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## Nomenclature

| Symbol | Description | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{C}$ | Mean sediment concentration of suspended sediment. | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{L}^{3}$ |
| $\overline{C_{T}}$ | Sediment flux concentration (sediment mass flux per unit mass flow rate). | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{L}^{3} / \mathrm{M}$ |
| $R_{e}^{*}$ | Particle Reynolds Number. | Dimensionless |
| $u_{i}$ | Mean flow velocity. | Dimensionless |
| $\partial$ | Partial differential operator. |  |
| A | Cross-sectional Area. | $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ |
| a | Acceleration. | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{T}}$ | Temporal component of acceleration. | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{a}_{\text {S }}$ | Spatial component of acceleration. | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T}^{2}$ |
| B | Top width of the cross-section. | L |
| C | Suspended Load Concentration. | M/L ${ }^{3}$ |
| C | Chezy coefficient. | $\mathrm{L}^{1 / 2} / \mathrm{T}$ |
| $\mathrm{c}_{1}, \mathrm{c}_{2}, \mathrm{c}_{3}, \mathrm{c}_{4}$ | Coefficients in Ackers-White Total-Load Predictor. | Dimensionless |
| c' | Conversion factor for Manning's formula. | Dimensionless |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | Drag Coefficient. | Dimensionless |
| d | Particle diameter. | L |
| D | Total water column depth. | L |
| d* | Dimensionless grain diameter. | Dimensionless |
| $\mathrm{D}_{50}$ | Median diameter of sediment. | L |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{gr}}$ | Dimensionless grain diameter. | Dimensionless |
| $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{gr}}$ | Sediment mobility number. | Dimensionless |
| $\mathrm{f}_{\text {s }}$ | Silt factor. |  |
| G | Acceleration due to gravity. | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T}^{2}$ |
| K | Conveyance. | $L^{3} / \mathrm{T}$ |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\text {s }}$ | Manning-Strickler Coefficient. | $\mathrm{L}^{3} \mathrm{~T}$ |
| n | Manning's coefficient. | Dimensionless |
| P | Pressure. | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T}^{2}$ |


| $P_{w}$ | Wetted Perimeter. | L |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Q | Water discharge. | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T}$ |
| q | Lateral inflow. | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| R | Hydraulic radius. | L |
| $s$ | Specific gravity of the particles. | Dimensionless |
| S | Sediment source or sink within the solution domain <br> other than the boundaries. | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{L}^{3} / \mathrm{T}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | Steady-state energy or Friction slope. | Dimensionless |
| T | Time. | T |
| u | Velocity component in the x-direction. | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T}$ |
| $\mathrm{u}_{*}$ | Shear velocity. | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T}$ |
| V | Mean velocity. | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T}$ |
| x | Abscissa measured along the river; spatial component. | L |
| y | Water surface elevation; spatial component. | L |
| $z$ | Bed elevation; Spatial vertical component. | L |
| $\alpha$ | Momentum correction factor. | Dimensionless |
| $\gamma$ | Specific weight of water. | $\mathrm{ML} / \mathrm{T}^{2} / \mathrm{M}^{3}$ |
| $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}$ | Specific weight of sediment. | $\mathrm{ML} / \mathrm{T}^{2} / \mathrm{M}^{3}$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{t}$ | Time step. | T |
| $\Delta \mathrm{x}$ | Horizontal grid spacing in the x-direction. | L |
| $\Delta y$ | Horizontal grid spacing in the y-direction. | L |
| $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{j}}$ | Exposure correction factor. | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{L}^{2}$ |
| $v$ | Kinematic viscosity. | $\mathrm{L}^{2} / \mathrm{T}$ |
| $\rho$ | Fluid density. | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{M}^{3}$ |
| $\rho_{\mathrm{s}}$ | Sediment density. | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{M}^{3}$ |
| $\tau_{\mathrm{o}}$ | Total bottom shear stress. | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T}^{2}$ |


