COMPLAINT

4

5

1

2

Parties, Jurisdiction and Venue

6

11

10

13 14

12

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

25 26

27

Plaintiff Brooke Parsekian is and at all relevant times mentioned was an individual 1. residing in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. Plaintiff suffered severe, significant and scarring injuries to her body and person while lawfully visiting the home of defendant Arnstein, and being suddenly and without provocation attacked, mauled and bitten by defendant Arnstein's 72 pound German Pointer dog, said dog having a history of viciously attacking and biting other residents living in the neighborhood of said defendant.

- 2. Defendant Michael Arnstein is and at all relevant times mentioned was an individual residing in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. Said defendant owned, controlled, resided in, and rented out through and with the assistance of his joint venturer defendant Airbnb the premises upon which defendant Arnstein housed a vicious 72 pound German Pointer dog (along with a second dog of similar breed and size). Said vicious dog was unrestrained and free roaming, and defendant Arnstein negligently permitted said dog to come in contact with and attack and injure plaintiff herein, with knowledge of said dog's history of attacking and biting innocent neighbors. Defendant Arnstein's German Pointer dog caused plaintiff's injuries and damages as alleged herein.
- 3. Defendant Airbnb, Inc. ("Airbnb") is and at all relevant times mentioned was a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and soliciting, advertising and doing business as an American online marketplace and hospitality service brokerage company in all of the counties of the State of California, including the County of Los Angeles. The negligent conduct by commission and omission of defendant Airbnb caused the damages suffered by plaintiff as alleged herein, and occurred upon the premises provided by defendant Airbnb, which was owned by defendant Arnstein, Airbnb's joint venturer/co-partner herein, and controlled by both said defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon

- 4. The true names and capacities of defendants DOES 1 through 200, inclusive, are presently unknown to plaintiff, who therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names.

 Plaintiff will seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to insert the true names and capacities of said fictitiously named defendants when the same have been ascertained.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the defendants designated herein as Doe is contractually, negligently, carelessly, recklessly, wantonly, vicariously, and otherwise tortiously, or legally responsible in some manner for the events and happenings hereinafter alleged, either through said defendants' conduct or through the conduct of its agents, servants, consultants, joint venturers, and employees, and each of them, or in some other manner.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all relevant times herein mentioned, each of the defendants was the agent, representative, principal, servant, employee, partner, alter ego, joint venturer, successor-in-interest, assistant, and/or consultant of each and every remaining defendant, and as such, was at all times acting within the course, scope, purpose and authority of said agency, partnership and/or employment, and with the express or implied knowledge, permission, authority, approval, ratification and consent of the remaining defendants, and each defendant as an agent, representative, principal, servant, employee, partner, alter ego, joint venturer, successor-in-interest, assistant, and/or consultant.
- 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over defendants herein, and venue is properly placed, for the following reasons, among others:
 - 7.1 Defendant Arnstein is and at all relevant times herein mentioned was a resident in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.

- 7.2 Defendant Airbnb is and at all relevant times herein mentioned was a California corporation doing business throughout the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 7.3 The incident and injuries that are the subject of this action occurred in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 8. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 7 inclusive of this Complaint as though fully set forth verbatim herein.
- 9. Defendants Arnstein and Airbnb entered into a joint venture and commercial enterprise agreement with one another establishing a community of interest in a common business undertaking, including an understanding as to sharing of profits and losses, and a right to control; to wit, an agreement whereby defendant Airbnb and/or defendant Arnstein would solicit, advertise, offer and provide a residential rental unit to the public, for both defendants' profit, share and share alike. Notwithstanding and in addition to the foregoing, said defendants entered into an ostensible partnership and/or ostensible agency whereby said defendants by and through their conduct induced a reasonable and prudent plaintiff, i.e., plaintiff herein, to believe that defendant Airbnb was and is defendant Arnstein's joint venturer/co-partner for whose acts defendant Airbnb also assumes responsibility.
- 10. On or about the afternoon of May 1, 2019, plaintiff arrived at defendant Arnstein's large single family residence at 812 Howard Street, Marina del Rey, Los Angeles County, California to visit her friend who had rented a room from, by, and through, defendant Arnstein and defendant Airbnb. It was the first day of plaintiff's residency for rent at the home and plaintiff was invited in by her friend to visit and assist in unpacking and setting up her friend's residence in one of the bedrooms therein. Parenthetically, plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendant Arnstein and defendant Airbnb also rented out several of the other bedrooms in

