1 2 3 4 5 6	SEAN M. NOVAK, ESQ. (State Bar No. 198307) THE NOVAK LAW FIRM, P.C. 8383 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite #634 Beverly Hills, California 90211 Telephone: (323) 424-4313 Facsimile: (323) 424-4357 Attorneys for Plaintiff KAREN HERNANDEZ	County of Los Angeles MAY 18 2018 Sherri K. Saires, Decuive Officeric Lierk of Court Ry Clorierto Robinson	
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	TATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF	SAN FRANCISCO BC 7 0 6 6 4 8	
9	KAREN HERNANDEZ	CASE NO.:	
10	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	
11	v. }	1. PREMISES LIABILITY;	
12	AIRBNB, INC.; FELIPE PARRA; and DOES 1 to 99, inclusive,	1. PREMISES LIABILITY; 2. FRAUD/DECEIT/INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION; 2. PROCEEDINGS	
13 14	Defendants.	3. RECKLESS MISCONDUCT/GROSS NEGLIGENCE;	
15	}.	4. UNFAIR COMPETITION;5. NEGLIGENCE;	
16		6. BREACH OF CONTRACT 7. BREACH OF WARRANTY OF HABITABILITY	
17		MADITABILITY	
18		[DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY]	
19	·		
20			
21	·		
22	COMES NOW Plaintiff KAREN HERNANDEZ	and alleges as follows:	
23	1. At all relevant times Plaintiff KAREN HERNANDEZ was and is an		
24	individual who is a resident of the State of Californ	ia, living in the County of Los Angeles in the	
25	State of California.		
26	2. Plaintiff is informed and believes, ar	nd thereon alleges, that at all relevant times	
27	defendant AIRBNB, INC. (hereinafter referred	to as defendant "AIRBNB") was and is a	
28	California entity, business form unknown, authoriz	zed to conduct business, and conducting	
	PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAIN	T FOR DAMAGES	

05/18/2018

. CIT/CASE: BC706648

LEA/DEF#:

RECEIPT #: CCH505376063

DATE PAID: 05/18/18 12:25 PM

PAYMENT: \$435.00

310

RECEIVED:

CHECK: CASH:

\$435.00

CHANGE: CARD:

SC. 1 / 0 1/ 2019 TRIAL: 1 1 / 18 / 2019 OSC: 05/

- 3. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that defendant FELIPE PARRA (hereinafter referred to as defendant "PARRA") at all relevant times was and is an individual with a principal residence in the City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles, in the State of California.
- 4. The true names or capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of the Defendants designated herein as Does 1 to 99, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names, and Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint at such time as the true names and/or capacities are ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the Defendants designated herein as a Doe is negligently or otherwise responsible in some manner for the events and happenings referred to, and negligently caused the injuries and damages to Plaintiff as herein alleged.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times herein mentioned defendants AIRBNB, PARRA, and DOES 1 to 99, inclusive, and each of them, were in a joint business venture together, and acting as the agents, representatives and/or employees of each other and were acting within the purpose and scope of their agency. The acts and conduct alleged herein of each such defendant were known to, authorized and ratified by each such defendant. This included defendant PARRA knowingly entering into a joint venture with defendant AIRBNB to advertise the subject premises to consumers known to be within the State of California, including Plaintiff.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, each Defendant, whether known or unknown and whether sued by name or as a Doe defendant, was the agent, employee and/or employer of each of the remaining Defendants and was acting within the scope and course of said agency and employment.
 - 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times herein

2

3

4

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 9. On or about May 21, 2016 Plaintiff entered into a seven (7) day short-term rental agreement with defendants and each of them related to the subject premises. As a result, commencing on or about May 22, 2016, Plaintiff KAREN HERNANDEZ was lawfully upon the subject premises as a guest and invitee.
- 10. In advance of Plaintiff being a guest and invitee on defendant's premises, Plaintiff was marketed to, and solicited by, defendants to stay at the subject premises in its capacity as a "hotel" and/or short-term rental property.
- 11. During her tenancy on defendants' property Plaintiff was exposed to harmful and infectious parasites identified as Scabies that were present on defendants' property well in advance of Plaintiff residing in the property, and whose presence defendants knew or should have reasonably discovered prior to Plaintiff residing in the property. Plaintiff thereby sustained injuries to Plaintiff's person as a result of the exposure to Scabies by defendants.
- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all relevant times in or about May, 2016, defendants were solely responsible for inspection, maintenance and repair of the subject premises. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that defendants failed and refused to undertake competent inspection, maintenance and repair of the subject premises, causing Plaintiff's injuries and damages.
- 13. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that at all relevant times in or about May, 2016, defendants were knowingly and intentionally illegally marketing

for rent, and renting the subject premises as an un-permitted residential hotel, and/or
un-permitted short-term rental property, in violation of relevant building codes and ordinances
applicable to the City of Los Angeles.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(PREMISES LIABILITY)

