# University of Puget Sound Sound Ideas

All Faculty Scholarship

Faculty Scholarship

5-1-2012

# Compensation Allows Recovery Of Functional Independence In People With Severe Motor Impairments Following Spinal Cord Injury

Jennifer D. Hastings University of Puget Sound, jhastings@pugetsound.edu

Lisa A. Harvey

Rehabilitation Studies Unit, Northern Clinical School, Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney, PO Box 6, Ryde, NSW 1680, Australia

Joy A. Bruce Shepherd Center, Atlanta

Martha F. Somers

department of Physical Therapy, Rangos School of Health Sciences, duquesne University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

Follow this and additional works at: http://soundideas.pugetsound.edu/faculty pubs

### Citation

Hastings, Jennifer D., Lisa A. Harvey, Joy A. Bruce, and Martha F. Somers. 2012. "Compensation Allows Recovery of Functional Independence in People with Severe Motor Impairments Following Spinal Cord Injury." Journal Of Rehabilitation Medicine 44(5): 477-478.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Scholarship at Sound Ideas. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Faculty Scholarship by an authorized administrator of Sound Ideas. For more information, please contact soundideas@pugetsound.edu.

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# COMPENSATION ALLOWS RECOVERY OF FUNCTIONAL INDEPENDENCE IN PEOPLE WITH SEVERE MOTOR IMPAIRMENTS FOLLOWING SPINAL CORD INJURY

Sir,

We read with interest the ongoing criticism regarding the teaching of compensatory movement strategies to people with spinal cord injury (SCI). Here is a typical example of what is being written in scientific journals:

"...the old, deep-rooted rehabilitative principles of compensation and adaptation are slowly starting to change."(1)

Similar comments are being made at international scientific conferences. It appears that there is a move away from the teaching of compensations and adaptations for people with SCI, even though this has been a part of standard rehabilitation for the last 60 years.

Those advocating for a move away from compensations and adaptations are inspired by the increasing interest in neural plasticity and locomotor training. They suggest that the teaching of compensations and adaptations should be replaced by activity-based therapy (which has yet to be operationally defined) and intensive locomotor training in which people with SCI are walked on treadmills with overhead suspension, sometimes supplemented with electrical stimulation, and if need be, 2 or 3 therapists to control the lower extremities and trunk. They propose that these types of therapies should be supplemented with exercises designed to facilitate contraction of paralysed or partially-paralysed muscles. They claim that the potential for the spinal cord to recover will be hindered if paralysed and partially-paralysed muscles are not "asked" to contract in a normal way even if they are incapable of currently doing so. These types of therapies are being advocated in replacement of compensations and adaptations where patients are taught skills such as mobilizing in a wheelchair, grasping with a tenodesis grip, or walking with an orthosis or assistive device.

Locomotor training provides a means of enabling patients to engage in intensive, repetitious practice. This is not an issue. What is an issue is the dismissal of the importance of teaching and reinforcing compensatory strategies for people with severe motor impairments due to SCI. It is wrong and potentially detrimental to patients' lives to suggest that we should be moving away from these strategies because compensation currently provides the only means for regaining functional independence for many individuals with motor complete SCI and severe motor impairments. For these individuals, it is misleading to suggest that they are going to regain the ability to walk as ablebodied people simply by inducing muscle contractions through electrical stimulation or afferent input stimulated by assisted stepping. No study has demonstrated that locomotor training increases the ability of people with motor-complete SCI to walk in a functional way (2-5). Moreover, many people with severe motor impairments following motor-incomplete injuries regain only limited ambulation despite intensive locomotor training (3, 4, 6–8). Most people with extensive motor impairments following SCI will continue to require wheelchairs for functional mobility. So for now, compensations and adaptations are their only means to regain independence. To move away from teaching compensations is to deny independence and quality of life while waiting for what is currently unattainable.

One of the obvious dangers of solely focusing on walking is that when patients who have participated in these types of programs do not regain the ability to walk, they are ill-prepared to function with a wheelchair. Patients involved only in locomotor training programs are not encouraged to find suitable employment or education using a wheelchair. They are not stimulated to plan for the future with a wheelchair or adjust to the many challenges of using a wheelchair for mobility. Rather, their lives are placed on hold while all effort, attention, and resources are directed towards an unattainable goal. This limits their independence and community integration and negatively influences their quality of life.

