Eastern Illinois University The Keep

Masters Theses

Student Theses & Publications

1973

An Analysis of Non-Print Selection Aids

Richard R. Goff Eastern Illinois University

Recommended Citation

Goff, Richard R., "An Analysis of Non-Print Selection Aids" (1973). *Masters Theses*. 3793. https://thekeep.eiu.edu/theses/3793

This is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Theses & Publications at The Keep. It has been accepted for inclusion in Masters Theses by an authorized administrator of The Keep. For more information, please contact tabruns@eiu.edu.

PAPER CERTIFICATE #2

TO: Graduate Degree Candidates who have written formal theses.

SUBJECT: Permission to reproduce theses.

The University Library is receiving a number of requests from other institutions asking permission to reproduce dissertations for inclusion in their library holdings. Although no copyright laws are involved, we feel that professional courtesy demands that permission be obtained from the author before we allow theses to be copied.

Please sign one of the following statements.

Booth Library of Eastern Illinois University has my permission to lend my thesis to a reputable college or university for the purpose of copying it for inclusion in that institution's library or research holdings.

5/16/73

Author

I respectfully request Booth Library of Eastern Illinois University not allow my thesis be reproduced because

Date

Author

AN ANALYSIS OF NON-PRINT

SELECTION ATDS (TITLE)

BY

RICHARD R. GOFF B.S., EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY, 1968 M.S., EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY, 1970

THESIS

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

Specialist in Education

IN THE GRADUATE SCHOOL, EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY CHARLESTON, ILLINOIS

1973 YEAR

I HEREBY RECOMMEND THIS THESIS BE ACCEPTED AS FULFILLING THIS PART OF THE GRADUATE DEGREE CITED ABOVE

august, 1972

DEPARTMENT HEAD

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

So many people helped to compile this study, that I cannot name them all. I am especially grateful to Dr. Verne Stockman, Dr. Floyd Landsaw for their advise and consuel and to Mr. James Sexson for making available the full cooperation of the Visual Aids Service, Division of University Extention, University of Illinois, Champaign, Illinois.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter		Page
I.	INTRODUCTION	l
	Purpose of the Study	2 3 3 4 4
II.	REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	6
III.	METHODS AND PROCEDURES	10
IV.	RESULTS OF THE STUDY	14
۷.	SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	16
	Summary Conclusions Recommendations	16 16 17
BIBLIOGR	APHY	19
APPENDIX		Ŕ
Α.	Preview forms for the ten control film titles	22
В.	Selected Selection Aids	33

LIST OF TABLES

Table							Page
1.	Fifty most requested films of the Visual Aids Service		•.	•	•		10
2.	Ten control film titles	•	•	•	•	•	11
3.	Ten control film titles and their review		•				12

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

"The hardware is impressive all right, but what's going to be put into it and what's going to come out of it?"....But before this happens we must first learn what is currently available, and this can be a time-consuming, frustrating task, for there is no national system of bibliographical control of information about newer media, hence no means of knowing the total output. The selection process must be carried out by consulting a variety of bibliographical resources.... sometimes overlapping in scope....some of which merely identify the source, others of which provide descriptive or critical recommendations which indicate the uses or potential educational effectiveness of the media.¹

Erickson² felt the selector would often be able to make correct judgments leading to acceptance or rejection of a motion picture by looking at a five minute segment of the first part of a twenty-minute film. Of course, there is a danger in such hasty examination and there are better ways of getting the enormous task of evaluating material for purchase completed. There are occasions when not to make a complete examination before purchase and distribution will lead to embarrassment and even disaster. The selector is thus faced with

¹ Rufsvold, M.I., "Guides to the Selection and Evaluation of newer Educational Media," Audiovisual Instruction, p. 11, January, 1967.

² Erickson, C.W., Administering Instructional Media Programs, p. 66.

previewing the material due to the large amount of time that is required, and often he is unable to devote full time to selection.

The film selector is faced with the prospect of using film selection aids to help offset the task of selection. The problem then arises which of the twenty or so guides and selection tools for educational films will he rely on. Esma Dick³ feels that there is by necessity a need for criteria by which we may evaluate educational film selection aids. Public schools alone own over 1,315,000-16mm film titles, selection thus assumes a critical function.⁴ Selection thus becomes a task of major significance. The most important role of the film librarian is the selection process.⁵ This study will focus on evaluation of existing media selection tools.

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of the study was to identify those media selection aids which provide effective critical reviews of 16mm educational films. Selected items of 16mm educational film were used as control items and the existing media selection aids were checked for their treatment of each control item.

