code.

| Pattern Groups | Pattern <br> Size | All Counts | Last 3 <br> Shifts | Confidence <br> Percentage | Sequences <br> No | Pattern Groups |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0201FFEO ,0B010009 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s1 |
| 0201FFEO ,0B010009 , OB010000 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s1 |
| 0201FFEO , OB010009 , OB010000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,0B011519 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s1 |

Figure 10- An example of patterns for breakdown reason 1140

In this table, the first column shows elements of patterns, the second column is a pattern size, meaning how many events are included in this pattern, and the third column belongs to the last three shifts before machine failure occurs. For the fourth column, it shows how many times this pattern occurred in the last five shifts before the machine failure. The fifth column shows how many times, this pattern is found between the same failure reasons. The sixth column is the confidence value which is calculated by dividing the last three or five shifts' number of patterns by the "all count" pattern size. Lastly, the seventh column shows that all these patterns include common events which means they occurred in the same place in the dataset, and they have varied sizes and elements. It will be made sequential groups for them, as illustrated by the last column. After this process, a new table is to show which
sequence groups are related to which number of failures. The failure code 1140 occurred five times, and after finding patterns, it will be separated every group of sequence related to their common elements. It will be done the same process for four different breakdowns, after which will be illustrated the results according to their confidence values.

## 4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS: FREQUENT SEQUENCES

In this section, it will be shared the pattern results with several graphical illustrations. It will be done the same processes for three different failure codes which are more related to mechanical breakdowns apart from other causes. Mechanical breakdowns have more expensive maintenance costs and are more related to alarms and signals which referred to as events in the dataset. The codes are 1104,1140 and 1143. First of all, it will be shown the groups' patterns data which are above a minimum of 70 percent confidence. Next, it will be illustrated these results as graphs according to percentages.

### 4.1 Results for Failure code 1104

It will be separated each failure code result into two parts according to the last shift numbers, which are the last three and last five shifts.

### 4.1.1 Pattern Results for Last 3 Shifts

The next figure belongs to the last three shift patterns and is between two of the same failure codes called "all counts". It was calculated these pattern groups' confidence percentages and ordered them from largest to lowest values for 1104 breakdown codes.

| Pattern Groups | Pattern <br> Size | All <br> Counts | Last <br> 3Shifts | Confidence <br> Percentage | Sequences <br> No |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0E010004,3E010002,0E01FFE1,0E010009 | 4 | 2 | 2 | $100.00 \%$ | s1 |
| 0E010004,3E010002,0E01FFE1,0E010009,0E010008 | 5 | 2 | 2 | $100.00 \%$ | s1 |
| 0E010005,0E010004,3E010002,0E01FFE1,0E010009 | 5 | 2 | 2 | $100.00 \%$ | s1 |
| 3E010000,0E01FFE1,0E01000B,0E01000A,0E010000 | 5 | 2 | 2 | $100.00 \%$ | s2 |
| 3E010002,0E01000B ,0E01000A ,0E010009 | 4 | 2 | 2 | $100.00 \%$ | s3 |
| 3E010002,0E01000B,0E01000A,0E010009,0E010008 | 5 | 2 | 2 | $100.00 \%$ | s3 |
| 0E010006,0E01000B ,0E01000A,0E010000 | 4 | 5 | 4 | $80.00 \%$ | s 4 |
| 0E010006,0E01000B,0E01000A,0E010000,0E010001 | 5 | 5 | 4 | $80.00 \%$ | s 4 |
| 0E010007,0E010006,0E01000B,0E01000A,0E010000 | 5 | 5 | 4 | $80.00 \%$ | s 4 |
| 0E010002,0E010003,0E010009,0E010008,0E010007 | 5 | 4 | 3 | $75.00 \%$ | s 5 |
| 0E010003,0E010009,0E010008,0E010007 | 4 | 4 | 3 | $75.00 \%$ | s 5 |
| 0E010003,0E010009,0E010008,0E010007,0E010006 | 5 | 4 | 3 | $75.00 \%$ | s 5 |
| 0E010008,0E010007,0E010006,0E010005 | 4 | 4 | 3 | $75.00 \%$ | s 5 |
| 0E010008,0E010007,0E010006,0E010005,0E010004 | 5 | 4 | 3 | $75.00 \%$ | s 5 |

Figure 11-Patterns for failure code 1104, comparing last three shifts and confidence percentages

