### University of Puget Sound Sound Ideas

Physical Therapy Research Symposium

Physical Therapy, School of

2016

### Musculoskeletal Imaging Education in a Doctor of Physical Therapy Program

William Chaz Sak-Ocbina University of Puget Sound

Rebecca Lancaster University of Puget Sound

Travis Muraoka University of Puget Sound

Robert Boyles University of Puget Sound

Follow this and additional works at: http://soundideas.pugetsound.edu/ptsymposium Part of the <u>Curriculum and Instruction Commons</u>, and the <u>Physical Therapy Commons</u>

### **Recommended** Citation

Sak-Ocbina, William Chaz; Lancaster, Rebecca; Muraoka, Travis; and Boyles, Robert, "Musculoskeletal Imaging Education in a Doctor of Physical Therapy Program" (2016). *Physical Therapy Research Symposium*. 17. http://soundideas.pugetsound.edu/ptsymposium/17

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Physical Therapy, School of at Sound Ideas. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physical Therapy Research Symposium by an authorized administrator of Sound Ideas. For more information, please contact soundideas@pugetsound.edu.



PUGET

SOUND

# Musculoskeletal Imaging Education in a Doctor of Physical Therapy Program

### Introduction

- Imaging is already an integral part of the practice of physical therapy (PT). However, with the exception of a few practice settings, PTs do not have the privilege to order imaging even though research has shown that it is more cost effective and efficient for PTs, as musculoskeletal experts, to order imaging when compared to other providers.<sup>3,4,5</sup>
- The APTA's goal is for entry level DPT programs to partake in enough imaging education to prepare new graduate PTs for imaging privileges. In a survey of 155 professional PT programs, 152 included some amount of imaging curriculum. Unfortunately, the amount and quality of the imaging curriculum varied widely. Programs estimated anywhere from 2-75 hours of imaging education. This is the first study to look at the exact number of hours of exposure to imaging in a doctor of physical therapy program.<sup>2</sup>
- The purpose of this study is to quantify the amount and type of imaging education experienced in the University of Puget Sound entry-level DPT program.

# Materials/Methods

- Three cohorts of physical therapy students between Jan. 2015 and May 2016 were invited to complete a spreadsheet during the course of their didactic and clinical education in the UPS DPT program.
- Students were instructed to keep track of their amount of exposure to imaging content in 15-minute increments (1 unit per 15 minutes of time). Content included viewing images and/or analyzing imaging reports. The units were recorded according to body part and imaging modality.
- Our study included exposure within the classroom, during onsite clinical experiences, and full time internships. University of Puget Sound students complete 3 full-time internships totaling 36 weeks as well as 3 clinical experiences in our onsite clinic. The instructors tracked the amount of exposure during the 1st year of didactic material.



Rebecca Lancaster, SPT; Chaz Sak-Ocbina, SPT; Travis Muraoka, SPT; Robert Boyles, PT, DSc, OCS, FAAOMPT School of Physical Therapy University of Puget Sound - Tacoma, WA

## Results



FIGURE 1. Total hours students were exposed to imaging content during class time and clinical experiences by the area of the body imaged including spine, upper extremity, and lower extremity.



FIGURE 2. Total hours of imaging exposure separated by setting including complex medical, hospital-based outpatient, private practice outpatient, on-site neurological clinic, on-site orthopedic clinic, and classroom.



FIGURE 3. Total hours of imaging exposure separated by type of imaging modalities including x-ray, computed tomography (CT), bone scan, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and ultrasound.





- internships.
- imaging.

# **Clinical Relevance**

This study provides valuable information for Doctor of Physical Therapy programs nation wide. In combination with the APTA's imaging education guidelines,<sup>1</sup> programs will be able to objectively assess their imaging education in order to better prepare students for the responsibility of being a competent provider with the privilege to refer patients to appropriate imaging. This survey is one of the first steps towards better patient outcomes and increased efficiency with imaging in all physical therapy settings.



1. American Physical Therapy Association. Imaging education manual for doctorate of physical therapy professional degree programs. Alexandria, VA: APTA; 2015. 2. Boissonnault WG, White DM, Carney S, Malin B, Smith W. Diagnostic and procedural imaging curricula in physical therapist professional degree programs. journal of orthopaedic & sports physical therapy. 2014;44(8):579-B12. 3. James JJ, Stuart RB. Expanded role for the physical therapist. Screening musculoskeletal disorders. Phys Ther. 1975;55:121-131. 4. Moore JH, Goss DL, Baxter RE, et al. Clinical diagnostic accuracy and magnetic resonance imaging of patients referred by physical therapists, geons, and nonorthopaedic providers. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2005;35(2):67-71. 5. Moore JH, McMillian DJ, Rosenthal MD, Weishaar MD. Risk determination for patients with direct access to physical therapy in military health care facilities. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2005;35:674-678. 6. Washington State Department of Health. Board of physical therapy request for spinal manipulation endorsement. Olympia, WA: DOH; 2015.



### Discussion

• Average student exposure to imaging during clinical experiences was 43.13 hours. Average exposure during the didactic portion was 34 hours (FIGURE 1). Clinical hours are variable and one of the limitations to this survey was that students were less likely to track imaging units after leaving school for their full time

• The private practice orthopedics and outpatient hospital settings yielded the highest exposure to imaging out of all the clinical experiences (Figure 2). Distribution of imaging exposure by modality yielded results consistent with the survey done by Boissonnault el al.<sup>2</sup> There was a heavy bias toward exposure to X-Ray and MRI in both the didactic portion and clinical experiences when compared to other modalities (FIGURE 3).

 Looking at other comparable guidelines for imaging hour exposure, the amount of hours required in Washington state to perform spinal manipulations, we see that the number of hours that an average University of Puget Sound Doctor of Physical Therapy student sees is 77.13 as compared to the guideline of 150 hours for spinal manipulation privileges.<sup>6</sup> Future research needs to define how much imaging is adequate to prepare new graduates to be direct access providers with the privilege to order

## References