5 Frankle, C., Guide to Educational Technology, p. 4.

³ Dick, E., Executive Director, Educational Film Library Association, Private Interview held during Meeting of the Association for Educational Communication and Technology. Minneapolis, Minnesota, April, 1972.

⁴ Holliway, G.M., <u>Educational Screen and A-V Guide</u> 42:621, November, 1963.

Questions

1. What reviews of 16mm educational films in fact exist and are they sufficient in number to rely on their availability?

2. What is the credibility of the selection aid?

- a. Does the selection aid fail to publish a review on nonrecommended film titles?
- b. Does the selection aid apply a value judgment to the material reviewed?

c. Is the review of a critical nature?

d. Does the failure of the publication to publish a review, on a given title indicate a rejection on the part of the selection aid?

3. Which selection aids serve as tools to the selector and which only serve to hinder the selection process?

4. What amount of time lapses from the release of the film until the review appears?

Delimitations

1. The media considered in this study was the 16mm film collection of the Visual Aids Service, Division of University Extension, University of Illinois, Champaign, Illinois, containing over 17,000 film titles.

2. The reviews studied were those available from the film holdings of the Visual Aids Collection. This has a direct relationship on the depth and success of the study, as set forth in the purpose of the study, question one.

3. No attempt was made to establish a direct cause and effect relationship between the adundance or lack of reviews on specific film titles held by Visual Aids Service.

4. The study was limited to media selection aids that treat 16mm educational films.

Method

1. The film utilization records of the Visual Aids Service, Division of University Extension, University of Illinois were screened in order to obtain the top fifty films in terms of utilization in the past calender year.

2. From this list, ten of the fifty were selected as control items and picked by the random sample method.

3. The ten control titles were previewed and compared to existing reviews by 16mm selection aids using the criteria outlined under the purpose of the study above.

4. After the data had been analyzed and compiled it was reported in tabular form.

5. Conclusions were drawn and recommendations were made.

Definition of Terms

<u>Call Number</u>-Call number system used by the Visual Aids Service, Division of University Extension. University of Illinois.

<u>Control Item</u>-One of the 16mm educational film titles that was picked by random sample from the fifty most utilized 16mm films of the Visual Aids Service. Synonymous with film title.

<u>Selection Aid-A</u> publication that serves as a source of review media for 16mm educational films.

<u>Selector</u>-An individual in a public library, film library, or learning resource center who is in charge of previewing and evaluating l6mm educational films.

<u>Film Title</u>-One of the ten control items films selected from the Visual Aids catalog of 16mm educational films 1972-75 edition.

<u>Visual Aids</u>-Visual Aids Service, Division of University Extension, University of Illinois, Champaign, Illinois.

<u>Review Media</u>-Any publication that deals with evaluations of 16mm films on a continuing basis.

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

Central and associated to the emergence of the increased function of the role of educational media in instruction, has been a corresponding concern for bibliographic selection aids available to the media field. Audiovisual media lacks the bibliographic tradition shared within the field of print media. Those working in the field for the first time are confused and frustrated when seeking sources of review media that are often non-existent.

One of the necessary requirements for the selection of media is critical, comprehensive, broad based reviews on a regular basis. It is hoped as titles of review media increase and mature, consideration will be allowed for all titles. All new titles released should be reviewed, along with a reexamination of older titles that were eliminated initially. On the other hand, even though all titles should be previewed, many titles should be eliminated from consideration from the outset, due to cost, subject matter, etc.. Thereby, the chance of good material being excluded is remote.

Publishing on a regular schedule is also necessary so that the professional will refer to those specific selection aids that deal with the top of his concern. Most publications currently dealing in evaluation of 16mm educational films fail to state the basis of their evaluative criteria and procedure. This is the area of greatest weakness in review media and deeply suspect when educational software is

involved. Requests for more clearly defined criteria are being received from the field and this may solve the major weakness of review media.

Present trends of review media tend to lack the maturity to be completely straight forward. How often is a title "not recommended" and the author of the review states why? There still seems to be a tendency for the producer to attempt to make a "quick buck" with a substandard title. Feedback from the review media is the quickest and surest way to eliminate this practice. Many smart producers will fail because of this. Most producers would prefer to receive criticism through other than public channels.

"Not recommended" reviews are not printed in most cases, therefore, this serves as a great handicap to the selector. He is swamped by producers descriptions and it is well known among selectors how glowing these can be. The purchaser is charged with financial responsibility to spend the small amount of funds allotted wisely. Evaluation is time-consuming, if not an impossible job. The selector has a right to expect better performance from review media literature than what he has been receiving.