After making groups for these patterns, it will be created a table for sequence groups which have a hundred percent confidence and related failure times. For example, groups of s1 occurred before the third and seventh breakdown for 1104. It will be shown this whole groups of sequences and their related order of failures in the next two figures. The first one will be illustrated it as a table, and second one will be as a graphical according to failure timing and sequence numbers.

| Faults <br> No <br> f1 | $100 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| f2 | s3 |
| f3 | s1,s2 |
| f4 |  |
| f5 |  |
| f6 |  |
| f7 | s1,s3 |



Figure 12-Sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1104 in last three shifts

In this table, it can be seen there are seven faults for reason 1104. Before failures occur, there are three different sequential pattern groups. S3 occurred before the second failure and s 1 , s 2 before the third breakdown and $\mathrm{s} 1, \mathrm{~s} 3$ before the seventh breakdown in last three shifts. It can be seen these information as a table and graph in Figure 12.

When detection rate is calculated which equals by dividing counts of filled rows in the table by fault occurring times. For this example, detection rate equals the count of filled rows in the table which is 3 divided by total faults' count (7), so it comes to a $43 \%$ detection rate. This percentage means that the system can detect 4 out of 10 breakdowns situations.

For more accuracy, decreasing the confidence value to $70 \%$, which might increase the detection rate. The next figure shows sequence groups between $70 \%$ and $100 \%$ and a distribution of these groups as a table and graph.

| Faults <br> No | $>70 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| f1 |  |
| f2 | $s 4, s 5$ |
| f3 | $s 4, s 5$ |
| f4 |  |
| f5 |  |
| f6 |  |
| f7 |  |



Figure 13-Sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1104 in last three shifts above 70\%

From this table it can be seen $s 4$ and $s 5$ occurred before the second and third failures, and this did not change the detection rate. As it can be seen from Table in Figure 12; s1, s2 and s3 occurred before faults 1 and 2 .

The below graph of these patterns was created according to percentages of confidence.


Figure 14- Graphical illustration of patterns and confidence for failure code 1104 in last three shifts
For confidence values from $100 \%$ to $70 \%$, the count of patterns slightly increased because when the confidence percentage threshold is decreased, it increased the number of patterns.

### 4.1.2 Pattern Results for Last 5 Shifts

The next table belongs to the last five shift patterns and is between two of the same failure codes called "all counts", and it is calculated these pattern groups' confidence percentages and ordered them from largest to lowest values for 1104 breakdown codes. This time, obviously, there are more pattern groups because of the last shift size. When increasing the shift size, it increased the pattern counts.

This table shows the pattern groups' counts and confidence values for the last five shifts.