(Alleged Against All Defendants)

14. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all the allegations set forth in
paragraphs 1 through 13, inclusive, in their entirety as if fully set forth herein and with the same

- paragraphs 1 through 13, inclusive, in their entirety as if fully set forth herein and with the same force and effect.

 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that since at all relevant
- 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that since at all relevant times defendants, and each of them, operated and maintained the subject premises as residential hotel they advertised as open to the public. As such, said defendants had a duty to maintain and repair said premises, in order to keep them in a safe manner, and free from hazards and dangers.
- 16. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the aforedescribed dangerous and defective conditions were caused and created by the defendants, and each of them, and their agents, representatives and employees, thereby giving said defendants actual notice to correct and make safe the subject premises. In spite of said notice, defendants never took action to correct or eliminate the dangerous and defective condition of the premises.
- 17. The afore-described condition of the subject premises were dangerous and defective in that the subject premises as designed, maintained, controlled and supervised by defendants represented and constituted a dangerous condition on premises and a hazard to persons on the premises. Furthermore, there were no warnings present to alert persons such as Plaintiff of the presence of the dangerous conditions.
- 18. Defendants further actively concealed building code violations, and non-habitable conditions of the premises from Plaintiff at all relevant times.
- 19. As a direct and proximate result of the afore-described carelessness and negligence of defendants, and each of them, in allowing the aforesaid dangerous and defective conditions to exist, and improperly controlling, inspecting, maintaining and designing the subject premises,

- 20. At all relevant times, defendants and each of them, owed a duty to Plaintiff, as an invitee on the premises, to maintain, inspect and repair the subject premises in a manner so as to make the premises safe for persons on the premises. Defendants, and each of them, further had a duty to warn Plaintiff of any dangers or hazards on the premises, and otherwise protect Plaintiff from such hazards and dangers. Defendants, and each of them, breached said duties by failing to protect Plaintiff, actively concealing dangerous conditions, and failing to provide reasonable and necessary warnings to Plaintiff.
- 21. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the misconduct of defendants, and each of them, is the proximate cause of all damages to Plaintiff herein alleged. The full nature and extent of Plaintiff's injuries and damages are not presently known, and Plaintiff shall seek leave to amend to include further and additional damages at a later time.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of the misconduct of the defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was seriously injured in health, strength and activity, sustaining injury to the body and shock and injury to the nervous system and person, all of which said injuries have caused, and continue to cause Plaintiff great physical, mental and nervous pain, suffering and anguish, all to Plaintiff's general damage in a sum in excess of the minimal jurisdictional requirements of this Court to be determined at some future date, according to law. Accordingly, Plaintiff will also seek prejudgment interest for all such damages.
- 23. As a further direct and proximate result of the misconduct of the defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was required to, and did, employ physicians, surgeons and other health care practitioners to examine, treat and care for Plaintiff, and did incur medical and incidental expenses. The exact amount of such expenses are unknown to Plaintiff at this time, and Plaintiff will ask leave to amend her Complaint to set forth the exact amount thereof when the same is ascertained.
- 24. As a further direct and proximate result of the misconduct of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff sustained loss of earnings and earning capacity. The exact amount of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

such loss is unknown to Plaintiff at this time, and Plaintiff will ask leave to amend this Complaint to set forth the exact amount thereof when the same is ascertained.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(FRAUD/DECEIT/INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATIONS)

(Alleged Against Defendants)