The current status of software review media is spotty. The publications listed in Appendix B were examined for the characteristics discussed above. Publications that listed titles, where the annotations were descriptive, or promotional with no attempt to be evaluative, were not considered. Certain lists and bibliographies were not included as they in fact only served as lists. Failure to include a publication does not imply that it did not serve as a review medium.

The fourteen selection aids listed in Appendix B treat audiovisual materials on a regular basis. An examination of these fourteen aids proved to be critical only on the positive side and lack full bibliographic citation. Reviewers for these publications seem to be given their head and work under few guidelines.

Library publications for instance give only token coverage to non-print media feeling that the job belongs to someone else. Review features are carried by School Library Journal, A-V Guide and Educational Screen, Business Screen, Media and Methods, Film News and Booklist on a regular basis. Hornbook and Library Journal provide reviews on print media only, yet they are held up as two of the major library journals.

EFIA Evaluations and Landers Film Reviews have provided adequate commercial subscription service for a number of years.

Bibliographic citation is the area of greatest inconsistency. (Appendix B) Although uniform entry for books is used, it does not appear for non-print media. The price and date of publication are the most consistent omissions and subject to the most errors. Often the type of film format is not listed. Length of the film and inclusion of a teaching guide are often deleted from the review.

A series of films are often recommended on the basis of a previewing of one title in the series. However, only one title out of a series may be relevant to a potential purchaser. Often separate titles of a series may not be purchased as singles. (Appendix B)

Most selection aids exert little control over the format in which reviews must be submitted. Thus the selector is subjected to a wide

variation from issue to issue of the same publication. Many media publications lack a non-print review service but they all carry book review columns. (Appendix B)

CHAPTER III

METHODS AND PROCEDURES

The identification of film titles and preview facilities for the control items film titles were provided through the cooperation of Visual Aids Service, Division of University Extention, University of Illinois, Champaign, Illinois.

Preparation and Selection

The film computer printout utilization record of the Visual Aids Services was secured to determine which of the over 17,000 titles were requested and booked the most in the past calender year. Table 1 indicated the fifty most requested call numbers of the past calender year.

TABLE 1

FIFTY MOST REQUESTED FILMS

OF THE VISUAL AIDS COLLECTION BY CALL NUMBER

53100	Credit and Loans
51037	Literature in America: The Short Story
81388	Occurrence at Owl Creek Bridge
51811	Handling Marital Conflicts
81141	Donald in Mathmagic Land
52009	Symbolism in Literature
92400	The Louvre: A Golden Prison
80417	South America
60223	Caperucita Roja

01734 Consumer Protection

52447 Civil Advocates Vignettes No. 18: The Use of Overlays

52044 Terrible Twos and The Trusting Threes

- 80160 Building Techniques: Framing Floor Joists and Walls
- 50181 From Eight to Five
- 01225 Understanding Modern Art: Surrealism
- 52394 French Revolution: Birth of a New France
- 90034 Romeo and Juliet
- 51440 Frustrating Fours and Fasinating Fives
- 86000 Plastics: Industrial Processes and Products
- 50671 Labor Movement: Beginnings & Growth in America
- 80310 Eye of the Beholder
- 81086 Mao Tse-Tung
- 52418 Design for Living
- 81020 Roman World
- 50944 Rise of Organized Labor
- 81021 Adolph Hitler, Pt. 1: The Rise to Power
- 50395 Leonardo Da Vinci and His Art
- 81182 Grand Canyon
- 01995 Installment Buying
- 60504 Africa in Change: Continent of Africa
- 52543 What's in a Play: Dramatic Action
- 81412 Frederick Douglass (Profiles in Courage)
- 52560 Hangman
- 52748 Jungle
- 02097 Jackson Pollock

97300	Helen Keller in Her Story
52878	Consumer Education: Budgeting
52877	Consumer Education: Installment Buying
02130	Latin America: An Introduction
8157 ⁴	Germany: Regional Geography
90030	Ku Klux Klan: Invisible Empire
81232	Legend of Sleepy Hollow
02981	Birds of the Dooryard
60294	Aujourd'hui Nous Visitons Paris
50710	Drugs and the Nervous System
60293	Une Journee Au Iycee
60295	Le Quatorze Juillet
80669	Real West
60226	Le Petit Chaperon Rouge
90005	Adventures of Huckleberry Finn

Once these fifty call numbers were obtained, ten were selected as control items, by the random sample method. The Visual Aids call number and producers title of these ten film titles are listed in Table 2.