| Pattern Groups | Pattern Size | All Counts | Last 5Shifts | Confidence <br> Percentage | Sequences No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0E010004,3E010002,0E01FFE1,0E010009 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | S1 |
| 0E010004,3E010002,0E01FFE1,0E010009, 0E010008 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s1 |
| 0E010005,0E010004,0E010000,0E010001, 0E010002 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s1 |
| 0E010005,0E010004,0E010007,0E010006,3E010002 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s1 |
| 0E010005,0E010004,3E010002,0E01FFE1 ,0E010009 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s1 |
| $3 \mathrm{E} 010000,0 \mathrm{E} 01 \mathrm{FFE} 1,0 \mathrm{E} 01000 \mathrm{~B}, 0 \mathrm{E} 01000 \mathrm{~A}, 0 \mathrm{E} 010000$ | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s2 |
| $3 \mathrm{E} 010002,0 \mathrm{E} 01000 \mathrm{~B}, 0 \mathrm{E} 01000 \mathrm{~A}, 0 \mathrm{E} 010009$ | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s3 |
| 3E010002,0E01000B,0E01000A,0E010009,0E010008 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s3 |
| 0E010000,0E010001,3E010001 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s4 |
| 0E010001,3E010001 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s4 |
| 0E010002,0E010003,0E010009,0E010008 ,0E010007 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 100\% | s5 |
| 0E010003,0E010009,0E010008,0E010007 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 100\% | s5 |
| 0E010003 ,0E010009 ,0E010008,0E010007, 0E010006 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 100\% | s5 |
| 0E010008,0E01000B, $0 \mathrm{E} 01000 \mathrm{~A}, 0 \mathrm{E} 01 \mathrm{FFE} 0$ | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s6 |
| 0E010009,0E010008,0E01000B,0E01000A,0E01FFE0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s6 |
| 0E01000A,0E010009, 0E010008 ,0E010007 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s7 |
| 0E01000A,0E010009,0E010008,0E01000B ,0E010000 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s7 |
| 0E01000B,0E01000A,0E010009,0E010008 ,0E010007 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s7 |
| 0E01FFE0 ,0E01000A | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s8 |
| 0E01FFE0,0E01FFE1,0E010009,0E010008,3E010002 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s9 |
| 0E01FFE0 ,3E010002 ,0E01FFE1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s10 |
| 0E01FFE1 , 0E010008, 0E010009, 0E010000 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s11 |
| 0E01FFE1 ,0E01000A | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s12 |
| 3E010002 ,0E010002 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s13 |
| 3E010002 ,0E010002 ,0E010003 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s13 |
| 0E010009, 0E010008 ,0E010007 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 88\% | s14 |
| 0E010009 ,0E010008 ,0E010007 ,0E010006 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 86\% | s14 |
| 0E010006, 0E01000B ,0E01000A ,0E010000 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 80\% | s15 |
| 0E010006,0E01000B, $0 \mathrm{E} 01000 \mathrm{~A}, 0 \mathrm{E} 010000,0 \mathrm{E} 010001$ | 5 | 5 | 4 | 80\% | s15 |
| 0E010007,0E010006,0E01000B,0E01000A,0E010000 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 80\% | s15 |
| 0E010008 , 0E010007 | 2 | 9 | 7 | 78\% | s16 |
| 0E010004 ,0E010000 ,0E010001 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 75\% | s17 |
| 0E010006,0E010002,0E010003 ,0E010009 ,0E010008 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 75\% | s18 |
| 0E010006, 0E010009 ,0E010008 ,0E010005 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 75\% | s18 |
| 0E010006,0E010009,0E010008 ,0E010005 ,0E010004 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 75\% | s18 |
| 0E010007 ,0E010005 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 75\% | s19 |
| 0E010008 ,0E010007 ,0E010006 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 75\% | s19 |
| 0E010008 ,0E010007 ,0E010006 ,0E010005 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 75\% | s19 |
| 0E010008,0E010007,0E010006 , 0E010005 , 0E010004 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 75\% | s19 |


| 0E010009,0E010008,0E010007,0E010006,0E010005 | 5 | 4 | 3 | $75 \%$ | s19 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0E01000A ,0E010009,0E010008,0E01000B | 4 | 4 | 3 | $75 \%$ | s19 |

Figure 15- Patterns for failure code 1104, comparing last five shifts and confidence percentages

After making groups for these patterns, it is created another table (Figure 16) for these sequence groups which have a hundred percent confidence and related failure times. For example, groups of s1 occurred before the third and seventh breakdown for 1104.

| Faults No | $100 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| f 1 | $\mathrm{~s} 8, \mathrm{~s} 13$ |
| f 2 | $\mathrm{~s} 3, \mathrm{~s} 4, \mathrm{~s} 5, \mathrm{~s} 10, \mathrm{~s} 12, \mathrm{~s} 13$ |
| f 3 | $\mathrm{~s} 1, \mathrm{~s} 2, \mathrm{~s} 5, \mathrm{~s} 7, \mathrm{~s} 12$ |
| f 4 | s 8 |
| f 5 | $\mathrm{~s} 4, \mathrm{~s} 9, \mathrm{~s} 11$ |
| f 6 | $\mathrm{~s} 6, \mathrm{~s} 10, \mathrm{~s} 11$ |
| f 7 | $\mathrm{~s} 1, \mathrm{~s} 3, \mathrm{~s} 6, \mathrm{~s} 9$ |

Figure 16-Sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1104 in last five shifts
In this table, it can be seen there are seven faults for reason code 1104. However, there are 13 different sequential pattern groups because of shift sizes. Comparing the last three shift patterns, the number of patterns increased because this time it was used the last five shifts in the dataset. The next figure shows sequence group distributions as a graph.


Figure 17- Graphical representation of sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1104 in last five shifts

When calculate the detection rate which equals by dividing counts of filled rows in the table by faults occurring times. For this example, the detection rate is equal to the count of filled rows in the table, which is 7 divided by all fault counts which is 7 , so it comes to a $100 \%$ detection rate. This percentage means that the system can detect 10 out of 10 breakdown situations.

