

The Space Congress® Proceedings

1971 (8th) Vol. 1 Technology Today And Tomorrow

Apr 1st, 8:00 AM

# **Skylab Consumables Computer Simulation**

E. G. Howard Staff Engineer, Martin Marietta Corporation

R. C. Scott Senior Specialist, Martin Marietta Corporation

Follow this and additional works at: https://commons.erau.edu/space-congress-proceedings

# **Scholarly Commons Citation**

Howard, E. G. and Scott, R. C., "Skylab Consumables Computer Simulation" (1971). *The Space Congress® Proceedings*. 2. https://commons.erau.edu/space-congress-proceedings/proceedings-1971-8th/session-6/2

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences at Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Space Congress® Proceedings by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact commons@erau.edu.



# SKYLAB CONSUMABLES COMPUTER SIMULATION

E. G. Howard Staff Engineer Martin Marietta Corporation Denver, Colorado

R. C. Scott Senior Specialist Martin Marietta Corporation Denver, Colorado

#### ABSTRACT

A computer program has been developed to calculate resources expended on a NASA Skylab mission and to identify mission incompatibilities. The program works by modeling engineering subsystems of the Skylab cluster. The computer program does not compensate for problems found, but locates and identifies them. It does permit the evaluation of the impact of one subsystem upon another. An output of consumable usage as a function of minsion time is supplied on a fixed time interval basis as specified by the user, with a minimum time interval of six mutues.

#### INTRODUCTION

A computer program was developed to calculate the consumables used during simulated unissions of the NASA Skylab Program. A Skylab cluster, shown in Figure 1, consists of five carriers: Command Service Module (CSM), Multiple Docking Adapter (MDA), Africok Module (AM), Orbital Workshop (OMS), and Apollo Telescope Mount (ATM). Consumables include commodities that are depleted, such as propellant and environmental gases and those that are used but replinished, such as electrical power.

The computer program is valuable as a pre-mission planning tool because of the following major assets: First, the program provides fast turnaround of information regarding resource usage based on a given filght plan.

Second, in obtaining explicit resource profiles, the integrated effects of one subsystem upon another are considered. For example, the use of additional electrical power may raise the temperature in the vehicle. That, in turn, could reguine additional power to cool compartment conditions, Such an interaction loop between electrical and thermal systems provides dynamic simulation of actual conditions. This subsystem linkage approach is superior to separated subsystems or pre-allocated blocks of resource usage because interactions are considered.

A third asset of the computer program is the level of engineering subsystem accuracy coupled with computer flexibility. The accuracy was obtained by incorporation, when possible, of existing subsystem models developed by the engineering design groups. Each subsystem has been programmed in a modular fashion to minimize the impact of design changes in one subsystem upon another.

## THEORY OF OPERATION

The computer program simulates five engineering systems of the Skylab cluster as shown in Figure 2. These are: Environmental Control (ECS), Attitude Control (ACS), Electrical Load (ELS), Thermal Control (TCS), and Electrical Availability (EAS) Systems. In order to operate these five subsystems, three primary inputs are required; 1) detailed flight plan, 2) ephemeris data, and 3) task reference bank. The flight plan contains unigue task identifiers, astronaut codes, the start and stop time of the activities, and the carrier locations were the activities are performed. It is used to trigger resource calculations in respective subsystems. The ephemeris tape contains the trajectory information needed to operate the Attitude Control Subsystem which simulates orientation of the orbital assembly throughout the mission. Finally, the task reference bank is a data matrix containing unique information about each task such as lighting, orbit constraints, and field-of-view.

The Environmental Control Subsystem (ECS) is the first subsystem simulated because it can operate without inputs from other subsystems. The ECS consists of three main parts or calculations: non-metabolic requirements, metabolic requirements, and CO, level. Non-metabolic requirements are those operational requirements for oxygen and nitrogen, such as vehicle pressurizations, leakages, molecular sieve operation, and airlock repressurizations. The metabolic calculations separate latent from sensible metabolic heat loads. The loads are then converted into pounds of oxygen. A CO2 subroutine calculates the CO2 partial pressure level in all carriers (except CSM) and the seven compartments of the OWS. Based on the metabolic profile described above, the molecular sieve is simulated and partial pressure calculations made. The CO2 is not output as a resource, but an incompatibility message is output if partial pressures greater than a specified value occur,

The major calculations of the Attitude Control Subsystem (ACS) can be described in four parts: 1) attitude (orientation) determination, 2) task parformance parameters which are attitude dependent; 3) attitude control system operation, and 4) attitude control system operation, and KSS simulates the holding or changing of attitude as defined by the flight plan. Based upon dynamic vehicle considerations such as mass properties, the control system impulse requirements are calculated. Finally, within the ASS, a surfles of incompatibility messages in covariants, such as target rise/set time, beta angle, target occultation, camera field-of-view, and lighting conditions.

