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Internet data traffic's capacity is rapidly reaching limits imposed by optical fiber nonlinearities [5]. Optical vortices appear in high order fiber optical mode. In this thesis, we consider multimode fibers (MMFs) that are capable of transmitting a few vortex modes. Certain types of fibers have a spatial dimension leads to space-division-multiplexing (SDM), where information is transmitted with cores of multicore fibers (MCFs) or mode-division-multiplexing (MDM), where information is transmitted via different modes of multimode fibers (MMFs). SDM by employing few-mode fibers in optical networks is expected to efficiently enhance the capacity and overcome the capacity crunch owing to fast increasing capacity demand. For generation of vortex modes, we investigate computer-generated holograms (CGHs) that are fabricated by interference technique. These components constitute the potential backbone for the high-speed network of the future.

To address the capacity crunch, we study the possibility of applying modes with OAM or helicity in optical fiber communication systems. First, novel fibers (known as vortex fibers) are investigated for their maximum transmission speed and energy guiding capacities. We study the mode properties of these fibers with wave transfer matrix (TMM) method such that the number of guided modes, material and waveguide dispersions are determined. We optimize these fibers by changing their sizes and
structures with various concentrations and types of doping for the index profile. Then an optimized profile is determined for guiding of vortex or higher order modes with a minimum total dispersion and maximum bandwidth to address the capacity crunch. During this process, the waveguide dispersion is computed from numerical results that are applied for generating fitting equations. Similarly, fitting equations are formulated for estimation of number of modes in vortex fibers. Then, the use of computer generated hologram (CGH) technique for encoding vortex modes onto signals is investigated.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Optical Fiber Communications

In the last decades, global network traffic increased exponentially, the reason for that is due to the rapid Internet evolution. With the increasing use of voice over IP, video on demand, cloud storage and computing, and the emerging Internet of things, the trends show no sign of decline. Optical fibers are the most important component in modern telecommunications and computer networking because of their high channel capacity. An optical vortex corresponds to a phase singularity that carries orbital angular momentum (OAM) in the light field. They have a variety of applications in optical trapping and tweezing, micromachining, communication and imaging. The laser is a primary light source that can differ from other light sources in terms of coherence. The fundamental mode of a laser has a Gaussian profile. As we see in (Figure 1.1), the internet traffic in Petabytes/month in optical communication networks is steadily increasing by a factor of 100 every decade according to several studies, such as Minnesota Internet Traffic Study (MINTS) and Cisco. The capacity evolution for various generations of communication systems, such as single mode optical fiber, can lead to a 10,000 -fold increase in communication speed for the last three decades [11]. The reason for such an increase can be partially attributed to the employment of various multiplexing techniques in wavelength, amplitude, phase, and polarization of light to encode the information [7].


Figure 1.1: North American internet traffic [11]

Owing to the losses caused by impurities in the glass, the first generation of glass optical fibers can have attenuation as high as $200 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{km}$ at $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ [8]. Then researchers in the early 80 's developed the single mode fiber (SMF) to reduce the pulse dispersion. In early 90 's, they invented the erbium-doped fiber amplifiers, which was the biggest step to improve the capacity in 10 years. At the same time, the development of photodiodes with Indium Gallium Arsenide material enables the adaptation of signal transmission to be focused on the near infrared wavelengths ( 1550 nm ) where the optical loss is the lowest, i.e. a window for transmission. (Figure 1.2) shows that the vast improvement in the 2000's was due to the introduction of various modulation formats for the transmission of complex signals, including the encoding information onto the phase of light.

Most of this data traffic is now supported by optical fiber systems. Due to the Internet traffic demand, the capacity of fiber transmission systems also increased exponentially during the last decades. This increase was caused by successive technology improvements: low losses single
mode fibers, fiber amplifiers (EDFAs), wavelength multiplexing (WDM), and high-efficiency spectral coding, as shown in (Figure 1.2). We will have a capacity crunch in a few years since the current systems are reaching the capacity limit, if no new technologies are developed due to the trend for the system's capacity increasing ten times every four years is maintained [11].


Figure 1.2: Data transmission capacity of fiber transmission systems as a function of year [11]

Typically, there are some limitations for the capacity of a channel [12], e.g. noise which is usually modeled as additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN). It is convenient to characterize a channel in terms of the capacity per unit of bandwidth or spectral efficiency. To get fixed noise level, we should have a higher signal power to get higher spectral efficiency. Based on (Figure 1.3), Shannon limit is the maximum spectral efficiency we can achieve for a given SNR. In optical fiber channels, it is not that simple to increase the spectral efficiency because optical fibers are nonlinear medium. When the signal power increases, nonlinear effects occur and decrease the spectral efficiency, especially for long the fiber length. The spectral efficiency has
some limitations based on the nonlinear Shannon limit due to the fiber parameters, such as loss coefficient, and effective area. In (Figure 1.3), we can see that as SNR increases, the nonlinear effects dominate on the fiber capacity limit for the capacity curves for different lengths of standard single-mode fiber.


Figure 1.3: Nonlinear capacity curves for a range of transmission distances [10]

To avoid reaching system capacities that are very close to this nonlinear capacity limit and to increase the capacity, we use multiple optical fibers or a new dimension for multiplexing, by fabricating systems with spectral efficiency almost reaching the theoretical limit. In fiber communications, the researchers ran into the limitation of the SMF. The accumulative effect of Kerr nonlinearity in silica renders SMF useless for long-haul multi-channels transmission if meticulous compensation schemes are not implemented owing to the crosstalk (cross-phase modulation) among channels created by the nonlinear refractive index in SMF [9].

This situation can be alleviated by multiplexing optical data, not only in wavelength (WDM), but also in polarization (PDM), in time (TDM), and in phase (quadrature). Hence, the total number of channels is the product of the number of channels in each dimension.

Subsequently, researchers had numerous attempts in developing new fibers to replace SMFs. In one of their approaches, one of the dimensions is space. In space division multiplexing, they explore spatial dimension in the new fibers, such as the transmission of multi-channel information with multicore fibers (MCFs) [12], several modes in few-mode fibers (FMF), or modes in a multimode fiber based on mode-division-multiplexing (MDMs) [13]. (Figure 1.4) below shows different types of optical fibers in communication systems to boost the network capacity.


Figure 1.4: Different types of fibers to increase the network capacity. [13]

These new types of fibers have their own limitations based on the structures, e.g. the complicated structure of MCFs requires elaborative manufacturing process. In addition, MMFs suffer from mode coupling caused by both random perturbations in fibers [14] and in modal (de)multiplexers [15].

### 1.2 Computer Generated Hologram (CGH)

Most of the conventional light sources, coherent or incoherent, emit light in a fundamental mode Laguerre-Gaussian ( $\mathrm{LG}_{00}$ ) beam and conversion to high order modes (HOMs) is needed in order to create an OAM beam. Methods for vortex mode generation include the use of phase plates [22] (see fig 1.5), cylindrical lenses [23], computer-generated holograms [24], fiber gratings [25], qplates [26] and specialty phase plates [27]. Photons that carry OAM have a helical phase of electric field proportional to $\exp (j l \theta)$, where $l$ is the topological charge, and $\theta$ is the azimuthal angle [67]. There are several classical and quantum experiments in free space used the inherent OAM modes by multiplexing information to increase the capacity of free space communications links $[68,69]$.


Figure 1.5: Example of conversion between $\mathrm{LG}_{00}$ and $\mathrm{LG}_{I 0}$ beams using a spiral phase plate [29]

### 1.3 Research Questions

In this thesis, we answer the following questions:

- What kind of mode can propagate in those fibers?
- What is the appropriate way in designing the fibers to address the transmission capacity?
- How can we encode $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ mode or other high order modes with the CGH technique?


### 1.4 Research approach

Based on the fiber, known as vortex fiber) in Ref. 5, we optimize that kind of fiber by finding a profile that can minimize the dispersion and increase the energy mode coupling. We numerically encode the $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ mode with Computer Generated Hologram (CGH) method.

### 1.5 Thesis Organization

In this thesis, we started with chapter 2, where we studied the mathematical description of MMFs with electromagnetic theory. Then we solved vector wave equation with finite difference method (FDM). In chapter 3, we derived the numerical solution of Helmholtz equations based on transfer matrix method (TMM) for vortex modes.

Chapter 4 we presented the simulations results based on TMM for the vortex fiber in Ref. 5 and compared them with the results from FDM. Then we studied the mode properties of this fiber such that number of guided modes, and various dispersions. Moreover, we presented a fitting equation to predict the number of modes in vortex fibers. We optimized the vortex fiber numerically by changing its size and structure to minimize the GVD value for three different models. Finally, we presented the final profile with a minimum GVD value for the $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ mode. To simplify calculation, we formulated some fitting equations to predict the waveguide dispersion value for $\mathrm{LP}_{01}, \mathrm{LP}_{11}$, and $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ modes.

We encode the $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ mode with CGH technique in chapter 5. We presented two types of CGH for encoding the higher order modes (HOMs) i.e $\mathrm{LG}_{21}$ and $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ modes. Type 3 CGH is demonstrated to have the best performance for encoding $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ mode. In chapter 6, we presented the performance of optical communication systems based on vortex modes, we considered a dense wavelength
division multiplexing (DWDM) system with vortex mode for transmission of $3.6 \mathrm{~Tb} / \mathrm{s}$ data over 24 channels and 8 quadrature amplitude modulations ( 8 -QAM).

## Chapter 2

## Literature Review

### 2.1 Maxwell's Equations

In this chapter, we look into the mathematical description for multimode fibers. The derivation in this section can be found in many reference books, for instance [17] or [18].

### 2.1.1 Derivation of Maxwell Equations

A fiber mode is a solution or eigenstates of the waveguide equation. The waveguide equation describes the field distribution of a wave propagating in a fiber under certain boundary conditions. Electromagnetic fields are governed by Maxwell's equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla \times \vec{E} & =-\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}  \tag{2.1}\\
\nabla \times \vec{H} & =\overrightarrow{J_{f}}+\frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t}  \tag{2.2}\\
\nabla \cdot \vec{D} & =\rho_{f}  \tag{2.3}\\
\nabla \cdot \vec{B} & =0 \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\vec{E}$ is the electric field intensity, $\vec{B}$ is the magnetic flux density, $\vec{D}$ is the electric flux density, $\vec{H}$ is the magnetic field intensity, $\overrightarrow{J_{f}}$ is the free charge current density vector, $\rho_{f}$ is the free charge density, $t$ is the time, $\nabla \times$ is the curl operator and $\nabla \cdot$ is the divergence operator.

The flux densities $\vec{D}$ and $\vec{B}$ are related to field intensities $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{H}$ by the constitutive relations. For linear and isotropic medium, such as glass, these relations are:

$$
\begin{align*}
\vec{D} & =\varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{r} \vec{E}  \tag{2.5}\\
\vec{B} & =\mu_{0} \mu_{r} \vec{H} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{0}=8.85 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{Fm}^{-1}$ is the vacuum permittivity, $\varepsilon_{r}$ is the relative permittivity, $\mu_{0}=4 \pi \times 10^{-}$ ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Hm}^{-1}$ is the vacuum permeability, and $\mu_{r}$ is the relative permeability. For glass, it is reasonable to assume:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho_{f}=0  \tag{2.7}\\
\overrightarrow{J_{f}}=0  \tag{2.8}\\
\mu_{r}=1 \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

as glass is non-magnetic and dielectric. Maxwell's equations can then be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla \times \vec{E}=-\mu_{0} \frac{\partial \vec{H}}{\partial t}  \tag{2.10}\\
\nabla \times \vec{H}=\varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{r} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}  \tag{2.11}\\
\nabla \cdot\left(\varepsilon_{r} \vec{E}\right)=0  \tag{2.12}\\
\nabla \cdot \vec{B}=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{r}$ is relative permittivity dependent on the frequency. In the following equations, it is assumed that the optical is signal monochromatic with an angular frequency $\omega$. Then the fields can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vec{E}(\vec{r}, t)=\vec{E}(\vec{r}) \exp (-j \omega t)  \tag{2.14}\\
& \vec{H}(\vec{r}, t)=\vec{H}(\vec{r}) \exp (-j \omega t) \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the dependence on the position in space $\vec{r}$ and in time $t$ is written explicitly and $j=\sqrt{-1}$. Substituting (Eqs. (2.14) - (2.15)) into (Eqs. (2.10) - (2.11)), the Maxwell's equations become:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla \times \vec{E}(\vec{r})=j \omega \mu_{0} \vec{H}(\vec{r})  \tag{2.16}\\
\nabla \times \vec{H}(\vec{r})=-j \omega \varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{r} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \tag{2.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking the curl of the last equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{H}(\vec{r})=-j \omega \varepsilon_{0} \nabla \times\left(\varepsilon_{r}(\vec{r}) \vec{E}(\vec{r})\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following vector identities are used to expand the vector operators:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{V}(\vec{r})=\nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{V}(\vec{r}))-\nabla^{2} \vec{V}(\vec{r})  \tag{2.19}\\
\nabla \times(\Psi(\vec{r}) \vec{V}(\vec{r}))=\Psi(\vec{r}) \nabla \times \vec{V}(\vec{r})+\nabla \Psi(\vec{r}) \times \vec{V}(\vec{r}) \tag{2.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{V}}(\vec{r})$ is a vector and $\Psi(\vec{r})$ is a scalar both dependent on the position in space. With the vector identities, the equation for $\vec{H}$ can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{H}(\vec{r}))-\nabla^{2} \vec{H}(\vec{r})=-j \omega \varepsilon_{0}\left(\varepsilon_{r}(\vec{r}) \nabla \times \vec{E}(\vec{r})+\nabla \varepsilon_{r}(\vec{r}) \times \vec{E}(\vec{r})\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be further reduced with (Eqs. (2.13) - (2.16)) to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\nabla^{2} \vec{H}(\vec{r})=\omega^{2} \varepsilon_{0} \mu_{0} \varepsilon_{r}(\vec{r}) \vec{H}(\vec{r})+-j \omega \varepsilon_{0} \nabla \varepsilon_{r}(\vec{r}) \times \vec{E}(\vec{r}) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last $\vec{E}$ in Eq. (2.22) is eliminated with Eq. (2.17). This gives the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\nabla^{2} \vec{H}(\vec{r})=k_{0}^{2} \varepsilon_{r}(\vec{r}) \vec{H}(\vec{r})+\frac{\nabla \varepsilon_{r}(\vec{r})}{\varepsilon_{r}(\vec{r})} \times(\nabla \times \vec{H}(\vec{r})) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{0} \mu_{0}}}$ is the speed of light in vacuum and the wavenumber in vacuum is $k_{0}=\frac{\omega}{c}$. The problem is now given entirely as an equation of $\vec{H}$. The reason why the problem is not formulated with the $\vec{E}$ can be explained by considering the boundary conditions for the electromagnetic field [19]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{1}^{\|}-E_{2}^{\|}=0 \quad \varepsilon_{1} E_{1}^{\perp}-\varepsilon_{2} E_{2}^{\perp}=\sigma_{f}  \tag{2.24}\\
\frac{1}{\mu_{1}} B_{1}^{\|}-\frac{1}{\mu_{2}} B_{2}^{\|}=\left(\overrightarrow{K_{f}} \times \hat{n}_{2 \rightarrow 1}^{\perp}\right)_{\|} \quad B_{1}^{\perp}-B_{2}^{\perp}=0 \tag{2.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $E^{\perp}, H^{\perp}$ are the electric and magnetic fields perpendicular to the boundary respectively, $E^{\|}$, $H^{\|}$are the electric and magnetic fields parallel to the boundary respectively, $\sigma_{f}$ is the free surface charge density, $\overrightarrow{K_{f}}$ is the free surface current density and $\hat{n}_{2 \rightarrow 1}^{\perp}$ is the normal pointing from medium 2 into medium 1. As it is assumed that there are no free charges and no free currents and $\mu_{r}=1$ for both mediums, the boundary conditions for the given problem can be written as:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
E_{1}^{\|}=E_{2}^{\|} & \varepsilon_{1} E_{1}^{\perp}=\varepsilon_{2} E_{2}^{\perp} \\
H_{1}^{\|}=H_{2}^{\|} & H_{1}^{\perp}=H_{2}^{\perp} \tag{2.27}
\end{array}
$$

It can be seen that $\vec{H}$ is continuous over the interface, and it is, therefore, preferable to solve for $\vec{H}$ and then use Eq. (2.17) to obtain $\vec{E}$.