The graph below shows all these patterns according to percentages of confidence:


Figure 18- Graphical illustration of patterns and confidence for failure code 1104 in last five shifts

As it can be seen before for the last 3 shifts, the same thing can be seen for the last 5 shifts as well. For confidence values $100 \%$ to $70 \%$, the count of patterns slightly increased because when decreased the confidence percentages' threshold, it increased the number of patterns.

### 4.2 Results for Failure code 1140

It will be separated each failure code result into two parts according to the last shift numbers which are the last three and last five shifts before related machine failures occur.

### 4.2.1 Pattern Results for Last 3 Shifts

The next figure belongs to the last three shift patterns and is between two of the same failure codes called "all counts", and it was calculated these pattern groups' confidence percentages and ordered them from largest to lowest values for 1140 breakdown codes.

| Pattern Groups | Pattern <br> Size | All <br> Counts | Last <br> 3Shifts | Confidence <br> Percentage | Sequences <br> No |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0201FFE0,0B010009 | 2 | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ | s1 |
| 0201FFE0,0B010009,0B010000 | 3 | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ | s1 |
| 0201FFE0,0B010009,0B010000,0B011519 | 4 | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ | s1 |
| 0201FFE1,16016465,0201FFE0,0B010009 | 4 | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ | s1 |
| 0201FFE1,16016465,0201FFE0,0B010009,0B010000 | 5 | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ | s1 |
| 16016464,0201FFE1,16016465,0201FFE0,0B010009 | 5 | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ | s1 |
| 16016465,0201FFE0,0B010009 | 3 | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ | s1 |
| 16016465,0201FFE0,0B010009,0B010000 | 4 | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ | s1 |
| 16016465,0201FFE0,0B010009,0B010000,0B011519 | 5 | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ | s1 |
| 0B010011,160169DD,16016A41 | 3 | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ | s2 |
| 0B010011,160169DD,16016A41,160169DC | 4 | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ | s2 |
| 0B010011,160169DD,16016A41,160169DC,16016A40 | 5 | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ | s2 |
| 160169DD,16016A41,160169DC | 3 | 3 | 3 | $100 \%$ | s2 |
| 160169DD,16016A41,160169DC,16016A40 | 4 | 3 | 3 | $100 \%$ | s2 |
| 16016A41,160169DC | 2 | 3 | 3 | $100 \%$ | s2 |
| 16016A41,160169DC,16016A40 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $100 \%$ | s2 |
| 2010000,0B01000C | 2 | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ | s3 |
| 16016465,160178B5,160178B4,16016464,2010006 | 5 | 2 | 2 | $100 \%$ | s4 |
| 0201FFE1,0201FFE0 | 2 | 4 | 3 | $75 \%$ | s5 |
| 160169DC,16016A40 | 2 | 4 | 3 | $75 \%$ | s6 |

Figure 19- Patterns for failure code 1140, comparing last three shifts and confidence percentages


After making groups for these patterns, it will be created a table for these sequence groups which have a hundred percent confidence and related failure times. For example, groups of s1 occurred before the third breakdown for 1140 .

In this table, it can be seen there are six faults for reason 1140. Before failures occur, there are four different sequential pattern groups. S2 occurred before the first failure and s1, s3, and s4 occurred before the third breakdown, s3 before the fourth breakdown, and s2 again occurred before the fifth breakdowns in last three shifts. It can be seen these information as a table and graph in the Figure 20.

When calculate detection rate which equals by dividing counts of filled rows in the table by faults occurring times. For this example, detection rate equals count of filled rows in the table which is 4 dividing by total faults' counts (6), so it comes to a $66 \%$ detection rate. This percentage means that system can detect 6 out of 10 breakdowns situation.

For more accuracy, decreased the confidence value to $70 \%$, which might increase the detection rate. The next figure shows sequence groups between $70 \%$ and $100 \%$ and a distribution of these groups as a table and graph.

| FaultNo | Seq <br> Groups |
| :---: | :--- |
| F1 | s6 |
| F2 |  |
| F3 | s5,s6 |
| F4 | s5 |
| F5 | s6 |
| F6 |  |

Table


Figure 21-Sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1140 in last three shifts above 70\%

From this table it can be seen s 5 and s6 occurred before the same failures, and it does not change the detection rate. As it can be seen from Figure 20's Table; s1, s2, s3 and s4 occurred before the same failure numbers.

The graph below of these patterns is created according to percentages of confidence:


Figure 22- Graphical illustration of patterns and confidence for failure code 1140 in last three shifts

For confidence values from $100 \%$ to $60 \%$, count of patterns slightly increased because when decreased confidence percentages' threshold, it increased the number of patterns.

