University of Wisconsin Milwaukee UWM Digital Commons

Field Station Bulletins

UWM Field Station

Spring 1988

Long-term population fluctuations of small mammals at the UWM Field Station

James W. Popp University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee

Paul E. Matthiae Bureau of Endangered Resources

Charles M. Weise University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

James A. Reinartz University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

Follow this and additional works at: https://dc.uwm.edu/fieldstation_bulletins Part of the <u>Forest Biology Commons</u>, and the <u>Zoology Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Popp, J.W., P.E. Matthiae, C.M. Weise and J.A. Reinartz. 1988. Long-term population fluctuations of small mammals at the UWM Field Station. Field Station Bulletin 21(1): 10-18

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by UWM Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Field Station Bulletins by an authorized administrator of UWM Digital Commons. For more information, please contact open-access@uwm.edu.

LONG-TERM POPULATION FLUCTUATIONS OF SMALL MAMMALS AT THE UWM FIELD STATION

James W. Popp¹, Paul E. Matthiae², Charles M. Weise³ and James A. Reinartz¹ ¹University of Wisconsin – Milwaukee Field Station, 3095 Blue Goose Rd., Saukville, Wisconsin 53080 ²Bureau of Endangered Resources, Wisconsin Dept. of Natural Resources P.O. Box 7921, Madison, Wisconsin 53707 ³Dept. of Biological Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee P.O. Box 413, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201

ABSTRACT

Population sizes of four small mammals, <u>Peromyscus</u> <u>leucopus</u>, <u>Microtus</u> <u>pennsylvanicus</u>, <u>Blarina</u> <u>brevicauda</u>, and <u>Sorex cinereus</u>, were monitored for over twenty years at the UWM Field Station. <u>P. leucopus</u> had moderate fluctuations in size, but showed no evidence of cyclic or regular fluctuations. <u>M.</u> <u>pennsylvanicus</u> exhibited dramatic fluctuations with cycles of 3-5 years. Neither shrew species appeared to have cyclic fluctuations. The population sizes of the two shrew species were positively correlated with each other. No other significant correlations were found between species. Population sizes were probably influenced by a number of factors including predation, competition and weather.

INTRODUCTION

The population dynamics of small mammals have received considerable attention, primarily because of an interest in the occurrence of regular multiannual or cyclic population fluctuations (Krebs and Myers, 1974; Keith and Windberg, 1978; Taitt and Krebs, 1985; Hanski, 1987; Lidicker, 1988; Ostfield, 1988). Despite this interest, long-term studies (twenty years or longer) of fluctuations of small mammal population sizes are relatively rare. Here, we report on annual population size fluctuations over a twenty-two year period of four small mammal species at the UWM Field Station; two rodents (Rodentia): <u>Peromyscus leucopus</u> (white-footed mouse), <u>Microtus pennsylvanicus</u> (meadow vole); and two insectivores (Insectivora): <u>Blarina brevicauda</u> (short-tailed shrew), and Sorex cinereus (masked shrew).

<u>Peromyscus leucopus</u> is a habitat generalist, being found in habitats ranging from grasslands to mature forest (Adler and Wilson, 1987), however, the species shows a preference for wooded habitats with complex vertical structure, including a definite shrub layer and fallen trees, stumps and logs (M'Closkey and Lajoie, 1975; Kaufman, et al., 1983). White-footed mouse populations have traditionally been thought to be relatively stable (Terman, 1968). Recent studies indicate, however, that populations of Peromyscus leucopus may exhibit large fluctuations in population size (Sexton, et al., 1982; Wolff, 1985; Vessey, 1987); these studies include reports of fluctuations of over 10-fold (Sexton, et al., 1982; Vessey, 1987). Some authors have suggested that the observed fluctuations may reflect regular or cyclic fluctuations similar to those reported for microtine rodents (Wolff, 1985).

Population cycles are most commonly associated with microtine rodents (Lidicker, 1988) and have been reported for meadow voles in a number of studies (see Taitt and Krebs, 1985). Many populations are characterized by large fluctuations in size, with distinct peak and crash years. <u>M. pennsylvanicus</u> is found mostly in low-land fields and meadows.

