Cleveland State University EngagedScholarship@CSU



Urban Publications

Maxine Goodman Levin College of Urban Affairs

7-1-1998

The Ohio Job Creation Tax Credit Program: An economic impact Analysis

Ziona Austrian
Cleveland State University, z.austrian@csuohio.edu

Adina Swirski Wolf

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Follow this and additional works at: https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/urban_facpub
Part of the <u>Growth and Development Commons</u>, <u>Urban Studies Commons</u>, and the <u>Urban</u>

Repository Citation

Studies and Planning Commons

Austrian, Ziona and Wolf, Adina Swirski, "The Ohio Job Creation Tax Credit Program: An economic impact Analysis" (1998). *Urban Publications*. 0 1 2 3 280.

https://engagedscholarship.csuohio.edu/urban_facpub/280

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Maxine Goodman Levin College of Urban Affairs at EngagedScholarship@CSU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Urban Publications by an authorized administrator of EngagedScholarship@CSU. For more information, please contact library.es@csuohio.edu.

The Ohio Job Creation Tax Credit Program: Preliminary Analysis of Direct Impacts 1993-1997

Prepared for
The Ohio Economic Development Study Advisory Committee

Prepared by
The Economic Development Program
The Urban Center
Levin College of Urban Affairs
Cleveland State University

July 13, 1998

This study was funded by a contract with the State of Ohio For the Ohio Economic Development Study Project

INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes the direct impacts of the Job Creation Tax Credit Program (JCTC) on the State of Ohio. This is a preliminary report which will be followed by more detailed analysis that will include results by major industries and a REMI analysis of the total impacts of the program. This analysis will be completed for OEDS Advisory Committee review at the September meeting.

In order to protect the confidentiality of customers, information provided by the Ohio Department of Development (ODOD) limits actual performance data. Therefore, this report provides estimates of payroll, taxes withheld and tax credits received based on average tax rates and wage rates for JCTC firms.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Job Creation Tax Credit Program was created, as part of Jobs Bill I, as a tool to encourage existing business expansions and new business locations to Ohio. It has been in existence for 5 years, since January 1993. The five member Ohio Tax Credit Authority was created to oversee the program. The Authority considers requests for tax credits and monitors compliance of recipients of tax benefits.

JCTC creates a refundable tax credit against the Ohio corporate franchise or income tax for businesses that create jobs in Ohio. The credit is measured as a percentage of the new state income tax revenue withheld by a business for new full time employees. The annual amount of the credit is based on a percentage of the total amount of new Ohio income taxes withheld by the company and paid to the state during the taxable year for new full time employees. The credit is claimed for a fixed number of years, not to exceed ten years, and is obtained through an application to the Authority. The limit on the tax credit is 75 percent. The Authority and the business enter into a legally binding agreement. Eligibility requirements for JCTC include a wage threshold of 150 percent of federal minimum wage, a minimum commitment of 25 new full time jobs, support from the respective community, and annual reporting conditions. In addition, the program

excludes point of final sale retail facilities. JCTC has also been amended to generally prohibit tax credit recipients from relocating employment from elsewhere in Ohio and specifically excludes interstate transfers of employees or employment positions from being counted as new employees for the credit.

In order to receive the credit, businesses must commit to invest in new fixed assets in Ohio such as land, building, machinery and equipment, and infrastructure. In addition, businesses may receive higher credits for committing to employ a relatively high percentage of disadvantaged or minority employees.

DIRECT PROGRAM IMPACTS

Table 1 describes the direct impacts of the JCTC program for 1993-1997. The program has resulted in 543 projects with an investment commitment of seven billion dollars and a new job creation commitment of 60,837, according to data reported by firms to the Ohio Department of Development. The average hourly wage rate for all projects is \$12.07 and projects average 8.5 years in length. New payroll and tax credits were estimated using the committed number of new jobs, the average wage commitments, the average percentage of 60.5% and an average State of Ohio income tax withholding rate of 2.7%.