- 25. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 24, inclusive, in their entirety as if fully set forth herein and with the same force and effect.
- 26. At all relevant times in advance of the subject incident, defendants and each of them, advertised the subject premises to members of the public, including Plaintiff, as a "residential hotel" or otherwise temporary lodging for rent.
- 27. At all relevant times, on May 21, 2016, Defendants and each of them intentionally and knowingly misrepresented material facts to Plaintiff, with the intent to deceive and/or defraud Plaintiff, which Plaintiff justifiably relied upon at all relevant times, including but not limited to the following:
 - Defendants, by and through their authorized employees/agents, including A. defendant PARRA, intentionally misrepresented to Plaintiff that he would be provided a residential space for short-term rental that was safe and habitable;
 - В. Defendants, by and through their authorized employees/agents, including defendant PARRA, intentionally misrepresented to Plaintiff that the subject premises complied with all applicable building codes and ordinances;
 - C. Defendants, by and through their authorized employees/agents, including defendant PARRA intentionally misrepresented to Plaintiff that the premises provided for rent had been inspected and was free of defects:

- D. That the defendants were lawfully entitled to rent the subject premises for temporary purposes to guests and invitees that they solicited, including Plaintiff;
- E. Such other false/fraudulent statements and misrepresentations by defendants as not presently known by Plaintiff at this time, but subject to discovery.
- 28. Plaintiff was unaware of the falsity of defendants' misrepresentations at all relevant times.
- 29. Plaintiff reasonably relied on those misrepresentations and if Plaintiff had known that those representations were false, Plaintiff would not have agreed to engage in a short-term rental agreement with defendants.
- 30. Furthermore, at all relevant times in advance of Plaintiff entering into agreement to stay at defendants' premises, defendants were aware that the property was not fit for human habitation.
- 31. In spite of this direct knowledge, defendants conspired and contrived to circumvent the applicable ordinances, laws and regulations, and knowingly concealed from members of the public, including Plaintiff, that the subject property was unfit for habitation.
- 32. Plaintiff was unaware of the dangerous conditions of the subject property at all relevant times.
- 33. Plaintiff would NOT have agreed to enter into a short-term rental with defendants if Plaintiff had known of the dangerous and uninhabitable condition of the property in advance.
- 34. Defendants made those aforementioned misrepresentations to Plaintiff for the purpose of inducing Plaintiff, and others, to pay defendants money for what was in actuality an uninhabitable property.
- 35. As a result of Plaintiff's reliance on defendants' misrepresentations, Plaintiff has suffered extensive economic, emotional, and severe and permanent physical damage.

- 36. At such time that said intentional misrepresentations were made to Plaintiff by defendants, said misrepresentations were made for the express purpose of both deceiving Plaintiff for the personal benefit of all defendants as alleged herein.
- 37. At the time defendants, and each of them, made such statements to Plaintiff, said statements were intentionally misleading and untruthful misrepresentations.
- 38. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was unaware of the falsity of the misrepresentations made to him by defendants, and each of them, and instead relied on the misrepresentations made to him by defendants, and each of them, ultimately to his detriment and injury.
- 39. At the time Defendants, and each of them, made all of the above-referenced intentional fraudulent and deceitful misrepresentations to the public, including Plaintiff, said defendants knew said misrepresentations to be false. Said actions were performed with the sole intent of deceiving members of the public, including Plaintiff. Defendants continue to make the same or similar misrepresentations to the public for financial gain and/or profit.
- 40. Furthermore, at all relevant times, Defendants by and through their executives, managers, corporate officers, employees, agents and/or representatives were expressly aware and knew of the false and fraudulent misrepresentations they were disseminating to the public, including Plaintiff. Defendants by and through their executives, managers, corporate officers, employees, agents and/or representatives, made said knowingly false and fraudulent misrepresentations for the sole purpose of defrauding the Plaintiff, and other members of the general public, by inducing them to participate in defendants' known unsafe premises, all to the profit and financial gain of Defendants, and each of them.
- 41. Defendants also intentionally sought to deprive, and deprived, Plaintiff of his legal rights and rights to property, and induced the Plaintiff to act in detrimental reliance on these misrepresentations in the manner hereinafter alleged, or with the expectation that Plaintiff would so act. Plaintiff relied on the express fraudulent misrepresentations of fact by Defendants, and each of them, to his detriment and injury. The misrepresentations by Defendants have also caused Plaintiff to delay prosecution of his legal rights under the instant action, all to the detriment of Plaintiff.