The short-tailed shrew (<u>Blarina brevicauda</u>) has broad habitat requirements, being found in habitats ranging from forest to wet grassy areas (Jackson, 1961; Miller and Getz, 1977). Population sizes may vary greatly from year to year (Jackson, 1961) and crashes may occur requiring several years for recovery (Ozoga and Verme, 1969). During peak years <u>B</u>. <u>brevicauda</u> may be the most abundant small mammal in an area (Jackson, 1961). The masked shrew (<u>Sorex cinereus</u>) can be found in moist areas, primarily in forests or marshes. <u>S</u>. cinereus appears to maintain relatively stable population sizes (Jackson, 1961).

Two small mammals that are common at the Field Station; the eastern chipmunk (<u>Tamias striatus</u>) and the thirteen-lined ground squirrel (<u>Sphermophilus tridecemlineatus</u>) are not discussed here because the trapping methods used, in particular trap size, were not appropriate for these species. Two other small rodents are also present at the Field Station; the jumping mouse (<u>Zapus hudsonius</u>) is present but uncommon, and the red-backed vole (<u>Clethrionomys</u> gapperi) is restricted to the bog where its population sizes are not known.

METHODS

This study was conducted between 1966 and 1987 at the University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee Field Station, Ozaukee County, Wisconsin. Live-trapping was done on two 0.56 ha grids. The grid consisted of 25 trap stations in a 5 x 5 array. From 1966 to 1979, two Sherman type traps were placed on the ground at each station; from 1980 on, two Longworth traps were used at each station. Trapping was conducted in September or early October of each year. One grid was located in an old growth, upland forest dominated by sugar maple (Acer saccharum), white ash (Fraxinus americana), American beech (Fagus grandifolia), and shagbark hickory (Carya ovata) (Dunnum, 1972). The other grid was located on an abandoned agricultural field. For the upland woods site only limited trapping was done in 1980 and insufficient data were collected for analysis in 1985 because of heavy trap raiding by raccoons (Procyon lotor). For the field site only limited trapping was done in 1980.

P. leucopus was commonly trapped at the upland site and M. pennsylvanicus at the old field site. Populations sizes for these two species were estimated using the Bayesian approach of Gazey and Staley (1986). Two measures were used to test for regular or cyclic fluctuations (Henttonen, et al., 1985). The first measure is the standard deviation of logarithmic population densities (\underline{s}) (Lewontin, 1966; Williamson, 1972). Henttonen et al., (1985) have shown that a value of \underline{s} greater than 0.5 is a good indicator of cyclic fluctuations in microtine populations. The second measure involves the use of time series analysis, in particular frequency domain or spectral analysis. Frequency domain analysis uses a sum of sine waves of different frequencies to describe a time series. If cyclic fluctuations occurred it would be apparent from some frequencies contributing much more to the description than others (see Henttonen et al., 1985 for a more detailed explanation). Frequency domain analysis was done using the BMDP univariate spectral analysis program (Dixon, 1981).

The two shrew species were trapped at both grids, but were not abundant enough to estimate population sizes. The number of individuals trapped per 100 trap nights was used as an index of shrew abundance. Values of \underline{s} were calculated for both species.

RESULTS

White-footed mouse

Population sizes of <u>Peromyscus</u> <u>leucopus</u> exhibited moderate fluctuations (Fig. 1). The greatest continuous increase in population size was between 1976 and 1978, when a 3.2 fold increase occurred (peak population size/previous low size). Annual changes in population size (higher population size/lower population size) ranged from 1.0 to 2.9 (mean = 1.8, SD = 0.66). There was no evidence for cyclic or regular fluctuations. The value of <u>s</u> was 0.18 which is well below the 0.5 used by Henttonen, et al. (1985) to distinguish cyclic populations. In addition, the contribution of each wavelength range to the total spectral variance did not differ greatly (Table 1).

Meadow vole

Populations of \underline{M} . <u>pennsylvanicus</u> showed dramatic fluctuations in size (Fig. 2). The greatest increase in population size (from 0 to 80) occurred

Table 1. Results of spectral analysis of the population fluctuations of <u>Peromyscus leucopus</u> and <u>Microtus pennsylvanicus</u>. Values for each wavelength range represent the percentage each range contributes to the total spectral variance.