The third subsystem in Figure 2 is the Electrical Load Subsystem (ELS) and is used tyice in order to include themal influence on electrical loads. The loads calculated are profiled in terms of the AM and ATM electrical systems and care is taken to compile seperately the sensible heat loads to be used by the TOS. In the second usage of the ELS, the TOS has calculated the electrical power needed to maintain an astronaut confort zone. This TOS load requirement is input to the ELS so that total electrical usage can be determined.

The Thermal Control System (TGS) is the next subsystem of the computer program. The YOS calculations are in two parts, First, an equalization or steady-state solution is found for each carrier (i.e. given an impressed thermal load, the steady state solution is found). Then by changing the input loads impressed, a transfent analysis is performed. The fan and heater electrical loads are output to the LiS to permit total electrical load calculation.

The last subsystem of the program is the Electrical Availability Subsystem (EAS). In the EAS the generation of electrical power is calculated and, when combined with electrical load requirements, makes possible a battery state-of-change determination. Calculations are divided into battery charge and cischarge operation. During the battery discharge operation. During the state-of-charge operation of the battery and its side-of-charge operation of the battery and its side-of-charge operation of the battery as solar cells provide power. The energy available from the solar cells is calculated using a temperature model of the solar cells and the orientation of the orbit whicle.

The program output is in two parts: consumbles usage profiles and violations of mission constraints. The consumables profiles are tabulated ar regular time intervals. A graphic display is also provided to show depletion values clearly. In the process of making the commodities calculations, the program also summarizes problem areas smoountered. These outputs permit the mission analyst or systems engineer to take corrective action and to rerun a modified mission flight plan.

An example of the tabular output is shown in Figure 3. The time resolution is variable, but the finest time resolution is 0,1 hours as shown here. The columns indicate respectively: Mission Time in Ground Elaysed Time (GET), Vehicle Attitude, Oxygen in pounds, Nitrogen in pounds, Attitude Control System Impulse in pound-seconds, Power Load in watts for the AM and ATM, and Battery State-of-Charge in percent of the AM and ATM 2 x 2 and 2 x 6 cm cell panel configurations.

Figure 4 is a sample output of operational constraints violations during a SL-1/2 mission. Column 1 gives the start time of the violation in hours (SET) from SL-2 lift-off. Column 2 identifies the type of violation. Column 3 indicates the location in reference to the vehicle carriers or it identifies the activity in reference to an experiment. The last column gives additional comments which identify targets, indicate actual marginal ranges and required ranges of values; or specify extreme values reached.

#### CONCLUSIONS

A computer simulation of Skylab missions has been constructed to display resource usage and status. The original goals of a program with quick turnaround time and subsystems interface based on extensive engineering data have been achieved. Further, while the program has no self-corrective features, the output incompatibility statements assist the analyst in pin-pointing the type and occurrence time of problems.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The information presented in this paper resulted from the cooperative efforts of T. R. Heaton, G. E. Stone, S. A. Bullard, N. E. Baker, D. D. Ostrander, F. I. Tallentire, L. G. Meyer, R. H. Griner, C. E. Kulper, and D. A. Feige.

#### REFERENCES

(1) Howard, E. G., <u>et</u>. <u>al</u>., ED-2002-1181, Resource II Program: Consumable Usage Analysis Model, Final Report. Skylab Program, Payload Integration, Contract NAS 8-24000. Martin Marietta Corporation, Denver, Colorado. 15 September 1970.

### ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure 1. NASA, Skylab Vehicle Cluster. Figure 2. Commodities Program Logic. Figure 3. Consumables Usage Profiles. Figure 4. Violation of Resource and Operational Constraints.