.15

- 42. As a direct result of the fraudulent and wrongful conduct of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has and will be forced to incur costs and expenses for prosecuting the present action, expert witness fees and attorney fees all in an amount not yet fully ascertained, but to be shown according to proof at trial.
- 43. The aforementioned misconduct of Defendants, and each of them, constituted intentional misrepresentations, deceit and/or concealment of material facts known to the Defendants, and each of them, thereby depriving Plaintiff of property and legal rights, and otherwise causing his physical injury.
- 44. As a direct result of the aforementioned misconduct of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has directly sustained damages in the form of physical injury and other damages. The full nature and extent of Plaintiff's injuries and damages are not presently known and Plaintiff requests leave to amend this Complaint at a later date to reflect the full nature and extent of his injuries and damages once determined. Plaintiff has further suffered damages relating to emotional distress suffered due to defendants' intentional misconduct.
- 45. The fraudulent, deceitful, intentional, callous, willful, wanton and oppressive acts of defendants, and each of them, as set forth herein-above, are sufficient to warrant the imposition of punitive and exemplary damages against said defendants in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example of them. The exact amount of such damages are presently unknown to Plaintiff, but will be subject to proof at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(RECKLESS MISCONDUCT/GROSS NEGLIGENCE

(Civil Code §1714 et seq.))

(Alleged Against All Defendants)

- 46. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 45, inclusive, as if fully set forth herein and with the same force and effect.
- 47. At all relevant times, defendants, and their agents/employees, had an obligation to use utmost care to ensure the safety and security of guests and invitees on their residential "hotel" and/or short-term rental property, including Plaintiff.

- 48. Plaintiff alleges that Plaintiff was further injured due to reckless, intentional and extremely careless acts or omissions by Defendants and/or by an agent, joint venturer, partner, representative or employee of defendants, and each of them.
- 49. Plaintiff alleges that defendants, and each of them, are liable to Plaintiff for engaging in willful misconduct that was reckless and extremely careless, and with intention to perform acts that defendants knew, or should know, would very probably cause harm to Plaintiff and others. Such misconduct, includes but is not limited to, the following:
 - A. Knowingly entering into short-term rental agreements for the subject premises when defendants knew that the subject premises contained unsafe conditions;
 - B. Actively concealing from the public, including Plaintiff, that the subject premises contained unsafe conditions;
 - C. Failing to intervene to prevent injuries and harm to Plaintiff when it had become reasonably apparent that Plaintiff would be injured by the recklessness of defendants' agents/employees, in spite of the opportunity to intervene;
 - D. Failing to install appropriate fail-safe mechanisms and/or safety features to prevent incidents such as occurred with Plaintiff;
 - E. Such other misconduct as not presently known, but subject to discovery.
- 50. Furthermore, at all relevant times defendants, and each of them, marketed, advertised and made available to the public the subject premises, and intentionally misrepresented said premises to be safe for all guests and invitees.
- 51. Defendants, and each of them, further concealed or failed to warn the public, including Plaintiff, that the subject premises were unsafe due to reckless and careless agents/employees of defendants, and that guests and invitees faced a substantial risk of suffering severe and permanent injuries as a result.
- 52. At all relevant times, Defendants and each of them, owed a duty to Plaintiff as a consumer to warn Plaintiff that said premises were dangerous, unsafe and unfit for use under any

circumstances. Therefore, Defendants, and each of them, breached their duty by failing to provide reasonable and necessary warnings to Plaintiff, and all members of the public.

- 53. At all relevant times, defendants, and each of them, owed a duty to Plaintiff to provide Plaintiff with a safe environment, warn Plaintiff of known dangers, and prevent such injuries from occurring.
- 54. Defendants, and each of them, breached said duty by engaging in the misconduct herein alleged.
- 55. Defendants' misconduct as alleged herein evidenced clear intentional and reckless disregard of and for the physical safety of others, including a reckless disregard for the physical safety of the Plaintiff. Said reckless disregard of the health and safety of Plaintiff by defendants created a substantially heightened risk of injury and damage to Plaintiff, and did in fact result in injuries and damages to Plaintiff. Defendants intentionally performs such acts in spite of the knowledge that their misconduct was so unreasonable and dangerous that they knew or should know it was highly probable that harm would result to Plaintiff and others.
- 56. As a direct and proximate result of the afore-described intentional misconduct, carelessness and reckless misconduct of the defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff sustained the heretofore and hereinafter described injuries and damages.
- 57. As a direct and proximate result of the reckless misconduct of the defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was seriously injured in health, strength and activity, sustaining injury to the body and shock and injury to the nervous system and person, all of which said injuries have caused, and continue to cause Plaintiff great physical, mental and nervous pain, suffering and anguish, all to Plaintiff's general damage in a sum in excess of the minimal jurisdictional requirements of this Court to be determined at some future date, according to law. Accordingly, Plaintiff will also seek prejudgment interest for all such damages.
- 58. As a further direct and proximate result of the reckless misconduct of the defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was required to, and did, employ physicians, surgeons and other health care practitioners to examine, treat and care for them, and did incur medical and incidental expenses. The exact amount of such expenses are unknown to Plaintiff at this time,

and Plaintiff will ask leave to amend this Complaint to set forth the exact amount thereof when the same is ascertained.