Table 1: Job Creation Tax Credit Program Summary

| Program Totals | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Number of Projects | 543 | |
| Investment | \$7,018,228,011 | |
| Jobs Created | 60,837 | |
| Jobs Retained | 88,521 | |
| Average Wage Rate | \$12.07 | |
| Average Percentage | 60.5% | |
| Average Term (years) | 8.5 | |
| Estimated New Payroll | \$1,527,181,598 | |
| Estimated Annual Credit | \$24,933,982 | |
| Estimated Total Credit | \$211,915,886 | |

¹ This tax withholding rate is the average rate reported by JCTC recipients since 1993

Source: The Urban Center at Cleveland State University and the Ohio Department of Development

Table 2 describes the direct impact of the JCTC program by economic region. The North East Region had the largest number of projects (134), followed by South West Cincinnati (83). The North East Region also had the largest number of new job commitments (13,188). However, the region with the second largest number of new jobs was Mid Central (12,085) which ranked fourth in number of projects (67). Average wage rates varied by region from \$10.55 to \$13.36.

Table 2: The Job Creation Tax Credit Program by Ohio's Economic Regions

| Region | # of | Investment | Jobs | Jobs | Avg | Avg | Avg |
|-----------------------|----------|-----------------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|------|
| | Projects | | Created | Retained | Wage Rate | Percent | Term |
| North West | 74 | \$1,192,891,538 | 5,366 | 7,452 | \$12.07 | 58.6% | 9.0 |
| North Central | 58 | \$743,935,440 | 5,163 | 7,138 | \$10.55 | 59.9% | 8.7 |
| North East | 134 | \$1,394,202,781 | 13,188 | 19,957 | \$12.00 | 60.6% | 8.4 |
| West Central | 44 | \$580,140,630 | 4,113 | 6,043 | \$10.65 | 60.2% | 8.3 |
| Mid Central | 67 | \$1,087,911,625 | 12,085 | 15,579 | \$12.61 | 62.9% | 8.4 |
| South East | 18 | \$168,613,800 | 1,815 | 1,190 | \$11.76 | 63.1% | 8.7 |
| South West Dayton | 51 | \$716,744,812 | 6,660 | 11,123 | \$12.59 | 59.3% | 8.2 |
| South West Cincinnati | 83 | \$804,315,785 | 9,432 | 15,279 | \$13.36 | 59.6% | 8.3 |
| South Central | 14 | \$329,471,600 | 3,015 | 4,760 | \$11.74 | 66.4% | 9.2 |
| Total | 543 | \$7,018,228,011 | 60,837 | 88,521 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Source: The Urban Center at Cleveland State University and the Ohio Department of Development

Table 3 describes program results for each county. Again, note that payroll and tax credit amounts were estimated. Urban counties had the highest number of projects and job creation commitments. While Cuyahoga County had the most projects at 51, Franklin County, with 39 projects, had about 4,000 more new jobs and \$25 million more investment. Average wage rates varied greatly from \$8.00 in Geauga County to \$29.41 in Champaign County.