defendant Arnstein's home, all at the same time, and defendant Arnstein himself resided in the home with said renters, and with defendant Arnstein's over 72 pound German Pointer dog (along with a second dog of similar breed and size), unrestrained and roaming free. Defendant Arnstein had specific knowledge that his German Pointer dog was vicious and had a history of biting, having recently viciously attacked and bitten a nearby neighbor; yet, with wanton and reckless, indeed malicious disregard of said knowledge, defendant Arnstein allowed his vicious dog to roam free and unrestrained in the home along with his several Airbnb renters, and their invitees, including plaintiff herein.

Immediately upon being invited into defendant Arnstein's home on May 1, 2019, 11. defendant Arnstein's over 72 pound German Pointer dog viciously and aggressively assaulted plaintiff, without provocation, repeatedly snapping its teeth and jaws at plaintiff's face, attempting to kill plaintiff, biting plaintiff deeply in the arm as plaintiff shielded herself to protect her face, said dog causing serious bodily injury to plaintiff, including lacerations, profuse bleeding and trauma. Plaintiff, to save her life, was required to physically defend against defendant Arnstein's vicious German Pointer dog, with nothing but her vulnerable body of petite stature, suffering lacerations and scarring to her body to protect her face, and her life, ultimately fleeing out the front door to prevent further injury. Emergency 911 was called and the Los Angeles Fire Department Paramedics Unit arrived and treated plaintiff, then referred her immediately to Cedars-Sinai Marina del Rey Hospital. There, plaintiff received follow-up medical care and medication to heal and protect her wounds. As a result of the unprovoked attack upon plaintiff, plaintiff suffered severe injury, including scarring, and severe emotional distress and anxiety, which continues to the present day. As a further result of the attack, plaintiff was unable to continue at her employment; and ultimately, due to plaintiff's employment being in the high-stress business of financial marketplace sales, and stress management skills being required to perform her job effectively and successfully, plaintiff was not able to continue at her place of employment.

26

6

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 //

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 1 STRICT LIABILITY [DOG BITE] 2 CIVIL CODE §3342 3 (By Plaintiff Brooke Parsekian Against Defendant 4 Michael R. Arnstein and Does 1 Through 200) 5 12. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every 6 allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 11 inclusive of this Complaint as though fully set forth verbatim herein. 8 As alleged more fully herein above, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, 9 13. defendant Michael R. Arnstein was and is the owner of the over 72 pound German Pointer dog that 10 11 viciously and without provocation attacked plaintiff herein. As further alleged more fully herein above, said attack upon plaintiff by defendant 12 Arnstein's German Pointer dog occurred at defendant Arnstein's premises, which he owns and 13 controls, at 812 Howard Street, Marina del Rey, County of Los Angeles, while plaintiff was 14 15 lawfully in and upon defendant's Arnstein's premises. As further alleged more fully herein above, defendant Arnstein rented out his 16 15. 17 premises by, through, and with the assistance of his joint venturer/co-partner defendant Airbnb, while defendant Arnstein was living in residence along with his German Pointer dogs, and other 18 Airbnb renters therein. 19 As further alleged more fully herein above, defendant Arnstein had specific 20 16. knowledge that his German Pointer dog was vicious and had a history of biting, having recently 21 22 viciously attacked and bitten a nearby neighbor; yet, with wanton and reckless, indeed malicious disregard of said knowledge, defendant Arnstein allowed his vicious dog to roam free and 23 unrestrained in and upon his premises along with his several Airbnb renters, and their invitees, 24 25 including plaintiff herein. 26 27

