Fordham Law School

FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History

Correspondence International

9-20-1984

Letter from an American Supporter in Norway to Geraldine Ferraro

Geraldine Ferraro

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/ vice_presidential_campaign_correspondence_1984_international



Part of the <u>Law Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Ferraro, Geraldine, "Letter from an American Supporter in Norway to Geraldine Ferraro" (1984). International. 126. https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/vice_presidential_campaign_correspondence_1984_international/126

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Correspondence at FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in International by an authorized administrator of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact tmelnick@law.fordham.edu.

RECEIVED

(MASSADEM.) OCT

OCT 01 1984

OSLO 1 NORWAY Tooking at things from a general sts viewastingtonioseem to see things it would take a specialist to demonstrate. examples: britain seduced argentina into invading the falklands, and this was a good thing. there was no rush to take rome after anzio, for good reasons. there was no need to drive the nazis entirely out of north africa after alamein (better to have the americans practice on them there and also keep hitler stretched) (resembles the intercepted roosevelt-churchill telegram that encouraged hitler to leave armies in italy). see, this british general says on tv he should taken tripoli even the churchill took away much of his army (sent to greece), but 'as any fool k'n plainly see' that assumes it would have been a good thing to drive hitler out of north africa at that time; an assumption more obvious than valid. so, while we wonder about the sinking of the belgrano, let's not overlook the question: what was british policy toward argentina and the falklands over the long term? was that policy constructive? was the effect desirable?

as to the formulation and execution of british policy as a process with disquieting implications, that is quite another matter, and as a United States citizen i am concerned, due to the heavy influence London has upon Washington.

we cannot know now which of the crises forming the arch of our entrance into the twenty-first century will be the keystone; but it may well turn out to have been the crisis of control over the actual war-making powers of the great nations.

during the past several decades the common man and woman have been scrambling to catch up with an awareness of what is going on in the big wide world and what their governments are doing abroad. how can we speak of representative government when the people at large whom the representative government represents do not know what has been done? of course, to know what has been done is not the same as knowing what is afoot—— but one cannot know what is being done, if one does not know what is afoot. so who is responsible?

we do not want mob rule, or its modern perversion (rule by pollsters) and the elected official must accept the responsibility of decisiwe action. but the society as a whole has the responsibility of describing the office in terms of delegated responsibility—— and succeeding generations have the responsibility of maintaining the boundaries of delegated responsibilities and improving them.

CONT.

The United States has a Constitution which empodies certain values --- these are the principles for which we stand. some hypocrisy inevitably accompanies principles, but with ronald reagan we have let the 'american dilemma' run riot in an orgy of cynicism. if he gets re-elected it will be the time that tries the soul of our nation. shall the United States Constitution be tested to destruction?! in which case it may mean the destruction of the world as well.

easily shrug and point to what did us in: we drifted away from the constitutional definition of the war powers. no one would bell the cat; or, rather, the people at large were diffident of telling george not to do it --- it was easier, less a threat to their sanctimoniousness (had they not saved the world from hitler?), to let the king take the responsibility and become the scapegoat of history. but it is the citizenry who are selling their birthright for a mess of pardons --- they cannot escape that enominy they will deserve if they allow the current truly anti-American administration to continue!

so Reagan's dictatorial behavior in Grenada is wrong for the contempt of the constitution it implies. and that implication is born out fepeatedly in regardsxiaxandxaroundxirarageax Reagan's rampages in and around Nicaragua. one wonders what he would have pulled in Lebanon if not restrained by people outside his administration.

but 'we get what government we deserve'. and a person such as Reagan who removed the portrait of Jefferson (could that have not been symbolic?!) is entitled to feel that 'if God had not intended them to be shorn He would not have made them sheep!. but American citizens are not entitled to be sheep!

who are these 'Silent Majority'? are they deaf too? and blind? are they so dumb that they do not near the sliding nor see the disappearance of American principles of LIBERTY? --- can my fellow Americans deny that Reagan's jingo-ism abroad is rehearsal for Know-Nothing-ism at home?!

Send not to ask for whom the Liberty Bell tolls ...

Vote for The Constitution! Elect Mondale and Ferraro!

and Reagan's confidence trick has a second part: he betrays our posterity by spending the principal of their patrimony --- he pays for today's bread, and circus with tomorrow's meat! and he has

20/8/84

we have a rat race of usory. and you can be sure that the first to think themselves past the post will not scruple to exterminate the lemmings at the back so as to collect a fee from Mars.

Drive the usorers out of The White House now! --- before their goons drive us out of our houses at the mead of night later!

perhaps i oughtn't mention it, but i cannot avoid the feeling that a cozy axis has developed between this current administratiom and present Holy Father. the Polish Pope may have his own axe to grind, but the coincidence of Washington affording diplomatic recognition to The Vatican a few months after the Pope's atypical brusqueness which affronted the Nicaraguans who had come to greet him, thus playing into keagan's hand, and which Reagan has been exploiting with oily enthusiasm so as to mitigate the unfortunate impression that it is Protestants against Catholics --- ah, well. need one be surprised at such back-washing? no, but considering our separation of church and state...

there are some who simply adore Ronny and indeed he is an ideal front-man; but will ne live as long as Knomeini? or Franco? or Mao? doesn't Bush have a better chance than Ferraro of taking over from a deceased or debilitated incumbent? and you know with the practice at personality shifting gained apropos Watergate, a shift (ah, yes, see Frederick Forsythe's new novel, the fourth somethingorother) to Jesse Helms would station Dracula himself in place for a possible, shall we say, ELEVEN years! if you laugh, then you have to discount the power of media manipulation --- and the only way to do that is to eject Reagan immediately!

i warned against the election of reagan four years ago, and now i do not know if the world can tolerate four more years of him --- and some people think reagan actively wants a major war: if he is re-elected, it will be up to those who love American values to use the powers The Founding Fathers gave us to save our nation, and then we may well actually be the last best hope of mankind! but then we might ask why couldn't we get the American people to see what they see, that Reagan and his behavior constitute a clear and present danger to The United States, to The Free World, to The Third World, to The Second World, to Generations As Yet Unborn, to The Penguins in Antarctica and to The Primeval Doze (not to mention You and Me!). And think of the SHAME!!

actually, i like to think that the American People are actually pulling a fast one on Gl' Unkel Ronal'--- that we are smiling on him all the way to the gouilletine boots.