59. As a further direct and proximate result of the reckless misconduct of the defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff sustained loss of earnings and earning capacity. The exact amount of such loss is unknown to Plaintiff at this time, and Plaintiff will ask leave to amend this Complaint to set forth the exact amount thereof when the same is ascertained.

60. The reckless, careless, callous, and oppressive acts of defendants, and each of them, as set forth herein-above, are sufficient to warrant the imposition of punitive and exemplary damages against said defendants in an amount sufficient to punish and make an example of them. The exact amount of such damages are presently unknown to Plaintiff, but will be subject to proof at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(UNFAIR COMPETITION)

[Violation of Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq.]

(Alleged by Plaintiff against all Defendants)

- 61. Plaintiff incorporates, by this reference, each of the foregoing allegations as though set forth at this point.
- 62. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that, at all relevant times, Defendants regularly, willfully, and intentionally violated the law by advertising and operating illegal "hotels" and/or short-term rental properties that do not comply with applicable California Health and Safety Code provisions.
- 63. Defendants' willful violations of the law, were unfair, unlawful, and/or fraudulent and thus constitute unlawful business practices prohibited by Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq. By means of these practices, defendants have gained an unfair competitive advantage with respect to other competing companies in California, and throughout the United States, which adhered to lawful norms of business conduct.

- 64. An business that practices shady practices has an unfair competitive advantage over businesses who comply with the law. Further, the UCL's remedies are cumulative to other remedies available to Plaintiff, pursuant to Business and Professions Code §17205.
- 65. The victims of these unfair, fraudulent, and/or illegal business practices include, but are not limited to, Plaintiff and competing companies in the State of California. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that defendants performed the above-mentioned acts with the intent of gaining an unfair competitive advantage and, in doing so, directly, proximately, and foreseeably injured Plaintiff and other competing companies.
- 66. Defendants' violations of the law constitute continuing and ongoing unlawful activities prohibited by Business and Professions Code §17000 et.seq., and justify the issuance of an injunction and all remedies pursuant to Business and Professions Code §17205.
- 67. Pursuant to Business Professions Code §17203, Plaintiff is entitled an order of restitution, commanding defendants to disgorge to Plaintiff all money and property acquired by means of these unlawful practices.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(NEGLIGENCE)

(Alleged Against All Defendants)

- 68. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 67, inclusive, in their entirety as if fully set forth herein and with the same force and effect.
- 69. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges, that she was furthered injured due to negligent acts or omissions by agents, representatives or employees of defendants, and each of them.
- 70. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and hereon alleges, that at all relevant times defendants, and each of them, owed Plaintiff a legal duty and/or duties. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that defendants, and each of them, breached said duty and/or duties.
- 71. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of the defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was seriously injured in health, strength and activity, sustaining injury to the 13

body and shock and injury to the nervous system and person, all of which said injuries have caused, and continue to cause Plaintiff great physical, mental and nervous pain, suffering and anguish, all to Plaintiff's general damage in a sum in excess of the minimal jurisdictional requirements of this Court to be determined at some future date, according to law. Accordingly, Plaintiff will also seek prejudgment interest for all such damages.

72. As a further direct and proximate result of the negligence of the defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was required to, and did, employ physicians, surgeons and other health care practitioners to examine, treat and care for Plaintiff, and did incur medical and incidental expenses. The exact amount of such expenses are unknown to Plaintiff at this time, and Plaintiff will ask leave to amend Plaintiff's Complaint to set forth the exact amount thereof when the same is ascertained.