### 2.1.2 Vector wave equation in Cartesian Coordinates

By assuming that a fiber is a straight waveguide and placing the coordinate system such that the fiber is a straight waveguide in the $z$-direction, then $\vec{H}$ can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{H}(\vec{r})=\vec{H}(x, y) \exp (j \beta z) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ is the propagation constant inside the fiber. Applying this to Eq. (2.23) gives an eigenvalue equation for $\beta^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\perp}^{2} \vec{H}(x, y)+k_{0}^{2} \varepsilon_{r}(x, y) \vec{H}(x, y)+\frac{\nabla \varepsilon_{r}(x, y)}{\varepsilon_{r}(x, y)} \times(\nabla \times \vec{H}(x, y))=\beta^{2} \vec{H}(x, y) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla_{\perp}^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}$ is the transverse Laplacian. Since the fiber is straight, we can assume $\varepsilon_{r}$ is only a function of $x$ and $y$, we can write the $x$ and the $y$ components of the last term on the lefthand side respectively as:

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\nabla \varepsilon_{r}(x, y) \times\right.} & (\nabla \times \vec{H}(x, y))]_{x}=\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{r}(x, y)}{\partial y}[\nabla \times \vec{H}(x, y)]_{z} \\
& =\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{r}(x, y)}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial H_{y}(x, y)}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial H_{x}(x, y)}{\partial y}\right)  \tag{2.30}\\
{\left[\nabla \varepsilon_{r}(x, y) \times(\nabla\right.} & \times \vec{H}(x, y))]_{y}=-\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{r}(x, y)}{\partial x}[\nabla \times \vec{H}(x, y)]_{z} \\
& =\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{r}(x, y)}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial H_{x}(x, y)}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial H_{y}(x, y)}{\partial x}\right) \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

where the subscript on the square brackets indicates which component to use. It is now possible to write up an equation for the x and the y components of the $\vec{H}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{\perp}^{2} H_{x}(x, y)+ \varepsilon_{r}(x, y) k_{0}^{2} H_{x}(x, y)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{r}(x, y)} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{r}(x, y)}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial H_{y}(x, y)}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial H_{x}(x, y)}{\partial y}\right) \\
&=\beta^{2} H_{x}(x, y) \\
& \begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\perp}^{2} H_{y}(x, y)+ & \varepsilon_{r}(x, y) k_{0}^{2} H_{y}(x, y)+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{r}(x, y)} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{r}(x, y)}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial H_{x}(x, y)}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial H_{y}(x, y)}{\partial x}\right) \\
& =\beta^{2} H_{y}(x, y)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned} . \tag{2.32}
\end{align*}
$$

The $x$ and the $y$ components are decoupled from the $z$ component, so it is possible to solve for these components alone. In order to obtain the full solution, it is also necessary to determine the $z$ component. This can be done by invoking that the $\vec{H}$ is divergence free and Eq. (2.13), after applying Eq. (2.28), becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \vec{H}(\vec{r})=\frac{\partial H_{x}(x, y)}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial H_{y}(x, y)}{\partial y}+j \beta H_{z}(x, y)=0 \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{z}(x, y)=\frac{j}{\beta}\left[\frac{\partial H_{x}(x, y)}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial H_{y}(x, y)}{\partial y}\right] \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

With a full solution for the $\vec{H}(\vec{r})$, it is possible to solve for $\vec{E}(\vec{r})$ with Eq. (2.17) and hence obtain the complete solution for the electromagnetic field.

### 2.1.3 Vector wave equation in Cylindrical Coordinates

For a straight waveguide in the $z$-direction such as a fiber, its modes assume cylindrical symmetry and it is convenient to use cylindrical coordinates, where $\vec{H}(\vec{r})$ is written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{H}(\vec{r})=\vec{H}(\rho, \theta) \exp (j \beta z) \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

In cylindrical coordinates, Eq. (2.16) becomes:

$$
\begin{gather*}
j \omega \mu_{0} H_{\rho}(\rho, \theta)=-j \beta E_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial E_{z}(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \theta}  \tag{2.37}\\
j \omega \mu_{0} H_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)=j \beta E_{\rho}(\rho, \theta)-\frac{\partial E_{z}(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \rho}  \tag{2.38}\\
j \omega \mu_{0} H_{z}(\rho, \theta)=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial E_{\rho}(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \theta}+\frac{\partial E_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \rho}+\frac{1}{\rho} E_{\theta}(\rho, \theta) \tag{2.39}
\end{gather*}
$$

Also Eq. (2.17) in cylindrical coordinates becomes:

$$
\begin{gather*}
-j \omega \varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{r} E_{\rho}(\rho, \theta)=-j \beta H_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial H_{z}(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \theta}  \tag{2.40}\\
-j \omega \varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{r} E_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)=j \beta H_{\rho}(\rho, \theta)-\frac{\partial H_{z}(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \rho}  \tag{2.41}\\
-j \omega \varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{r} E_{z}(\rho, \theta)=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial H_{\rho}(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \theta}+\frac{\partial H_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \rho}+\frac{1}{\rho} H_{\theta}(\rho, \theta) \tag{2.42}
\end{gather*}
$$

The angular part can either be $\cos (l \theta)$ or $\sin (l \theta)$. From Eq. (2.38) it is seen that $H_{\rho}(\rho, \theta)$ and $E_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)$ have the same angular dependence e.g. sine and that $E_{z}(\rho, \theta)$ then has to be cosine. By doing this for all the components of the electromagnetic field, the following relations are obtained:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{\rho}(\rho, \theta) \propto \sin (l \theta+a) & E_{\rho}(\rho, \theta) \propto \cos (l \theta+a) \\
H_{\theta}(\rho, \theta) \propto \cos (l \theta+a) & E_{\theta}(\rho, \theta) \propto \sin (l \theta+a) \\
H_{z}(\rho, \theta) \propto \sin (l \theta+a) & E_{z}(\rho, \theta) \propto \cos (l \theta+a) \tag{2.45}
\end{array}
$$

where $a$ is an arbitrary constant. Owing to these observations, $\vec{H}(\rho, \theta)$ can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{H}(\rho, \theta)=H_{\rho}(\rho) \sin (l \theta) \hat{\rho}+H_{\theta}(\rho) \cos (l \theta) \hat{\theta}+H_{z}(\rho) \sin (l \theta) \hat{z} \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l$ is the eigenvalue for the angular part, which is a non-negative integer. Now, it is possible to get an equation for the radial component of the $\vec{H}(\rho, \theta)$ from Eq. (2.23) as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} H_{\rho}(\rho)}{\partial \rho^{2}}+\frac{1}{\rho} & \frac{\partial H_{\rho}(\rho)}{\partial \rho}-\frac{l^{2}}{\rho^{2}} H_{\rho}(\rho)-\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} H_{\rho}(\rho)+\frac{2 l}{\rho^{2}} H_{\theta}(\rho)+\varepsilon_{r}(\rho) k_{0}^{2} H_{\rho}(\rho) \\
& +\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{r}(\rho)}\left[\nabla \varepsilon_{r}(\rho) \times(\nabla \times \vec{H}(\vec{r}))\right]_{\rho} \frac{\exp (-j \beta z)}{\sin (l \theta)}=\beta^{2} H_{\rho}(\rho) \tag{2.47}
\end{align*}
$$

And with the angular component of the $\vec{H}$ given as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} H_{\theta}(\rho)}{\partial \rho^{2}}+\frac{1}{\rho} & \frac{\partial H_{\theta}(\rho)}{\partial \rho}-\frac{l^{2}}{\rho^{2}} H_{\theta}(\rho)-\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} H_{\theta}(\rho)+\frac{2 l}{\rho^{2}} H_{\rho}(\rho)+\varepsilon_{r}(\rho) k_{0}^{2} H_{\theta}(\rho) \\
& +\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{r}(\rho)}\left[\nabla \varepsilon_{r}(\rho) \times(\nabla \times \vec{H}(\vec{r}))\right]_{\theta} \frac{\exp (-j \beta z)}{\cos (l \theta)}=\beta^{2} H_{\theta}(\rho) \tag{2.48}
\end{align*}
$$

As $\varepsilon_{r}$ only has a $\rho$ dependence, it is possible to express the last term on the left-hand side on (Eqs. (2.47) - (2.48)) as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\nabla \varepsilon_{r}(\rho) \times(\nabla \times \vec{H}(\vec{r}))\right]_{\rho}=0}  \tag{2.49}\\
{\left[\nabla \varepsilon_{r}(\rho) \times(\nabla \times \vec{H}(\vec{r}))\right]_{\theta}}  \tag{2.50}\\
=\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{r}(\rho)}{\partial \rho}\left[-\frac{\partial H_{\theta}(\rho)}{\partial \rho}-\frac{1}{\rho} H_{\theta}(\rho)+\frac{1}{\rho} l H_{\rho}(\rho)\right] \cos (l \theta) \exp (j \beta z)
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, (Eqs. (2.47) - (2.48)) can be written as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} H_{\rho}(\rho)}{\partial \rho^{2}}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial H_{\rho}(\rho)}{\partial \rho}-\frac{l^{2}}{\rho^{2}} H_{\rho}(\rho)-\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} H_{\rho}(\rho)+\frac{2 l}{\rho^{2}} H_{\theta}(\rho)+\varepsilon_{r}(\rho) k_{0}^{2} H_{\rho}(\rho) \\
=\beta^{2} H_{\rho}(\rho)  \tag{2.51}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} H_{\theta}(\rho)}{\partial \rho^{2}}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial H_{\theta}(\rho)}{\partial \rho}-\frac{l^{2}}{\rho^{2}} H_{\theta}(\rho)-\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} H_{\theta}(\rho)+\frac{2 l}{\rho^{2}} H_{\rho}(\rho)+\varepsilon_{r}(\rho) k_{0}^{2} H_{\theta}(\rho) \\
\quad+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{r}(\rho)} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{r}(\rho)}{\partial \rho}\left[-\frac{\partial H_{\theta}(\rho)}{\partial \rho}-\frac{1}{\rho} H_{\theta}(\rho)+\frac{l}{\rho} H_{\rho}(\rho)\right]=\beta^{2} H_{\theta}(\rho) \tag{2.52}
\end{gather*}
$$

The $\rho$ and the $\theta$ components are decoupled from the $z$ component, so it is possible to solve for these components alone. In order to obtain the full solution, it is also necessary to determine the $z$ component. This can be done by invoking Eq. (2.13):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \vec{H}(\vec{r})=\frac{1}{\rho} H_{\rho}(\vec{r})+\frac{\partial H_{\rho}(\vec{r})}{\partial \rho}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial H_{\theta}(\vec{r})}{\partial \theta}+\frac{\partial H_{z}(\vec{r})}{\partial z}=0 \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by inserting Eq. (2.37) into the equation above then it is possible to write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{z}(\rho)=\frac{j}{\beta}\left[\frac{1}{\rho} H_{\rho}(\rho)+\frac{\partial H_{\rho}(\rho)}{\partial \rho}-\frac{l}{\rho} H_{\theta}(\rho)\right] \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

With a full solution for the $\vec{H}$, it is possible to get the full solution for the $\vec{E}$ by using Eq. (2.17) and thereby obtaining a complete solution for the electromagnetic field.

### 2.2 Numerical Implementation Using Finite Difference Method

Analytical solutions to the differential equations (Eqs. (2.32) - (2.33)) or (Eqs. (2.51) - (2.52)) are only available for a limited number of cases, e.g. the step-index fiber. It is possible to obtain numerical solutions to a wide number of cases. One of the numerical methods is finite difference scheme and the problem can be written as an eigenvalue problem.

### 2.2.1 Finite Difference Scheme

In the finite difference scheme [29, 30], the spatial domain is divided into an equidistantly spaced grid. As the spacing is finite the derivatives have to be approximated. The first order derivative is approximated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial H_{i}(p \Delta \rho)}{\partial \rho} \approx \frac{H_{i}(\Delta \rho(p+1))-H_{i}(\Delta \rho(p-1))}{2 \Delta \rho} \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{p}=1,2, \ldots, p_{t}$ is the grid point index, $p_{t}$ is the number of grid points, the spacing is $\Delta \rho$, and the subscript $i=\rho, \theta$ and $z$ indicates the field component. If one expands $H_{i}(p \Delta \rho)$ in a Taylor series around the $p^{t h}$ grid point, then the two neighboring grid points can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i}((\mathrm{p} \pm 1) \Delta \rho)=H_{i}(\mathrm{p} \Delta \rho)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{n} H_{i}(\mathrm{p} \Delta \rho)}{\partial \rho^{n}} \frac{( \pm \Delta \rho)^{n}}{n!} \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting the two neighboring points in the Taylor approximation gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i}((p+1) \Delta \rho)-H_{i}((p-1) \Delta \rho)=\frac{\partial H_{i}(p \Delta \rho)}{\partial \rho} 2 \Delta \rho+O\left(\Delta \rho^{3}\right) \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is seen that the error by doing the approximation of the first order derivative is of the order $(\Delta \rho)^{2}$ by inserting Eq. (2.57) into Eq. (2.55). The second order derivative can be approximated, in the same manner, as a difference between two first order derivatives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} H_{i}(p \Delta \rho)}{\partial \rho^{2}} \approx \frac{\frac{\partial H_{i}\left(\left(p+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta \rho\right)}{\partial \rho}-\frac{\partial H_{i}\left(\left(p-\frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta \rho\right)}{\partial \rho}}{\Delta \rho} \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reason for choosing the non-integer grid point of $p \pm \frac{1}{2}$ will be clear when the approximations of the first order derivatives are made:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial H_{i}\left(\left(p+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta \rho\right)}{\partial \rho} \approx \frac{H_{i}((p+1) \Delta \rho)-H_{i}(p \Delta \rho)}{\Delta \rho} \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial H_{i}\left(\left(p-\frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta \rho\right)}{\partial \rho} \approx \frac{H_{i}((p \Delta \rho) \Delta \rho)-H_{i}((p-1) \Delta \rho)}{\Delta \rho} \tag{2.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

as this will give an estimate for the second order derivative given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} H_{i}(p \Delta \rho)}{\partial \rho^{2}} \approx \frac{H_{i}((p+1) \Delta \rho)-2 H_{i}(p \Delta \rho)+H_{i}((p-1) \Delta \rho)}{(\Delta \rho)^{2}} \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The accuracy of the second order derivative approximation can again be determined by expanding the grid point in a Taylor series around the grid point [30]:

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{i}((p+1) \Delta \rho) & -2 H_{i}(p \Delta \rho)+H_{i}((p-1) \Delta \rho) \\
& =\left(H_{i}(p \Delta \rho)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{n} H_{i}(\rho \Delta \rho)}{\partial \rho^{n}} \frac{(\Delta \rho)^{n}}{n!}\right)-2 H_{i}(\rho \Delta \rho)  \tag{2.62}\\
& +\left(H_{i}(p \Delta \rho)++\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{n} H_{i}(p \Delta \rho)}{\partial \rho^{n}} \frac{(-\Delta \rho)^{n}}{n!}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial^{2} H_{i}(p \Delta \rho)}{\partial \rho^{2}}(\Delta \rho)^{2}+O\left([\Delta \rho]^{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By inserting Eq. (2.62) into Eq. (2.61), it is seen that the error is of the order $(\Delta \rho)^{2}$. The consequence of both the first and the second order derivatives to be of the order $(\Delta \rho)^{2}$ is that the accuracy is improved four times by making $\Delta \rho$ twice as small. This is of course only valid if it is possible to approximate the derivatives by a Taylor series.

### 2.2.2 Eigenvalue Problem

(Eqs. (2.32) - (2.33)) or (Eqs. (2.51) - (2.52)) define an eigenvalue problem for $\beta^{2}$. In conjunction with the finite difference scheme, there are two difference equations for each grid point and this can be formulated as a matrix eigenvalue problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \mathbf{V}=\beta^{2} \mathbf{V} \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi$ is the matrix operator, also referred to as the discretization matrix for the finite difference problem, which gives the eigenvalue when applied to the eigenvector $V$. Considering e.g. (Eqs (2.51) - (2.52)), as the method is equivalent to (Eqs. (2.32) - (2.33)), then one way to write the eigenvector is:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{V}=\left[H_{\rho}(\Delta \rho), H_{\rho}(2 \Delta \rho), H_{\rho}(3 \Delta \rho), \ldots, H_{\rho}\left(p_{t} \Delta \rho\right)\right.  \tag{2.64}\\
\left.H_{\theta}(\Delta \rho), H_{\theta}(2 \Delta \rho), H_{\theta}(3 \Delta \rho), \ldots, H_{\theta}\left(p_{t} \Delta \rho\right)\right]^{T}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $p_{t}$ is the number of grid point, so the eigenvector has $2 p_{t}$ elements. For example $p_{t}=7$, Then the $2 p_{t} \times 2 p_{t}$ matrix operator can be written as:

$$
\left.\Phi=\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc}
a_{2} & a_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{2.65}\\
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{4} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{1} & a_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{4} \\
b_{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{2} & b_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & b_{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & b_{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & b_{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{1} & b_{2} & b_{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{1} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the non-zero elements are given as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{1}=\frac{1}{(\Delta \rho)^{2}}-\frac{1}{p \Delta \rho} \frac{1}{2 \Delta \rho}  \tag{2.66}\\
a_{2}=\frac{-2}{(\Delta \rho)^{2}}-\frac{l^{2}}{(p \Delta \rho)^{2}}-\frac{1}{(p \Delta \rho)^{2}}+\varepsilon_{r}(\Delta \rho p) k_{0}^{2} \tag{2.67}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{3}=\frac{1}{(\Delta \rho)^{2}}+\frac{1}{p \Delta \rho} \frac{1}{2 \Delta \rho}  \tag{2.68}\\
a_{4}=\frac{2 l}{(p \Delta \rho)^{2}} \tag{2.69}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{1} \\
& =\frac{1}{(\Delta \rho)^{2}}-\frac{1}{p \Delta \rho} \frac{1}{2 \Delta \rho}  \tag{2.70}\\
& +\frac{2}{\varepsilon_{r}\left(\left(p+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta \rho\right)+\varepsilon_{r}\left(\left(p-\frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta \rho\right)} \frac{\varepsilon_{r}\left(\left(p+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta \rho\right)-\varepsilon_{r}\left(\left(p-\frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta \rho\right)}{\Delta \rho} \frac{1}{2 \Delta \rho} \\
& b_{2}=\frac{-2}{(\Delta \rho)^{2}}-\frac{l^{2}}{(p \Delta \rho)^{2}}-\frac{1}{((p-1) \Delta \rho)^{2}}+\varepsilon_{r}(p \Delta \rho) k_{0}^{2}  \tag{2.71}\\
& \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{r}(p \Delta \rho)} \frac{\varepsilon_{r}((p+1) \Delta \rho)-\varepsilon_{r}((p-1) \Delta \rho)}{2 \Delta \rho} \frac{1}{p \Delta \rho}
\end{align*}
$$