1	17. Defendant Arnstein owed a statutory duty to plaintiff to take such reasonable steps			
2	as are necessary to remove any danger presented to plaintiff, and indeed other persons, from bites			
3	by defendant Arnstein's animal; to wit, defendant Arnstein's over 72 pound German Pointer dog.			
4	Defendant knew of said danger and with a willful, wonton and reckless, indeed malicious,			
5	disregard of said knowledge, utterly failed to meet and fulfill his statutory obligation under			
6	California Civil Code §§3342 and 3342.5. Defendant Arnstein is therefore strictly liable under			
7	said statutes for the injuries caused to plaintiff as alleged herein above.			
8	18. The aforesaid injuries as alleged herein above were occasioned by the intentional			
9	misconduct, reckless, willful and malicious disregard, carelessness, and negligence of defendant			
10	Arnstein herein without any negligence on the part of plaintiff contributing thereto.			
11	19. As a direct and proximate result of the intentional misconduct, omissions, reckless			
12	and willful and malicious disregard, carelessness and negligence of defendant Arnstein, as alleged			
13	herein, plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, the physical and emotional damages alleged			
14	herein above and incorporated by reference herein, and are entitled to general, special and			
15	exemplary damages the amount of which have not yet been fully ascertained but will be proven at			
16	the time of trial.			
17				
18	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION			
19	[STRICT LIABILITY [FAILURE TO REMOVE KNOWN DANGER]			
20	CIVIL CODE §3342.5			
21	(By Plaintiff Brooke Parsekian against Defendant			
22	Michael R. Arnstein and Does 1 Through 200)			
23	20. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every			
24	allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 19 inclusive of this Complaint as though fully set			
25	forth verbatim herein.			
26	///			
27	///			

- 21. As alleged more fully herein above, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, defendant Michael R. Arnstein was and is the owner of the over 72 pound German Pointer dog that viciously and without provocation attacked plaintiff herein.
- 22. As further alleged more fully herein above, said attack upon plaintiff by defendant Arnstein's German Pointer dog occurred at defendant Arnstein's premises, which he owns and controls, at 812 Howard Street, Marina del Rey, County of Los Angeles, while plaintiff was lawfully in and upon defendant's Arnstein's premises.
- 23. As further alleged more fully herein above, defendant Arnstein rented out his premises by, through, and with the assistance of his joint venturer/co-partner defendant Airbnb, while defendant Arnstein was living in residence along with his German Pointer dogs, and other Airbnb renters therein.
- 24. As further alleged more fully herein above, defendant Arnstein had specific knowledge that his German Pointer dog was vicious and had a history of biting, having recently viciously attacked and bitten a nearby neighbor; yet, with wanton and reckless, indeed malicious disregard of said knowledge, defendant Arnstein allowed his vicious dog to roam free and unrestrained in and upon his premises along with his several Airbnb renters, and their invitees, including plaintiff herein.
- 25. Defendant Arnstein owed a statutory duty to plaintiff to take such reasonable steps as are necessary to remove any danger presented to plaintiff, and indeed other persons, from bites by defendant Arnstein's animal; to wit, defendant Arnstein's over 72 pound German Pointer dog. Defendant knew of said danger and with a willful, wonton and reckless, indeed malicious, disregard of said knowledge, utterly failed to meet and fulfill his statutory obligation under California Civil Code §3342.5. Defendant Arnstein is therefore strictly liable under said statutes for the injuries caused to plaintiff as alleged herein above.
- 26. The aforesaid injuries as alleged herein above were occasioned by the intentional misconduct, reckless, willful and malicious disregard, carelessness, and negligence of defendant.