73. As a further direct and proximate result of the negligence of the defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff sustained loss of earnings and earning capacity. The exact amount of such loss is unknown to Plaintiff at this time, and Plaintiff will ask leave to amend Plaintiff's Complaint to set forth the exact amount thereof when the same is ascertained.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION BREACH OF CONTRACT

(Alleged Against All Defendants)

- 74. Plaintiffs incorporate herein by reference all the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 73, inclusive, in their entirety as if fully set forth herein and with the same force and effect.
- 75. In or about May, 2016, defendants and each of them, advertised to the public in the State of California, including Plaintiff, that the subject premises was available for short-term rental.
- 76. On or about May 21, 2016, Plaintiff entered into a contract with defendants, and each of them, which provided, *inter alia*, for the short-term rental of the subject premises. Plaintiffs incorporates by reference all of the specific language of this contract and alleges them in haec verba.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

77. As part of the essential terms of the contract between Plaintiff and defendants, these defendants agreed to provide the subject premises to Plaintiff in safe, good and habitable condition. 78. Defendants, and each of them materially breached express terms of the contract with Plaintiff by failing to provide Plaintiff with good, safe and habitable premises. 79. At all times mentioned herein, the afore-described written contracts were in full force and effect. 80. At all times mentioned herein, Plaintiff had performed all of her obligations pursuant to the terms of said written contracts. 10 81. Defendants' misconduct, and their intentional efforts to deceive Plaintiff constitutes a violation of the terms and conditions of said contract. Defendants, and each of them, by their acts and omissions, knowingly and intentionally breached the terms of said written 12 13 contracts. 14 82. As a direct result of defendants' wrongful breach of the said written contracts, as alleged herein, there is now due and owing from Defendants, and each of them, the 15 full amount of Plaintiff's losses, subject to proof at trial, and legal interest on the principal amount 16 for the period commencing in May 22, 2016 and continuing up through the present time. 17

pursuant to the written contracts between the parties.

83. As a further direct and proximate result of the wrongful breach of the said written contracts by defendants, and each of them, as alleged herein, Plaintiff has incurred costs and expenses for prosecution of the present action, expert witness fees, attorney fees, and costs and expenses in prosecuting the present action, all in an amount not yet fully ascertained, but to be shown according to proof at trial. Said costs and fees are expressly recoverable by Plaintiff

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF HABITABILITY

(Violation of California Civil Code § 1941.1 et seq.)

(Alleged Against All Defendants)

- 84. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation in paragraphs 1 through 83, inclusive, of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 85. Defendants and Plaintiff entered into a valid Rental Agreement, setting forth terms surrounding the short-term lease of the Subject Property to Plaintiff by Defendants.
- 86. Implied in the rental agreement between Plaintiff and Defendants is a warranty that the premises are and will be maintained in habitable condition.
- 87. Throughout Plaintiff's short-term tenancy, pursuant to California Civil Code § 1941.1, Defendants violated each of the specific subdivisions, as follows:
 - a. Failing to provide effective waterproofing and weather protection for the roof and exterior walls;
 - b. Failing to provide proper functioning and sealed windows and doors;
 - c. Failing to provide plumbing conditions in good working order;
 - d. Failing to provide heating facilities in good working order;
 - e. Failing to provide gas facilities in good working order;
 - f. Failing to provide electric system in good working order;
 - g. Failing to provide clean and sanitary buildings, grounds, and appurtenances free from debris, filth, rubbish, garbage, rodents and vermin;
 - h. Failing to provide adequate trash receptacles in good repair;
 - i. Failing to provide floors, stairways and railings in good repair;
 - j. Failing to provide a working toilet, wash basin, and tub/shower;
 - k. Failing to provide operable dead bolt locks to main entry doors for the units;
 - 1. Failing to provide working smoke detectors;
 - m. Failing to provide working carbon monoxide detectors;

- 88. As alleged herein, Defendants have breached the implied warranty of habitability by renting, operating and maintaining the subject property in an untenantable condition as set forth above and defined by, but not limited to, California Civil Code § 1941.1 et seq. and California Health and Safety Code §17920.3.
- 89. Defendants and/or Defendants' agents collected rent from Plaintiff throughout the period during which the substandard conditions listed above has existed.
 - 90. These substandard conditions were not caused by acts or omissions of Plaintiff.
- 91. Upon discovery of the substantial defects, Plaintiff notified Defendants to correct, abate and fix these problems.
- 92. Defendants have outright refused to perform repairs and remediation, and substantial defects continued to exist which created serious hazards to Plaintiff.
- 93. The Premises and common areas of the Subject Property leased to Plaintiff substantially lacked cleanliness, sanitation, and were not free from accumulations of debris, filth, rubbish, garbage, toxic mold, parasites, insects and vermin. The Premises were uninhabitable, in breach of the implied warranty of habitability.
- 94. Defendants breached the statutory duties of due care by failing to correct the substandard conditions complained of herein and by failing to supervise their agents and employees who operate and maintain the building. Defendants knew, or reasonably should have known, that Plaintiff would be injured as a result of this breach of the statutory duties of due care.
- 95. As a result of Defendants' rendering of the subject property as uninhabitable, Plaintiff has suffered damages, including but not limited to, paid rents, relocation benefits pursuant to Cal Health and Safety Code Section 17980.7(d)(3).
- 96. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer mental stress, emotional distress, anxiety, annoyance and discomfort, fear of safety and/or physical pain and injury, in an amount to be proved at trial, but in excess of \$25,000.