$-\frac{2}{\varepsilon_{r}\left(\left(p+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta \rho\right)+\varepsilon_{r}\left(\left(p-\frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta \rho\right)} \frac{\varepsilon_{r}\left(\left(p+\frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta \rho\right)-\varepsilon_{r}\left(\left(p-\frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta \rho\right)}{\Delta \rho} \frac{1}{2 \Delta \rho}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{4}=\frac{2 l}{(p \Delta \rho)^{2}}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{r}(p \Delta \rho)} \frac{\varepsilon_{r}(p+1) \Delta \rho-\varepsilon_{r}(p-1) \Delta \rho}{2 \Delta \rho} \frac{1}{p \Delta \rho} \tag{2.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is worth noting that in Eq. (2.65) the field values at the boundary points are set to zero e.g. the first row reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}+a_{2} H_{\rho}(\Delta \rho)+a_{3} H_{\rho}(2 \Delta \rho)+a_{4} H_{\theta}(\Delta \rho)=\beta^{2} H_{\rho}(\Delta \rho) \tag{2.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds to Eq. (2.51) applied to the first grid point. For the last grid point, which is the $p_{t}^{\text {th }}$ row, it looks like:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} H_{\rho}\left(p_{t} \Delta \rho\right)+a_{2} H_{\rho}\left(p_{t} \Delta \rho\right)+a_{3} 0+a_{4} H_{\theta}\left(p_{t} \Delta \rho\right)=\beta^{2} H_{\rho}\left(p_{t} \Delta \rho\right) \tag{2.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

The similar boundary equation can be applied to Eq. (2.52). It is fair enough to set the field to zero at the boundary at the last grid point as the field has to go to zero at infinity. The only problem is if the computational domain is not large enough and the field is forced to zero too early, which would result in a non-physical boundary condition. The first grid point requires a little more attention as $\rho=0$ and at first glance, the differential equations seem to be singular. When $\rho \ll 1$ then (Eqs. (2.51) - (2.52)) reduces to [29]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} H_{\rho}(\rho)}{\partial \rho^{2}}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial H_{\rho}(\rho)}{\partial \rho}-\frac{l^{2}}{\rho^{2}} H_{\rho}(\rho)-\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} H_{\rho}(\rho)+\frac{2 l}{\rho^{2}} H_{\theta}(\rho)=0  \tag{2.76}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} H_{\theta}(\rho)}{\partial \rho^{2}}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial H_{\theta}(\rho)}{\partial \rho}-\frac{l^{2}}{\rho^{2}} H_{\theta}(\rho)-\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} H_{\theta}(\rho)+\frac{2 l}{\rho^{2}} H_{\rho}(\rho)=0 \tag{2.77}
\end{align*}
$$

These two equations are identical. The solution for $H_{\rho}(\rho)$ and $H_{\theta}(\rho)$ is the same by using the argument that it is possible to decouple the two equations and get a differential equation solely for $H_{\rho}(\rho)$ and another one solely for $H_{\theta}(\rho)$, which would be identical. It is then possible to write the two differential equations as one:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} H_{i}(\rho)}{\partial \rho^{2}}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial H_{i}(\rho)}{\partial \rho}-\frac{l^{2}}{\rho^{2}} H_{i}(\rho)-\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} H_{i}(\rho)+\frac{2 l}{\rho^{2}} H_{i}(\rho)=0 \tag{2.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be simplified as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} H_{i}(\rho)}{\partial \rho^{2}}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial H_{i}(\rho)}{\partial \rho}-\frac{(l-1)^{2}}{\rho^{2}} H_{i}(\rho)=0 \tag{2.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation with $(l-1)^{2}$ can be solved with a trial solution, $H_{i} \propto \rho^{q}$. Then for $q \geq 2$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
q(q-1)+q-(l-1)^{2}=0  \tag{2.80}\\
q^{2}=(l-1)^{2} \tag{2.81}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $q=1$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
1-(l-1)^{2}=0  \tag{2.82}\\
l=0 \quad \text { and } \quad l=2 \tag{2.83}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $q=0$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
-(l-1)^{2}=0  \tag{2.84}\\
l=1 \tag{2.85}
\end{gather*}
$$

As the physical boundary condition requires the field to be bounded at $\rho=0$, only real nonnegative values of $q$ are valid. It is seen that $m \neq 1$ implies that $q>0$ and the solution actually goes to zero at $\rho=0$. For $m=1$, then $q$ has to be zero and the field value is a constant at $\rho=0$ so the first order derivative of $H_{i}$ is zero.

For the fundamental mode $l=1$ the field value at $\rho=0$ is non-zero. The field is symmetric around $\rho=0$, which also means that the first order derivative is zero and as argued above the field of the two components are identical so it is possible to write (Eqs. (2.51)-(2.52)) in the finite difference scheme as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{H_{i}(2 \Delta \rho)-2 H_{i}(\Delta \rho)+H_{i}(0)}{(\Delta \rho)^{2}}+\varepsilon_{r}(\Delta \rho) k_{0}^{2} H_{i}(\Delta \rho)=\beta^{2} H_{i}(\Delta \rho) \tag{2.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the field is symmetric around the first grid point $\rho=0$, then $H_{i}((i=1)+1)=H_{i}((i=1)-1)$ and the equation for $\rho=0$ becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 H_{i}(2 \Delta \rho)-2 H_{i}(\Delta \rho)}{(\Delta \rho)^{2}}+\varepsilon_{r}(\Delta \rho) k_{0}^{2} H_{i}(\Delta \rho)=\beta^{2} H_{i}(\Delta \rho) \tag{2.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(0)=\frac{2 H(\Delta \rho)}{\varepsilon_{r}(0) k_{0}^{2}+\frac{2}{(\Delta \rho)^{2}}} \tag{2.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

This will modify the non-zero matrix element for the first grid point for both of the components:

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{2}^{\text {mod }}=\frac{2 a_{1}}{\varepsilon_{r}(0) k_{0}^{2}+\frac{2}{(\Delta \rho)^{2}}}+a_{2}  \tag{2.89}\\
a_{1} H_{\rho}(0)+a_{2}^{\text {mod }} H_{\rho}(\Delta \rho)+a_{3} H_{\rho}(2 \Delta \rho)+a_{4} H_{\theta}(\Delta \rho)=\beta^{2} H_{\rho}(\Delta \rho) \tag{2.90}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Chapter 3

## Guided Modes of Optical Fiber

### 3.1 Mode Descriptions

In this section, we started with Maxwell equation and we followed the procedure on Ref. 17 to derive the wave equation. However, we start from the derivation of step-index fiber, as we use slightly different notation.

As we mentioned before (chapter 2), electromagnetic fields are governed by Maxwell's equations (Eqs. (2.1)-(2.4)). However, within telecommunication wavelengths in the case of silica fibers, we can neglect nonlinear effects and material absorption, without loss of accuracy [37]. Therefore, we assume Eq. (2.5) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{D}=\varepsilon_{0} n^{2} \vec{E} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the frequency dependent refractive index of the material. If the material is inhomogeneous, $n$ is also spatial dependent.

The time dependency of the fields is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\vec{E} & =\vec{E}(\vec{r}, t)=\vec{E}(\vec{r}) e^{-j \omega t}  \tag{3.2}\\
\vec{H} & =\vec{H}(\vec{r}, t)=\vec{E}(\vec{r}) e^{-j \omega t} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\vec{r}$ is the position vector, $\omega$ is the angular frequency, $t$ is time and $\vec{E}(\vec{r})$ and $\vec{H}(\vec{r})$ are the envelopes of a rapidly oscillating signal.

Similar to chapter 2, the wavenumber is $k_{0}=\frac{\omega}{c}=\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda}, \mu_{0} \varepsilon_{0}=\frac{1}{c^{2}}$ and the free-space impedance is given by $\eta_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{\mu_{0}}{\varepsilon_{0}}}$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla \times \vec{E}=-\mu_{0} \frac{\partial \vec{H}}{\partial t}=j \omega \mu_{0} \vec{H}(\vec{r}) e^{-j \omega t}=j \eta_{0} k_{0} \vec{H}(\vec{r}) e^{-j \omega t}  \tag{3.4}\\
\nabla \times \vec{H}=\varepsilon_{0} \varepsilon_{r} \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}=-j \omega \varepsilon_{0} n^{2} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) e^{-j \omega t}=-j n^{2} \frac{k_{0}}{\eta_{0}} \vec{E}(\vec{r}) e^{-j \omega t} \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

We take the curl of each equation, we obtained:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{E})-\nabla^{2} \vec{E}=\left[\nabla\left(j k_{0} \eta_{0}\right) \times \vec{H}(\vec{r})+j k_{0} \eta_{0} \nabla \times \vec{H}(\vec{r})\right] e^{-j \omega t}  \tag{3.6}\\
\nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{H})-\nabla^{2} \vec{H}=\left[\nabla\left(-j \frac{k_{0}}{\eta_{0}} n^{2}\right) \times E(\vec{r})-j \frac{k_{0}}{\eta_{0}} n^{2} \nabla \times \vec{E}(\vec{r})\right] e^{-j \omega t} \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using divergence product rule identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot(\varphi F)=(\nabla \varphi) \cdot F+\varphi(\nabla \cdot F) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

And since $\nabla \cdot\left(\varepsilon_{0} n^{2} \vec{E}\right)=0$, we got:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \vec{E}=-\vec{E} \cdot \frac{\nabla n^{2}}{n^{2}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The gradient of $j k_{0} \eta_{0}$ is zero since is does not vary over space. Maxwell's equations (Eqs. (3.6) - (3.7)) are reduced to:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{E})-\nabla^{2} \vec{E}=j k_{0} \eta_{0} \nabla \times \vec{H}(\vec{r}) e^{-j \omega t}  \tag{3.10}\\
\nabla^{2} \vec{H}=j \frac{k_{0}}{\eta_{0}}\left(\nabla n^{2} \times \vec{E}(\vec{r})+n^{2}(\nabla \times \vec{E}(\vec{r}))\right) e^{-j \omega t} \tag{3.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

By substituting (Eqs. (3.4) - (3.5)) into (Eqs. (3.10) - (3.11)), we got the wave equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} \vec{E}(\vec{r})+\nabla\left(\vec{E}(\vec{r}) \cdot \frac{\nabla n^{2}}{n^{2}}\right)+k_{0}^{2} c_{0}^{2} n^{2} \vec{E}(\vec{r})=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} \vec{H}(\vec{r})+\frac{\nabla n^{2}}{n^{2}} \times \nabla \times \vec{H}(\vec{r})+k_{0}^{2} c_{0}^{2} n^{2} \vec{H}(\vec{r})=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 Modes in Optical Fibers

When we study the propagation of light in optical fiber, we can make further simplifications. We assume fiber is perfectly circular, infinitely long, and that the cladding is infinitely thick.

In this chapter, we use cylindrical coordinates $(\rho, \theta, z)$, because the refractive index is only dependent on the $\rho$ coordinate and the fiber is aligned in length along the $z$-axis. Vector fields in cylindrical coordinates are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\vec{E}(\vec{r}) & =\vec{E}(\rho, \theta) \exp \{j \beta z\}  \tag{3.14}\\
\vec{H}(\vec{r}) & =\vec{H}(\rho, \theta) \exp \{j \beta z\} \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta$ is the propagation constant. Based on that definition of the fields, the $\partial / \partial z$ operator is equivalent to multiplication by $j \beta$. We can rewrite (Eqs. (3.4) - (3.5)) with the determinant operator as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\vec{E}(\rho, \theta)=j \eta_{0} \frac{1}{k_{0} n^{2}}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{\rho} & \hat{\theta} & \hat{z} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} & 1 / \rho(\partial / \partial \theta) & j \beta \\
H_{\rho}(\rho, \theta) & H_{\theta}(\rho, \theta) & H_{z}(\rho, \theta)
\end{array}\right|  \tag{3.16}\\
\vec{H}(\rho, \theta)=-j \frac{1}{k_{0} \eta_{0}}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{\rho} & \hat{\theta} & \hat{z} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} & 1 / \rho(\partial / \partial \theta) & j \beta \\
E_{\rho}(\rho, \theta) & E_{\theta}(\rho, \theta) & E_{z}(\rho, \theta)
\end{array}\right| \tag{3.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Separating the components, this gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\rho}(\rho, \theta)=\eta_{0} \frac{1}{k_{0} n^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial j H_{z}(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \theta}+\beta H_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\theta}(\rho, \theta) & =-\eta_{0} \frac{1}{k_{0} n^{2}}\left(\beta H_{\rho}(\rho, \theta)+\frac{\partial j H_{z}(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \rho}\right)  \tag{3.19}\\
j E_{z}(\rho, \theta) & =-\eta_{0} \frac{1}{k_{0} n^{2} \rho}\left(\frac{\partial\left(\rho H_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)\right)}{\partial \rho}-\frac{\partial H_{\rho}(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)  \tag{3.20}\\
H_{\rho}(\rho, \theta) & =-\frac{1}{\eta_{0}} \frac{1}{k_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial j E_{z}(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \theta}+\beta E_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)\right)  \tag{3.21}\\
H_{\theta}(\rho, \theta) & =\frac{1}{\eta_{0}} \frac{1}{k_{0}}\left(\beta E_{\rho}(\rho, \theta)+\frac{\partial j E_{z}(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \rho}\right)  \tag{3.22}\\
j H_{z}(\rho, \theta) & =\frac{1}{\eta_{0}} \frac{1}{k_{0} \rho}\left(\frac{\partial\left(\rho E_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)\right)}{\partial \rho}-\frac{\partial E_{\rho}(\rho, \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we can rewrite all transverse components as functions of longitudinal components [17], i.e. transverse fields in $\rho\left(E_{\rho}(\rho, \theta)\right.$ and $\left.H_{\rho}(\rho, \theta)\right)$ and $\theta\left(E_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)\right.$ and $\left.H_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)\right)$ directions can be represented in terms of $E_{z}(\rho, \theta)$ and $H_{z}(\rho, \theta)$ [35]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{\rho}(\rho, \theta)=\frac{j \beta}{\omega^{2} \mu \varepsilon-\beta^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} E_{z}(\rho, \theta)+\frac{\omega \mu}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\rho \partial \theta} H_{z}(\rho, \theta)\right)  \tag{3.24}\\
& E_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)=\frac{j \beta}{\omega^{2} \mu \varepsilon-\beta^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\rho \partial \theta} E_{z}(\rho, \theta)-\frac{\omega \mu}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} H_{z}(\rho, \theta)\right)  \tag{3.25}\\
& H_{\rho}(\rho, \theta)=\frac{j \beta}{\omega^{2} \mu \varepsilon-\beta^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} H_{z}(\rho, \theta)-\frac{\omega \varepsilon}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\rho \partial \theta} E_{z}(\rho, \theta)\right)  \tag{3.26}\\
& H_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)=\frac{j \beta}{\omega^{2} \mu \varepsilon-\beta^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\rho \partial \theta} H_{z}(\rho, \theta)+\frac{\omega \varepsilon}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} E_{z}(\rho, \theta)\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

We see that longitudinal components $E_{z}(\rho, \theta)$ and $H_{z}(\rho, \theta)$ are purely imaginary in those equations, while transverse components are real. This convention comes from the definition of the
propagation constant in (Eqs. (3.14) - (3.15)). It shows that longitudinal and transverse components are expressed on an orthogonal basis.

Modes are eigen solutions of the optical waveguide. They are parametrized by two quantities: a non-negative integer $l$ that is the mode order relating to the number of symmetry axes in the azimuthal dependency of the fields. Moreover, a positive integer $m$ that is the radial order relating to the number of zeros in the radial dependency of the fields. Modes could be either TE (azimuthal E and radial H field) or $T M$ (radial E and azimuthal H field) when the mode is purely radial or purely azimuthal $(l=0)$. On the other hand, either $E H$ or $H E$, determined by whether the $E_{z}(\rho, \theta)$ or $H_{z}(\rho, \theta)$ component is dominant when $l>0$.

Based on the profile of optical fiber, we can find the modes. In the case of step index fiber (Figure 3.1). We can say, it has 2 layers, the core region with refractive index $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{co}}$ and radius $\rho_{l}$ and the cladding region with refractive index $n_{c l}$. We can say the radius in the cladding region to be infinite since its refractive index does not vary with position. Therefore, $\nabla n^{2}=0$, and the wave equations (Eqs. (3.12) - (3.13)) take the form of Helmholtz equations:

$$
\left[\nabla_{t}^{2}+\left(\omega^{2} \mu \varepsilon-\beta^{2}\right)\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\vec{E}_{z}(\rho, \theta)  \tag{3.28}\\
\vec{H}_{z}(\rho, \theta)
\end{array}\right\}=0
$$

where $\nabla_{t}^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \rho^{2}}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}+\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}^{2}}$ is the transverse Laplacian operator.


Figure 3.1: Standard step-index fiber geometry (top view, and profile).

We can write Eq. (3.28) explicitly as:

$$
\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \rho^{2}}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}+\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\left(n^{2} k_{0}^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E_{Z}(\rho, \theta)  \tag{3.29}\\
H_{z}(\rho, \theta)
\end{array}\right\}=0
$$

Because of (Eqs. (3.24)-(3.27)), we only need to solve for longitudinal components $E_{z}(\rho, \theta)$ and $H_{z}(\rho, \theta)$ to get the complete solution for the $E$ and $H$ fields,. The general solutions are formed from the linear combination of Hankel function of first and second kind, and it can be written as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{z}(\rho, \theta)=E_{z}(\rho) \cos \left(l \theta+\phi_{i}\right)=\left[A_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(k_{s} \rho\right)+B_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(k_{s} \rho\right)\right] \cos \left(l \theta+\phi_{i}\right)  \tag{3.30}\\
H_{z}(\rho, \theta)=H_{z}(\rho) \cos \left(l \theta+\psi_{i}\right)=\left[C_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(k_{s} \rho\right)+D_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(k_{s} \rho\right)\right] \cos \left(l \theta+\psi_{i}\right) \tag{3.31}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $H_{l}^{(1)}$ and $H_{l}^{(2)}$ are Hankel functions with $H_{l}^{(1)}$ representing outgoing radial wave and $H_{l}^{(2)}$ representing an incoming radial wave. $A_{i}, B_{i}, C_{i}, D_{i}, \phi_{i}$ and $\psi_{i}$ are the constants at the ith layer, $l$ is an integer, the transverse propagation constant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{s}=\sqrt{\left(\omega^{2} \mu \varepsilon_{s}-\beta^{2}\right)}=\sqrt{\left(n_{s}^{2} k_{0}^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=1,2$ represents the two media in the core and cladding.