	Wavelength ranges (yrs)				
Species	<2.5	2.5-3.5	3.5-5.5	5.5-10	>10
P. leucopus	23.7	30.2	21.8	11.7	12.6
M. pennsylvanicus	3.5	15.7	59.8	12.2	8.8

between 1972 and 1973. Annual changes in population size ranged from 1.0 to 7.9 with a mean of 5.6 (SD = 8.1). This measure probably underestimates mean annual changes, since years in which population size was zero were not included. Unlike P. leucopus there was evidence for cyclic population fluctuations in the population of M. pennsylvanicus. The value of \underline{s} was 0.58, which indicates a cyclic population and the spectral analysis suggested population cycles with a 3-5 year periodicity (Table 1).

Masked and short-tailed shrews

Both shrews showed considerable year to year variation in the index of population size (Fig. 3). Annual changes in the index of population size ranged from 1.3 to 10 for <u>S</u>. cinereus (mean = 3.5, SD = 2.4) and from 1.0 to 6.0 for <u>B</u>. brevicauda (mean = 2.8, SD = 1.5). These results indicate that the two shrews show greater annual variation in population size than <u>P</u>. leucopus, but less variation than <u>M</u>. pennsylvanicus. This suggestion is supported by the values of <u>S</u> for the shrews which are intermediate between <u>P</u>. leucopus and <u>M</u>. pennsylvanicus (<u>s</u> = 0.40 for Sorex and <u>s</u> = 0.31 for Blarina).

Table 2. Correlation coefficients (r) between estimated population sizes (P. leucopus and M. pennsylvanicus) or population size indexes (S. cinereus and B. brevicauda) for the four species under study.

	M. pennsylvanicus	<u>S</u> . <u>cinerus</u>	<u>B</u> . <u>brevicauda</u>
P. leucopus	0.25	0.23	0.39
M. pennsylvanicus		-0.12	-0.16
S. cinerus		1	0.52*
*, p < 0.05			

Correlations between species

To test whether species exhibited similar patterns of population size fluctuations, correlation coefficients were calculated between the population size estimates of each species (Table 2). A positive correlation between the sizes of the two shrew populations, was the only significant correlation found.













DISCUSSION

The P. leucopus population under study exhibited moderate fluctuations in population size. The fluctuations were, however, not as great as recently reported for other populations (Sexton, et al., 1982; Vessey, 1987). The fluctuations observed in this population showed no evidence of being regular or cyclic and probably reflect annual changes in population size rather than multiannual cycles. The value of s from this study (0.18) was within the range of values previously reported for this species. Values reported have ranged from 0.07 to 0.56, with most values between 0.16 and 0.27 (Ostfeld, 1988). The P. leucopus fluctuations appear to be greater during the second half of the study period; this may be due to the effects of an ice storm which occurred at the study site during March of 1976. The ice storm changed the habitat of P. leucopus, which could have had large effects on its population dynamics. This aspect is currently under further investigation.

The size fluctuations of <u>M</u>. <u>pennsylvanicus</u> showed clear evidence for cycles of 3-5 years. The value of <u>s</u> from this study was higher than the values reported for five populations by Ostfeld (1988). In fact, only two of five populations of <u>M</u>. <u>pennsylvanicus</u> exceeded 0.5 and another recent, long-term study found little evidence of multiannual cycles (Getz, et al., 1987). There appears,

15

therefore, to be much interpopulation variation in the occurrence and degree of multiannual population cycles, which may also be true for other microtine species (Lidicker, 1988).

The degree of population size fluctuations for the two shrew species was intermediate to those of the two species of rodents. Neither shrew population appeared to exhibit multiannual cycles. The indexes of population size for the two species were positively correlated, in contrast to the results of Platt and Blakelely (1973) who found a negative relationship between these two shrew species in Iowa. A positive correlation between the population densities of <u>B</u>. brevicauda and <u>P</u>. leucopus has also been reported in Illinois (Lindeborg, 1941), but was not found in this study.

Population sizes were also compared to a number of meteorological variables, including mean temperature, total precipitation, maximum and minimum temperatures during three month periods (Jan. - March, April - June, etc.) and total snowfall over the previous winter. None of these variables was significantly correlated with the population sizes for any of the species. Population sizes and fluctuations may be affected by a number of interacting factors, such as predation, intraspecific competition, weather or changes in habitat. It is not surprising that a single factor does not correlate with population size.