### 4.2.2 Pattern Results for Last 5 Shifts

The next table belongs to the last five shift patterns and is between two of the same failure codes called "all counts", and it was calculated these pattern groups' confidence percentages and ordered them from largest to lowest values for 1140 breakdown codes. This time, obviously, there are more pattern groups because of the last shift size. When increased the shift size, it increased the pattern counts.

This table shows the pattern groups' counts and confidence values for the last five shifts.

| Pattern Groups | Pattern Size | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Counts } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Last } \\ \text { 5Shifts } \end{gathered}$ | Confidence Percentage | Sequences <br> No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0201FFE0 , 0B010009 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s1 |
| 0201FFE0 , 0B010009 ,0B010000 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s1 |
| 0201FFE0 , 0B010009 ,0B010000 ,0B011519 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s1 |
| 0201FFE1 ,16016465,0201FFE0 ,0B010009 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s1 |
| 0201FFE1,16016465,0201FFE0,0B010009,0B010000 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s1 |
| 16016464,0201FFE1,16016465,0201FFE0,0B010009 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s1 |
| 16016465,0201FFE0 ,0B010009 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s1 |
| 16016465,0201FFE0 ,0B010009 ,0B010000 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s1 |
| 16016465,0201FFE0,0B010009,0B010000 ,0B011519 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s1 |
| 0B010011,160169DD ,16016A41 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s2 |
| 0B010011, 160169DD ,16016A41 ,160169DC | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s2 |
| 0B010011 ,160169DD ,16016A41 ,160169DC ,16016A40 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s2 |
| 160169DD , 16016A41 , 160169DC | 3 | 3 | 3 | 100\% | s2 |
| 160169DD , 16016A41 , 160169DC ,16016A40 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 100\% | s2 |
| 16016A41, 160169DC | 2 | 3 | 3 | 100\% | s2 |
| 16016A41 ,160169DC ,16016A40 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 100\% | s2 |
| 2010000,0B01000C | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s3 |
| 16016465,160178B5,160178B4,16016464,2010006 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s4 |
| 0201000A, 0B01000C,2010002,2010004,0B010014 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 100\% | s5 |
| 0201FFE1 , 0201FFE0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 100\% | s6 |
| 070108CC , 160178B5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s7 |
| 070108CC ,160178B5 ,160178B4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s7 |
| 070108CD , 070108CC ,160178B5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s7 |
| 070108CD ,070108CC , 160178B5 ,160178B4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s7 |
| 0B011519,0B010000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s8 |
| 0B010009 , 0B011519 ,0B010000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s8 |
| 0B01000A , 0B010009 , 0B011519 ,0B010000 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s8 |
| 0B011519, 0B01000C , 0B01000A | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s9 |
| 0B010009, 0B011519, 0B01000C | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s9 |
| 0B010009 ,0B011519, 0B01000C ,0B01000A | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s9 |
| 0B01000A , 160165F4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s10 |
| 0B01000A , 160165F4, 1601607C | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s10 |
| 2010006,16016465,2010000,160178B5 ,2010005 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s11 |
| 160178B5 ,160178B4 ,2010005,2010006 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s12 |
| 3E010000,0B010002 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 80\% | s13 |
| 160169DC ,16016A40 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 75\% | s14 |
| 160178B4,2010005,2010006 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 75\% | s15 |
| 2010005,0B010009 ,0B0114B5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 75\% | s16 |
| 3E010000,0B010002,0201FFE1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 75\% | s17 |

Figure 23- Patterns for failure code 1140, comparing last five shifts and confidence percentages

After making groups for these patterns, it is created another table for these sequence groups which have a hundred percent confidence and related failure times. For example, groups of s1 occurred before the third breakdown for 1140 .

| Faults No | $100 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| F1 | $\mathrm{s} 2, \mathrm{~s} 5, \mathrm{~s} 7, \mathrm{~s} 10$ |
| F2 | s 7 |
| F3 | $\mathrm{s} 1, \mathrm{~s} 3, \mathrm{~s} 4, \mathrm{~s} 6, \mathrm{~s} 9, \mathrm{~s} 11, \mathrm{~s} 12$ |
| F4 | $\mathrm{s} 3, \mathrm{~s} 6, \mathrm{~s} 8, \mathrm{~s} 9$ |
| F5 | $\mathrm{s} 2, \mathrm{~s} 5$ |
| F6 | $\mathrm{s} 5, \mathrm{~s} 10$ |

Figure 24-Sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1140 in last five shifts

In this table, it can be seen there are six faults for reason code 1140 . However, there are 12 different sequential pattern groups because of shift sizes. Comparing the last three shifts patterns, the number of patterns increased because this time it was used the last five shifts dataset. Next figure shows sequence group distributions as a graph.