Table 3: Job Creation Tax Credit Program by County

| County | # of | % of | Investment | Jobs | Jobs | Avg | Avg | Avg | Estimated | Estimated | Estimated |
|------------|----------|----------|---------------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|
| | Projects | Projects | | Created | Retained | Wage Rate | Percent | Term | New Payroll | Annual Credit | Total Cred |
| Allen | 11 | 2.0% | \$39,339,050 | 766 | 491 | \$9.96 | 56.8% | 7.4 | \$15,867,620 | \$243,424 | \$1,792,48 |
| Ashland | 2 | 0.4% | \$21,750,000 | 473 | 577 | \$10.00 | 62.5% | 10.0 | \$9,838,400 | \$166,023 | \$1,660,23 |
| Ashtabula | 4 | 0.7% | \$19,568,000 | 244 | 839 | \$9.23 | 65.0% | 7.8 | \$4,681,872 | \$82,167 | \$636,79 |
| Athens | 1 | 0.2% | \$4,856,900 | 65 | 0 | \$8.00 | 60.0% | 10.0 | \$1,081,600 | \$17,522 | \$175,21 |
| Auglaize | 2 | 0.4% | \$36,646,000 | 464 | 2,081 | \$12.78 | 77.5% | 10.0 | \$12,334,234 | \$258,094 | \$2,580,93 |
| Belmont | 2 | 0.4% | \$70,763,000 | 355 | 0 | \$14.37 | 75.0% | 10.0 | \$10,610,808 | \$214,869 | \$2,148,68 |
| Brown | 2 | 0.4% | \$6,356,000 | 210 | 285 | \$9.00 | 57.5% | 10.0 | \$3,931,200 | \$61,032 | \$610,31 |
| Butler | 19 | 3.5% | \$167,049,100 | 1,520 | 1,087 | \$10.99 | 57.1% | 7.7 | \$34,732,672 | \$535,523 | \$4,115,07 |
| Champaign | 1 | 0.2% | \$49,000,000 | 120 | 0 | \$29.41 | 75.0% | 10.0 | \$7,340,736 | \$148,650 | \$1,486,49 |
| Clark | 7 | 1.3% | \$177,781,054 | 990 | 404 | \$13.17 | 62.1% | 9.6 | \$27,119,664 | \$455,029 | \$4,355,28 |
| Clermont | 13 | 2.4% | \$139,632,050 | 1,757 | 2,341 | \$15.10 | 63.5% | 9.3 | \$55,166,989 | \$945,265 | \$8,798,23 |
| Clinton | 3 | 0.6% | \$7,875,000 | 184 | 2,430 | \$13.84 | 55.0% | 6.3 | \$5,295,569 | \$78,639 | \$498,04 |
| Columbiana | 3 | 0.6% | \$9,683,500 | 285 | 675 | \$11.61 | 60.0% | 9.3 | \$6,882,408 | \$111,495 | \$1,040,62 |
| Coshocton | 1 | 0.2% | \$6,200,000 | 120 | 206 | \$9.45 | 65.0% | 10.0 | \$2,358,720 | \$41,396 | \$413,95 |
| Crawford | 4 | 0.7% | \$48,404,000 | 410 | 142 | \$11.01 | 62.5% | 10.0 | \$9,389,328 | \$158,445 | \$1,584,44 |
| Cuyahoga | 51 | 9.4% | \$705,154,175 | 5,720 | 10,056 | \$13.33 | 61.0% | 8.3 | \$158,576,345 | \$2,610,913 | \$21,604,02 |
| Darke | 1 | 0.2% | \$15,221,000 | 200 | 350 | \$8.46 | 75.0% | 10.0 | \$3,519,360 | \$71,267 | \$712,67 |
| Defiance | 5 | 0.9% | \$54,379,295 | 436 | 727 | \$11.99 | 59.0% | 9.4 | \$10,873,491 | \$173,215 | \$1,628,21 |
| Delaware | 14 | 2.6% | \$121,048,643 | 938 | 2,259 | \$13.78 | 60.0% | 8.4 | \$26,881,150 | \$435,475 | \$3,670,42 |
| Erie | 6 | 1.1% | \$96,236,000 | 616 | 689 | \$11.94 | 62.5% | 7.8 | \$15,294,212 | \$258,090 | \$2,021,70 |
| Fairfield | 3 | 0.6% | \$54,300,000 | 340 | 371 | \$10.71 | 66.7% | 8.0 | \$7,576,469 | \$136,376 | \$1,091,01 |
| Fayette | 2 | 0.4% | \$27,600,000 | 370 | 310 | \$7.75 | 62.5% | 10.0 | \$5,964,400 | \$100,649 | \$1,006,49 |
| Franklin | 39 | 7.2% | \$731,481,508 | 9,942 | 11,549 | \$12.43 | 64.7% | 8.3 | \$257,113,376 | \$4,494,540 | \$37,454,49 |
| Fulton | 10 | 1.8% | \$609,928,740 | 906 | 662 | \$12.56 | 62.0% | 9.5 | \$23,665,300 | \$396,157 | \$3,763,49 |
| Gallia | 1 | 0.2% | \$25,200,000 | 140 | 254 | \$13.70 | 70.0% | 10.0 | \$3,989,440 | \$75,400 | \$754,00 |
| Geauga | 2 | 0.4% | \$17,007,700 | 90 | 553 | \$8.00 | 55.0% | 7.0 | \$1,497,600 | \$22,239 | \$155,67 |
| Greene | 4 | 0.7% | \$30,871,000 | 461 | 382 | \$12.55 | 58.8% | 8.8 | \$12,033,944 | \$190,888 | \$1,670,27 |
| Hamilton | 31 | 5.7% | \$349,207,120 | 3,462 | 8,189 | \$15.21 | 60.3% | 8.5 | \$109,503,373 | \$1,783,492 | \$15,130,91 |
| Hancock | 4 | 0.7% | \$74,365,000 | 648 | 107 | \$8.85 | 61.3% | 9.0 | \$11,921,645 | \$197,154 | \$1,774,38 |
| Hardin | 4 | 0.7% | \$17,809,800 | 354 | 69 | \$8.86 | 56.3% | 8.0 | \$6,525,636 | \$99,108 | \$792,86 |
| Harrison | 2 | 0.4% | \$26,005,000 | 270 | 0 | \$10.48 | 67.5% | 8.5 | \$5,885,568 | \$107,264 | \$911,74 |
| Henry | 3 | 0.6% | \$18,120,500 | 159 | 193 | \$11.01 | 58.3% | 9.0 | \$3,642,330 | \$57,367 | \$516,30 |
| Highland | 1 | 0.2% | \$46,915,000 | 224 | 265 | \$9.35 | 75.0% | 10.0 | \$4,356,352 | \$88,216 | \$882,16 |
| Huron | 8 | 1.5% | \$35,695,910 | 624 | 1,266 | \$11.02 | 59.4% | 8.9 | \$14,307,946 | \$229,374 | \$2,035,69 |
| Jefferson | 1 | 0.2% | \$602,000 | 200 | 0 | \$7.73 | 50.0% | 5.0 | \$3,215,680 | \$43,412 | \$217,05 |
| Knox | 3 | 0.6% | \$72,893,000 | 223 | 118 | \$9.13 | 55.0% | 9.0 | \$4,234,859 | \$62,888 | \$565,98 |