 Arnstein herein without any negligence on the part of plaintiff contributing thereto.

1	27. As a direct and proximate result of the intentional misconduct, omissions, reckless			
2	and willful and malicious disregard, carelessness and negligence of defendant Arnstein, as alleged			
3	herein, plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, the physical and emotional damages alleged			
4	herein above and incorporated by reference herein, and are entitled to general, special and			
5	exemplary damages the amount of which have not yet been fully ascertained but will be proven at			
6	the time of trial.			
7	28. In addition to the foregoing, by authority of California Civil Code §3342.5, plaintiff			
8	seeks a determination by the court whether conditions existing at the time of the vicious and			
9	injurious attack upon plaintiff have been changed so as to remove the danger to other persons			
0	presented by said animal; to wit, defendant Arnstein's over 72 pound German Pointer dog.			
1	Moreover, plaintiff seeks from the court an order the court deems appropriate to prevent the			
12	recurrence of such an incident, including but not limited to the removal of the animal from the area			
13	or destruction if necessary.			
14				
15	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION			
16	NEGLIGENCE PER SE			
17	(By Plaintiff Brooke Parsekian against Defendant			
8	Michael R. Arnstein and Does 1 Through 200))			
19	29. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every			
20	allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 28, inclusive, of this Complaint, as though fully set			
21	forth verbatim herein.			
22	30. As alleged more fully herein above, and at all relevant times mentioned herein,			
23	defendant Michael R. Arnstein was and is the owner of the over 72 pound German Pointer dog that			
24	viciously and without provocation attacked plaintiff herein.			
25				
26				
27	///			

- 31. As further alleged more fully herein above, said attack upon plaintiff by defendant Arnstein's German Pointer dog occurred at defendant Arnstein's premises, which he owns and controls, at 812 Howard Street, Marina del Rey, County of Los Angeles, while plaintiff was lawfully in and upon defendant's Arnstein's premises.
- 32. As further alleged more fully herein above, defendant Arnstein rented out his premises by, through, and with the assistance of his joint venturer/co-partner defendant Airbnb, while defendant Arnstein was living in residence along with his German Pointer dogs, and other Airbnb renters therein.
- 33. As further alleged more fully herein above, defendant Arnstein had specific knowledge that his German Pointer dog was vicious and had a history of biting, having recently viciously attacked and bitten a nearby neighbor; yet, with wanton and reckless, indeed malicious disregard of said knowledge, defendant Arnstein allowed his vicious dog to roam free and unrestrained in and upon his premises along with his several Airbnb renters, and their invitees, including plaintiff herein.
- 34. Defendant Arnstein owed a statutory duty to plaintiff to take such reasonable steps as are necessary to remove any danger presented to plaintiff, and indeed other persons, from bites by defendant Arnstein's animal; to wit, defendant Arnstein's over 72 pound German Pointer dog. Defendant knew of said danger and with a willful, wonton and reckless, indeed malicious, disregard of said knowledge, utterly failed to meet and fulfill his statutory obligations under California Civil Code §§3342 and 3342.5.
- 35. The attack upon plaintiff by defendant Arnstein's over 72 pound German Pointer dog with a history of biting other persons, resulting in plaintiff's injuries as alleged herein above, is specifically of a nature that California Civil Code §§3342 and 3342.5 were designed to prevent.
- 36. Plaintiff is among the class of persons for whose protection California Civil Code §§3342 and 3342.5 were adopted by the California Legislature. Defendant Arnstein is therefore liable for negligence per se under said statutes for the injuries caused to plaintiff as alleged herein above.