In a medium of refractive index $n$, the light travels at speed $c / n$. However, in a waveguide, the material index is not uniform, and light does not travel in a straight line. For a given mode in a given waveguide, the equivalent index of a material where the light would travel in a straight line at the same speed as that mode within the waveguide is called the effective index ( $n_{\text {eff }}$ ). Effective index for each mode is related to the propagation constant by the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=k_{0} n_{e f f} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

In an optical fiber, each $n_{e f f}$ is located between the refractive index of the fiber core material $\left(n_{c o}\right)$, and the refractive index of the cladding $\left(n_{c l}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{c l}<n_{e f f}<n_{c o} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3 Transfer Matrix Method (TMM) for Layered Fiber

In the proposed research, we will focus on optimizing a special type of MMF, which was introduced by Ramachandran et al [16]. This vortex fibers have a cladding diameter from $80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $160 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ steps. These fibers were all drawn from the same preform with the ID 'dk110127' (where 'dk' is short for Denmark and '110127' indicates the date the preform was made). The preform was made with an industry standard Modified Chemical Vapor Deposition (MCVD) method, which was then used to create fibers with different cladding diameters. Different cladding sizes correspond to different core sizes since the fibers were drawn from the same preform. (Figure 3.2) shows the optical microscope image and the fiber core region surrounded by a ring region.


Figure 3.2: An optical microscope image of the end facet of the vortex fiber dk11OD100. [16]


Figure 3.3: Measured refractive index profiles for the fiber dk11OD100 and dk11OD1160. [16]

In this research, we simulate this fiber with the Transfer Matrix Method (TMM) and increase the size of these vortex fibers in order to enable the propagation of more modes inside these fibers. This task is an extension of previous work in [16] where vortex fibers with the diameter cladding sizes varying from $80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $160 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ were investigated for 9 propagating modes.

Although some commercial software packages are available to solve for modes numerically in optical fibers, in this chapter we develop our own software. The goal was two-fold: first, implementing the algorithms by ourselves is the best way to enhance our understanding; second, we have no limitations on the customizations that can be done to solve for particular
problems when we use our own software. Although our program may not be more accurate than commercial ones, we believe that it is usable, and most customizable.

To solve for effective index of step-index fiber or fiber with multi-layers, we use the algorithm of transfer matrix method [34, 35]. The advantages of the TMM, compared to other numerical methods such as finite element methods (FEM), is its speed and accuracy, ability to locate and identify certain modes easily.

### 3.4 Numerical Solution with Transfer Matrix Method

The four field components $\left(E_{z}, H_{\theta}, H_{z}, E_{\theta}\right)$ in each uniform cylindrical layer can be expressed as a linear combination of any two types of Bessel functions [35]. In matrix form, they can be written as:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
E_{z}(\rho)  \tag{3.35}\\
H_{z}(\rho) \\
H_{\theta}(\rho) \\
E_{\theta}(\rho)
\end{array}\right]_{\text {ith layer }}=M(i, \rho)\left[\begin{array}{c}
A_{i} \\
C_{i} \\
B_{i} \\
D_{i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $E_{z}(\rho)$ and $E_{\theta}(\rho)$ are electrical field components and $H_{z}(\rho)$ and $H_{\theta}(\rho)$ are magnetic field components of the guided mode in a fiber which is discretized into radial regions with constant refractive indices, i.e. multi-layered fiber. Here, $i$ denotes the layer number. $A_{i}, B_{i}, C_{i}$ and $D_{i}$ are the coefficients at the $i$ th layer. $M(i, \rho)$ is a $4 \times 4$ matrix at the $i$ th layer that involves Hankel functions. Usually, the modal characteristics of multilayered fiber are calculated by the transfermatrix method [36]. We can approximate the measured profile with multilayered fiber having 6 layers as we see in (Figure 3.4), so we call it the approximated profile or multi-layered profile.


Figure 3.4: Measured and approximated profile for the fiber dk11OD160.

Now we consider the boundary conditions at the general cladding interface between the ith and $(i+1)$ th layers at $\rho=\rho_{i}$, where the $i$ th cladding layer has a medium with index (say $s=1$ ) and the $(i+1)$ th cladding layer has a medium with index (say $s=2$ ), we can apply (Eqs. (3.28) - (3.30)) to the both sides of the interface:

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{z}(\rho, \theta)=\left[A_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(k_{1} \rho\right)+B_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(k_{1} \rho\right)\right] \cos \left(l \theta+\phi_{i}\right), \quad \rho<\rho_{i}  \tag{3.36}\\
E_{z}(\rho, \theta)=\left[A_{i+1} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(k_{1} \rho\right)+B_{i+1} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(k_{1} \rho\right)\right] \cos \left(l \theta+\phi_{i+1}\right), \quad \rho>\rho_{i} \tag{3.37}
\end{gather*}
$$

And

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{z}(\rho, \theta)=\left[C_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(k_{1} \rho\right)+D_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(k_{1} \rho\right)\right] \cos \left(l \theta+\psi_{i}\right),  \tag{3.38}\\
H_{z}(\rho, \theta)=\left[C_{i+1} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(k_{2} \rho\right)+D_{i+1} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(k_{2} \rho\right)\right] \cos \left(l \theta+\psi_{i+1}\right), \tag{3.39}
\end{gather*} \quad \rho>\rho_{i} .
$$

The boundary conditions representing continuity of $E_{z}(\rho, \theta), H_{z}(\rho, \theta), E_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)$ and $H_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)$ at the interface $\rho=\rho_{i}$ can be expressed as a $4 \times 4$ matrix $T_{21}\left(\rho_{i}\right)$ which relates the coefficients in two adjacent layers:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
A_{i+1}  \tag{3.40}\\
C_{i+1} \\
B_{i+1} \\
D_{i+1}
\end{array}\right)=T_{21}\left(\rho_{i}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
A_{i} \\
C_{i} \\
B_{i} \\
D_{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

In terms of field solutions in (Eqs. (3.36) - (3.37)), the continuity of $E_{Z}$ gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[A_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(k_{1} \rho_{i}\right)+B_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(k_{1} \rho_{i}\right)\right] \cos \left(l \theta+\phi_{i}\right)=\left[A_{i+1} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(k_{2} \rho_{i}\right)+B_{i+1} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(k_{2} \rho_{i}\right)\right] \cos \left(l \theta+\phi_{i+1}\right) \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is satisfied for all $\theta$, which means:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}=\phi_{i+1} \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the continuity of $H_{z}$ gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i}=\psi_{i+1} \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we normalize the (Eqs. (3.36) - (3.39)) with $k_{a}=2 \pi / a$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{\beta}=\beta / k_{a}  \tag{3.44}\\
\tilde{k}_{o}=a / \lambda=\tilde{\omega}  \tag{3.45}\\
\tilde{\rho}_{i}=\rho_{i} / a  \tag{3.46}\\
\tilde{k}_{m}=k_{m} / k_{a}  \tag{3.47}\\
\tilde{x}_{m i}=2 \pi \tilde{k}_{m} \tilde{\rho}_{i}  \tag{3.48}\\
\eta_{o}=\sqrt{\frac{\mu_{o}}{\varepsilon_{o}}}  \tag{3.49}\\
n_{s}=\sqrt{\mu_{r s} \varepsilon_{r s}} \tag{3.50}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $s=0$ and $s=1,2,3,4,5$ represent the core index and cladding indices for layers $1,2, \ldots, 5$ respectively.

Thus, we can write the continuity of $(\rho, \theta)$ and $(\rho, \theta)$ in terms of (Eqs. (3.36) - (3.39)) as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[A_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)+B_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)\right]=\left[A_{i+1} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)+B_{i+1} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)\right]}  \tag{3.51}\\
& {\left[C_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)+D_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)\right]=\left[C_{i+1} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)+D_{i+1} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)\right]} \tag{3.52}
\end{align*}
$$

The continuity of ( $\rho, \theta$ ) in Eqs. (3.25) in terms of (Eqs. (3.38) - (3.39)) gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\widetilde{k}_{1}^{2}}\binom{\frac{-l}{a \tilde{\rho}_{i}}\left[A_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)+B_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)\right] \sin \left(l \theta+\phi_{i}\right)}{-\frac{2 \pi \tilde{\omega} \eta_{o} \mu_{r 1}}{a \tilde{\beta}} \tilde{k}_{1}\left[C_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)+D_{i} H_{l}^{(2) '}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)\right] \cos \left(l \theta+\psi_{i}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{\widetilde{k}_{2}^{2}}\binom{\frac{-l}{a \tilde{\rho}_{i}}\left[A_{i+1} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)+B_{i+1} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)\right] \sin \left(l \theta+\phi_{i+1}\right)}{-\frac{2 \pi \tilde{\omega} \eta_{o} \mu_{r 2}}{a \tilde{\beta}} \tilde{k}_{2}\left[C_{i+1} H_{l}^{(1) \prime}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)+D_{i+1} H_{l}^{(2) '}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)\right] \cos \left(l \theta+\psi_{i+1}\right)} \tag{3.53}
\end{align*}
$$

where the primed quantities are the derivatives with respect to their own argument. This has to be satisfied for all $\theta$. From (Eqs. (3.51) - (3.52)), we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1 / \widetilde{k}_{1}^{2}\right)\left\{A_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)+B_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)\right\} \\
& \neq\left(1 / \widetilde{k}_{2}^{2}\right)\left\{A_{i+1} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)+B_{i+1} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)\right\}  \tag{3.54}\\
& \left(\begin{array}{c}
\mu_{r 1} / \widetilde{k}_{1}
\end{array}\right)\left\{C_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)+D_{i} H_{l}^{(2) \prime}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)\right\} \\
& \neq\binom{\mu_{r 2}}{/ \tilde{k}_{2}}\left\{C_{i+1} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)+D_{i+1} H_{l}^{(2)} '\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)\right\} \tag{3.55}
\end{align*}
$$

provided $\tilde{k}_{1} \neq \tilde{k}_{2}$. Thus, we can say from (Eqs. (3.54) - (3.55)) that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \left(l \theta+\phi_{i}\right)= \pm \cos \left(l \theta+\psi_{i}\right) \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}=\psi_{i} \pm \pi / 2 \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The continuity of $H_{\theta}(\rho, \theta)$ in Eq. (3.27) in terms of (Eqs. (3.38) - (3.39)) gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\widetilde{k}_{1}^{2}}\binom{\frac{-l}{a \tilde{\rho}_{i}}\left[C_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)+D_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)\right] \sin \left(l \theta+\psi_{i}\right)}{-\frac{2 \pi \tilde{\omega} \varepsilon_{r 1}}{\eta_{o} a \tilde{\beta}} \tilde{k}_{1}\left[A_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)+B_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)\right] \cos \left(l \theta+\phi_{i}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{\widetilde{k}_{2}^{2}}\binom{\frac{-l}{a \tilde{\rho}_{i}}\left[C_{i+1} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)+D_{I+1} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)\right] \sin \left(l \theta+\psi_{i+1}\right)}{-\frac{2 \pi \tilde{\omega} \varepsilon_{r 2}}{\eta_{o} a \tilde{\beta}} \tilde{k}_{2}\left[A_{i+1} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)+B_{i+1} H_{l}^{(2) \prime}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)\right] \cos \left(l \theta+\phi_{i+1}\right)} \tag{3.58}
\end{align*}
$$

From (Eqs. (3.54) - (3.55)) or equivalently Eq. (3.57) we can classify the waves into two categories:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { I } & E_{z}\left(\rho_{i}, \theta\right)=\left[A_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right)+B_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right)\right] \cos (l \theta) \\
& H_{z}\left(\rho_{i}, \theta\right)=\left[C_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right)+D_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right)\right] \sin (l \theta) \\
&  \tag{3.60}\\
\text { II } & E_{z}\left(\rho_{i}, \theta\right)=\left[A_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right)+B_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right)\right] \sin (l \theta) \\
& H_{z}\left(\rho_{i}, \theta\right)=\left[C_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right)+D_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right)\right] \cos (l \theta)
\end{array}
$$

The boundary conditions for these two categories are summarized below:

For Category I,

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)+B_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)+0+0=A_{i+1} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)+B_{i+1} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)+0+0  \tag{3.61}\\
& \frac{\tilde{\omega} \varepsilon_{r 1}}{\eta_{o} \widetilde{k_{1}} \tilde{\beta} k_{a}} A_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)+\frac{\tilde{\omega} \varepsilon_{r 1}}{\eta_{o} \tilde{k_{1}} \tilde{\beta} k_{a}} B_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)  \tag{3.62}\\
& +\frac{l}{\widetilde{k}_{1} \tilde{x}_{1 i} k_{a}} C_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)+\frac{l}{\widetilde{k}_{1} \tilde{x}_{1 i} k_{a}} D_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right) \vec{E} \\
& =\frac{\tilde{\omega} \varepsilon_{r 2}}{\eta_{o} \widetilde{k_{2}} \tilde{\beta} k_{a}} A_{i+1} H_{l}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)+\frac{\tilde{\omega} \varepsilon_{r 2}}{\eta_{o} \widetilde{k_{2}} \tilde{\beta} k_{a}} B_{i+1} H_{l}^{(2)}{ }^{( }\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right) \\
& +\frac{l}{\widetilde{k}_{2} \tilde{x}_{2 i} k_{a}} C_{i+1} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)+\frac{l}{\widetilde{k}_{2} \tilde{x}_{2 i} k_{a}} D_{i+1} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0+0+C_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\widetilde{x}_{1 i}\right)+D_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)=0+0+C_{i+1} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\widetilde{x}_{2 i}\right)+D_{i+1} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)  \tag{3.63}\\
& \frac{l}{\tilde{k}_{1} \tilde{x}_{1 i} k_{a}} A_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)+\frac{l}{\tilde{k}_{1} \tilde{x}_{1 i} k_{a}} B_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)  \tag{3.64}\\
& +\frac{\eta_{o} \tilde{\omega} \mu_{r 1}}{\tilde{k_{1}} \tilde{\beta} k_{a}} C_{i} H_{l}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime}\left(\tilde{x}_{1 i}\right)+\frac{\eta_{o} \tilde{\omega} \mu_{r 1}}{\tilde{k_{1}} \tilde{\beta} k_{a}} D_{i} H_{l}^{(2)}{ }^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{x}_{1 i}\right) \\
& =\frac{l}{\widetilde{k}_{2} \tilde{x}_{2 i} k_{a}} A_{i+1} H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)+\frac{l}{\widetilde{k}_{2} \tilde{x}_{2 i} k_{a}} B_{i+1} H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right) \\
& +\frac{\eta_{o} \tilde{\omega} \mu_{r 2}}{\widetilde{k_{2}} \widetilde{\beta} k_{a}} C_{i+1} H_{l}^{(1) '}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right)+\frac{\eta_{o} \tilde{\omega} \mu_{r 2}}{\tilde{k_{2}} \widetilde{\beta} k_{a}} D_{i+1} H_{l}^{(2) '}\left(\tilde{x}_{2 i}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

For Category II, there are similar equations except that the coefficient $l / \tilde{k}_{s} \tilde{x}_{s i} k_{a}$ is replaced by
$-l / \widetilde{k}_{s} \tilde{x}_{s i} k_{a} .($ Eqs. (3.61) $-(3.64))$ can be written as a matrix equation:

$$
\underline{M_{2}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{l}
A_{i+1}  \tag{3.65}\\
C_{i+1} \\
B_{i+1} \\
D_{i+1}
\end{array}\right)=\underline{M_{1}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{c}
A_{i} \\
C_{i} \\
B_{i} \\
D_{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the interface matrix is defined

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{M_{s}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)}=\underline{M_{s}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)} \underline{\phi_{s}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)} \tag{3.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
M_{s}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right) & 0 & h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right) & 0  \tag{3.67}\\
0 & h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right) & 0 & h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right) \\
\tilde{k}_{o} \varepsilon_{r s} \\
\widetilde{\beta} \tilde{k}_{s}^{(1)} \eta_{o}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right) & \frac{l}{\tilde{k}_{s} \tilde{x}_{s i}} h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right) & \frac{\tilde{k}_{o} \varepsilon_{r s}}{\tilde{\beta} \widetilde{k}_{s} \eta_{o}^{(2)^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right)} & \frac{l}{\widetilde{k}_{s} \tilde{x}_{s i}} h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right) \\
\frac{l}{\tilde{k}_{s} \tilde{x}_{s i}} h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right) & \frac{\tilde{k}_{o} \eta_{o} \mu_{r s}}{\tilde{\beta} \bar{k}_{s i}^{(1)}} h_{l}^{1^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right) & \frac{l}{\tilde{k}_{s} \tilde{x}_{s i}} h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right) & \frac{\tilde{k}_{o} \eta_{o} \mu_{r s}}{\tilde{\beta} \tilde{k}_{s}^{(2)^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i}\right)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for $s=0,1,2,3,4,5$, and:

$$
\underline{\phi_{s}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\exp \left(j \tilde{x}_{s i}\right) \underline{I} & \underline{0}  \tag{3.68}\\
\underline{0} & \exp \left(-j \tilde{x}_{s i}\right) \underline{I}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the plane wave propagation matrix, $\underline{I}$ is a $2 \times 2$ identity matrix and $\underline{0}$ is a $2 \times 2$ zero matrix. In Eq. (3.66), Hankel functions are normalized by introducing $h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s}\right)=H_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s}\right) / \exp \left(j \tilde{x}_{s}\right)$ and $h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s}\right)=H_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s}\right) / \exp \left(-j \tilde{x}_{s}\right)$. Moreover, field coefficients are re-grouped so that outgoing wave coefficients form the outgoing vector:

$$
\bar{u}_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{i} & C_{i} \tag{3.69}
\end{array}\right)^{T}
$$

and incoming wave coefficients forms another vector

$$
\bar{d}_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B_{i} & D_{i} \tag{3.70}
\end{array}\right)^{T}
$$

i.e. $\left(A_{i} C_{i} B_{i} D_{i}\right)^{T}=\left(\bar{u}_{i} \bar{d}_{i}\right)$. In this way, the fast oscillating and decaying functions for outgoing and incoming waves are factored out into $\phi_{s}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)$ and the transfer matrix can be expressed in terms of $2 \times 2$ matrices. The new formulation improves numerical stability significantly.