97. As a further proximate result of Defendants' violation of statutes, Plaintiff has incurred and will continue to incur medical and related expenses, in an amount to be proved at trial, but in excess of \$25,000.

98. Plaintiff will also seek and are entitled to recover attorney's fees to secure an important right affecting the public interest in connection with this cause of action under the private attorney general doctrine (Civil Code § 1021.5) because any judgment or settlement with the Defendants confers a significant benefit upon a large class of persons: the homeowners and tenants who live in the area around the Subject Property, and individual claimants would be financially burdened unless reasonable attorney fees are forthcoming in this case. The fundamental objective of the private attorney general doctrine of attorney fees is "to encourage suits effectuating a strong [public] policy by awarding substantial attorney's fees . . . to those who successfully bring [or oppose] such suits and thereby bring about benefits to a broad class of citizens." (Woodland Hills Residents Assn., Inc. v. City Council (1979) 23 Cal.3d 917, 933.)

- 99. Plaintiff will seek leave of this court to fully itemize Plaintiff's attorney fees when such become fully known after Plaintiff become the "prevailing party" in this litigation.
- 100. Defendants' actions and omissions were intentional, malicious, fraudulent and oppressive because they were done with a conscious disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff, as well as other tenants subject to the same or similar uninhabitable conditions.
- 101. At all relevant times, those in the management department were acting as management and supervisorial employees of the Defendants and Does 1-50, inclusive. In doing the acts and things described in this Complaint, each of them, were acting both within the course and scope of managerial authority for the corporate Defendants.
- 102. Moreover, each of them individually exercised broad and substantial discretionary authority over significant aspects of the business and ultimately determined corporate policy in crucial aspects of Defendants' business practices.
- 103. Such conduct was taken by an officer or managing agent(s) of the Defendant and Does 1-50, or alternatively, said Defendants authorized, ratified or approved the conduct of these officers or managing agents of the Defendants. These unlawful acts were further ratified by said

		CM-010			
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, Stelle Bei Sean M. Novak, Esq. (State Bar No. 1983)	number, and address): 7)	FOR COURT USE ONLY			
THE NOVAK LAW FIRM, P.C.		FILED			
8383 Wilshire Boulevard, Ste. 634					
Beverly Hills, California 90211	(222) 424 4257	County of Los Angeles			
TELEPHONE NO.: (323) 424-4313 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff KAREN HI	FAXNO: (323) 424-4357	1 ,475,66			
		MAY 18 2018			
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF L	OS ANGELES	"" ' 0 2018			
STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. Hill St.	J.	herri K			
MAILING ADDRESS:	Ę	By Court			
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA					
BRANCH NAME: Central District		Deputy			
CASE NAME:		·			
KAREN HERNANDEZ v. AIRBNI	3, INC. et al.	DC 7 A G G A S			
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER BC 7 0 6 6 4 8			
Unlimited Limited	<u> </u>	· ·			
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder				
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defen	idant JUDGE:			
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)) DEPT:			
Items 1–6 be	low must be completed (see instructions	on page 2).			
1. Check one box below for the case type that					
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation			
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)			
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)			
					