COMPLAINT

- 42. As further alleged more fully herein above, defendant Arnstein had specific knowledge that his German Pointer dog was vicious and had a history of biting, having recently viciously attacked and bitten a nearby neighbor; yet, with wanton and reckless, indeed malicious disregard of said knowledge, defendant Arnstein allowed his vicious dog to roam free and unrestrained in and upon his premises along with his several Airbnb renters, and their invitees, including plaintiff herein.
- 43. Defendants Arnstein and Airbnb entered into a joint venture and commercial enterprise agreement with one another establishing a community of interest in a common business undertaking, including an understanding as to sharing of profits and losses, and a right to control; to wit, an agreement whereby defendant Airbnb and/or defendant Arnstein would solicit, advertise, offer and provide a residential rental unit to the public, for both defendants' profit, share and share alike. Notwithstanding and in addition to the foregoing, said defendants entered into an ostensible partnership and/or ostensible agency whereby said defendants by and through their conduct induced a reasonable and prudent plaintiff, i.e., plaintiff herein, to believe that defendant Airbnb was and is defendant Arnstein's joint venturer/co-partner for whose acts defendant Airbnb also assumes responsibility.
- 44. As further alleged more fully herein above, defendant Arnstein rented out his premises by, through, and with the assistance of his joint venturer/co-partner defendant Airbnb, while defendant Arnstein was living in residence along with his German Pointer dogs, and other Airbnb renters therein. Both defendants had a duty of care, statutory and otherwise, to keep said premises safe from unreasonable risk and injury to persons, including vicious dog attacks as occurred against plaintiff, as more fully alleged herein above.
- 45. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendant Airbnb either knew or should have known that their joint venturer/co-partner defendant Arnstein housed on the Airbnb-offered premises a vicious German Pointer dog with a history of attacking and biting unsuspecting individuals and/or did nothing whatsoever to ascertain that important safety related information.

- 46. As further alleged more fully herein above, the negligent conduct by commission and omission of both defendants Airbnb and Arnstein caused the damages suffered by plaintiff as alleged herein, and occurred upon the premises provided by defendant Airbnb, which was owned by defendant Arnstein, Airbnb's joint venturer/co-partner herein, and controlled by both said defendants.
- 47. The aforesaid injuries as alleged herein above were occasioned wholly and solely by the reckless disregard, carelessness, and negligence of both defendant Arnstein and defendant Airbnb herein without any negligence on the part of plaintiff contributing thereto.
- 48. As a direct and proximate result of the misconduct, omissions, reckless disregard, carelessness and negligence of defendant Arnstein and defendant Airbnb, as alleged herein, plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, the physical and emotional damages alleged herein above and incorporated by reference herein, and are entitled to general and special damages the amount of which have not yet been fully ascertained but will be proven at the time of trial.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

[PREMISES LIABILITY]

(By Plaintiff Brooke Parsekian against Defendants

Michael R. Arnstein, Airbnb, Inc. and Does 1 Through 200))

- 49. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 48, inclusive, of this Complaint, as though fully set forth verbatim herein.
- 50. As alleged more fully herein above, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, defendant Michael R. Arnstein was and is the owner of the over 72 pound German Pointer dog that viciously and without provocation attacked plaintiff herein.
- 51. As further alleged more fully herein above, said attack upon plaintiff by defendant Arnstein's German Pointer dog occurred at defendant Arnstein's premises, which he owns, and which at all relevant times herein both defendant Arnstein and defendant Airbnb controlled and

operated, at 812 Howard Street, Marina del Rey, County of Los Angeles, while plaintiff was lawfully in and upon defendant's Arnstein's premises.