Recent work in this area suggests that those who have severe motor impairments due to SCI and who have primarily focused on walking but not attained it, experience high levels of depression and poor quality of life one year after injury (9). This is not altogether unanticipated and probably reflects more than just the failure of health care systems to teach these patients independence using a wheelchair. It probably also reflects the psychological toll associated with being misled to believe that walking was a realistic option. Whether stated or not, if patients only receive therapy directed at walking, then the implicit message is that walking is an attainable goal. This encourages denial of the serious implications of SCI and delays mourning and adaptations to life using a wheelchair. It is not surprising that at one year post injury the patients who do not regain functional ambulation are isolated, depressed, and have a poor quality of life. In addition, solely focusing on walking conveys the errant and potentially-damaging message to patients that walking is of the utmost importance and something to be strived for at all costs. We suggest that instead of sending the message that walking is the only satisfactory outcome following SCI, patients with severe motor impairments due to SCI need to receive a message from their health care providers that life using a wheelchair is a life worth living, albeit a different life.

The current focus on locomotor training is in part consumerdriven. Understandably, patients want to walk, and their demands for programs that focus on this goal are dictating the agenda for rehabilitation program development. Many rehabilitation centers have acquired expensive equipment to support locomotor training programs in order to improve their market appeal and portray the image that they are cutting-edge and desirable locations in which to pursue rehabilitation. In some locations, these services are being offered to patients regardless of their potential to walk and in place of standard rehabilitation. Patients are attracted by the flash of technology, the promise of walking, and the underlying message of hope. They are not attracted to rehabilitation centers that focus on preparing them for a life using a wheelchair. However, for the many patients with severe motor impairments, the sole focus on walking does them a great disservice. Patients need to be taught compensations when appropriate because the teaching of compensations is sometimes the only effective form of rehabilitation.

It is our responsibility to advocate for our patients, to encourage the performance of interventions that maximize independence and participation, to minimize the squandering of precious health care resources, and to guide practice based upon the best available evidence. It is time to put an end to the debate about compensation versus recovery. They are not mutually exclusive. Instead, functional recovery is often dependent upon compensation. We must acknowledge the limitations of what we currently have to offer patients with SCI and work to advance the science that will help us build a better future for people living with SCI.

#### REFERENCES

- Sadowsky CL, McDonald JW. Activity-based restorative therapies: concepts and applications in spinal cord injury-related neurorehabilitation. Dev Disabil Res Rev 2009; 15: 112–116.
- Dietz V, Colombo G, Jensen L. Locomotor activity in spinal man. Lancet 1994; 334: 1260–1263.
- 3. Dobkin B, Apple D, Barbeau H, Basso M, Behrman A, Deforge D, et al. Weight-supported treadmill vs over-ground training for walk-

- ing after acute incomplete SCI. Neurology 2006; 66: 484-493.
- 4. Hicks AL, Adams MM, Martin Ginis K, Giangregorio L, Latimer A, Phillips SM, et al. Long-term body-weight-supported treadmill training and subsequent follow-up in persons with chronic SCI: Effects on functional walking ability and measures of subjective well-being. Spinal Cord 2005; 43: 291–298.
- 5. Nymark J, DeForge D, Barbeau H, Badour M, Bercovitch S, Tomas J, et al. Body weight support treadmill gait training in the subacute recovery phase of incomplete spinal cord injury. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 1998; 12: 119–136.
- 6. Dobkin B, Barbeau H, Deforge D, Ditunno J, Elashoff R, Apple D, et al. The evolution of walking-related outcomes over the first 12 weeks of rehabilitation for incomplete traumatic spinal cord injury: The multicenter randomized Spinal Cord Injury Locomotor Trial. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 2007; 21: 25–35.
- Musselman KE, Fouad K, Misiaszek JE, Yang JF. Training of walking skills overground and on the treadmill: Case series on individuals with incomplete spinal cord injury. Phys Ther 2009; 89: 601–611.
- Postans NJ, Hasler JP, Granat MH, Maxwell DJ. Functional electrical stimulation to augment partial weight-bearing supported treadmill training for patients with acute incomplete spinal cord injury: A pilot study. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2004; 85: 604–610.
- Riggins MS, Kankipati P, Oyster ML, Cooper RA, Boninger ML.
   The relationship between quality of life and change in mobility 1 year postinjury in individuals with spinal cord injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2011; 92: 1027–1033.

Submitted January 2, 2012; accepted January 25, 2012

Jennifer D. Hastings, PhD¹, Lisa A. Harvey, PhD²\*,
Joy A. Bruce, PhD³ and Martha F. Somers, DPT⁴
From the ¹University of Puget Sound, Tacoma, Washington,
USA, ²Rehabilitation Studies Unit, Northern Clinical School,
Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney, PO Box 6,
Ryde, NSW 1680, Australia, ³Shepherd Center, Atlanta and
⁴Department of Physical Therapy, Rangos School of Health
Sciences, Duquesne University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania,
USA. \*E-mail: l.harvey@usyd.edu.au