TABIE 2

TEN CONTROL FILM TITLES

RELEASE DATE	Visual Aids Call #	Producers Title	
1946	90005	Adventures of Huckleberry Finn	
1961	531.00	Credit and Loans	

1968	52877	Consumer Education: Installment Buying
1967	50710	Drugs and The Nervous System
1955	80310	Eye of the Beholder
1956	52394	French Revolution: Birth of New France
1964	51811	Handling Martial Conflict
1962	51037	Literature in Amer.: The Short Story
1966	81574	Germany: Regional Geography
1950	52044	Terrible Twos & Trusting Threes

Preview and Film Review

The ten control film titles were previewed by the author, using the Visual Aids preview facilities. The results of the screening were recorded on a standard preview form (Appendix A). The ten control film titles were then compared with reviews in existing selections tools. Table 3 shows those review media which reviewed the film titles.

TA	BI	E	3	
			-	

TEN CONTROL FILM TITIES

RELEACE DATE	Visual A Call #		NICEM	EFIA	LANDERS	ALA LIST	BOOK LIST	WILSON FILM GUIDE	ED. SCREEN & A-V GUIDE
19!46	90005	Adventures of Huckleberry Finn	х						Х
1961	53100	Credit and Loans	х					Х	
1968	52877	Consumer Education: Installment Buying	х						
1967	50710	Drugs and The Nervous System	Х	х	Х				
1955	80310	Eye of the Beholder	Х	х	Х				
1966	52394	French Revolution: Birth of New France	Х		х		<u>6</u>		
1964	51811	Handling Martial Conflict	Х	Х					
1962	51037	Literature in Amer.: The Short Story	Х						
1966	81574	Germany: Regional Geography	Х						
1950	52044	Terrible Twos and Trusting Threes	Х	х		X			

Noteworthy data contained in this table are set forth by the criteria outlined in Chapter I in the section of questions one through four.

Computation of the Data

The data was compiled by checking each control film title against those review media which treat 16mm educational films. A key factor in the analysis was that many of the control film titles were quite dated, the oldest being 1946 and the newest being 1968. This factor relates directly to questions three and four of the delimitations of the study. The advanced age of many of the control film titles is reflected in the fact that some of the reviewing media are no longer in print, or have evolved under different formats and titles reflecting changes in philosophy on the part of the publication. Many of the review media which did treat film reviews have dropped or modified this procedure. All of these factors have a direct influence on the findings in the study.

CHAPTER IV

RESULTS OF THE STUDY

Previous to the start of the study there was a basic assumption made that there should be an established availability of reviews by selection aids for selected non-print media. It appeared that film titles which have received wide circulation and were not of a recent vintage would yeild the greatest number of reviews by selection tools. Inversely, it was assumed that new film titles would yeild a sparsity of reviews by selection aids. The data collected and analyzed seemed to indicate that only portions of these assumptions were correct.

Originally, it was assumed that availability of reviews by selection aids would be based on the factors as related above. Older film titles on films receiving wide circulation were found to have reviews of such a limited number that the average selector could not employ or rely on selection aids to perform a vital role in the selection process. (Table 3) Many selection aids list only film titles which they recommend. Those not listed are in fact rejected, but the selector has no way of knowing if the film title he is considering has been previewed by the selection aid, (Booklist, AIA list of films for libraries). In both of these cases, lack of a review serves as a rejection on the part of the selection aid, even if the selection tool has not reviewed the film title. The only selection aids of note that use a rating system are Landers Film Reviews and the service of the Educational Film Library Association. Both these rate by a short value phrase excellent, highly recommended, or good. These two

selection aids perform the best job of critical review, but it is not possible for these two services to review all titles. Often, several months and up to a two year period may lapse before a release is reviewed.

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Summary

The fifty most requested film titles were obtained by surveying the Visual Aids Utilization Record. From these fifty, ten film titles were picked by the random sample method. (Table 2) After these ten control film titles were obtained, they were checked against review media. This comparison showed the existence of reviews for the selected controlled titles. These review media and those additional in Appendix B were evaluated as to their handling of 16mm educational films as outlined in the questions in Chapter I.

Conclusions

In the majority of cases the selection aid has failed as the selector has acted long before the review has appeared in the selection aid. None of the selection aids treated in this study met or pass the qualifications as set forth in questions one through four under questions, Chapter I. The readers attention is directed on Table 3. The selection process appears to be improving with newer film titles due to the larger number of selection aids treating the material and the increased importance placed on film as a communicative medium.