- 52. As further alleged more fully herein above, defendant Arnstein had specific knowledge that his German Pointer dog was vicious and had a history of biting, having recently viciously attacked and bitten a nearby neighbor; yet, with wanton and reckless, indeed malicious disregard of said knowledge, defendant Arnstein allowed his vicious dog to roam free and unrestrained in and upon his premises along with his several Airbnb renters, and their invitees, including plaintiff herein.
- 53. Defendants Arnstein and Airbnb entered into a joint venture and commercial enterprise agreement with one another establishing a community of interest in a common business undertaking, including an understanding as to sharing of profits and losses, and a right to control; to wit, an agreement whereby defendant Airbnb and/or defendant Arnstein would solicit, advertise, offer and provide a residential rental unit to the public, for both defendants' profit, share and share alike. Notwithstanding and in addition to the foregoing, said defendants entered into an ostensible partnership and/or ostensible agency whereby said defendants by and through their conduct induced a reasonable and prudent plaintiff, i.e., plaintiff herein, to believe that defendant Airbnb was and is defendant Arnstein's joint venturer/co-partner for whose acts defendant Airbnb also assumes responsibility.
- 54. As further alleged more fully herein above, defendant Arnstein rented out his premises by, through, and with the assistance of his joint venturer/co-partner defendant Airbnb, while defendant Arnstein was living in residence along with his German Pointer dogs, and other Airbnb renters therein. Both defendants had a duty of care, statutory and otherwise, to keep said premises safe from unreasonable risk and injury to persons, including vicious dog attacks as occurred against plaintiff, as more fully alleged herein above.
- 55. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendant Airbnb either knew or should have known that their joint venturer/co-partner defendant Arnstein housed on the Airbnb-offered premises a vicious German Pointer dog with a history of attacking and biting

27

forth verbatim herein.

allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 59, inclusive, of this Complaint, as though fully set

- 61. As alleged more fully herein above, and at all relevant times mentioned herein, defendant Michael R. Arnstein was and is the owner of the over 72 pound German Pointer dog that viciously and without provocation attacked plaintiff herein.
- 62. As further alleged more fully herein above, said attack upon plaintiff by defendant Arnstein's German Pointer dog occurred at defendant Arnstein's premises, which he owns, and which at all relevant times herein both defendant Arnstein and defendant Airbnb controlled and operated, at 812 Howard Street, Marina del Rey, County of Los Angeles, while plaintiff was lawfully in and upon defendant's Arnstein's premises.
- 63. As further alleged more fully herein above, defendant Arnstein had specific knowledge that his German Pointer dog was vicious and had a history of biting, having recently viciously attacked and bitten a nearby neighbor; yet, with wanton and reckless, indeed malicious disregard of said knowledge, defendant Arnstein allowed his vicious dog to roam free and unrestrained in and upon his premises along with his several Airbnb renters, and their invitees, including plaintiff herein.
- 64. Defendants Arnstein and Airbnb entered into a joint venture and commercial enterprise agreement with one another establishing a community of interest in a common business undertaking, including an understanding as to sharing of profits and losses, and a right to control; to wit, an agreement whereby defendant Airbnb and/or defendant Arnstein would solicit, advertise, offer and provide a residential rental unit to the public, for both defendants' profit, share and share alike. Notwithstanding and in addition to the foregoing, said defendants entered into an ostensible partnership and/or ostensible agency whereby said defendants by and through their conduct induced a reasonable and prudent plaintiff, i.e., plaintiff herein, to believe that defendant Airbnb was and is defendant Arnstein's joint venturer/co-partner for whose acts defendant Airbnb also assumes responsibility.
- 65. As further alleged more fully herein above, defendant Arnstein rented out his premises by, through, and with the assistance of his joint venturer/co-partner defendant Airbnb, while defendant Arnstein was living in residence along with his German Pointer dogs, and other

9

6

11

13

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Airbnb renters therein. Both defendants had a duty of care, statutory and otherwise, to keep said premises safe from unreasonable risk and injury to persons, including vicious dog attacks as occurred against plaintiff, as more fully alleged herein above.