Table 3: Job Creation Tax Credit Program by County (continued)

| County | # of | % of | Investment | Jobs | Jobs | Avg | Avg | Avg | Estimated | Estimated | Estimated |
|------------|----------|----------|-----------------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|
| | Projects | Projects | | Created | Retained | Wage Rate | Percent | Term | New Payroll | Annual Credit | Total Cred |
| Lake | 15 | 2.8% | \$111,092,700 | 1,065 | 1,576 | \$11.53 | 58.7% | 8.3 | \$25,547,163 | \$404,667 | \$3,372,22 |
| Licking | 5 | 0.9% | \$112,422,474 | 299 | 69 | \$11.58 | 58.0% | 8.4 | \$7,199,346 | \$112,742 | \$947,03 |
| Logan | 3 | 0.6% | \$12,661,100 | 128 | 233 | \$9.62 | 56.7% | 6.0 | \$2,560,341 | \$39,173 | \$235,03 |
| Lorain | 11 | 2.0% | \$97,541,800 | 969 | 720 | \$12.48 | 59.1% | 8.5 | \$25,144,528 | \$401,170 | \$3,391,70 |
| Lucas | 35 | 6.4% | \$194,273,723 | 1,937 | 4,079 | \$11.69 | 56.4% | 8.7 | \$47,080,124 | \$717,299 | \$6,250,75 |
| Madison | 3 | 0.6% | \$21,059,000 | 171 | 841 | \$10.96 | 58.3% | 7.7 | \$3,898,253 | \$61,397 | \$470,71 |
| Mahoning | 5 | 0.9% | \$21,270,000 | 339 | 355 | \$9.14 | 62.0% | 8.0 | \$6,441,976 | \$107,839 | \$862,70 |
| Marion | 6 | 1.1% | \$60,536,875 | 415 | 301 | \$10.97 | 59.2% | 8.7 | \$9,466,427 | \$151,226 | \$1,310,62 |
| Medina | 6 | 1.1% | \$58,742,000 | 315 | 453 | \$11.28 | 56.7% | 8.2 | \$7,389,564 | \$113,060 | \$923,32 |
| Mercer | 4 | 0.7% | \$46,167,280 | 475 | 810 | \$11.20 | 63.8% | 9.3 | \$11,060,660 | \$190,382 | \$1,761,03 |
| Miami | 11 | 2.0% | \$222,439,672 | 995 | 2,585 | \$10.10 | 54.5% | 8.0 | \$20,904,841 | \$307,871 | \$2,462,97 |
| Montgomery | 29 | 5.3% | \$285,653,086 | 4,214 | 7,752 | \$13.40 | 60.5% | 7.8 | \$117,491,900 | \$1,919,777 | \$14,961,02 |
| Morrow | 4 | 0.7% | \$78,355,000 | 469 | 804 | \$10.33 | 61.3% | 8.8 | \$10,074,683 | \$166,610 | \$1,457,83 |
| Muskingum | 6 | 1.1% | \$33,243,800 | 625 | 311 | \$13.23 | 60.0% | 8.8 | \$17,192,500 | \$278,519 | \$2,460,24 |
| Noble | 1 | 0.2% | \$1,600,000 | 45 | 64 | \$11.50 | 65.0% | 8.0 | \$1,076,400 | \$18,891 | \$151,12 |
| Ottawa | 4 | 0.7% | \$101,256,800 | 208 | 500 | \$9.21 | 60.0% | 8.8 | \$3,983,533 | \$64,533 | \$564,66 |
| Perry | 1 | 0.2% | \$4,200,000 | 100 | 346 | \$8.65 | 65.0% | 10.0 | \$1,799,200 | \$31,576 | \$315,76 |
| Pike | 3 | 0.6% | \$83,172,700 | 1,800 | 543 | \$8.72 | 76.7% | 10.0 | \$32,647,680 | \$675,807 | \$6,758,07 |
| Portage | 6 | 1.1% | \$70,612,500 | 1,123 | 312 | \$11.45 | 65.8% | 10.0 | \$26,749,261 | \$475,468 | \$4,754,68 |