If the film title the selector is considering for purchase happens to appear in the review, then the selection aid may serve a useful function for the selector. Under average conditions the selection aid will serve best in the function of a retrospective selection aid and reference source. Often it will be used in attempts to justify purchase after the fact.

The selector is thus faced with previewing the film title if he intends to perform in an effective manner. Again he is faced with previewing an unmanageable number of films, in that he might preview forty hours a week and still not finish selection, let alone have time for the other duties most selectors are given. The sparsity of preview prints also serve to increase the problem. Film distributors are finding that they must limit the number of preview prints that they can release on a given title. Often the whole production of a release would be absorbed by requests for preview prints. On many popular releases as many as 50 to 100 preview prints are released, yet the selector may wait weeks or months for a preview print of a popular title. All of the factors above result in conditions where the selector is unable to perform the task assigned him, that of selection.

Recommendations

The only light at the end of the tunnel for the conditions outlined above is a system of regional clearing house for the evaluation of educational media. This system could be patterned after or serve as an addition to the ERIC clearing house system, which at present deals with publications in education which are stored and retrieved via microfiche. A system of regional clearing houses could be established for each format of educational media; slides, phono disks, microforms, tape recording, 8mm films, 16mm films, etc. Storage and retrieval could be in the form of computerized microfilm.

All reviews of selection aids should include 1) completed bibliographic citation, 2) published evaluation guidelines, 3) list not

recommended titles and state why they were rejected, 4) cumulative indexing of reviews, 5) a more equal method of handling series titles. The suggestions listed above seem to provide the only viable solution to the problem of selection of non-print educational media.

Selected Bibliography

- Audio Visual Communications Review. Washington: Association For Educational Communications and Technology, 1953----.
- Audiovisual Communications. New York: United Business Publications, 1961----.
- Audiovisual Instruction. Washington: Association For Educational Communications and Technology, 1956----.
- Audio Visual Media. Long Island City, N.Y.: International Council For Educational Films, 1961----.
- Booklist. Chicago: American Library Association, 1905----.
- Brown, James W., and Norberg, Kennth. Administering Educational Media. New York: Mc Graw Hill, 1965.
- Brown, James W. and Thornton, James W. Jr., <u>New Media in Higher Educa-</u> <u>tion</u>. Washington, D.C.: Association for Higher Education and Department of Audiovisual Education, NEA, 1963.
- Business Screen. Wheaton, Illinois: Harbrake Publications, Inc., 1938----.
- Catholic School Journal. Milwaukee, Wisconsin: Brice Publishing Company.
- Educational Films, Visual Aids Service, Champaign, Illinois: University of Illinois, 1972-1975.
- Educators Guide to Media and Methods. Philadelphia, Pa.: Media and Methods Institute.
- Educational Media. Fort Worth, Tex.: Educational Media, 1969----.
- EFLA Evaluations. New York: Educational Film Library Association, 1948----.
- Educators Cuide to Free Films. Randolph, Wisconsin: Educators Progress Service, 1971----.
- Educational Screen and A-V Guide. Chicago: Educational Screen Inc., 1922----.
- Educational Technology. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Educational Technology Publications, 1961----.
- Eric Clearing House on Educational Media and Technology: Stanford, Calif.: Stanford University.

- Erickson, W.H., <u>Administering Instructional Media Programs</u>. New York: Macmillan, 1968.
- Erickson, W.H., and Curl, David H., <u>Fundamentals of Teaching with</u> Audiovisual Technology. New York: Macmillan, 1972.
- Film Comment. Brookline, Mass.: Film Comment Publishing Company, 1962----.
- Film Culture. New York: Film Culture, 1965----.
- Film For Libraries. Chicago: American Library Association, 1963.
- Film Library Quarterly. New York: Film Library Information Council, 1967----.
- Film News. New York: Film News Co., 1939----.
- Film Quarterly. Berkeley, Calif.: University of California Press, 1945----.
- Film Reports. New York: Film Board of National Organizations (Previously issued by Motion Picture Association of America).
- Film Society Review. New York: American Federation of Film Societies, 1965----.
- Film World and A-V Guide News Magazine. Los Angeles: Sidale Publication Co. (ceased Publication 1966)
- Filmfacts. New York: Grosset and Dunlap Company, 1958 ----.
- Films In Review. New York: National Board of Review of Motion Pictures Inc.
- Instructor. Dansville, N.Y.: Instructor Publications, 1891----.
- Landers Film Reviews. Los Angeles: Landers Associates, 1956----.