- 66. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendant Airbnb either knew or should have known that their joint venturer/co-partner defendant Arnstein housed on the Airbnb-offered premises a vicious German Pointer dog with a history of attacking and biting unsuspecting individuals and/or did nothing whatsoever to ascertain that important safety related information.
- Defendant Arnstein and defendant Airbnb willfully and/or maliciously failed to 67. guard or warn against the dangerous condition and activity existing on said premises as alleged more fully herein above and incorporated herein.
- As further alleged more fully herein above, the negligent conduct by commission 68. and omission of both defendants Airbnb and Arnstein caused the damages suffered by plaintiff as alleged herein, and occurred upon the premises provided by defendant Airbnb, which was owned by defendant Arnstein, Airbnb's joint venturer/co-partner herein, and controlled by both said defendants.
- 69. The aforesaid injuries as alleged herein above were occasioned wholly and solely by the reckless and willful disregard, carelessness, and negligence of both defendant Arnstein and defendant Airbnb herein without any negligence on the part of plaintiff contributing thereto.
- 70. As a direct and proximate result of the misconduct, omissions, reckless and willful disregard, carelessness and negligence of defendant Arnstein and defendant Airbnb, as alleged herein, plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, the physical and emotional damages alleged herein above and incorporated by reference herein, and are entitled to general, special and exemplary damages the amount of which have not yet been fully ascertained but will be proven at the time of trial.

- 1					
1	71. Additionally, as a direct and proximate result of the misconduct, omissions, reckless				
2	and willful disregard, carelessness and negligence of defendant Arnstein and defendant Airbnb,				
3	plaintiff has incurred mental suffering, constituting an aggravation of plaintiff's damages including				
4	but not limited to nervousness, fright, grief, anxiety, worry, mortification shock, humiliation,				
5	indignity, embarrassment, apprehension, and terror as well as physical pain in an amount of which				
6	have not yet been fully ascertained but will be proven at the time of trial.				
7					
8	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION				
9	[MALICIOUS CONDUCT - PUNITIVE DAMAGES]				
10	(By Plaintiff Brooke Parsekian against Defendant				
11	Michael R. Arnstein and Does 1 Through 200))				
12	72. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by this reference each and every				
13	allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 71, inclusive, of this Complaint, as though fully set				
14	forth verbatim herein				
15	73. As a direct and proximate result of the intentional misconduct; intentional				
16	omissions; and, willful, reckless and wonton disregard by defendant Arnstein for plaintiff's safety				
17	for a known dangerous and life-threatening condition, as alleged herein above, defendant is liable				
18	for his malicious conduct as defined by California Civil Code §3294; and therefore, plaintiff				
19	should recover, in addition to actual damages, damages to make an example of and to punish				
20	defendant.				
21					
22	///				
23	///				
24					
25	///				
26	.///				
27					

1	WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for judgment against defendants, and each of them, as				
2	follows:				
3	ON THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION				
4	1.	For general damages according to proof at trial;			
5	2.	For special damages according to proof at trial;			
6	3.	For costs of suit herein; and			
7	4.	For such other further relief the Court deems just and proper.			
8	ON THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION				
9	1.	A determination by the court whether conditions existing at the time of the vicious			
10		and injurious attack upon plaintiff have been changed so as to remove the danger to			
11	other persons presented by said animal; to wit, defendant Arnstein's over 72 pound				
12		German Pointer dog.			
13	2.	An order the court deems appropriate to prevent the recurrence of such an incident,			
14		including but not limited to the removal of the animal from the area or destruction if			
15		necessary.			
16	ON THE THIRD, FOURTH, FIFTH AND SIXTH CAUSES OF ACTION				
17	1.	For general damages according to proof at trial;			
18	2.	For special damages according to proof at trial;			
19	3.	For costs of suit herein; and			
20	4.	For such other further relief the Court deems just and proper.			
21	ON THE FIRST, SECOND, THIRD, SIXTH AND SEVENTH CAUSES OF ACTION				
22	1.	In addition actual damages, damages to make an example of and to punish			
23		defendant Michael R. Arnstein.			
24	DATED: June 14, 2019 PARSEKIAN LAW CORPORATION				
25					
26		By: Thomas N. Parsekian			
27		Attorneys for Plaintiff			
28		19			
		COMPLAINT			