#### Abstract

The Mississippi River (MR) has been engineered with the development of the levee system, dams for flood control measures, jetties, revetments and dredging of the navigation channel. These alterations have reduced the replenishment of the sediment to the Louisiana Coastal area. To aid in the restoration planning, 1-D numerical models have been calibrated and validated to predict the river response to various changes such as channel modifications, varied flow conditions and hurricane situations. This study utilized the HEC-RAS 4.1 and the CHARIMA (Dr. Forrest Holly, University of Iowa). The models were calibrated for hydrodynamics and sediment using Tarbert Landing discharges (HEC-RAS), Belle Chasse sand concentrations (CHARIMA), and Gulf of Mexico (GOM) stages. The models showed that a large percentage of the river flow is lost over the East Bank downstream of Bohemia which reduces the sand transport capacity of the river. This reach is subject to flow reversals during hurricanes.
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## Chapter 1: Introduction

### 1.1 Background

The Mississippi River (MR) has been a major natural, economic, and industrial resource for the United States since the 1800s. The MR was a major source of sediment, freshwater and nutrients to the Louisiana Coast. However, due to the development of the levee system, dams, jetties and non-beneficial dredging of the navigation channel, the replenishment of the sediment to the delta has been drastically reduced. The Louisiana's coastal wetlands have been deprived of the most of their historic sediment load of about 120 million tons annually. The MR river transports these sediments to the Gulf of Mexico (GOM) (Allison and Meselhe, 2010; Parker and Sequerios 2006).

The Mississippi River (MR) has an average annual discharge of around 540,000 cubic feet per second (CFS) (2007-2013). The peak discharge of around 1.5 million CFS was measured at Tarbert Landing gage (RM 306) in 2011. During high flows, the chances of flooding by topping the levees or breaching are high. Spillways such as Bonnet Carré and Morganza are opened in order to control the high flows in Lower MR in New Orleans. The hurricane season extends from June to September in New Orleans area. In 2011, the peak MR discharge overlapped with the beginning of the hurricane season which means that it is feasible for a flood on the MR coinciding with a hurricane surge. Also with flows entering the MR from the Gulf and un-leveed outflows such as Bohemia pushes more flow into MR leading to higher risk of flooding and breach of levees.

In order to restore the sediments and freshwater from MR to the Louisiana Coastal areas, a better understanding of working of the system hydrodynamics and sediment transport is necessary. The numerical modeling of hydrodynamics and sediment transport of the MR can be very useful in assessing potential impacts of restoration projects or future sea level or climatological conditions. This study includes 1-D dynamic modeling of the Lower MR reach from Tarbert Landing (RM 306.2) to GOM for hydrodynamics in HEC-RAS. The sediment transport model has been developed in CHARIMA with a domain from Belle Chasse (RM 76) to GOM. The following figure shows the Lower Mississippi River with the location of the reaches modeled.


Figure 1-1: Google Earth image of the Model domain.

### 1.2 Objectives

The purpose of this study is to investigate the inflows and outflows from MR for normal (existing), altered (e.g. diversions) and hurricane conditions. A 1-dimensional numerical model
was needed to simulate the hydrodynamics of the river and its existing diversions. The lower Mississippi River model developed by Davis was recalibrated using HEC-RAS 4.1 with the updated elevations in Bohemia Spillway, Ostrica, Fort St. Philip, and the passes. The survey data from the Lake Pontchartrain Basin Foundation (LPBF) were used for the reach from Bohemia to Fort St. Philip. Additional data for Fort St. Philip were obtained from the Mississippi Hydro Study (Dr. Thad Pratt).

Re-calibration and validation were needed to ensure the accuracy of the model. After validation, model was used to quantify the outflows in un-leveed region such as Bohemia since they represent a significant part of the water and sediment extraction in the Lower River. The model was used to simulate hurricanes. The model was used to estimate the surge propagation in MR due to hurricanes such as Isaac, Gustav and Katrina. These river surges can travel hundreds of miles up the river and may result in flow reversals and added hydrostatic pressures on the levees. The HEC-RAS model calibrated for hydrodynamics was used as a source for stage and flow data to calibrate the CHARIMA model. Then the calibrated CHARIMA model was used to simulate sediment (sand) transport in MR and calculate the sediment to water ratio (SWR) for outflows which assisted in identifying if the diversions are likely to cause shoaling or erosion in the main stem of the river.

