

Cleveland State University  
**EngagedScholarship@CSU**

Undergraduate Research Posters 2017

Undergraduate Research Posters

2017

# P2: DIFFERENTIAL EFFECTS OF ADJACENT-LETTER AND OPEN FLANKING BIGRAMS ON LEXICAL DECISION PERFORMANCE

Lea G. Araya  
*Cleveland State University*

Nicole M. Russo  
*Cleveland State University*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/u\\_poster\\_2017](https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/u_poster_2017)

**How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!**

## Recommended Citation

Araya, Lea G. and Russo, Nicole M., "P2: DIFFERENTIAL EFFECTS OF ADJACENT-LETTER AND OPEN FLANKING BIGRAMS ON LEXICAL DECISION PERFORMANCE" (2017). *Undergraduate Research Posters 2017*. 38.  
[https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/u\\_poster\\_2017/38](https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/u_poster_2017/38)

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Undergraduate Research Posters at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Undergraduate Research Posters 2017 by an authorized administrator of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact [library.es@csuohio.edu](mailto:library.es@csuohio.edu).



This digital edition was prepared by MSL Academic Endeavors, the imprint of the Michael Schwartz Library at Cleveland State University.

## ***Differential Effects of Adjacent-Letter and Open Flanking Bigrams on Lexical Decision Performance***

College of Sciences and Health Professions

**Student Researchers:** Lea Araya and Nicole Russo

**Faculty Advisor:** Albert F. Smith

### **Abstract**

Some models of word identification hypothesize units responsive to bigrams—letter pairs—that may not be adjacent in a letter-string stimulus. Grainger, Mathot, and Vitu (2014) and Palinski (2016) found, for words, responding was more efficient when flanking bigrams contained target-string letters than when they did not. They also found that responding was more efficient when flanking bigrams contained letters ordered as in the target than switched but whether flanking bigrams were ordered as in the target did not affect performance. Palinski (2016) replicated the results of Grainger et al. (2014) and conducted a second experiment that included four additional conditions in which the flanking bigrams consist of letters separated by one letter in the target (ex. FO FROG RG; RG FROG FO; OF FROG GR; GR FROG OF). Although, for nonadjacent letter bigrams, the pattern of performance over conditions was like that in Grainger et al. (2014) and Palinski (2016) Experiment 1, for adjacent bigrams, the pattern was different. To investigate the stability of these results, we repeated Palinski's second experiment. We replicated her results. The effect of adjacent-letter flanking bigrams may depend on whether nonadjacent-letter flanking bigrams are encountered in the experiment.