

Cleveland State University EngagedScholarship@CSU

1962-1966 Federal Habeas Corpus

1954-1966 Post-Trial Motions, Appeals, & Habeas Corpus

5-21-1964

Statement of Fred W. Garmone

Fred W. Garmone
Counsel for Sam Sheppard

Gertrude Bauer Mahon Cuyahoga County Prosecutor's Office

John Cianflona Assist. Attorney General

Russell A. Sherman Counsel for Sam Sheppard

David L. Kessler Assist. Attorney General

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Follow this and additional works at: https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/sheppard habeas

Recommended Citation

Garmone, Fred W.; Mahon, Gertrude Bauer; Cianflona, John; Sherman, Russell A.; and Kessler, David L., "Statement of Fred W. Garmone" (1964). 1962-1966 Federal Habeas Corpus. 25.

https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/sheppard_habeas/25

This Sheppard v. Maxwell, United States District Court, S.D. Ohio, Civ. No. 6640 is brought to you for free and open access by the 1954-1966 Post-Trial Motions, Appeals, & Habeas Corpus at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in 1962-1966 Federal Habeas Corpus by an authorized administrator of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

EASTERN DIVISION FILED

JUN 3 1964

Samuel H. Sheppard,

JOHN D. LYTER, Clerk

Petitioner,

Civil No. 6640.

VS.

E. L. Maxwell, Warden,

Respondent.

10

11

12

13

4

5

6

7

STATEMENT OF FRED W. GARMONE, GIVEN AT HIS OFFICE, 255 LEADER BUILDING, CLEVELAND, OHIO, ON THURSDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF MAY, 1964, AT 10:25 A.M.

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

25

PRESENT:

Mr. Russell Sherman,

Mr. David Kessler,

Mr. John Cianflona,

Mrs. Gertrude Mahon,

Mr. Edward T. Murray,

Mr. Fred W. Garmone, and

Hoyte M. Flowers, Court Reporter.

STATEMENT OF FRED W. GARMONE

MR. GARMONE: My name is Fred W.

Garmone. I am an attorney-at-law, City of Cleveland,

County of Cuyahoga, Ohio.

I office at 255 Leader Building.

A short period before the beginning of the trial a conference was held in the Honorable Judge Blythin's room.

Present at the conference was Judge Blythin, Mr. Corrigan, Mr. Petersilge and myself, and I can't recollect, Gertrude, whether there was any member of the Prosecutor's Office there, but I would have to say if I was guessing, that no conferences were held unless there was a member of the Prosecutor's Office there.

At the outset of the conference the statement was made for the purpose of the meeting. I then stated to Judge Blythin, because of the fact that his son -- I can't think of his first name, either -- his name is Ed, isn't it? Blythin, the Detective, is his name Ed?

MRS. MAHON: Gee, I don't know.

MR. GARMONE: Well, his son at the time was a member of the Homicide Unit, and I said to Judge Blythin that in view of that fact, and that his boy having been actively engaged in the investigation

of this death of Marilyn Sheppard, that it was my feeling that he should withdraw or disqualify himself as the judge to hear the case.

After some discussion, Judge Blythin stated that he had no objection if that was the consensus of opinion, and made mention of one or two other judges that were then sitting in Criminal Court, one of which was Parker Fulton, who was in the courtroom right across the hall from Court Room No. 1, Judge Blythin's court room.

The conference continued, and much discussion took place that was participated in primarily by Mr. Petersilge and Mr. Corrigan. After I had completed my statement, Judge Blythin reiterated again that he had no objection if that was the consensus of all defense lawyers that he disqualify himself.

himself very clear as to what his position was, and that he could sit and hear this case without having any prejudices or considering the fact that his boy actively engaged in the effort to bring about a solution of the death of Marilyn Sheppard. In conclusion, though, I was overruled by my two associate counsel, Mr. Corrigan and Mr. Petersilge, and they decided that they thought that the trial should go forward in the

room where it was originally designated to be held.

MR. CIANFLONA: Fred, who was the member of the Prosecutor's Office present?

MR. GARMONE: If you recall, I said I didn't remember that there was a member there, but I would have to, if I was guessing, that in any conferences that were had relative to the Sheppard case, there was always a member of the Prosecutor's Office present.

If I recall right, I think that John participated in all conferences because of the fact that he was Chief Counsel at the time. And if I would have to guess, I would say that John was present, because in other conferences John and only John was present.

When I talk about John, I talk about John Mahon, who is Gertrude's deceased husband.

MR. CIANFLONA: Was there any other statements made by Judge Blythin other than his consent to withdraw if it was agreeable by all?

MR. GARMONE: Well, I didn't put it in the exact language of consent. I expressed his language that expressed willingness.

MR. SHERMAN: Did he express, then, that he could sit, that he did not have a prejudice?

MR. GARMONE: No question about that,

because if he would have expressed otherwise, certainly I would have pursued my point, regardless of what Mr. Corrigan and Mr. Petersilge were doing. And I don't suppose they would have permitted the matter to remain in that room if they didn't feel satisfied.

MRS. MAHON: No affidavit of prejudice against Blythin was ever filed before the trial, was there?

MR. GARMONE: Oh, no. There was a lot of affidavits filed, but --

MR. CIANFLONA: In view of your statement that your associate counsel overruled you, are you in position now to make any statement as to whether or not there was any dissention by the three defense counsel, or discord?

MR. GARMONE: I don't think the question is a fair question, and I don't know how you, as a lawyer, could formulate such a thought in your mind.

This is my feeling on the matter, and I don't care to pursue the subject any further.

I can answer it very simply, that I don't think you, as a lawyer, should even entertain a thought like that.

MR. CIANFLONA: The only reason I really entertained it, Fred, was because of the fact

1	that you said they overruled you, and I got the impres-
2	sion
3	MR. GARMONE: Well, I was only
4	I was what was I? The third last counsel?
5	Petersilge was originally the lawyer for the family;
6	Corrigan then became Chief Counsel, and not being in
7	Corrigan's office at the time, I was hired by Mr.
8	Corrigan, and that puts me third in standing. First
9	division, however.
10	Anything else you would like to know?
11	MR. CIANFLONA: I have nothing else.
12	MR. GARMONE: Gertrude?
13	MRS. MAHON: No.
14	MR. GARMONE: Dave?
15	MR. KESSLER: No.
16	MR. RUSSELL: O. K., I think that is
17	fine.
18	MR. GARMONE: Does that satisfy your
19	desire?
20	MR. RUSSELL: Yes. Thank you very much
21	MR. GARMONE: Thank you.
22	
23	
24	