| Preble | 4 | 0.7% | \$35,950,400 | 249 | 900 | \$11.57 | 60.0% | 9.3 | \$5,992,334 | \$97,076 | \$897,95 |
| Putnam | 4 | 0.7% | \$212,327,000 | 423 | 148 | \$11.48 | 65.0% | 10.0 | \$10,102,763 | \$177,303 | \$1,773,03 |
| Richland | 4 | 0.7% | \$91,828,000 | 296 | 1,163 | \$10.88 | 58.8% | 9.5 | \$6,695,520 | \$106,208 | \$1,008,97 |
| Ross | 2 | 0.4% | \$12,425,000 | 425 | 978 | \$10.83 | 67.5% | 10.0 | \$9,569,300 | \$174,400 | \$1,744,00 |
| Sandusky | 8 | 1.5% | \$61,145,855 | 528 | 512 | \$9.37 | 56.3% | 8.9 | \$10,287,763 | \$156,245 | \$1,386,67 |
| Scioto | 2 | 0.4% | \$148,418,000 | 127 | 252 | \$17.00 | 72.5% | 10.0 | \$4,490,720 | \$87,906 | \$879,05 |
| Seneca | 5 | 0.9% | \$22,068,000 | 547 | 616 | \$10.03 | 60.0% | 7.4 | \$11,414,008 | \$184,907 | \$1,368,31 |
| Shelby | 3 | 0.6% | \$4,239,000 | 143 | 5 | \$8.43 | 51.7% | 6.7 | \$2,508,411 | \$34,992 | \$233,28 |
| Stark | 12 | 2.2% | \$155,522,406 | 1,366 | 2,577 | \$9.52 | 60.4% | 8.3 | \$27,044,250 | \$441,159 | \$3,639,56 |
| Summit | 11 | 2.0% | \$76,798,000 | 867 | 493 | \$13.32 | 61.4% | 7.8 | \$24,022,395 | \$398,007 | \$3,111,69 |
| Trumbull | 5 | 0.9% | \$28,030,000 | 574 | 618 | \$9.39 | 61.0% | 10.0 | \$11,215,684 | \$184,722 | \$1,847,22 |
| Tuscarawas | 2 | 0.4% | \$4,000,000 | 50 | 35 | \$9.25 | 57.5% | 6.5 | \$962,000 | \$14,935 | \$97,07 |
| Union | 1 | 0.2% | \$20,000,000 | 25 | 180 | \$28.85 | 60.0% | 10.0 | \$1,500,200 | \$24,303 | \$243,03 |
| Van Wert | 3 | 0.6% | \$36,415,000 | 143 | 849 | \$11.16 | 56.7% | 8.3 | \$3,318,439 | \$50,772 | \$423,10 |
| Warren | 18 | 3.3% | \$142,071,515 | 2,483 | 3,377 | \$11.90 | 58.3% | 7.7 | \$61,436,262 | \$967,621 | \$7,472,18 |
| Washington | 2 | 0.4% | \$22,000,000 | 50 | 228 | \$13.39 | 65.0% | 10.0 | \$1,392,040 | \$24,430 | \$244,30 |
| Wayne | 3 | 0.6% | \$23,180,000 | 231 | 730 | \$13.58 | 60.0% | 9.3 | \$6,526,520 | \$105,730 | \$986,81 |
| Williams | 5 | 0.9% | \$59,904,529 | 245 | 419 | \$12.32 | 60.0% | 9.2 | \$6,280,310 | \$101,741 | \$936,01 |
| Wood | 15 | 2.8% | \$252,734,751 | 1,588 | 1,098 | \$12.80 | 61.3% | 9.3 | \$42,292,124 | \$700,358 | \$6,489,98 |
| Wood/Lucas | 1 | 0.2% | \$3,550,000 | 95 | 274 | \$12.00 | 55.0% | 8.0 | \$2,371,200 | \$35,212 | \$281,69 |
| Wyandot | 5 | 0.9% | \$54,375,000 | 404 | 488 | \$11.57 | 62.0% | 8.4 | \$9,719,141 | \$162,698 | \$1,366,66 |
| Total | | | \$7,018,228,011 | 60,837 | 88,521 | \$12.07 | 60.5% | 8.5 | | | \$211,915,88 |

