University of Puget Sound Sound Ideas

Physical Therapy Research Symposium

Physical Therapy, School of

2015

The Impact of Electrical Stimulation and Exercise on Independent Static Standing Balance

Denise A. Gyselinck SPT School of Physical Therapy, University of Puget Sound

Jennifer R. Briant SPT School of Physical Therapy, University of Puget Sound

Elizabeth L. Goldstein SPT School of Physical Therapy, University of Puget Sound

Follow this and additional works at: http://soundideas.pugetsound.edu/ptsymposium Part of the <u>Physical Therapy Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Gyselinck, Denise A. SPT; Briant, Jennifer R. SPT; and Goldstein, Elizabeth L. SPT, "The Impact of Electrical Stimulation and Exercise on Independent Static Standing Balance" (2015). *Physical Therapy Research Symposium*. 7. http://soundideas.pugetsound.edu/ptsymposium/7

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Physical Therapy, School of at Sound Ideas. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physical Therapy Research Symposium by an authorized administrator of Sound Ideas. For more information, please contact soundideas@pugetsound.edu.



Annual Physical Therapy Research Symposium University of Puget Sound, Tacoma, WA October 24, 2015

References

- . Center for Disease Control http://www.cdc.gov/Homean dRecreationalSafety/Falls/a dultfalls.html Accessed March 25, 2015
- 2. Melzack R, Wall PD. Pain mechanisms: a new theory. Science. 150:971-979, 1965.
- **3.** Tyson S, Sadeghi-Demneh E, Nester C. The effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on strength, proprioception, balance and mobility in people with stroke: a randomized controlled cross-over trial. Cli Rehab. 2013; 27(9) 785-791.
- **4.** Kang M, Nam B, Lee Y and Cheon S. Relationship between the application of TENS to the lower limbs and balance of healthy subjects. J. Phys. Ther. Sci. 2013; 25: 1079-1081.

Contact Information Denise Gyselinck, SPT dgyselinck@pugetsound.edu

IRB Approval This study was granted approval for participation by human volunteers from the Institutional Review Board of the University of Puget Sound on August 18, 2014; Protocol #1314-089.

The Impact of Electrical Stimulation and Exercise on Independent Static **Standing Balance**

INTRODUCTION

Impairments in balance can lead to accidental falls which places individuals at risk for injury and decreases quality of life. Each year in the United States one-third of older adults experience fall.¹ Balance is typically treated with therapeutic exercise to address neuromuscular components. Incorporating electrical stimulation in conjunction with a balance-focused exercise program will address the somatosensory and sensorimotor aspects of balance.

Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) is the use of low level electrical current to transmit sensory and proprioceptive information through the skin. It is primarily used in rehabilitation settings as conventional TENS by applying the gate theory for pain modulation.² Previous studies have shown the effectiveness of TENS on balance by enhancing motor control.^{3,4} TENS provides a consistent level of sensory stimulation and would be simple to incorporate into everyday life to improve balance.

Electrical Neuromuscular Stimulation (NMES) is a modality which uses a therapeutic dose of electrical stimulation to produce a visible muscle contraction as well as sensory input. Using NMES to decrease muscular fatigue and increase strength and endurance of the lower extremities may lead to improved control and increased independent standing balance, thus decreasing fall risk.

There appears to be a link between modalities electrotherapeutic the and improvement of independent static standing However, limited studies have balance. examined the effects of TENS or NMES and compared them to the effects of exercise.



Figure 2. Electrode placement for NMES and TENS group.

Jennifer Briant, SPT¹; Elizabeth Goldstein, SPT¹; Denise Gyselinck, SPT¹; Faculty Mentor: Sara Shapiro, PT, MPH

1. School of Physical Therapy University of Puget Sound - Tacoma, WA, United States of America

PURPOSE

To examine the effects of electrical stimulation on independent standing balance during single leg stance (SLS) using either NMES with exercise, TENS with exercise or exercise alone.

SUBJECTS

Fourteen participants between the ages 18 and 44 (4 males and 10 females) were recruited through a convenience sample on the University of Puget Sound's campus.