Figure 25- Graphical representation of sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1140 in last five shifts

When calculate detection rate which equals dividing counts of filled rows in the table by faults occurring times. For this example, detection rate equals count of filled rows in the table which is 6 dividing by all faults' counts which is 6 , so it equals $100 \%$ detection rate. This percentage means that system can detect 10 out of 10 breakdowns situation.

The graph below shows all these patterns according to percentages of confidence:


Figure 26- Graphical illustration of patterns and confidence for failure code 1140 in last five shifts
For confidence values $100 \%$ to $60 \%$, the count of patterns slightly increased because when decreased confidence percentages' threshold, it increased number of patterns.

### 4.3 Results for Failure code 1143

It will be separated each failure code result into two parts according to the last shift numbers which are the last three and last five shifts.

### 4.3.1 Pattern Results for Last 3 Shifts

The next figure belongs to the last three shift patterns and is between two of the same failure codes called "all counts", and it was calculated these pattern groups' confidence percentages and ordered them from largest to lowest values for 1143 breakdown codes.

| Pattern Groups | Pattern Size | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Counts } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Last } \\ \text { 3Shifts } \end{gathered}$ | Confidence <br> Percentage | Sequences <br> No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05010ADD , 05010ADC | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | S1 |
| 050114B4 , 050114B9 , 050114B8 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | S2 |
| 050114B4 ,050114B9 ,050114B8 , 5010905 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | S2 |
| 050114B4,050114B9,050114B8,5010905,5010904 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | S2 |
| 050114B8 ,5010905 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | S2 |
| 050114B8, 5010905,5010904 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | S2 |
| 050114B9 ,050114B8 ,5010905 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | S2 |
| 050114B9 , 050114B8, 5010905,5010904 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | S2 |
| 050116CA ,050114B5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | S3 |
| 050119A0, 050116CB | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | S4 |
| 050119A0, 050116CB , 050116CA | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | S4 |
| 050119A1 ,050119A0, 050116CB | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | S4 |
| 050119A1 , 050119A0 ,050116CB ,050116CA | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | S4 |
| 3E010002,3E010001,3E010002 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | S5 |
| 050114B4, 050114B9 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 67\% | s6 |
| 050117B8 , 3E010002 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 67\% | s7 |
| 050117B9 , 050117B8 , 3E010002 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 67\% | s7 |
| 0501199C , 050119CF | 2 | 3 | 2 | 67\% | s8 |
| 0501199C , 050119CF ,050119CE | 3 | 3 | 2 | 67\% | s8 |
| 050119CE ,3E010002 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 67\% | s9 |

Figure 27-Patterns for failure code 1143 with comparing last three shifts and confidence percentages

After making groups for these patterns, it will be created a table for these sequence groups which have a hundred percent confidence and related faults time. For example, groups of s1 occurred before the fifth and ninth breakdowns for 1143.

| FaultNo |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| F1 | $100 \%$ |
| F2 | s3 |
| F3 |  |
| F4 |  |
| F5 | s1 |
| F6 | $s 4$ |
| F7 |  |
| F8 | s2,s3 |
| F9 | s1 |
| F10 |  |
| F11 |  |
| F12 | s5 |
| F13 |  |
| F14 | s5 |
| F15 |  |
| F16 |  |
| f17 |  |



Table

## Graph

Figure 28-Sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1143 in last three shifts

In this table (Figure 28), it can be seen there are 17 faults for reason 1143. Before failures occur, there are four different sequential pattern groups. S3 occurred before the second failure, $s 1$ occurred before the fifth, $s 4$ occurred before the sixth, $s 2$ and $s 3$ occurred before the eighth, s1 again occurred before the ninth, and lastly s5 occurred before the twelfth and fourteenth breakdown and within the last three shifts. This is provided in the table and graph in Figure 28.

It was calculated the detection rate by dividing counts of filled rows in the table by the fault occurring times. For this example, the detection rate is equal to the count of filled rows in
the table, which is 7 divided by all fault counts (17), so it comes to about $41 \%$ detection rate. This percentage means that system can detect 4 out of 10 breakdown situations.