The transfer matrix $\underline{T_{21}\left(\rho_{i}\right)}$ in Eq. (3.40) can be generalized into matrix $\underline{T_{s l s 2}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)}$ with s2=1 for the input medium and $\mathrm{s} 1=2$ for the output medium with Eq. (3.65) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{T_{s 1 s 2}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)=\phi_{s 1}^{*}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right) t_{s 1 s 2}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right) \phi_{s 2}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)} \tag{3.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\underline{t_{s l s 2}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)}=\underline{M_{s 1}^{-1}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)} \underline{M_{s 2}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)}$ is the interface transfer matrix:

$$
\underline{t_{s 1 s 2}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\left[t_{s 1 s 2}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)\right]_{11}}{} & \left.\frac{\left[t_{s 1 s 2}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)\right]_{12}}{\left[\begin{array}{l}
s 1 s 2
\end{array}\right.}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)\right]_{21}  \tag{3.72}\\
\underline{\left[t_{s 1 s 2}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)\right]_{22}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The elements of Eq. (3.72) are $2 \times 2$ matrices:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\left[t_{s l 2}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)\right]_{11}}=j \frac{\tilde{\tilde{k}} \tilde{s i l}^{4 \widetilde{k}_{s}}}{4} \\
& \times\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{k}_{s} h_{l}^{(2)^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 1 i}\right) h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right)-\tilde{k}_{s 1} h_{l}^{(1)^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right) h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 1 i}\right) \frac{\varepsilon_{r s 2}}{\varepsilon_{r s 1}} & \frac{\tilde{\beta} \eta_{o}}{\tilde{\omega} \varepsilon_{s 2}} l h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right) h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s l i}\right)\left(\frac{\tilde{k}_{s 2}}{\tilde{x}_{s l i}}-\frac{\tilde{k}_{s 1}}{\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}}\right) \\
\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\omega} \eta_{o} \mu_{r s 1}} l h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right) h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s l i}\left(\frac{\tilde{k}_{s 2}}{\tilde{x}_{s 1 i}}-\frac{\tilde{k}_{s 1}}{\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}}\right)\right. & \tilde{k}_{s 2} h_{l}^{(2)^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{x}_{s l i}\right) h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right)-\tilde{k}_{s 11} h_{l}^{(1)^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{x}_{r s 2}\right) h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s l i}\right) \frac{\mu_{r s 2}}{\mu_{r s 1}}
\end{array}\right),  \tag{3.73}\\
& \underline{\left[t_{s l 2}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)\right]_{12}}=j \frac{\tilde{\tilde{x}_{s l i}}}{4 \tilde{k}_{s 2}} \\
& \times\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{k}_{s 2} h_{l}^{(2)^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2}\right) h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right)-\tilde{k}_{s 1} h_{l}^{(2)^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right) h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s l i}\right) \frac{\varepsilon_{r s 2}}{\varepsilon_{r s 1}} & \frac{\tilde{\beta} \eta_{o}}{\widetilde{\omega} \varepsilon_{r s 1}} l h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right) h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{r s 1}\right)\left(\frac{\tilde{k}_{s 2}}{\tilde{x}_{s l i}}-\frac{\tilde{k}_{s 1}}{\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}}\right) \\
\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\omega} \eta_{o} \mu_{r n}} l h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right) h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s l i}\left(\frac{\tilde{k}_{s 2}}{\tilde{x}_{s 1 i}}-\frac{\tilde{k}_{s 1}}{\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}}\right)\right. & \tilde{k}_{s 2} h_{l}^{(2)^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i l}\right) h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right)-\tilde{k}_{s 1} h_{l}^{(2)^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right) h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i l} \frac{\mu_{r s 2}}{\mu_{r s 1}}\right)
\end{array}\right)  \tag{3.74}\\
& {\left[t_{s l s 2}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)\right]_{21}=-j \frac{\pi \tilde{x}_{s l i}}{4 \widetilde{k}_{s 2}}} \\
& \times\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{k}_{s 2} h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i i}\right) h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right)-\tilde{k}_{s 1} h_{l}^{(1)^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right) h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i i}\right) \frac{\varepsilon_{r s 2}}{\varepsilon_{r s 1}} & \frac{\tilde{\beta} \eta_{o}}{\tilde{\omega} \varepsilon_{r n}} l h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{m i}\right) h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{n i}\right)\left(\frac{\tilde{k}_{s 2}}{\tilde{x}_{s i i}}-\frac{\tilde{k}_{s 1}}{\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}}\right) \\
\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\omega} \eta_{o} \mu_{r s 1}} l h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right) h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 1 i}\right)\left(\frac{\tilde{k}_{s 2}}{\tilde{x}_{s 1 i}}-\frac{\tilde{k}_{s 11}}{\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}}\right) & \tilde{k}_{s 2} h_{l}^{(1)^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{x}_{s l i}\right) h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right)-\tilde{k}_{s 1} h_{l}^{(1)^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right) h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 1 i}\right) \frac{\mu_{r r 22}}{\mu_{r s 1}}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{3.75}\\
& {\left[t_{s 1 s 2}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{i}\right)\right]_{22}=-j \frac{\pi \tilde{x}_{s 1 i}}{4 \widetilde{k}_{s 2}}} \\
& \times\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{k}_{s 2} h_{l}^{(1)^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 1 i}\right) h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right)-\tilde{k}_{s 1} h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right) h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 1 i}\right) & \frac{\varepsilon_{r s 2}}{\varepsilon_{r s 1}} \\
\frac{\tilde{\beta} \eta_{o}}{\tilde{\omega} \varepsilon_{s 1}} l h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2}\right) h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 1}\right)\left(\frac{\tilde{k}_{s 2}}{\tilde{x}_{s 1}}-\frac{\tilde{k}_{s 1}}{\tilde{x}_{s 2}}\right) \\
\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\omega} \eta_{o} \mu_{r s 1}} l h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right) h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 1 i}\right)\left(\frac{\tilde{k}_{s 2}}{\tilde{x}_{s 1 i}}-\frac{\tilde{k}_{s 1}}{\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}}\right) & \tilde{k}_{s 2} h_{l}^{(1)^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{x}_{s i 1}\right) h_{l}^{(2)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right)-\tilde{k}_{s 1} h_{l}^{(2)^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 2 i}\right) h_{l}^{(1)}\left(\tilde{x}_{s 1 i} \frac{\mu_{r s 2}}{\mu_{r s 1}}\right.
\end{array}\right) \tag{3.76}
\end{align*}
$$

For an multi-layered fiber with 6 layers, the transfer matrix $\frac{T_{\text {total }}}{}$ relates coefficients at the first boundary $(i=0)$ to those at the last boundary $(i=5)$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
A_{5}  \tag{3.77}\\
C_{5} \\
B_{5} \\
D_{5}
\end{array}\right]=\underline{T_{\text {total }}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
A_{0} \\
C_{0} \\
B_{0} \\
D_{0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where:

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{\text {total }}=\phi_{5}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{5}-\tilde{\rho}_{4}\right) T_{54}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{4}\right) \phi_{4}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{4}-\right. \\
\left.\tilde{\rho}_{3}\right) T_{43}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{3}\right) \phi_{3}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{3}-\tilde{\rho}_{2}\right) T_{32}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{2}\right) \phi_{2}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{2}-\tilde{\rho}_{1}\right) T_{21}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{1}\right) \phi_{1}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{1}-\tilde{\rho}_{0}\right) T_{10}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{0}\right) \phi_{0}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{0}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\quad \rho_{0}=a_{\text {(i.e. }} \tilde{\rho}_{0}=1$ ).

## Chapter 4

## Simulations of modal properties of optical fiber

The increases of the data capacity by four orders of magnitude in the past three decades has accelerated the pace of reaching limits by nonlinear effects [64] for current fiber optical systems. The use of multicore [65] and multimode [66] fibers in spatial division multiplexing (SDM) has emerged to address the capacity crunch.

We studied a class of specialty few mode fibers (FMFs) called vortex fiber, introduced by Ramachandran et al. [16]. This fiber had been successfully demonstrated to achieve $400 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{sec}$ data transmission over 1.1 kilometers with the fundamental mode $\mathrm{LP}_{01}$ and second mode $\mathrm{LP}_{11}$ [5]. It has attracted a lot of attention for its applications in SDM [32], optical sensing [36], optical tweezers, atom manipulation, and optical communications [44]. Its refractive index profile is very close to the annular intensity profile of orbital angular momentum (OAM) beams (doughnut shape) and supports these OAM modes [16,38,39], which corresponds to higher order modes (HOMs) of the fiber.

As mentioned in chapter 3, we numerically solved the vector wave equation to find the guided modes with transfer matrix method (TMM). In this chapter, we will discuss the results and the error of this method and compare it to finite difference method (FDM). We found an analytical expression for predicting the number of propagating modes, and then optimized the profile by calculating various modal properties such as the number of guided modes, effective group index, material and waveguide dispersions, and effective core area.

We discussed how we could optimize the vortex index profile by considering three different profile indices, all of which can guide HOMs. Their total dispersion parameters for all guided
modes were calculated. Particularly, we have identified the fiber profile that enables the propagation of $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ with minimum total dispersion. At the end of this chapter, we presented an equation that predicts the waveguide dispersion for all three fiber profiles in a good agreement with numerical solutions.

### 4.1 Differences between Finite Difference Method (FDM) and Transfer Matrix Method (TMM)

As mentioned in chapter 3, we solved the full vector waveguide equation that describes the field distribution under the boundary condition set up by the fiber. Formulating the discretized equations as an eigenvalue problem, we can determine the mode or field in terms of eigenvectors for particular eigenvalues that associate with the propagation constant for corresponding guided modes of the fiber.

Since TMM is closely related to the reflection and transmission of propagating modes, it reduces the chances of failing to identify modes comparing it to other generic numerical method such as finite element method (FEM) [34,35]. This method can be easily applied for profiles with layers of constant refractive indices [5]. Previously, the eigenvalues of Vortex Fiber "dkl1OD160" [16] (which has a cladding diameter of $160 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) were determined with FDM [19] and found that " $d k 11 O D 160$ " fiber can support five propagating modes.

The principle of TMM is to ensure the continuity of the field at each layer interface. Numerically, we solved the vector waveguide equation for the vortex fiber by approximating the profile with step layers to find the best approximated step index profile that produced eigenvalues matching the propagation constants calculated with FDM. Each eigenvalue of the waveguide equation from TMM corresponds to the propagation constants $\beta_{l m}$ where $l$ is the azimuthal mode index and $m$ is the radial mode index. We can represent $\beta_{l m}$ as a function of the effective refractive
index $n_{e f f}^{l m}$ for the mode as $\beta_{l m}=k_{0} n_{e f f}^{l m}$. The solutions that satisfy the field continuity across layers are called fiber modes (defined by $l, m$ and the mode family).

In (Figure 4.1) below, we can see the Vortex index profile fiber [5] compared with the approximated 5-Layers step index profile, and (Figure 4.2) shows us the error in the approximated profile. We found its error with respect to the vortex fiber profile using Eq. (4.1).


Figure 4.1: Vortex fiber refractive index profile from experiment and its approximation where the difference in index $\Delta \mathrm{n}$ is calculated with respect to the refractive index of silica

$$
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{SiO} 2}=1.444 .
$$



Figure 4.2: Error between the structure of the vortex profile and its approximation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Error }=\frac{n(\text { vortex fiber })-n(\text { approximated fiber })}{n(\text { vortex fiber })} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We calculated $n_{\text {eff }}$ for the approximated index profile with TMM and compared its results to those from FDM. (Figure 4.3) shows $n_{\text {eff }}$ for $\mathrm{LP}_{01}, \mathrm{LP}_{11}$ and $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ modes of the approximated profile. In this case, we used weakly guided approximation since the mode profiles obtained from the full vector waveguide equation and the scalar wave equation are almost identical [4]. Table 4.1 below shows the ratio between the longitudinal and transverse component of the fields is on order of $10^{-14}$ from the full vector waveguide equation.

| Field | $\max ($ longitudinal (z)) $/ \max ($ abs(transverse field)) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{HE}_{11}$ | $3.97 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| $\mathrm{TM}_{01}$ | $6.69 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| $\mathrm{HE}_{21}$ | $8.73 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| $\mathrm{TE}_{01}$ | $4.03 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| $\mathrm{HE}_{31}$ | $2.32 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| $\mathrm{EH}_{11}$ | $6.72 \times 10^{-14}$ |

Table 4.1: Ratio between longitudinal and transverse components of the fields.
(Figures 4.3 and 4.4) show $n_{\text {eff }}$ values of the approximated profile with 5 layers and the field distribution of $\mathrm{LP}_{01}, \mathrm{LP}_{11}$ and $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ modes respectively; the computation with Eq. (4.2) for the difference between TMM with the vector wave equation and FDM with the scalar wave equation is shown in (Figure 4.5) for $\mathrm{LP}_{01}, \mathrm{LP}_{11}$ and $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ modes.


Figure 4.3: Effective index of the approximated profile with 5 layers.


Figure 4.4: Field distribution for $\mathrm{LP}_{01}, \mathrm{LP}_{11}$ and $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ modes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Difference }=\frac{\text { Intensity(vector wave equation-TMM)-Intensity }(\text { Scalar wave equation }-F D M)}{\text { Intensity }(\text { vector wave equation }-T M M)} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4.5: Difference among simulated fields from vector and scalar wave for $\mathrm{LP}_{01}, \mathrm{LP}_{11}$ and $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ modes.
(Figure 4.6) below shows the error in $n_{\text {eff }}$ values for the approximated profile of 5 layers with TMM with respect to those obtained from FDM for the vortex profile.


Figure 4.6: Effective index error between vortex fiber profile and approximated profile.

So far, we approximated the vortex profile with 5 layers. In order to get more accurate results, we increase the number of layers to be very close to the structure of vortex fiber and observe the convergence of $n_{\text {eff }}$ values for $\mathrm{LP}_{01}, \mathrm{LP}_{11}$ and $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ modes to $n_{\text {eff }}$ values for vortex fiber with FDM. We increased the number of layers starting from two layers then four, eight, eleven, fourteen, seventeen and twenty layers in the core region. The final approximation was obtained with twenty three layers in total, as shown in (Figure 4.7).


Figure 4.7: Effective index of the approximated profile with twenty layers in core region.
(Figures 4.9 and 4.10) show the differences in $n_{\text {eff }}$ values for the approximated profile when we have a different number of layers in approximated profile. We increased number of layers in approximated profile up to 20 layers total for solving waveguide equation to get $n_{\text {eff }}$ values. We further calculated the error based on Eq. (4.3) below for $n_{\text {eff }}$ values from the approximated profile
with respect to those of the vortex profile. Particularly, the error value is on the order of $10^{-6}$ for approximated profiles with twenty layers and eighteen layers as shown in Table 4.2, it shows the differences among approximated profiles with various number of layers for $L P P 01, L_{11}$ and $L P P_{21}$ modes. We noticed the decrease in variations of $n_{\text {eff }}$ values obtained from TMM as the number of layers in the approximated profile increases. Therefore, we have demonstrated that TMM converges with better-approximated profiles mimicking the vortex profile, and the resulting $n_{\text {eff }}$ values are very close to those computed by FDM.


Figure 4.8: Difference between the approximated profile with six layers and the one with eight layers.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Difference }=\frac{n_{\text {eff }}(\text { Vortex Fiber }-F D M)-n_{\text {eff }}(\text { Approximated Fiber }-T M M)}{n_{\text {eff }}(\text { Vortex Fiber }-F D M)} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4.9: Difference between the approximated profile with fifteen layers and the one with eighteen layers.

| Differences | $\mathrm{LP}_{01}$ | $\mathrm{LP}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Between 6 layers and <br> 8 layers | $4.32 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.8 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.10 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| Between 8 layers and <br> 10 layers | $4.12 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.00 \times 10^{-4}$ | $9.53 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Between 10 layers and <br> 13 layers | $1.65 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.04 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.14 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Between 13 layers and <br> 15 layers | $1.99 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.86 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.24 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Between 15 layers and <br> 18 layers | $1.85 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.49 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.44 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| Between 18 layers and <br> 20 layers | $6.86 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.37 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.37 \times 10^{-6}$ |

Table 4.2: Differences among approximated profile at $\lambda=1.55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

### 4.2 Number of Guided Modes

As mentioned previously, the solution of vector wave equation is represented by modes. Mode index $l=0$ represents meridional modes that correspond to transverse electric $T E_{o m}$ field or transverse magnetic $T M_{0 m}$ field. When $l \neq 0$, it corresponds to hybrid mode that has longitudinal field component, and is labeled as $H E_{l m}$ or $E H_{l m}$ depending on which field $(E$ or $H$ ) is more dominant.

More than one parameter controls the number of guided modes in an optical fiber such as refractive index of the core and cladding which depends on the doping, the core radius, and cladding diameter. We estimated the number of guided mode propagating in the fiber with an approximated profile (4-layers profile) and we compared it with the vortex fiber, as we see in (Figure 4.10) below. Based on the vortex profile, the cladding diameter was changed from $80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $160 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in order to get more guided modes.