NICEM Index to 16mm Educational Films. New York: Bowker Co. 1969----.

- Library Journal. New York: R.R. Bowker, 1876----.
- Media and Methods. Philadelphia, Pa.: Media and Methods Productions 1965----.
- Modern Media Teacher. New York: George Pflam, 1969----.

Newsreel. New York: Mc Graw Hill, 1966----.

MET Newsletter. Bloomington, Ind.: National Educational Television.
Preview. Bloomington, Ind.: Audio-Visual Center, Indiana University, 1965
Radical Software. New York: Raindance Corp., 1970
School Library Journal. New York: R.R. Bowker, 1954
Sight and Sound. New York: Eastern News Distributors, 1932
Sightlines. New York: Educational Film Library Association, 1967
SMPTE Journal. New York: Society of Motion Picture and Television Engineers, 1916
Social Education. Washington, D.C.: National Council for Social Studies 1937
Standards for School Media Programs. Chicago: American Library Association, 1969.

,

FILM RATING FORM	
Title ADVENTURES OF HUCKLEEERRY FINN	Date Produced 1946
Distributor Metro-Golden-Mayer	Reels 1 Time 40 min.
Sale Price N/A Rental \$7.90 Free	Transportation
Sound X SilentB & W _ XColor	Condition Good
Intended viewers: P.T.A. Pre-School Elem.	X JHS X SHS X
CollAdultGeneral	Other
Subject Area American Literature	
Content Abridged version of the original feature fi	m Presents the major
episodes of the story. Restricted to class:	room use.
Objective _ Illustrates work of Mark Twain.	
R. K.	
Content Qualities:	
Unity Yes <u>X</u> no	×,
Continuity Yes X no	18. v
Authentic Yes X no	
DocumentaryEducational X Cultural X	Entertaining X
Technical Qualities: Poor Satisfactory Good	Ercellent
Тетро	X
Sound X	
Clarity X	
Photography X	
COMMENTS: Excellent film should be redone in color.	
Total & Final Effect Overa 1 effect striking for a	1946 production.
Correlates With:	

FILM RATING FORM	
Title CREDIT AND LOANS	Date Produced 1961
Distributor Progressive Pictures	Reels 1 Time 10 min.
Sale Price N/A Rental \$5.40 Free	Transportation
Sound X Silent B & W X Color	Condition
Intended viewers: P.T.A. Pre-School Elem.	JHS SHS X
Coll. X Adult X General	
Subject Area Economics, Family Planning, Home Economics	
Content Discusses aspects of home finance.	-
	2
Objective Illistrate to the viewer the different meth	nods of home finance.
Content Qualities:	
Unity Yes X no	
Continuity Yes X no	
Authentic Yes X no	
Documentary Educational X Cultural	Entertaining
Technical Qualities: Poor Satisfactory Good	Excellent
Tempo X	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Sound X	
Clarity X	
Photography X	·
COMMENTS: Good film for the young viewer.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Total & Final Effect Clearly illustrates different m	ethods of finance.
Correlates With: Civics, social studies.	

FIIM ATING FO M	
Title CONSUMER EDUCATION: INSTALLMENT EUYING	Date Produced 1968
Distributor Paily Films	1
Sale Price N/A Rental \$6.00 Free	Transportation
Sound X Silent B & W Color X	Condition Good
Intended viewers: P.T.A. Pre-School Elem.	
Coll. X Adult General	Other
Subject Area Economics, Civics, Consumer Education.	
Content <u>Tllustrates three ways of financing a new</u>	v car.
Objective	finance.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Content Qualities:	
Unity Yes <u>x</u> no	
Continuity Yes no X lacki	ng in one segement of the fil
Authentic Yes X no	e și
DocumentaryEducational X Cultural	Entertaining
	3.
Technical Qualities: Poor Satisfactory Good	Excellent
Tempo	X
Sound X	
Clarity	<u>x</u>
Photography	X
COMMENTS:Excellent film to explain consu er finan	ice
2	
Total & Final Effect Excellent	
Correlates With: Home Economics.	