Figure 1 NASA, Skylab Vehicle Cluster





MISSICN TIME (GET)	VEHICLE ATTITUDE	OXYGEN (LBS)	NITROGEN (LBS)	TACS IMPULSE (LB-SEC)	POWER LOAD (WATTS)		PATTERY SCC (PERCENT)		
					AM	ATM	AH	ATM 2×2	ATM 2X6
-24.0	X-IOF/Z	5611.0	1511.0	0.	0.	2138.4	100.0	100.0	100.0
-20.0	X-10P/2	5606.5	1511.0	2120.0	1067 2	1699 /	96.9	99.9	99.9
-16.0	X-10P/2	5535+6	1510.0	3453.5	1003.2	1652.4	99.8	99.8	99.8
-12.0	X=10P/2 X=105/2	5368.3	1509.4	5876.3	2063.2	1652.4	99.7	99.7	99.7
-0.0	X=10F/2 X=105/7	5366.4	1508.9	7055.7	2063.2	1652.4	99.6	99.6	99.6
-4+0	X-IOF/2	5364.5	1508-3	8259.6	2043-2	1667.8	99.4	çç.4	99.4
4.0	X=100/2=1 V	5362.6	1507.7	9791.4	2081.6	1652.4	77.6	82.4	82.5
8.5	X=TOP/2	5360.8	1507.1	11363.3	2063.2	1877.4	96.3	96.7	96.7
12.0	X=TOP/2	5358.9	1506.6	13431.9	2063.2	1652.4	98.8	98.8	98.8
16.0	X-TOP/7	5357.8	1506.0	15494.1	2093.2	1652.4	90+6	92.3	92.3
20.0	X-TOP/7	5355.1	1505.4	17521.3	2547.2	1793.3	98.5	98.5	98.5
24.0	X-TOP/7	5352.2	1504.8	19594.8	5210.4	2203.2	59.3	81.5	81.5
28.0	X-TOP/Z	5348.8	1504.1	21633.9	4022.0	2256.2	96.3	98.1	98.1
32.0	X-TOP/7	5345.7	1503.5	23661.2	4104.8	1978.2	81.6	94.7	95.2
36.0	X-10F/Z	5342.5	1502.8	25722.7	3861.4	2363.5	92+8	94.9	94.9
40.0	X-IOF/Z	5339.2	1502.1	27932.8	3721.4	2357.2	90.2	97.6	97.6
44.0	X-IOF/Z	5336.0	1501.4	29944.3	3938.9	2253.5	79.1	86.5	86.5
48.0	X-10P/Z	5332.8	1500.8	32127.7	4209.3	2357.2	91.0	97.2	97.2
52.0	X-IOP/Z	5329.5	1500.1	34566.1	5074.6	2203+2	63.3	80.5	80.5
56.0	X-ICP/Z	5326.4	1499.4	37109.6	4175.1	2416.5	96.9	96.9	96.9
60.0	X-IOP/2	5323.1	1498.8	39581.5	3860.4	1978.2	80.9	93.8	94+3
64.0	X-IOP/Z	5319.9	1498.1	41996.4	3645.4	2138.5	91.8	93.9	93.9
68.0	X-ICF/Z	5316.8	1497.4	44562.0	4185.2	221/02	00.1	90.0	95.0
72.0	X-IOP/Z	5313.6	1496.8	47070.3	4275.1	2318.2	74.9	05.0	96-1
76.0	X-IOF/Z	5310.4	1496.1	49622.5	4290.0	2240.0	54.5	70.6	79.6
80.0	X-IOP/Z	5307.3	1495.4	53444.5	4262.9	2788 5	95.6	95.6	95.6
84.0	X-IOP/Z	5304.0	1494.8	55625+1	3097.9	2132.2	78.4	91.4	92.3
3.88	X-10F/2	5300.8	1494.1	5/000+9	4252.0	2138-5	84.9	90.0	90.0
92.0	X-10972	5297+0	1493.4	67590 0	4213.3	2217.2	62.8	85+8	86.7
96.0	X-IOP/Z-LV	5294.4	1492.1	66010 0	4213+3	2203.2	58.7	84.0	84.0
100.0	X-10F/2	5291.3	1496+1	60805.6	4059.9	2246.6	94.7	94.7	94.7
104.0	X-10P/2	5200+1	1491.4	73048.2	3863.3	1978.2	65.3	78.4	78.4
112 0	X=10P/2	5281.7	1490-1	76508.6	3654.9	2363.5	94.4	94.4	94.4
116.0	Y=10F/7	5278.6	1489.4	79802.6	4232.7	2357.2	77.9	90.1	91.0
120.0	X=IOP/2	5275.5	1488.7	84768.4	4178.3	2253.5	69.0	88.6	88.6
124.0	X-ICP/7	5272.4	1488.1	87515.3	4045+1	2357.2	81.0	93.8	93.8
128.6	Y-TOP/7	5269.2	1487.4	90329.1	4104.9	2203.2	74.0	82.8	82.8
132.0	X-TOP/7	5266.0	1486.7	93263.0	3844.9	2191.5	93.5	93.5	93.5
136.0	X-IOP/Z	5262+8	1486.0	96232.2	3690.3	1978.2	64.3	77.2	77.2
140.0	X-10F/Z	5259.7	1485.4	99117.8	4010.8	2363.5	93.1	93.1	93 • 1
144.0	X-10F/2	5256+6	1484.7	103529.3	4623.7	2357.2	64.2	92.9	92.9
148.0	X-ICF/Z	5253.5	1484.0	106846.4	4059.2	2253.5	82.5	87.2	87.2
152.0	X-IOF/Z	5250.3	1483.4	110150.4	4031.7	2357.2	88.0	92.6	92.6
156.0	X-ICF/Z	5247.1	1482.7	113455.6	3844.9	2203.2	73.2	81.4	61.4
160.0	X-IOP/Z	5243.9	1482.0	116915.3	3823.3	2388.5	92.2	92.02	92.02
164.0	X-IOP/Z	5240.8	1481.4	120508.1	4773.1	1978.2	14.3	06.2	00.2
168.0	X-IOF/7	5237.7	1480.7	124464.4	4325.4	2138.5	02.0	91.9	91.9
172.0	X-IOP/Z	5234.6	1480.0	128260+6	4078.5	2211.2	43+0	27.01	27 01