Figure 4.10: Measured and approximated profiles at $\lambda=1.55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Since we know that the number of modes in the step index fiber depends on more than one parameter such as refractive index of the core, cladding, and radius of the core, we formulated a fitting equation using approximated profile with 10 layers to predict the number of mode $M$ based on various fiber parameters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=1.8 V^{4}-14 V^{3}+35 V^{2}-25 V+6.1 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { the normalized frequency } V=k_{0} a \sqrt{n_{1}^{2}-n_{a v g}^{2}} \\
n_{a v g}=\frac{n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}}{3} \tag{4.6}
\end{array}
$$

$n_{1}$ is the refractive index of the core region, and $n_{2}, n_{3}$, and $n_{4}$ are the refractive indices of cladding area. $a$ is the radius of the core and $k_{0}$ is the wave number. Based on Eq. (4.4), we can obtain the number of guided modes when we changed the radius of the fiber expressed in terms of V as shown in (Figure 4.11) below. Eq. (4.4) is valid only for the fiber with cladding diameter within the range of $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $350 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ or $0.4<V<3.5$. (Figure 4.12 ) shows the error between number of guided modes M obtained from FDM (Exact $M$ curve) and that of the fitting equation obtained from Eq. (4.4) (Approximated M) with approximated profile with 10 layers.


Figure 4.11: Number of guided modes (Exact M) using Eq. (4.4) and (Approximated M) with approximated profile with 10 layers at $\lambda=1.55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


Figure 4.12: Error in M using approximated profile with 10 layers at $\lambda=1.55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

### 4.3 Dispersions in Optical Fibers

In general, there are two kinds of fiber dispersion: material and waveguide dispersions. The first two types of dispersion are attributable to the frequency dependence of the propagation velocities, and constitute the group velocity dispersion (GVD).

In essence, while optimizing the vortex fiber by tweaking the structure of the profile, the main factor to define an optimizing profile is GVD. We aim to minimize the GVD of $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ mode by modifying the profile based on adjusting doping for fiber core and cladding. Since the refractive index changes with the concentration of the doped material, it enables the tuning of the material dispersion value. By playing with the fiber structure, i.e. radii of cladding and core, we can get reasonable control of the waveguide dispersion. At the end, we can get an overall minimum dispersion (GVD) if the two types of dispersion can cancel each other.

The wavelength-dependent of the refractive index can be modeled with three different models; model A is introduced by the Sellmeier formula [40]

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(\lambda)=\sqrt{1+\sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{i} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-\lambda_{i}^{2}}} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i}$ and $\lambda_{i}$ are Sellmeier coefficients, experimentally determined [40]. This equation is typically used to characterize the dispersion in glasses by the optical science researchers and industry.

Particularly, the refractive index of fused silica $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ or fused quartz can be determined with the following Sellmeier equation [41] with the coefficients that are valid at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for wavelength $0.21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $3.71 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}-1=\frac{0.6961663 \lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-0.0684043^{2}}+\frac{0.4079426 \lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-0.1162414^{2}}+\frac{0.8974794 \lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-9.896161^{2}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Coefficients in Eq. (4.8) need to be augmented or modified for various dopants (adding of other chemicals) in different concentrations. We add $\mathrm{GeO}_{2}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ or $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ to increase the refractive index; we can add $B_{2} O_{3}$ or fluorine $(F)$ to decrease the refractive index [42]. Table 4.3 shows the coefficient of the Sellmeier equation at certain concentrations [41] of Germania $\left(\mathrm{GeO}_{2}\right)$ doping.
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline \text { Sellmeier Coefficients } & \text { Silica } \mathrm{SiO}_{2}(100 \%) & \begin{array}{c}\text { Germania (13.5\% } \\ \left.\mathrm{GeO}_{2}\right)+ \text { Silica SiO }_{2} \\ (86.5 \%)\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Germania GeO } \\ 2\end{array} \\ (100 \%)\end{array}\right]$

Table 4.3: Coefficient of Sellmeier equation at certain doping concentration of $\mathrm{GeO}_{2}[41,45]$
Table 4.4 shows the values of the refractive indices at different concentrations of $\mathrm{GeO}_{2}$.
Since the $\mathrm{GeO}_{2}$ is the most common chemical dopant in glass fiber for increasing the refractive index; we consider its application in modifying the index profile of the fiber. However, it cannot be more than $21 \%$; otherwise, the crystalline structure of the glass will be broken.

| GeO 2 <br> $(m o l e \%)$ | Refractive Index at $1.55 \mu m$ <br> -with Eq. (4.9) | Refractive Index at $1.55 \mu m$-with Eq. <br> $(4.10)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A$) \mathrm{GeO}_{2}(3.1 \%)$ | 1.4487 | 1.4487 |
| B$) \mathrm{GeO}_{2}(8.7 \%)$ | 1.4529 | 1.4571 |
| C$) \mathrm{GeO}_{2}(11.2 \%)$ | 1.4600 | 1.4608 |
| D$) \mathrm{GeO}_{2}(12.0 \%)$ |  | 1.4620 |
| E$) \mathrm{GeO}_{2}(13.0 \%)$ |  | 1.4634 |
| F$) \mathrm{GeO}_{2}(13.5 \%)$ | 1.4656 | 1.4657 |
| G) $\mathrm{GeO}_{2}(14.0 \%)$ |  | 1.4649 |


| H$) \mathrm{GeO}_{2}(14.5 \%)$ | 1.4652 | 1.4657 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I$) \mathrm{GeO}_{2}(15.0 \%)$ | 1.4715 | 1.4664 |
| J$) \mathrm{GeO}_{2}(19.2 \%)$ | 1.4726 |  |

Table 4.4: Refractive indices values with different doping concentrations

Fleming proposed another model, model B [45] Eq. (4.9) used to calculate the refractive index in case we have different GeO 2 doping concentrations. It is based on a simple linear relationship between the Sellmeier coefficients of silica and Germania. Table 4.3 shows the coefficients of the model B.

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}-1=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\left[A_{S i}+X\left(A_{G i}-A_{S i}\right)\right] \lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-\left[\lambda_{S i}+X\left(\lambda_{G i}-\lambda_{S i}\right)\right]^{2}} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{S i}, A_{G i}, \lambda_{S i}$ and $\lambda_{G i}$ are Sellmeier coefficients of $100 \% \mathrm{Si}$ and $100 \% \mathrm{GeO}_{2}$ as seen in table 4.3; $X$ is the molar percentage concentration of $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$.

The third model proposed by Claussius-Mossotti interpolation [46] is used for calculating the refractive index in case we have different percentage of Fluorine doped with Silica. This model shows in Eq. (4.10) can calculate the refractive index at $X=1 \%$ or at $X=2 \%$ [42], where $X$ is the molar concentration of the dopant. Table 4.5, shows the coefficient of model C.

| Material | Coefficient | $i=1$ | $i=2$ | $i=3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Silica | $A_{s i}$ | 0.2045154578 | 0.06451676258 | 0.1311583151 |
|  | $z_{s i}(\mu m)$ | 0.06130807320 | 0.1108859848 | 8.964441861 |
| Germania | $B_{i}$ | -0.1011783769 | 0.1778934999 | -0.1064179581 |
| Fluorine | $B_{i}$ | -0.05413938039 | -0.1788588824 | -0.07445931332 |

Table 4.5: Coefficients of Claussius-Mossotti interpolation [46]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n^{2}-1}{n^{2}+1}=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\left.\left[A_{s i}+X B_{i}\right)\right] \lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2}-z_{s i}^{2}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{s i}$ is the coefficient of oscillator wavelength of silica where $i=1,2$ and 3 as shown in Table 4.5 above [46].

### 4.3.1 Material Dispersion

The material dispersion is an intrinsic property of the material. It is a phenomenon where the interaction of materials with light causes the light wave to spread out in time, i.e. affecting the bandwidth of the signal carried by the wave and detriment to optical communications. Generally, material dispersion is measured in terms of the amount of pulse broadening per unit length of the fiber per bandwidth of the signal. As the refractive index of a material depends on the wavelength, each frequency component travels at a slightly different speed. The longer is the propagation distance; broader will be the pulse. After a long distance, the pulses will overlap, and the information will be corrupted. Hence, the material dispersion limits rate of data transmission. Since glass is a dispersive medium and is a major material component for optical fibers, the material dispersion is an important topic in optical communications. Dispersion in the glass fiber depends on the doping concentrations of different material like $\mathrm{GeO}_{2}, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}, \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and F . By choosing a suitable level of the doping, we can control the material dispersion in optical fibers; Eq. (4.11) is applied for calculation of the material dispersion in optical fiber, where c is the speed of light, and its unit is in picoseconds per nanometer-kilometer $(\mathrm{ps} /(\mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km}))$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\text {material }}=D_{m}=-\frac{1}{c \lambda}\left(\lambda^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} n}{\partial \lambda^{2}}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 4.6 shows $D_{m}$ calculated with the refractive index of glass with various levels of doping applying the original Sellmeier equations Eq. (4.7) with coefficients from Refs. 40 and 41,

Eq. (4.9) with Fleming approximated coefficients and Eq. (4.10) with coefficients based on Claussius-Mossotti interpolation.

| $\mathrm{GeO}_{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{~mole} \%)$ | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (ps/nm-km) <br> Model A-Eq. <br> (4.7) <br> and coefficients <br> from Ref.[40] | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (ps/nm-km) <br> Model A-Eq. <br> (4.7) <br> and coefficients <br> from Ref.[40] | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ <br> Model B-Eq. <br> $(4.9)$ | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (ps/nm-km) <br> Model C-Eq. <br> $(4.10)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3.1 \%$ | 21.14 |  | 20.74 |  |
| $4.1 \%$ | 19.67 |  |  | 20.42 |
| $7.9 \%$ | 20.08 |  |  |  |
| $8.7 \%$ |  | 18.19 | 18.67 | 17.72 |
| $11.2 \%$ |  | 17.62 | 17.75 | 16.5 |
| $12.0 \%$ |  |  | 17.46 | 16.11 |
| $13.0 \%$ |  |  | 17.1 | 15.62 |
| $13.5 \%$ | 10.07 |  | 16.91 | 15.37 |
| $14.0 \%$ |  |  | 16.73 | 15.13 |
| $14.5 \%$ |  |  | 16.55 | 14.88 |
| $15.0 \%$ |  | 15.00 | 16.37 | 14.64 |
| $19.2 \%$ |  | 13.13 | 14.85 | 12.56 |

Table 4.6: Material dispersion with different concentrations using A, B and C models.

### 4.3.2 Waveguide Dispersion

The waveguide dispersion depends on the structure of the optical fiber. In this kind of dispersion, the index change across waveguide means that different wavelengths have different delays. It can be calculated with the effective index of the mode ( $n_{\text {eff }}$ ).

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\text {waveguide }}=D_{W . G}=-\frac{1}{c \lambda}\left(\lambda^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} n_{e f f}}{\partial \lambda^{2}}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Specifically, $n_{e f f}=n_{e f f}^{l m}$ for a certain mode with indices of $l$ and $m$ depends on the propagation constant $\beta^{l m}$ as shown in Eq. (4.13) below. So the electric field and propagation constant depend on the waveguide structure and the angular frequency.

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{e f f}=\frac{c \beta^{l m}}{\omega} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the mode to be guided through the fiber, the effective index of that mode is always between the core index and the cladding index in optical fiber profile. To calculate the waveguide dispersion, it can be performed with the equation below [47]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial n_{e f f}}{\partial \omega}\right)_{\text {waveguide }}=\frac{1}{\omega n_{e f f}}\left(n_{2}^{2}+\Gamma_{1}\left[n_{1}^{2}-n_{a v g}^{2}\right]-n_{e f f}^{2}\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{l}$ is the core index, $n_{\text {avg }}$ is the average cladding index and $\Gamma_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{1}=\frac{\int_{0}^{a} \vec{E}^{*}(\rho) \cdot \vec{E}(\rho) d \rho}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \vec{E}^{*}(\rho) \cdot \vec{E}(\rho) d \rho} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the confinement factor and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{E}(\rho)=E_{\rho}(\rho) \hat{\rho}+E_{\theta}(\rho) \hat{\theta}+E_{Z}(\rho) \hat{z} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eq. (4.16) below, we can compare the numerical value of $D_{W G}$ using Eq. (4.11) with the calculated value of $D_{W G}$ with Eq. (4.13):

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{W \cdot G}=\frac{-2 \pi}{\lambda^{2}}\left\{2 \frac{\partial n_{e f f}}{\partial \omega}+\omega \frac{\partial^{2} n_{e f f}}{\partial \omega^{2}}\right\} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we can find the intra-modal or GVD dispersion as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{G V D}=D_{W G}+D_{m} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.3.3 Polarization mode Dispersion ( $D_{P M D}$ )

Polarization mode dispersion $\left(D_{P M D}\right)$ is a bit more complex; it originates from a differential arrival time of the polarization components of an input light pulse propagating in an optical fiber. Since the light pulse can always be decomposed into pairs of orthogonal polarization modes, their difference in speed leads to dispersion. The pulse broadening can be estimated from time delay $\Delta T$ between the two polarization components during propagation of the pulse. For a fiber with length $L, \Delta T$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta T=L\left|\tau_{g x}-\tau_{g y}\right|=\left|\frac{L}{v_{g x}}-\frac{L}{v_{g y}}\right| \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscripts $x$ and $y$ identify the two orthogonal polarized modes, $v_{g x}$ and $v_{g y}$ are their group velocities [7]. The $D_{P M D}$ in $\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{km}$ is an important factor as data rates on long distance links increases to $40 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$ and $100 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$. The dispersion parameter $P M D$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P M D=\frac{\Delta T}{\sqrt{L}} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P M D$ measures the strength and frequency of the imperfections; it varies from fiber to fiber in the range from 0.01 to $10 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{km}^{1 / 2}$. Fibers installed during the 1980 s have relatively large $D_{P M D}$ such that $P M D>0.1 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{km}^{1 / 2}$. In contrast, modern fibers are designed to have low PMD, typically $P M D$ $<0.1 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{km}^{1 / 2}$. The delay caused by $D_{P M D}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{P M D}=P M D \sqrt{L} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on data rate we estimated $P M D$ value [58], the value of $P M D$ is $0.02 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{km}^{1 / 2}$.

### 4.4 Optimizing the Fiber

So far, we approximated the Vortex fiber "dkl1OD105" by a 5-layers index profile since in previous investigations this profile can guide the fundamental mode $\mathrm{LP}_{01}$ and the second order mode $\mathrm{LP}_{11}$ only, the total dispersion of $\mathrm{LP}_{11}$ is $0.6 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km}$. In this section we have tested 3 different new profiles and compare all of them to decide which one will be the best based on the group velocity dispersion. In this thesis, we are interested in $L P_{21}$ mode, so we attempt to minimize the GVD for this mode as much as possible.

In this section, we considered 3 different profiles: profile $A$, profile $B$, and profile $C$. Their specifications are based on the structure and dispersion.

Fiber A: 4- layers of constant index profile.
We first considered approximation profile with four layers. We increase the refractive indices of these layers by changing the concentrations of $\mathrm{GeO}_{2}$ up to $20 \%$ in the first and third layers, or/and decrease the refractive index of the second layer by adding Fluorine with $1 \%$ or $2 \%$ concentration. Tables 4.4 and 4.6 showed the effect of the doping concentration on the refractive index and the material dispersion respectively. We calculated all possible combination values of the doping to achieve the best concentration to get better value in the GVD.


Figure 4.13: Index profile of fiber A, 4-layers step index profile.
On the other hand, we can change the radius of the core region, the radius of the second and third layers to be able to increase the number of guided modes on the optimized profile. The index profile of profile A is:

$$
n(r)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
1.46274 & 0<r<r_{1}  \tag{4.22}\\
1.444 & r_{1}<r<r_{2} \\
1.47325 & r_{2}<r<r_{3} \\
1.444 & r>r_{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $r_{1}=1.766 \mu \mathrm{~m}, r_{2}=3.341 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $r_{3}=4.51 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

For this multilayer fiber model, we consider the first layer as a core region with index $n_{l}$ and we took the average of other layers, to define an equivalent cladding layer with index of $n_{\text {avg }}$.

Fiber B: 4-layers index profile with a graded index core.
The idea of fiber B is to replace the step index of the first layer with a graded index profile as shown in (Figure 4.14). The index profile of fiber B is:


Figure 4.14: Index profile of fiber B, 4-layers index profile with a graded index core.

$$
n(r)=\begin{array}{cr}
n_{1}\left(1-\Delta\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{2}\right) & 0<r<r_{1}  \tag{4.23}\\
1.444 & r_{1}<r<r_{2} \\
1.4725 & r_{2}<r<r_{3} \\
1.444 & r>r_{3}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\frac{\left(n_{1}^{2}-n_{2}^{2}\right)}{2 n_{1}^{2}} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$r_{1}=a=1.793 \mu m, r_{2}=3.368 \mu m, r_{3}=4.563 \mu m$ and $n_{1}=1.465$.

With TMM, we numerically calculated the effective index of this profile, and then determined the waveguide dispersion with Eq. (4.12).

Fiber C: 3-layers index profile with a graded index core.
In this profile, we increased the radius of the core region to obtain an appropriate level of waveguide dispersion to achieve a lower GVD value. (Figure 4.15) shows the profile of fiber C. The expression of the index profile of fiber C is:

$$
n(r)=\begin{array}{lr}
n_{1}\left(1-\Delta\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{2}\right) & 0<r<r_{2}  \tag{4.25}\\
1.4725 & r_{2}<r<r_{3} \\
1.444 & r>r_{3}
\end{array}
$$

where $r_{2}=a=3.368 \mu m, r_{3}=4.536 \mu m, n_{1}=1.465$ and $n_{2}=1.444$.


Figure 4.15: Index profile of fiber C, 3-layers with a graded index core.

We followed the same procedure for profile B for computing the dispersion of profile C.