LITERATURE CITED

Adler, G. H. and M. L. Wilson. 1987. Demography of a habitat generalist, the white-footed mouse, in a heterogeneous environment. Ecology 68: 1785-1796.

Dixon, W. J. (ed.). 1981. BMDP statistical Software. University of California Press, Los Angeles.

Dunnum, J. 1972. The phytosociology of a beech-maple woods in Ozaukee County, Wisconsin. M. S. Thesis, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee.

Gazey, W. J. and M. J. Staley. 1986. Population estimation from mark-recapture experiments using a sequential Bayes algorithm. Ecology 67: 941-951.

Getz, L. L., J. E. Hofmann, B. J. Klatt, L. Verner, F. R. Cole and R. L. Lindroth. 1987. Fourteen years of population fluctuations of <u>Microtus</u> <u>ochrogaster</u> and <u>M. pennsylvanicus</u> in east central Illinois. Canadian Jour. Zoology 65: 1317-1325.

Hanski, I. 1987. Populations of small mammals cycle - unless they don't. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 2: 55-56.

16

Henttonen, H., A. D. McGuire and L. Hansson. 1985. Comparisons of amplitudes and frequencies (spectral analyses) of density variations in long-term data sets of Clethrionomys species. Ann. Zool. Fennici 22: 221-227.

Jackson, H. H. T. 1961. Mammals of Wisconsin. The University of Wisconsin Press.

Kaufman, D. W., S. K. Peterson, R. Fristik and G. A. Kaufman. 1983. Effect of microhabitat features on habitat use by <u>Peromyscus</u> <u>leucopus</u>. Amer. Midland Naturalist 110: 177-185.

Keith, L. B. and L. A. Windber. 1978. A demographic analysis of the snowshoe hare cycle. Wildlife Monogr. 58: 1-70.

Krebs, C. J. and J. H. Myers. 1974. Population cycles in small mammals. Advances in Ecological Res. 8: 267-399.

Lidicker, W. Z. Jr. 1988. Solving the enigma of microtine "cycles". Jour. Mammology 69: 225-235.

Lindeborg, R. G. 1941. Fluctuations in abundance of small mammals in eastcentral Illinois, 1936-1939. Ecology 22: 96-99.

M'Closkey, R. T. and D. T. Lajoie. 1975. Determinants of local distribution and abundance in white-footed mice. Ecology 56: 467-472.

Miller, H. and L. L. Getz. 1977. Factors influencing local distribution and species diversity of forest small mammals in New England. Canadian Jour. Zoology 55: 806-814.

Ostfeld, R. S. 1988. Fluctuations and constancy in populations of small rodents. Amer. Naturalist 131: 445-452.

Ozoga, J. J. and L. J. Verme. 1968. Small mammals of conifer swamp deer yards in northern Michigan. Mich. Acad. Sci. Arts, Letters 53: 37-49.

Platt, W. J. and N. R. Blakeley. 1973. Short-term effects of shrew predation upon invertebrate prey sets in prairie ecosystems. Proc. Iowa. Acad. Sci. 80: 60-66.

17

Sexton, O. J., J. F. Douglass, R. R. Bloye and J. Pinder. 1982. Thirteen-fold change in population size of <u>Peromyscus</u> <u>leucopus</u>. Canadian Jour. Zoology 60: 2224-2225.

Taitt, M. J. and C. J. Krebs. 1985. Population dynamics and cycles. Pp. 567-620 in Biology of New World Microtus (R. H. Tamarin, ed.). Spec. Publ. A m e r . Soc. Mamm. 8: 1-893.

Terman, C. R. 1968. Population dynamics. p. 412-450. In: J. A. King (ed.), Biology of Peromyscus (Rodentia). Spec. Pub. #2. Amer. Soc. Mammal.

Vessey, S. H. 1987. Long-term population trends in white-footed mice and the impact of supplemental food and shelter. Amer. Zoologist 27: 879-890.

Wolff, J. O. 1985. Comparative population ecology of <u>Peromyscus</u> <u>leucopus</u> and Peromyscus maniculatus. Canadian Jour. Zoology 63: 1548-1555.