Parameters TENS NMES Waveform Biphasic, Biphasic modulated 120 pps 35 pps Frequency Pulse 80µs 100µs Duration Comfortable muscle Intensity Sensory response without muscle contraction contraction (self determined) 2 (PF and DF) 2 channel, reciprocal Channels setting (PF and DF) On/off time: 7sec on/21sec off 1 hour 1 hour Treatment time

METHODS

This study was a randomized control trial. Subjects participated in this study five times per week for a total of six weeks. Participants were randomly assigned into each group: NMES with home exercise program (HEP), TENS with HEP and HEP-only. The experimental groups performed 60 minutes of electrical stimulation and all groups received the same HEP. Exercises can be found in Figure 1. Timed SLS balance assessments were performed on the dominant limb of each participant prior to intervention and at six weeks. Parameters of each electrical stimulation unit can be found in Table 1 and electrode placement can be found in Figure 2.

	Single Leg Stance Time			
	Pretest (Seconds)		Posttest (Seconds)	
	Eyes Open	Eyes Closed	Eyes Open	Eyes Closed
Group 1	59.33 (±1.16)	10.24 (±6.86)	57.97 (±3.52)	11.39 (±9.35)
Group 2	47.50 (±25.00)	16.87 (±11.68)	54.50 (±11.01)	18.00 (±28.03)
Group 3	52.08 (±17.70)	13.22 (±9.43)	47.77 (±17.62)	12.53 (±12.08)
Group 1 – NMES + exercise: Group 2 – TENS + exercise: Group 3 – exercise only				

Group I = NMES + exercise; Group 2 = TENS + exercise; Group 3 = exercise only

Table 2. Average single leg stance time in seconds at pretest and posttest (6 weeks) with eyes open and eyes closed including standard deviations..

ANALYSIS

SPSS version 23 was used to perform a three (group) x two (time) x two (condition) ANOVA with repeated measures on time and condition. A bonforoni correction was used for post hoc analysis and alpha was set at 0.05.

RESULTS

Means and standard deviations of SLS time can be found in Table 2. Change in SLS over time showed no significant difference (p=0.67; power=0.10). There was no significant difference between groups (p=0.96; power=0.05). There was a significant difference in SLS time between eyes open versus eyes closed (p<0.001; power=1.00).

Table 1. Parameters of NMES and TENS units. Plantarflexion (PF), Dorsiflexion (DF) TENS: Rehabilicare, Maxima 2 NMES: Rehabilicare, EMS+2

EXCLUSION CRITERIA



Subjects were excluded if they had a pacemaker; current fractures to lower extremity bones; back, hip, knee or ankle injuries (or other factors that prevent full weight-bearing on either limb); history of significant cardiovascular, pulmonary, metabolic, musculoskeletal, or neurological disease; history of falls; use of specific medications known to impair balance or strength; use of an assistive device to maintain balance; pregnant females or those who planned to become pregnant within the course of the study.



Figure 1. HEP: 1) Single leg heel raise, 10 on each lower extremity. 2) Single leg stance, 60 sec. 3) Toe walk, 2 x 10 feet. 4) Heel walk, 2 x 10 feet

CONCLUSIONS

For the parameters used in this study electrical stimulation in conjunction with a 6 week balancefocused exercise protocol may not have an effect on independent static standing balance. There was no significant difference between the use of NMES, TENS or exercise alone. It does however confirm that visual input is a significant contributor to independent static standing balance.

LIMITATIONS

NMES units have the capacity to recruit motor nerve fibers, however this study's pulse duration set on each NMES unit was not long enough to truly stimulate a muscle strengthening response.

Accommodation effects were not accounted for and consistent intensity was not received. Voluntary muscle activation was not required of subjects. Participants were asked to wear the electrodes and have the NMES units on while they were carrying about normal activities of daily living,.

Additional limitations include, a small sample size of 14 attributing to a low statistical power, short duration of intervention, and researchers not present during the intervention to verify daily participation.

RELEVANCE

This study suggests that applying electrical stimulation with Table 1 protocols may not have an effect on independent static standing balance. Further research should be done that incorporates other protocols and parameters to further investigate the effects of applying a therapeutic modality on independent standing balance.