For more accuracy, decreased the confidence value to $60 \%$, and it might be also increased the detection rate. The next figure shows sequence groups between $60 \%$ and $100 \%$ and the distribution of these groups as a table and graph are illustrated in the next figure.

Increasing accuracy is crucial because it means catching more failures' patterns before machine breakdowns occur. However, for more accuracy it is necessary to have more wide and clean dataset. Nine months and 11 trucks information would not be enough for making exact decision, but this thesis would be a good example for predictive maintenance.

| FaultNo | Above |
| :---: | :---: |
| $60 \%$ |  |
| F1 |  |
| F2 | s8,s9 |
| F3 |  |
| F4 | s7,s8 |
| F5 |  |
| F6 | s7 |
| F7 |  |
| F8 | s6 |
| F9 |  |
| F10 |  |
| F11 | s9 |
| F12 |  |
| F13 |  |
| F14 |  |
| F15 |  |
| F16 |  |
| f17 |  |

## Table

Figure 28-Sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1143 in last three shifts above 60\% confidence

From this table it can be seen s7 and s8 occurred before the fourth breakdown, and s9 occurred before the eleventh breakdown. These fault numbers are different from the table in Figure 28 and increased the detection rate. The detection rate is equal to the count of filled rows in the table (9) totally, after decreasing confidence, it increased the filled rows and divided the fault counts (17), so coming to about $52 \%$ detection rate. This percentage means that the system can detect 5 out of 10 breakdown situations.

The graph below shows these all patterns according to percentages of confidence:


Figure 29- Graphical illustration of patterns and confidence for failure code 1143 in last three shifts

As it can be seen before for last 3 shifts, same thing can be seen for last 5 shifts as well. For confidence values from $100 \%$ to $60 \%$, count of patterns slightly increased because when decreased confidence percentages' threshold, it increased the number of patterns.

### 4.3.2 Pattern Results for Last 5 Shifts

The next table belongs to the last five shift patterns and is between two of the same failure codes called "all counts", and it was calculated these pattern groups' confidence percentages and ordered them from largest to lowest values for 1143 breakdown codes. This time, obviously, there are more pattern groups because of the last shift size. When increased the shift size, it increased the pattern counts.

This table shows the pattern groups' counts and confidence values for the last five shifts.

| Pattern Groups | Pattern Size | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { Counts } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Last } \\ \text { 5Shifts } \end{gathered}$ | Confidence Percentage | Sequences No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05010ADD , 05010ADC | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s1 |
| 050114B4, 050114B9 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 100\% | s2 |
| 050114B4 , 050114B9 , 050114B8 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s2 |
| 050114B4 ,050114B9 ,050114B8 ,5010905 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s2 |
| 050114B4, ,050114B9, 050114B8 ,5010905,5010904 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s2 |
| 050114B5 , 050114B4, 050114B9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s2 |
| 050114B8,5010905 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s2 |
| 050114B8, 5010905,5010904 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s2 |
| 050114B9 ,050114B8 ,5010905 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s2 |
| 050114B9, 050114B8, 5010905,5010904 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s2 |
| 050116CA ,050114B5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s3 |
| 050119A0 ,050116CB | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s4 |
| 050119A0 ,050116CB ,050116CA | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s4 |
| 050119A1 ,050119A0, 050116CB | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s4 |
| 050119A1 , 050119A0 , 050116CB ,050116CA | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s4 |
| 050119CA, 050116CB ,050116CA ,050119CD ,050119D1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s4 |
| 0501199B , 0501199A , 3E010002 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s5 |
| 050114B5 ,050114B9, 050114B7 , 050114B6 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s6 |
| 050114B5 ,050114B9, 050114B7 , 050114B6 ,050114B4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s6 |
| 050114B9 , 050114B7 , 050114B6 ,050114B4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s6 |
| 0501199C ,5010249 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 100\% | s7 |
| 0501199C, 5010249,5010248 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 100\% | s7 |
| 0501199C ,5010249,5010248,050114B9 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s7 |
| 0501199C ,5010249,5010248,050114B9 ,050114B8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s7 |
| 0501199D ,0501199C ,5010249 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s7 |
| 0501199D ,0501199C , 5010249,5010248 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s7 |
| 050119CE ,050119CB | 2 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s8 |
| 050119CF ,050119CE ,050119CB | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s8 |
| 3E010001, 050119CB , 050119CA ,0501177F | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s9 |
| 3E010001, 050119CB ,050119CA ,0501177F ,0501177E | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s9 |
| 3E010002, 3E010001, 3E010002 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 100\% | s10 |
| 3E010002, 3E010001, 3E010000, 0501177F | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s11 |
| 3E010002, 3E010001, 3E010000, 0501177F , 0501177E | 5 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s11 |
| 5010248,050114B9 ,050114B8 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s12 |
| 5010249,5010248,050114B9 ,050114B8 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 100\% | s12 |
| 050114B9 ,050114B7 , 050114B6 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 75\% | s13 |
| 0501015A , 050119CB | 2 | 3 | 4 | 75\% | s14 |