TIME	VIOLATIONS	LOCATION/ ACITVITY	COMMENTS
76.7	TARGET RISE/SET REQUIREMENT	S190-1	THE TARGET REQUIRED BY THIS ACTIVITY IS SWE
\$5.3	TARGET RISE/SET REQUIREMENT	S190-1	THE TARGET REQUIRED BY THIS ACTIVITY IS SHE
118.6	TARGET RISE/SET REGUIREMENT	S190-1	THE TARGET REQUIRED BY THIS ACTIVITY IS SHE
141.9	TARGET RISE/SET REQUIREMENT	S190-1	THE TARGET REQUIRED BY THIS ACTIVITY IS SHE
165.2	TARGET RISE/SET REGUIREMENT	S190-1	THE TARGET REQUIRED BY THIS ACTIVITY IS SHE
170.1	AM BATTERY SCC DEPLETION	AM	BATTERY STATE OF CHARGE DECRESSEC EELCH THE MINIMUM ALLOWABLE Value of 30.00 percent from 170.1 to 170.4 Hours and Reached a Minimum Value of 21.62 percent
171.6	AM BATTERY SCC DEPLETION	AM	EATTERY STATE OF CHARGE DECRESSED BELOW THE FINIMUM ALLOWABLE Value of 30.00 percent from 171.6 TC 171.8 Hours and Reached a finimum value of 24.37 percent
188.6	TARGET RISE/SET REGUIREMENT	S190-1	THE TARGET REQUIRED BY THIS ACTIVITY IS SHE
188.6	TARGET RISE/SET RECUIREMENT	S190-1	THE TARGET REQUIRED BY THIS ACTIVITY IS SHE
255.2	PETA ANGLE REQUIREMENT	S019	PLTA HAD A RANGE OF 38.63 TO 38.65 DEGREES WHICH WAS NOT WITHIN THE REQUIRED RANGE OF -35.00 TO 35.00 DEGREES
259.9	BETA ANGLE REQUIREMENT	S019	BETA HAD A RANGE OF 39.16 TO 39.17 DEGREES WHICH WAS NOT WITHIN THE REQUIRED RANGE OF -35.00 TC 35.00 DEGREES
278.5	BETA ANGLE REQUIREMENT	S019	BETA HAD A RANGE OF 41.04 TO 41.06 DEGREES WHICH WAS NOT WITHIN THE REQUIRED RANGE OF -35.00 TO 35.00 DEGREES
278.5	NIGHT RECUIREMENT	S019	ACTIVITY WHICH WAS SCHEDULED FROM 278.48 TO 278.98 HOURS NOT IN NIGHT PERIOD OCCURING FROM 278.51 TC 279.05 HOURS
395.2	BETA ANGLE REQUIREMENT	S019	PETA HAD A RANGE OF 44.87 TO 44.87 DEGREES WHICH WAS NOT WITHIN THE REQUIRED RANGE OF -35.00 TO 35.00 DEGREES
443.4	BETA ANGLE REQUIREMENT	S019	BETA HAD A RANGE OF 41.97 TO 41.94 CEGREES WHICH WAS NOT WITHIN THE REQUIRED RANGE OF -35.00 TO 35.00 DEGREES
444.9	ELTA ANGLE REQUIREMENT	S019	BETA HAD A RANGE OF 41.84 TO 41.81 DEGREES WHICH WAS NOT WITHIN THE REQUIRED RANGE OF -35.00 TO 35.00 DEGREES
449.6	PETA ANGLE REQUIREMENT	S019	BETA HAD A RANGE OF 41.42 TO 41.39 DEGREES WHICH WAS NOT WITHIN THE REQUIRED RANGE OF -35.00 TO 35.00 DEGREES
460.7	OXYGEN DEPLETION LIMIT	AO	MINIKUM DEPLETION OF 4930.0 LBS EXCEDED AT 460.7 HOURS
468.3	PETA ANGLE REQUIREMENT	5019	BETA MAD A RANGE OF 39.44 TO 39.44 DEGREES WHICH WAS NOT WITHIN THE REQUIRED RANGE OF -35.00 TC 35.00 DEGREES

Figure 4 Violations of Resource and Operational Constraints

6-60