Title DRUGS AND THE MERVOUS SYSTEM	Date Froduced 1967
Distributor .Churchill Films	
Sale Price N/A Rental \$7.10 Free	
Sound X Silent B & W X Color	Condition Good
Intended viewers: P.T.A. Pre-School Elem.	
Coll. Adult General	
Subject Area Health Education	
Content Illustrates the effect of drugs on the huma	an body.
Objective Explains how drugs effect the human body.	
6 × 1	
Content Qualities:	
Unity Yes <u>x</u> no	
Continuity Yes <u>x</u> no	
Authentic Yes <u>x</u> no	
DocumentaryEducational X Cultural X	Entertaining
Machinical Aulitica, Deen Satisfactory Act	The class
Technical Qualities: Poor Satisfactory Good	Excellent
Tempo	<u> </u>
Sound	<u> </u>
Clarity	<u> </u>
Photography	X
COMMENTS: Excellent film, creates healthy awareness	of drugs.
Fine addition to a film library.	
Total & Final Effect Good use of animation to show	how drugs effect the body.
Correlates With:	

FILM RATING FORM

FILM RATING FORM	
Title EYE OF THE BEHOLDER	Date Produced 1955
Distributor Encyclopacedia Pritannica Educationa	al Corp. Reels 1 Time 25 min.
Sale Price N/A Rental \$7.90 Free	Transportation
Sound X Silent B & W X Color	Condition
Intended viewers: P.T.APre-SchoolE	ElemJHSX_SHSX'
A.	eneralOther
Subject Area Communications, civics, social scien	nce, psychology, law, journalism
Content Illustrates how the same event may 1	be precived by different individuals.
Objective Explains how different individuals :	react to the same event.
e la	
Content Qualities:	
Unity Yes X no	
Continuity Yes <u>X</u> no	
Authentic Yes X no	
Documentary Educational X Cultural	X Entertaining X
Technical Qualities: Poor Satisfactory G	Good Excellent
Тетро	X
	x
	x
	X
Photography	
COMMENTS: Unusual film with wide range of app	
Total & Final Effect Excellent	
	<i>n</i>
Correlates With:	

FIIM RATING FORM	
Title FRENCH REVOLUTION: BIRTH OF NEW FRANCE	Date Produced 1966
Distributor Encyclopacedia Britannica Educationa Corp.	Reels 1 Time 21 min.
Sale Price \$240 Rental \$8.80 Free	Transportation
Sound X Silent B & W Color X	Condition Good
Intended viewers: P.T.A. Pre-School Elem.	JHS X SHE X
Co , X Adult General	Other
Subject Area French History	
Content Lays the background for the French Revolut	ion.
ObjectiveIllustrate factors that led to the French F	levolution.
Content Qua ities:	
Unity Yès X no	
Continuity Yes X no	
Authentic Yes X no	
Documentary Educationa X Cultural X I	Intertaining
Technical Qualities: Poor Satisfactory Good	Excellent
Тепро Х	
Sound	X
Clarity X	
Photography	<u> </u>
COMMENTS: Excellent for high school and college, too	advanced for most jhs.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Total & Final Effect Very Good	
Correlates With:	4 ¹

.

FILM RATING FORM	Te
Title HANKLING MARTIAL CONFLICT	Date Froduced 1964
Distributor McGraw Hill	Reels 1 Time 15 min.
Sale PriceRental \$4.10 Free	Transportation
Sound X Silent B & W X Color	Condition
Intended viewers: P.T.A. Pre-School Elem.	jhsx
Coll. X Adult X General	Other
Subject Area Marriage Counseling, Psychology	
Content Illustrates ways martial conflicts can be h	andled.
Objective Explain various ways to handle martial conf	licts may result in worthwhil
communication.	
Content Qualities:	
Unity Yès X no	
Continuity Yes X no	·
Authentic Yes no X Somewhat	stilted in places.
Documentary Educational X Cultural	Entertaining
Technical Qualities: Poor Satisfactory Good	Excellent
Tempo <u>X</u>	
Sound X	
Clarity X	
Photography X	
COMMENTS: Actors selfconscious	
•	
Total & Final Effect Very Good	
Correlates With:	

FILM RATING FORM	
Title LITERATUR IN AMERICA: THE SHORT STORY	Date Froduced 1962
Distributor <u>Clover</u> Jennings	Reels 1 Time 20 min.
Sale PriceRental \$7.70 Free	Transportation
Sound X Silent B & W Color X	Condition Good
Intended viewers: P.T.A. Pre-School Elem.	jhs ^x shs ^x
Coll. X AdultGeneral	
Subject Area <u>American Literature</u>	
Content Traces the development of the unique quali-	ties of the American Short
Story.	
Objective Show how the short story developed as an A	merican form of literature.
Content Qualities:	
Unity Yes X no	30
Continuity Yes X no	#
Authentic Yes X no	
Documentary Educational X Cultural X	Entertaining
Mochainal Qualiticat Door Satisfactory Cond	Freellent
Technical Qualities: Poor Satisfactory Good	
Tempo	X
Sound	<u> </u>
Clarity	<u> </u>
Photography	<u> </u>
COMMENTS: Also traces the development of the America	n Publishing industry.
Total & Final Effect Very Good	
Correlates With:	
24	· · ·