After making groups for these patterns, it was created another table for these sequence groups which have a hundred percent confidence and related failure times. For example, groups of s1 occurred before the fifth and ninth breakdown for 1143 .

| Faults <br> No | $100 \%$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| F1 | s7 |
| F2 | $s 3, s 6, s 7, s 12$ |
| F3 | s7,s12 |
| F4 | s8 |
| F5 | s1,s5 |
| F6 | s4,s5 |
| F7 |  |
| F8 | s2,s3 |
| F9 | s1 |
| F10 | s8 |
| F11 | s11 |
| F12 | s9,s10 |
| F13 | s9 |
| F14 | $s 10$ |
| F15 |  |
| F16 | s10 |
| F17 | s10 |

Figure 31-Sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1143 in last five shifts

In this table, it can be seen there are 17 faults for reason code 1104 . However, there are 12 different sequential pattern groups because of shift sizes. Comparing the last three shifts patterns, the number of patterns increased, because this time it was used the last five shifts dataset. Next figure shows sequence group distributions as a graph.


Figure 32- Graphical representation of sequence group distributions according to breakdown orders for failure code 1143 in last five shifts

When calculate detection rate which equals dividing counts of filled rows in the table by faults occurring times. For this example, detection rate equals count of filled rows in the table which is 15 dividing by all faults' counts which is 17 , so it equals about $90 \%$ detection rate. This percentage means that system can detect 9 out of 10 breakdowns situation.

The graph below shows all these patterns according to percentages of confidence:


Figure 33- Graphical illustration of patterns and confidence for failure code 1143 in last five shifts

For confidence values $100 \%$ to $70 \%$ count of patterns slightly increased because when decrease confidence percentages' threshold, it increases number of patterns.

## 5 CONCLUSION

Nowadays, with the increasing presence of technological devices, every company tries to follow their vehicles, especially those that have expensive prices and maintenance costs. The mining industry has several expensive vehicles, and companies record their statuses regularly. In this study, there is one big dataset belonging to one North American mining company. The dataset includes records from eleven trucks for nine months, coming to more than three million rows, and more than a hundred columns.

It is focused on the sequences of alarms and signals, which might be related to reasons for breakdowns. However, there are several other features which may have an impact on the breakdown of machines, such as driver mistakes, machine loading status, speed, or road conditions. For example, there are several threshold speed limits for mining trucks depending on road slopes, and the dataset does not show these kinds of thresholds. Another example is the various loading rules for these mining trucks. When trucks are loaded more than load limits allow, it may have an impact on the machine's health status, but there are not this kind of information and did not calculate for those factors. These features need more in-depth research and expert knowledge for a future research project.

In this thesis, it was selected three various kinds of breakdown codes which cause more expensive maintenance costs and mechanical failures. Mechanical breakdown reasons are more related to alarms and signals than other machine failures' reasons. It was selected three of them, but for more accurate results, it must implement more than three breakdown reasons. According to the three machine failure codes, there are specific relationships between events and breakdown codes. First, it was discovered several patterns between
two same failure codes and counted them. Afterwards, it was discovered various patterns in the last three and five shifts before breakdowns occur. Lastly, it was calculated confidence values for the last three and five shifts and illustrated them in tables and graphs.

The results showed that when implement the sequential pattern algorithm before machine failures, it is possible to discover several patterns which may indicate breakdowns. However, for more accurate results, it is necessary to have cleaner and larger datasets, and additionally, time records beyond nine months. Despite these missing values, results indicate a detection rate of more than $90 \%$ in the last five shift events, which shows several specific and identifiable groups of patterns before machine breakdowns occur. However, the results do not show high detection rates for the last three shifts' alarms and signals before machine breakdowns occur. For future work, a more wide and clean dataset would be more accurate in discovering mining trucks failure reasons.
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