## Calculations for fiber A:

Results for fiber A under various d's where $d$ relates to the doping concentrations. When $d=$ [13.9\% $0 \% 19.7 \%$ ] so the concentrations of $\mathrm{GeO}_{2}$ in the first, second and third layers are $13.9 \%, 0$ and $19.7 \%$ respectively. On another hand, we tried different percentage values for doping to calculate the minimum value for GVD, e.g. in case of $d=[13.7 \%, 0 \%, 19 \%]$ as shown below.

|  | DWG-numerical (ps/nm-km) | $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{WG}}$-calculated (ps/nm-km) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{GVD} \\ (\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L P_{01}$ | -0.4366 | -0.5324 | 16.0325 |
| $L P_{11}$ | -3.1739 | -2.9720 | 12.5648 |
| $L P_{21}$ | -28.9721 | -27.3126 | -12.3367 |
| $D_{m}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.7669(1 \mathrm{st}) \\ 15.64 \\ \text { (3rd) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 16.7669(1 \mathrm{st}) \\ 15.64 \\ \text { (3rd) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.7669(1 \mathrm{st}) \\ 15.64 \\ \text { (3rd) } \end{gathered}$ |

Table 4.7: Total dispersion in fiber $\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{d}=[13.9 \% 0 \% 19.7 \%$ ]

|  | DWG-numerical <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ | DWG-Calculated <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ | GVD <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L P_{01}$ | 1.8484 | 1.58014 | 18.29156 |
| $L P_{11}$ | -2.2911 | -1.6747 | 12.9161 |
| $L P_{21}$ | -34.8014 | -33.5739 | -19.2439 |
| $D_{m}$ | $16.8397(1 \mathrm{st})$ | $16.8397(1 \mathrm{st})$ | $16.8397(1 \mathrm{st})$ |
|  | 14.92 | 14.92 | 14.92 |
|  | (3rd) | $(3 \mathrm{rd})$ | $(\mathrm{rd})$ |

Table 4.8: Total dispersion in fiber $\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{d}=[13.7 \%, 0 \%, 19 \%]$.

## Calculations for fiber B:

|  | D <br> $(\mathrm{Wg}$ <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ | D $_{\mathrm{WG} \text {-calculated }}(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ | GVD <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L P_{01}$ | -4.6141 | -4.2475 | 12.3191 |
| $L P_{11}$ | -4.6221 | -4.3321 | 11.1039 |
| $L P_{21}$ | -33.9089 | -33.7918 | -18.3018 |


| $D_{m}$ | $16.7669(1 \mathrm{st})$ | $16.7669(1 \mathrm{st})$ <br> 15.64 <br> (3rd) | $16.7669(1 \mathrm{st})$ <br> $\quad$15.64 <br> (3rd) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 4.9: Total dispersion in fiber $\mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{d}=[13.9 \% 0 \% 19.7 \%]$.

|  | DwG-numerical <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ | D <br> (ps-Calculated <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ | GVD <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L P_{01}$ | -2.2742 | -2.1541 | 14.6015 |
| $L P_{11}$ | -3.7268 | -3.2139 | 11.3951 |
| $L P_{21}$ | -39.8503 | -39.5286 | -25.0191 |
| $D_{m}$ | $16.8397(1 \mathrm{st})$ | $16.8397(1 \mathrm{st})$ | $16.8397(1 \mathrm{st})$ |
|  | 14.92 | 14.92 |  |
|  | $(3 \mathrm{rd})$ | 14.92 |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 4.10: Total dispersion in fiber $\mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{d}=[13.7 \%, 0 \%, 19 \%]$.

## Calculations for fiber C:

|  | DWG-numerical <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ | DWG-calculated <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ | GVD <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L P_{01}$ | 13.6764 | 13.1943 | 29.8915 |
| $L P_{11}$ | 10.0177 | 9.5788 | 25.4124 |
| $L P_{21}$ | -15.3615 | -15.1998 | 0.3432 |
| $D_{m}$ | $16.7669(1 \mathrm{st})$ | $16.7669(1 \mathrm{st})$ | $16.7669(1 \mathrm{st})$ |
|  | 15.64 | 15.64 | 15.64 |
|  | $(3 \mathrm{rd})$ | $(3 \mathrm{rd})$ | $(3 \mathrm{rd})$ |

Table 4.11: Total dispersion in fiber C; $\mathrm{d}=[13.9 \% 13.9 \% 19.7 \%$ ].

|  | D.WG-Numerical <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ | D $_{\text {WG-Calculated }}$ <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ | GVD <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L P_{01}$ | 14.6273 | 14.2351 | 29.9551 |
| $L P_{11}$ | 10.6490 | 10.1249 | 25.2449 |
| $L P_{21}$ | -19.3555 | -18.9829 | 4.1629 |
| $D_{m}$ | $16.8397(1 \mathrm{st})$ | $16.8397(1 \mathrm{st})$ | $16.8397(1 \mathrm{st})$ |
|  | 14.92 | 14.92 | 14.92 |
|  | $(3 \mathrm{rd})$ | $(3 \mathrm{rd})$ | $(3 \mathrm{rd})$ |

Table 4.12: Total dispersion in fiber $\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{d}=[13.7 \%, 13.7 \%, 19 \%]$.

The merit for the best fiber is based on the minimum value of GVD calculated with Eq. (4.18). Table 4.13, shows us the results of GVD; based on that, fiber C is the best profile to guide the third mode since the GVD is 0.3432 ( $\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km}$ ).

|  | Fiber A $-G V D(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-$ <br> $\mathrm{km})$ |  | Fiber B $-G V D$ <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ |  | Fiber C $-G V D(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-$ <br> $\mathrm{km})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{d}=[13.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{d}=[13.7 \%$, | $\mathrm{d}=[13.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{d}=[13.7 \%$, | $\mathrm{d}=[13.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{d}=$ |
|  | $0 \% 19.7 \%]$ | $0 \%, 19 \%]$ | $0 \% 19.7 \%]$ | $0 \%, 19 \%]$ | $13.9 \%$ <br> $19.7 \%]$ | $[13.7 \%$, <br> $13.7 \%$, <br> $19 \%$ |
| $L P_{01}$ | 16.3303 | 18.6881 | 12.1528 | 14.4927 | 29.8915 | 29.9551 |
| $L P_{11}$ | 12.4661 | 12.6289 | 11.0179 | 11.9132 | 25.4124 | 25.2449 |
| $L P_{21}$ | -13.3321 | 19.8814 | -18.2689 | -24.2103 | 0.3432 | 4.1629 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.13: GVD in fiber A, B and C.

### 4.4.1 Dispersion - Profile A

In this section, we determine a modeling equation for the waveguide dispersion of fibers under consideration as a function of core radius, refractive index, and wavelength. This equation based on approximating the waveguide dispersion in Eq. (4.14) with a simplified expression of the field in Eq. (4.16). Then the approximated equation is obtained by fitting the plot of Eq. (4.17), it's
calculated with approximated Eq. (4.14) with a regression function in terms of normalized frequency V for each fiber and each mode as below.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { D }_{\text {WG }} \text { computed } \\ \text { with Eq. }(4.12) \\ \text { (ps/nm-km) } \\ \mathrm{d}=[13.9 \% 0 \% \\ 19.7 \%] \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DWG computed } \\ \text { with Eq. }(4.17) \\ (\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km}) \\ \mathrm{d}=[13.9 \% 0 \% \\ 19.7 \%] \end{gathered}$ | Error 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { D} \begin{array}{c} \text { WG computed with } \\ (\text { Eqs. }(4.28)-(4.30)) \\ (\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km}) \\ \mathrm{d}=[13.9 \% 0 \% \\ 19.7 \%] \\ \mathrm{V}=1.1598015^{*} 10^{-3} \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | Error 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L P_{01}$ | -0.4366 | -0.3 | 0.31287 | -0.17952 | 0.588 |
| $L P_{11}$ | -3.1739 | -3.3428 | 0.05321 | -3.241 | 0.0211 |
| $L P_{21}$ | -28.9721 | -29.86 | 0.0306 | -29.8664 | 0.0308 |

Table 4.14: Calculated and approximated waveguide dispersion for fiber A.

Error $1=\frac{D_{W G}(E q \cdot 4.11)-D_{W G}(E q \cdot 4.16)}{D_{W G}(E q \cdot 4.11)}$

Error $2=\frac{D_{W G}(E q .4 .11)-D_{W G 1,2}}{D_{W G}(E q .4 .11)}$
where $D_{W G 1,2}=\left\{\begin{array}{llr}D_{W G 1} & \text { for } & L P_{01}, L P_{11} \\ D_{W G 2} & \text { for } & L P_{21}\end{array}\right.$

### 4.4.2 Dispersion - Profile B

|  | DwG computed <br> with Eq. (4.12) <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ <br> $\mathrm{d}=[13.9 \% 0 \%$ <br> $19.7 \%]$ | DwG computed <br> with Eq. (4.17) <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ <br> $\mathrm{d}=[13.9 \% 0 \%$ <br> $19.7 \%]$ | Error <br> 1 | DwG computed with <br> $($ Eqs. (4.28)-(4.30)) <br> $(\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})$ | Error 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -4.7335 | 0.0258 | $\mathrm{V}=1.1598015 \times 10^{-3}$ <br> $\mathrm{~d}=[13.9 \% 0 \% 19.7 \%]$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{LP}_{01}$ | -4.6141 | -4.73 .6 | 0.0305 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{LP}_{11}$ | -4.6221 | -4.7224 | 0.0217 | -4.62 | 0.00454 |
| $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ | -33.9089 | -33.8872 | 0.00064 | -34 | 0.0026 |

Table 4.15: Calculated and approximated waveguide dispersion for fiber B.

### 4.4.3 Dispersion - Profile C

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { DwG computed } \\ \text { with Eq. (4.17) } \\ (\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { DWG computed } \\ \text { with Eq. (4.17) } \\ (\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km})\end{array} & \text { Error 1 } & \begin{array}{c}\text { DWG computed with } \\ (\text { Eqs. }(4.28)-(4.30))\end{array} & \text { Error 2 } \\ & \begin{array}{c}\mathrm{d}=[13.9 \% \\ 13.9 \% 19.7 \%]\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\mathrm{d}=[13.9 \% \\ 13.9 \% 19.7 \%]\end{array} & & \begin{array}{c}\mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km}) \\ \mathrm{V}=2.2271 \times 10^{-3}\end{array} & \\ & & & {[13.9 \% 13.9 \%} \\ 19.7 \%]\end{array}\right]$

Table 4.16: Calculated and approximated waveguide dispersion for fiber C.

The waveguide dispersion equation for Fiber $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C of $\mathrm{LP}_{01}$ and $\mathrm{LP}_{11}$ modes is:

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{W G 1}=h \mathrm{~V}+b  \tag{4.28}\\
V=k_{0} a \sqrt{\left(n_{1}^{2}-n_{a v g}^{2}\right)} \tag{4.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where V is the normalized frequency, $a$ is the core radius, $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ is the refractive index of the core and $\mathrm{n}_{\text {avg }}$ is the average refractive index of the second, third and fourth layers, $\mathrm{k}_{0}$ is the wave number.

The waveguide dispersion equation for Fiber $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C is $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{W G 2}=h V^{2}+b V+c \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parameters or constants $h, b$ and $c$ as below:

|  | $H$ | b | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{LP}_{01}-$ Fiber A | $-1.5494 \times 10^{5}$ | 179.56 |  |
| $\mathrm{LP}_{11}-$ Fiber A | $-1.1831 \times 10^{5}$ | 134.01 |  |
| $\mathrm{LP}_{21}-$ Fiber A | $-1.0034 \times 10^{9}$ | $2.4891 \times 10^{6}$ | -156 |
| $\mathrm{LP}_{01}-$ Fiber B | -97806 | 189.63 |  |
| $\mathrm{LP}_{11}-$ Fiber B | -68199 | 130.83 |  |
| $\mathrm{LP}_{21}-$ Fiber B | $-4.1045 \times 10^{8}$ | $1.7449 \times 10^{6}$ | -1880.3 |
| $\mathrm{LP}_{01}-$ Fiber C | -60226 | 147.83 |  |
| $\mathrm{LP}_{11}-$ Fiber C | -53504 | 129.21 |  |


| $\mathrm{LP}_{21}-$ Fiber C | $-2.0766 \times 10^{8}$ | $9.8752 \times 10^{5}$ | -1184.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 4.17: Constants of waveguide dispersion for fibers A, B and C.

### 4.5 Bandwidth of Fiber C

In optical fiber, the maximum transmission distance is limited not only by the length of the fiber but also by total dispersion. From Eq. (4.32), the bandwidth of optical fiber depends on the total dispersion, this means the larger the total dispersion and the longer the distance, the lower the transmittable bit rate. For fiber C, the GVD of the third group $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ is equal to 0.3432 psec. $\mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{km}$. The total dispersion of the optical fiber is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\text {total }}^{2}=D_{G V D}^{2} \Delta \lambda^{2}+D_{P M D}^{2} / L^{2} \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta \lambda$ is the linewidth (in nm ) of the light source. For an external cavity laser (ECL), $\Delta \lambda$ can be as narrow as 0.4 nm . The total dispersion is used for characterizing the fiber's transmission bandwidth. The pulse broadening arising from GVD is proportional to the fiber length L; The fiber bandwidth is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\text {fiber }}=\frac{1}{D_{\text {total }} L} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case if the length of the fiber is 2 km , the expected transmitted bit rate would be equal to 3.6 $\mathrm{Tb} / \mathrm{sec}$, compare to the bandwidth in reference [5], they achieved $1.6 \mathrm{~Tb} / \mathrm{sec}$ using their fiber.

## Chapter 5

## Computer Generated Hologram

We tout the holographic displays as the ultimate 3-D display because they are the method that can reproduce the exact light wave with the correct wave front from a 3-D object. Using computergenerated holograms (CGH), we can mimic the wave front from any object based on a mathematical description. To capture an actual object onto a hologram, we essentially record the interference pattern between the light scattered by the object and a reference wave. The interference pattern encodes the phase information of the object wave, in addition to its intensity. With the same reference wave, one can play back the recorded 3-D image of the object. For the accurate phase recording, a coherent light source, such as a laser, is required to be the source for both the reference wave and the wave illuminating the object.

### 5.1 Computer Generated Hologram (CGH)

A practical and versatile method for generating these arbitrary complex fields is based CGHs [6,20-22,29,34-36,55-58]. In particular, the use of phase CGHs is especially convenient because of their relatively high efficiency. It is important to distinguish cell-oriented and point-oriented holograms for encoding complex fields. In general, point-oriented approaches [29,49,50] are more convenient when holograms are implemented with low-resolution pixelated modulators.

In this chapter, we focus on one of the methods for mode generation, CGH. It is a hologram fabricated with digitally generated interference patterns that are printed onto a mask or film. During playback stage, an image of the 3D object can be projected when the CGH is subsequently
illuminated by a suitable light source. In other words, unlike a photograph, a CGH contains both amplitude and phase information of the object [3]. Thus, it can be used to encode phase information to an input beam [2].

The consideration of using CGH to introduce a phase ramp to a Gaussian beam and hence, produces higher order structured beams, i.e. Laguerre-Gaussian beams. The interference of the desired beam structure of a vortex wave (as the object) with a reference plane wave results in an interferogram exhibiting a diffractive forked pattern which carries a phase ramp of $l \theta$ where $l$ is the order of forked pattern or topological charge of the vortex or azimuthal index. Physically, $l$ is the number of twists or spirals the light traveling in complete cycle per wavelength and is always an integer. Its polarity signifies the direction (clockwise or counter-clockwise) of rotation. (Figure 5.1) shows different modes with zero radial index $(m=0)$ which relates to the number of dark rings. In (Figure 5.1), the first column is the helical structures, the second one is the phase-front, and the last one is the intensity of the beams [48]. The four rows with nonzero $l$ correspond to optical vortices. As shown by the Figure, a large topological charge leads to a fast spinning of the light around the axis and an increase of the vortex size.


Figure 5.1: Diagram of different modes [51].
The phase profile of the vortex beams as shown in (Figure 5.1). Clearly, for $l=0$, phase is constant i.e. 0 . For $l=1$ phase goes one complete rotation from $-\pi$ to $\pi$ in clockwise. For $l=2$, phase goes one complete rotation from $-2 \pi$ to $2 \pi$ in clockwise.
(Figure 5.2) shows holograms for different topological charges. The shape of fork depends on the value of $l$. Hence, the forked pattern is very useful in determining the charge of the optical vortex since we can count the number of missing fringes. For example, for $l=0$ grating, there is no missing fringe; for $l=1$ and $l=2$, one fringe is missing, and two fringes are missing respectively. For a dynamical generation of the vortex with various charges, researchers use spatial light modulators.


Figure 5.2: Computer generated holograms for $l=0,1$ and 2

In this chapter, we encoded the second mode of the Laguerre-Gaussian beam ( $L G_{21}$ ) using CGH technique. To see the performance of pixelated CGHs by employing two types of holograms to encode $L G_{21}$ beam. $L G_{21}$ beam can be expressed in polar coordinates $(\rho, \theta)$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\rho, \theta)=C\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} \rho}{w_{0}}\right)^{|l|} L_{m}^{|l|}\left(2 \frac{\rho^{2}}{w_{0}^{2}}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\rho^{2}}{w_{0}^{2}}\right) \exp (j l \theta) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{m}^{|l|}$ is an associated Laguerre polynomial, $w_{0}$ is the beam waist radius and C is a normalization constant. In the numerical simulation, the waist radius is $w_{0}=40 \delta x$, and the beam support is a circle of radius $\mathrm{R}=128 \delta x$; To be able to encode $L G_{2 I}$ beam, we can express the complex optical field Eq. (5.1) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(x, y)=a(x, y) \exp (j \theta(x, y)) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a(x, y)$ is the amplitude and $\theta(x, y)$ is the phase of the complex field. We have to normalize the amplitude field; the phase range is changing from $-\pi$ to $\pi$. The transmittance of the CGH phase expressed as a function of $a(x, y)$ and $\phi(x, y)$ of the encoded field is given as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x, y)=\exp (j \Psi(a, \theta)) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi(a, \theta)$ is the phase modulation of the CGH. The good performance of these CGHs is enabled by a significant reduction in the relative intensity of the high-order diffraction field contributions that share the spatial frequency domain of the encoded field [1].