FILM RATING FORM	
Title GERMANY: REGIONAL GEOGPAPHY	Date Produced 1966
Distributor International Film Bureau, Inc.	Reels 1 Time 27 min.
Sale Price N/A Rental \$9.60 Free	Transportation
Sound X Silent B & W Color X	Condition Good
Intended viewers: P.T.A. Pre-SchoolElem	JHS X SHS X
Coll. X Adult General	Other
Subject Area Geography (World)	
ContentIllustrates the four geographic regions the	at comprise Germany.
Objective Explain the contrasting geographic regions	of Germany
Contert Ovelities	
Content Qualities:	
Unity Yes X no	X
Continuity Yes X no	· .
Authentic Yes X no	
Documentary Educational X Cultural X	Entertaining
Technical Qualities: Poor Satisfactory Good	Excellent
Tempo	
	X
Sound	<u> </u>
Clarity	<u> </u>
Photography	<u> </u>
COMMENTS: Very good, clearly illustrates the geograp	hic divisions of Cermany.
	đ
Total & Final Effect Excellent for the educational	film library.
Correlates With:	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	FILM RATING FORM			
÷	Title TERRIBLE TWOS AND TRUSTING THREES	Date Produce	d_ 19	50
	Distributor AcGraw Hill	Reels 1	Time	22 min.
	Sale Price N/A Rental \$3.10 Free			
	Sound X Silent B & W X Color	Condition_		
	Intended iewers: P.T.A. Pre-School Elem.	JHS	_SHS	X
	Coll. X Adult X General			
	Subject Area Early childhood education, Sociology, Home	Economics, Pa	sychol	ogy
Content Illustrates changes in development from age two to three.				
	Objective Trace physical and behavioral of several ch	nildren.		
	Content Qualities:			
	Unity Yes X no	*		
	Continuity Yes <u>x</u> no	× .		
	Authentic Yes <u>x</u> no			
	Documentary Educational X Cultural	Entertaining_		
	Technical Auditical Deen Satisfactory Cool	Encollow		
	Technical Qualities: Poor Satisfactory Good	Excellent	,	
	Tempo <u>X</u>			
	Sound X			
	Clarity X			
	Photography X			
	COMMENTS: Canadian Film			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Total & Final Effect Good			
	Correlates With:			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T		

Appendix B

Selected Selection Aids

- Audiovisual Instruction. Association for Educational Communication and Technology. "AVI policies toward reviewing materials--films, filmstrips, slide sets, and the like--have changed. We no longer publish reviews as such but we will try to pinpoint trends in the area of materials." AVI contains a book review section.
- AV Guide and Educational Screen. Completeness of bibliographic citation varies. Film evaluations are excellent includes signed appraisal.
- The Booklist and Subscription Books Bulletin. Monthly reviews 8mm and filmstrips only. Complete bibliographic citations.
- Business Screen. Listing lean toward the descriptive and promotional rather than evaluative.
- Catholic School Journal. Lacks complete bibliographic citation. Negative reviews not published.
- EFLA, Educational Film Library Association. Subscription limited to 16mm titles. Complete bibliographic citation. Lacks statement as to how the service operates.
- Educators Guide to Media and Methods. Bibliographic citation inadequate. Commentary is in run on fashion.
- Film News. Lacks clear policy for bibliographic citations. Reviews listed in table of contents.
- Landers Film Review. Monthly loose-leaf subscription service limited to 16mm films. Complete bibliographic citation, lacks statement of operational policy.
- School Library Journal. Uneven bibliographic citation. Critical comparison between titles.
- The Science Teacher. Physical description of titles inconsistent. Full coverage of titles in area of science.
- SIECUS Newsletter. Sex Information And Educational Council of the United States. Treat only titles in the area of sex education. Critical reviews with full bibliographic citation.
- Social Education. Bibliographic citation inconsistent, occasional annotation.
- The Social Studies. Recommend complete series on the basis of a review on one title of the series. Incomplete bibliographic citation.