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)			
1 🗂 ' ' '	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)			
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)			
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)			
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/inverse	Insurance coverage claims arising from the			
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	above listed provisionally complex case			
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)			
Business tort/unfair business practice (07	7) Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment			
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)			
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint			
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)				
 	` ` '	RICO (27)			
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)			
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition			
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)			
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)			
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	_ ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `			
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)				
2. This case is is is not com	plex under rule 3.400 of the California R	tules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the			
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana		Ç,			
a. Large number of separately repre	esented parties d. Large number	er of witnesses			
b. Extensive motion practice raising		with related actions pending in one or more courts			
issues that will be time-consumin		nties, states, or countries, or in a federal court			
r					
c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence f. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision					
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a	. monetary b. nonmonetary:	declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive			
- ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '					
4. Number of causes of action (specify): Seven (7) Incl. Breach of Warranty of Habitability _5. This case ☐ is ✓ is not a class action suit.					
- 9		may use form CM 045 \			
5. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)					
Date: May 17, 2018					
Sean M. Novak					
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)					
NOTICE					
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed					
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result					
in sanctions.					
• File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.					
If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.					
other parties to the action or proceeding. • Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 or 2					
Unless this is a collections case under rul	e 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sh	neet will be used for statistical purposes only			

HERNANDEZ v. AIRBNB, INC. et al.	CASE NUMBER	۳ پا
	FOR COURT USE ONLY	86
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)		ı

Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:

JURY TRIAL? YES CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 5-7 HOURS! DAYS Item II. Select the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps - If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):

This form is required pursuant to LASC Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet Form, find the main civil case cover sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.

Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.

Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Los Angeles Superior Court Local Rule 2.0.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

- Class Actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Central District.
 May be filed in Central (Other county, or no Bodily Injury/Property Damage).
 Location where cause of action arose.
 Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.
 Location where performance required or defendant resides.

- 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.
 7. Location where petitioner resides.
 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.
 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Auto (22)	□ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
	Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
	Asbestos (04)	□ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage □ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
	Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
	Medical Malpractice (45)	□ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4.
	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	□ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodlly Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress ☑ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 4.

Auto Tort

SHORT TITLE: HERNANDEZ v. AIRBNB, INC. et al.

CASE NUMBER

Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort

Employment

ontract

roperty

왕국원 Z / 중국 / 독원 Unlawful Detainer

A . Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 2., 3.
Civil Rights (08)	□ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
Defamation (13)	□ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
	☐ A6017 Legal Malpractice	1., 2., 3.
Professional Negligence (25)	☐ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3.
Other (35)	□ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Other Employment (15)	☐ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case	1., 2., 3.
	☐ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	10.
	A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)	2., 5.
Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06)	A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)	2., 5.
(not insurance)	☐ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)	1., 2., 5.
	☐ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	1., 2., 5.
Oallantlana (OO)	☐ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff	2., 5., 6.
Collections (09)	☐ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5.
Insurance Coverage (18)	□ A6015 insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
	☐ A6009 Contractual Fraud	1., 2., 3., 5.
Other Contract (37)	□ A6031 Tortious Interference	1., 2., 3., 5.
	☐ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 8.
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	□ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
	☐ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure	2., 6.
Other Real Property (26)	□ A6032 Quiet Title	2., 6.
	☐ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	□ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Foreclosure (34)	□ A6020FUnlawful Detainer-Foreclosure	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	☐ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.

SHORT	TITLE:	

HERNANDEZ v. AIRBNB, INC. et al.

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfelture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
riew	Petitlon re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
Judicial Review	Writ of Mandate (02)	□ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus □ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2., 8.
Judi	Will of Mandate (02)	□ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	□ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
ion	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	□ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
Litigat	Construction Defect (10)	□ A6007 Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	□ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
S (1	Securities Litigation (28)	□ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
/isiona	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	□ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Pro	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	□ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	□ A6141 Sister State Judgment □ A6160 Abstract of Judgment □ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) □ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) □ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax □ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9.
s	RICO (27)	□ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	 □ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only □ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) □ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) □ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) 	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	□ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	□ A6121 Civil Harassment □ A6123 Workplace Harassment □ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case □ A6190 Election Contest □ A6110 Petition for Change of Name □ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law □ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.
			1 '

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
HERNANDEZ v. AIRBNB, INC. et al.	·
	L Company of the Comp

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

REASON: CHECK THE NUMBER UNDER COLUMN C WHICH APPLIES IN THIS CASE			ADDRESS: 810 S. Flower St,
□1. ☑2. ☑3. ☑4. □5. ☑6. □7. ☑8. □9. □10.			·
CITY: STATE: ZIP CODE: LOS Angeles CA 90017			
tem IV. Declaration of Assignment. I declare under penalty of periupy under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true			

Item IV. Declaration of Assignment: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the Los Angeles courthouse in the Central

District of the Los Angeles Superior Court [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and LASC Local Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)].

Dated: 02/17/2017

(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet form CM-010.
- Complete Addendum to Civil Case Cover Sheet form LASC Approved CIV 109 (Rev. 01/07).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form FL-935, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age, or if required by Court.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.