There are two classes of CGHs [1] for encoding $L G_{21}$ field. To determine the hologram phase modulation $\Psi(a, \theta)$ is based on the representation of $h(x, y)$ with a Fourier series in the domain of $\theta$, so we can represent the CGH transmittance as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x, y)=\sum_{q=-\infty}^{\infty} h_{q}(x, y) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{q}(x, y)=c_{q}^{a} \exp (j q \theta)  \tag{5.5}\\
c_{q}^{a}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp (j \Psi(\theta, a)) \exp (-j q \theta) d \theta \tag{5.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

After we calculated the integration in Eq. (5.6), we notice the answer of $c_{q}{ }^{a}$ is explicitly dependent on the amplitude $a$ and implicitly dependent on the coordinates $(x, y)$. The signal $s(x, y)$ is recovered from the first-order term $h_{1}(x, y)$ in the series of Eq. (5.4), if the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{q}^{a}=A a \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is fulfilled for a positive constant A [1]. The necessary conditions to fulfill Eq. (5.7) are given by the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin [\Psi(\theta, a)-\theta] d \theta=0  \tag{5.8}\\
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos [\Psi(\theta, a)-\theta] d \theta=2 \pi A a \tag{5.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Based on (Eqs. (5.8) - (5.9)), we determine the appropriate CGHs. Below are the results of testing two classes of CGHs to encode $L G_{21}$ field are discussed in next section.

### 5.2 Encoding $L P_{2 I}$ Beam with CGH Technique

Our main goal is to encode $L P_{21}$ mode, we started with $L G_{21}$ beam, since we assumed $L G_{21}$ to be closer to $L P_{21}$ mode, since both of them have a doughnut shape; in this section, we discussed the construction of CGH of type 2 and 3 for $L G_{21}$. The phase modulation of type 2 CGH is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(a, \theta)=\theta+f(a) \sin (\theta) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $f(a)$ is a factor, it can be determined under the condition of encoding $L P_{21}$, after we substitute Eq. (5.10) into Eq. (5.3), the phase CGH transmittance is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x, y)=\exp (i \theta) \exp (i f(a) \sin (\theta) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The encoding condition for second mode $L G_{21}$ when:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}[f(a)]=A a(x, y) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{J}_{1}(\mathrm{x})$ is the first-order Bessel function and $A=0.5819$ corresponds to the maximum value.
From numerical analysis, we plotted the relationship between $a(x, y)$ and $f(a(x, y)$ in (Figure 5.3).


Figure 5.3: Function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})$ versus a for the type 2 CGH of a $L G_{21}$ beam

The corresponding phase distribution for CGH type 2 is shown in (Figure 5.4)


Figure 5.4: Phase distribution of type 2 CGH for $L G_{21}$ beam
The encoded field of the $L G_{2 l}$ with CGH-type 2 is shown below:


Figure 5.5: Intensity of $L G_{21}$ and intensity distribution of the encoded $L G_{21}$ beam

With numerical data results from TMM for $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ beam of profile C in chapter 4, we wrote the expression for the beam in form of Eq. (5.2) with amplitude $a(x, y)$ and phase $\theta(x, y)$. We then fitted the numerical data with spline interpolation with low-degree polynomials in each of the intervals, and chose the polynomial pieces such that they fit smoothly together. We used the type 2 CGH to encode the $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ beam, the phase distribution of the type 2 CGH in this case is shown in (Figure 5.6).


Figure 5.6: Phase distribution of the type 2 CGH that encodes $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ beam

The original $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ intensity is shown along with its replicate from the type 2 CGH in (Figure 5.7):


Figure 5.7: Intensity of the $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ beam and its replicate with the type 2 CGH
From (Figure 5.7), we can see the beam was not exactly encoded, we can also see some distortions. In Section 5.3, we will calculate the signal to noise ratio (SNR) of the encoded beam to quantify the quality of the encoding in terms of matching the original or ideal beam. We tried another type of CGH for encoding the beam; i.e. the type 3 CGH. In this case, the phase modulation of the type 3 CGH is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\theta, a)=f(a) \sin (\theta) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to the type2 CGH-, we started with the $\mathrm{LG}_{21}$ beam to see if we can encode it with the type3 of CGH . We calculated the encoding condition for $\mathrm{LG}_{21}$ by substituting Eq. (5.13) into Eq. (5.3) and obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}[f(a)]=A a(x, y) \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{A}=0.33$ corresponds to the maximum value of second-order Bessel function $J_{2}(x)$. In (Figure 5.8), we plot $f(a)$ versus $a$.


Figure 5.8: Function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}))$ versus $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ of the type3 CGH for a $L G_{21}$ beam The phase transmittance of the type 3 CGH is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x, y)=\exp (j f(a) \sin (\theta)) \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 5.9: Phase distribution of the type3 CGH for encoding $L G_{21}$ beam


Figure 5.10: Intensity of $L G_{21}$ beam and Intensity of the encoded $L G_{21}$ with the type3 CGH

In (Figures 5.9 and 5.10) we depicted the phase of the CGH and intensity of the encoded beam respectively. Now, we tried to encode the desired beam $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ with the type 3 CGH . Its phase distribution for $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ mode was shown in (Figure 5.11):


Figure 5.11: Phase distribution of the type3 CGH for encoding the $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ beam

The encode $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ beam from the type3 CGH is shown in (Figure 5.12):


Figure 5.12: Intensity of original $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ beam and intensity of the encoded $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ with type3 CGH

### 5.3 Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR)

The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) measures the ratio between the minimum intensity of the pixels that form the desired beam and the maximum intensity of the pixels that form the background. It is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S N R=\frac{\iint_{D s}|s(x, y)|^{2} d x d y}{\iint_{D s}\left|s(x, y)-\beta s_{t}(x, y)\right|^{2} d x d y} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{t}(x, y)$ is the field of the encoded beam formed by the CGH, $s(x, y)$ is the ideal or original beam, $i$ and $j$ are indices for each pixel in the horizontal and vertical directions respectively, $D_{s}$ is the domain where encoding is performed, and $\beta$ is defined as [55]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\frac{\iint_{D s} R e\left\{s(x, y) s_{t}^{*}(x, y)\right\} d x d y}{\iint_{D s}\left|s_{t}(x, y)\right|^{2} d x d y} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ is a constant that is determined to minimize the error power, $\operatorname{Re}\{.$.$\} represents the real part$ of the function within brackets. SNR determines the robustness of the representational method under test. A high SNR indicates that for a wide range of exposure times, the encoded beam will
match exactly with the ideal beam. An SNR $\leq 1$ means either areas of the encoded beam will remain underexposed or areas of the background will be exposed; hence, a CGH with a low SNR value is unsuitable for masking or encoding the beam since any errant features appearing on the CGH could ruin the operation of encoding. (Figure 5.13) shows the result of SNR for type2 and type3 CGHs.


Figure 5.13: SNR versus the normalized waist $w_{0} / \delta x$ for type 2 and 3 CGHs for encoding $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ beam

After we calculated the SNR for the reconstructed beam with type2 and type3 CGHs for the $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ beam at different value of normalized waist $w_{0} / \delta x$ from 20 to 50 as shown in (Figure 5.13), we noticed a higher SNR values for CGH type3.

Since the CGHs are implemented with a pixelated phase spatial light modulator (SLM), we simply assume that the SLM pixel pitch $\delta x$ is the same in the horizontal and the vertical axes with
squared pixels of side $b$. The reconstruction efficiency of CGH represents the power reduction in the CGH reconstructed signal due to pixelated SLM structure; it's given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=\frac{\iint_{D s}\left|s_{t}(x, y)\right|^{2} d x d y}{\iint_{D s}|s(x, y)|^{2} d x d y} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

After we substitute the value of the reconstructed beam at $w_{0} / \delta x=40$, the efficiency of CGHtype 3 is found to be 0.87 .

## Chapter 6

## Performance of the Vortex Modes Based Optical Communication System

A fiber optical system has components similar to those of optical communication systems. The optical source is either a semiconductor laser (LD) or light emitting diode (LED) that performs the electrical to optical conversion. The channel is an optical fiber cable while the receiver is a photodetector which coverts an optical signal back to an electrical one and then further signal processing is carried out to demodulate into a baseband signal.

The choice for optical source mainly depends on application requirements for the optical communication systems (Long-haul communication or local area network). LDs have the advantage of high speeds, narrow spectral width, and high power. LEDs have the advantages of reliability, lower cost, long lifetime, and simplicity of design but they have a lower bandwidth compared to that of LD. In this section, we consider a 100 kHz narrowband tunable continuous wave (CW) external cavity laser (ECL) as the transmitter.

At At the receiver end, the main component is the photodetector, typical made of semiconductors. It converts light into electricity with the photoconduction. Several types of photodiodes include avalanche photodiodes or p-i-n photodiodes. Here, we consider (22 and 38-GHz) Photoreceivers [68]; (Figure 6.1) shows the overall diagram of a typical multi-channel optical communication system. As shown in the figure below, the overall diagram consists of five components: optical transmitters/receivers, dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) multiplexer and demultiplexer for combining multichannel signals into a channel (the fiber C in Chapter 4), CGH for encoding and decoding signals onto the spatial carrier ( $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ mode), modulator and demodulator encoding and decoding signals onto the temporal carrier.


Figure 6.1: System diagram of a multi-channel optical communication system

### 6.1 Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing (DWDM) technique

Dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) technique is an optical technique for transmitting multiple channels into a fiber by multiplexing and demultiplexing signals of different wavelengths and hence a full utilitization of the data capacity of a fiber. As DWDM system uses optical fiber for data transmission, it is more secure from tapping compared with other data transmission systems, e.g. satellite communication. According to ITU-T G.694-1 (a standard for the DWDM wavelength grid) [47], we can have 24 channels with 50 GHz spacing, and the range of the channel would be from 1544.72 nm to 1555.28 nm . Based on the bandwidth of vortex fiber (fiber C), the total data rate of $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ mode is $3.6 \mathrm{~Tb} / \mathrm{sec}$ through 2 km long, which can be supported by 24 channels with each channel carrying $150 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{sec}$ of data with 8 quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM) technique.

### 6.2 Mode Coupling

Mode coupling is caused by imperfections in the waveguide, such as changes in diameter, elliptical core deformations and random bends of the axis. These imperfections enable the transfer of energy from one ideal mode to another during propagation. This phenomenon has two important consequences. First, the spatial intensity profile inside the fiber changes along the length of the fiber as different mode groups are excited. Second, since power is transferred among modes with different velocities, the temporal response of the fiber changes $[37,38,102,103]$ and signal power lost from one mode group appears as noise in another mod group.

Although Eq. (6.1) models the bend loss for a fiber with step index profile [42], it is useful to provide rough estimate the coupling losses caused by the bending in fiber C :

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \alpha=\frac{\pi^{1 / 2} \kappa^{2} \exp \left[-\frac{2 \gamma^{3} R_{e f f}}{3 \beta^{2}}\right]}{2 R_{e f f}^{1 / 2} \gamma^{3 / 2} V^{2} K_{m-1}(\gamma a) K_{m+1}(\gamma a)} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=\sqrt{\beta^{2}-k_{\text {clad }}^{2}}$ and $\varsigma=\sqrt{k_{\text {core }}^{2}-\beta^{2}}$ are the field decay rates, in our case $\beta=5.865 \times$ $10^{6} \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}, \gamma=0.37 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$ and $\varsigma=0.818 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{sec}$; the effective bend radius $R_{\text {eff }} \approx$ 0.31 cm [99], The $K$ terms are modified Bessel functions, where $\mathrm{K}_{1}(\gamma a)=0.4$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3}(\gamma a)=4$, and the normalized frequency $\mathrm{V}=3.034$ for $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ mode .

### 6.3 Optical Power Budget

The purpose of the power budget is to ensure that enough power will reach the receiver to maintain certain SNR for reliable communication. The optical power budget in an optical fiber communication system is the allocation of available optical power (launched into a given fiber by a certain source) among various loss mechanisms, such as coupling loss from fiber to CGH, and the fiber bending, fiber attenuation, and insertion losses from demultiplexer and multiplexer. In order to ensure that adequate signal strength (optical power) is available at the receiver [56]. Table 6.1 shows various loss sources for one channel only assuming that losses do not depend on frequency.

| Parameter | Losses |
| :---: | :---: |
| Fiber Attenuation[7] | $0.2 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{km}$ |
| Multiplexing + DeMultiplexing [96] | 5.7 dB |
| Coupling Loss from CGH (both sides) | 8 dB |
| Coupling Loss | $0.665 \mathrm{~dB} / \mathrm{km}$ |

Table 6.1: Losses for the DWDM system
We analyzed below the projected performance for each channel of such a system with the vortex fiber (fiber C). In the receiver, in case if we use Ge APD, the received power is -1.78 dBm to
maintain the $\mathrm{BER}=10^{-6}$ using the NRZ coding format $[41,67]$; at the transmitter using neodymium laser, the transmitted power is -10 dBm . Using Eq. (6.2), the length of the fiber is 20.9 km .

$$
\begin{gather*}
L(\mathrm{~km})=\frac{P_{t}-P_{r}-\alpha_{d e / m u x}-\alpha_{C G H}}{\alpha_{\text {total }}}  \tag{6.2}\\
\alpha_{\text {total-fiber }}(d B / \mathrm{km})=\alpha_{\text {attenuation }}+\alpha_{\text {coupling loss }} \tag{6.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $P_{t}$ and $P_{r}$ are the transmitted and received power, respectively. $\alpha_{d e / m u x}$ is the losses from de/multiplexing, $\alpha_{C G H}$ is the losses from CGH, $\alpha_{\text {attenuation }}$ is the fiber attenuation and $\alpha_{\text {coupling loss }}$ is the loss from coupling, L is the length of the fiber.

The maximum data rate can be found by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\max }=\frac{1}{4\left(\tau_{r x}^{2}+\tau_{t x}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{t x}$ and $\tau_{r x}$ are the rise time of the transmitter and receiver, respectively. The rise time of the receiver and transmitter are both at $12.5 p s[68]$ and $1 p s[69,5]$. Hence, the equivalent rise time for the receiver/transmitter pair is $0.43 n s$. The value of $\mathrm{B}_{\max }=19.936 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$, B based on the fiber is $18.75 \mathrm{~Gb} / \mathrm{s}$, the maximum length would be 0.70623 km based on Eq. (6.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\max }=\frac{1}{4 D_{\text {channel }}}\left(\frac{1}{B^{2}}-\frac{1}{B_{\max }^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{\text {channel }}$ is the total dispersion per each channel, the maximum length of the fiber is 20.9 km , based on the rise time of the receiver and transmitter, the length of the fiber is

## Chapter 7

## Conclusion

### 7.1 Conclusion

In this research project, we investigated one kind of novel fibers, known as vortex fiber, that has the potential to increase the capacity of future communication links. We first theoretically studied modes of MMF with a step index profile. Then we used the transfer matrix method (TMM) for finding the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of this vortex fiber to study its modes theoretically. We then compared results from TMM to those from the finite difference method (FDM) and calculated the differences in their effective indices $\left(n_{e f f}\right)$ for three mode groups. Their differences are on the order of $10^{-6}$ for approximated profiles with twenty layers and eighteen layers. We showed how many propagating modes in this fiber by formulating a fitting equation for the number of modes as a function of the fiber size from $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to $350 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Then we optimized the profile by considering 3 different profiles (fibers A, B, and C) and controlling the doping, i.e. changing the types and concentrations of materials in the core and cladding layers, with the objective of minimizing the material dispersion. We tweaked also the structure, e.g. shape of the profile, to minimize the waveguide dispersion by increasing the radius of the core region for guiding $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ mode. Finally, we obtained the minimum overall GVD (the sum of material and waveguide dispersions) for $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ mode in fiber C at $0.3432 \mathrm{ps} / \mathrm{nm}-\mathrm{km}$.

For fibers A, B, and C, we investigated fitting equations for the waveguide dispersion in $\mathrm{LP}_{01}, \mathrm{LP}_{11}$ and $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ modes and obtained reasonably small errors between numerical results and fitting equations.

In the second part of the project, we encoded the desired mode $\left(\mathrm{LP}_{21}\right)$ that can be propagated in the optimized profile (fiber C) by CGH technique and calculated encoded beams with type 2 and type 3 CGHs for $\mathrm{LG}_{21}$ and $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ modes. Finally, we compared the encoded field to the original field for evaluating the effectiveness of CGHs in generating a vortex mode and found the efficiency of the type 3 CGH for encoding $\mathrm{LP}_{21}$ mode to be 0.87 .

In the last part of this project, we considered DWDM as a technique for fully exploiting the huge fiber bandwidth by transmitting in a data rate of $3.15 \mathrm{~Tb} / \mathrm{sec}$ with 32 channels. Then we estimated the power budget that accounted for various losses for the whole system and obtained the actual length of the fiber where we can apply $\mathrm{L}=1.19 \mathrm{~km}$.

### 7.2 Future Research

In this section, we address some of the limitations of multiplexing technique, as well as offer some of the ideas for the future investigations regarding reducing $D_{\text {modal }}$, thorough investigation of mode coupling, and simulations of the propagation of various mode groups.

One of the limitations of current results was that the value of $\mathrm{D}_{\text {modal }}$ was comparable to GVD, particularly for low GVD. To alleviate this constraint, the future work should be focused on investigating the reduction of the $\mathrm{D}_{\text {modal }}$ by enhancing the fiber.

On another side, if the fiber bending is considered, some other modes might be generated e.g. higher ordered modes (HOMs). In this case, we need to calculate both the inner mode couplings and the leakage due to the bending.

More ideas for future work is to propagate more than one mode rather than propagating the third mode only.
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