Source: The Urban Center at Cleveland State University and the Ohio Department of Development

Finally, Table 4 describes the JCTC program's direct impact by year. The number of projects has increased for every year of the program with the exception of 1996. The investment new job commitments have increased accordingly.

Table 4: Job Creation Tax Credit Program by Year

| Year | # of | Investment | Jobs | Jobs |
|----------|----------|-----------------|---------|----------|
| Approved | Projects | | Created | Retained |
| 1993 | 63 | \$679,030,926 | 8,515 | 9,736 |
| 1994 | 93 | \$1,087,448,295 | 9,727 | 14,681 |
| 1995 | 130 | \$2,146,008,333 | 14,533 | 23,014 |
| 1996 | 113 | \$1,071,023,718 | 11,989 | 14,710 |
| 1997 | 146 | \$2,034,716,739 | 16,073 | 26,380 |

Source: The Urban Center at Cleveland State University and the Ohio Department of Development

NEXT STEPS

In summary, businesses participated in the JCTC program have committed to the creation of more than 60,000 new jobs at average wage rates well above the minimum requirement of \$7.73 (150 percent of federal minimum wage). In addition, these businesses have commitment to investments of more than seven billion dollars. The REMI analysis that will be available in a later report, will assess the total impacts of this new job creation and investment in order to more fully evaluate this program.