### 1.3 General Approach

HEC-RAS 4.1 developed by USACE was chosen for the 1-d numerical modeling for hydrodynamics because of the large spatial domain of the River and long-term time predictions that were required. The cross-sectional data from various sources, including the 2003-2004 Hydrodynamics Survey (USACE NOD, 2007), were used to replicate the channel geometry in the model. Equivalent channels were developed to account for unknown survey data at several locations. Inline and lateral structures were inputted to imitate the existing diversion structures. Discharge and stage data were used as boundary conditions. Model parameters such as discharge coefficients and flow roughness factors were altered for the calibration of the model based on measured data. The model was run from 2007 until 2013 for calibration and validation purposes. Figure 1-2 shows the schematic of the HEC-RAS model overlaying a satellite view of the southern Louisiana (Pereira et al. 2009).


Figure 1-2: HEC-RAS Model Schematic Overlaying Satellite Imagery (Pereira et al.2010).

## Chapter 2: Literature Review

Numerical models are becoming popular alternative for designing and modeling a hydraulic system. Numerical models are typically inexpensive compared to physical models. It is easier to change system parameters, has the ability to simulate realistic and/or ideal conditions, and also provides for the exploration of hypothetical events (Waldron, 2008). On the other hand, physical models are being abandoned due to geometry constraints and lack of applicability to alternate problems (Papanicolau et al.2008). Louisiana State University's (LSU) Small Scale Physical Model (SSPM) of the Lower Mississippi River (MR) was tested to examine the possibility for freshwater and sediment diversions in 2008. The first problem with the SSPM is that the vertical scale $(1: 500)$ is much smaller than the length scale $(1: 12000)$. This represents a distorted scale of 24 , which changes some river processes such as secondary currents which makes it difficult to perfectly quantify mobile bed processes (Waldron 2008). The necessary space required for a reliable SSPM could possibly take up an entire street block. The second problem with LSU's SSPM is viscosity and surface tension scale effects which reduce the accuracy of the model especially for small diversions. For example 1 mm in the model corresponds to 1.7 prototype feet which limit the results due to the precision of the measurements.

### 2.1 Description of Numerical Models

There are many 1, 2 and 3-Dimensional numerical models available for use, depending upon the spatial-temporal capabilities necessary. For example, Table 2.1 shows some possible 1D models that have been used in river modeling (after Papanicolaou et al. 2008). A 1-D numerical model has one spatial dimension either along the channel or water column with the capability to simulate both steady and unsteady state flows. Most of the 1-D models are formulated in a rectilinear co-ordinates system and solve the differential conservation equations of mass and momentum of flow (the St. Venant flow equations) along with the sediment mass continuity equation (the Exner equation) by using finite difference schemes. The 2-D numerical model has two spatial dimensions where one is along the channel and the other along the water column. 2-D models can simulate both steady and unsteady state flows, as well as solve steady and unsteady state mass equations. Most 2-D models solve the depth-averaged continuity and Navier-Stokes equations along with the sediment mass balance equation with the methods of finite difference, finite element, or finite volume (Papanicolaou et al. 2008). 3-D numerical model has 3 spatial dimensions, where one is along the channel ( $x$ ), one is along the water column ( z ), and the other is across the channel ( y ). 3-D models are advanced mechanistic models that can simulate steady and unsteady state flows and can make steady and unsteady state mass computations. Most 3-D models solve the continuity and the Navier-Stokes equations, along with the sediment mass balance equations through the methods of finite difference, finite element, or finite-volume. The Reynolds average Navier-Stokes (RANS) approach has been employed to
solve the governing equations. 3-D models are mostly used for the unsteady conditions (McCorquodale and Georgiou, 2006).

Table 2.1: Possible 1-D models that include sediment (Papanicolaou et al. 2008)
Table 1. Summary of Selected 1D Models

| Model and references | Last update | Flow | Bed sediment transport | Suspended sediment transport | Sediment mixtures | Cohesive sediment | Sediment exchange processes | Executable | Source code | Language |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HEC-6: Hydraulic Engineering Center; Thomas and Prashum (1977) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { V. } 4.2 \\ & (2004) \end{aligned}$ | Steady | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Entrainment and deposition | PD | PD | F77 |
| MOBED: MObile BED; Krishnappan (1981) | - | Unsteady | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Entrainment and deposition | C | C | F90 |
| IALLUVIAL: Iowa ALLUVIAL; Karim and Kennedy (1982) | - | Quasi-steady | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Entrainment and deposition | C | C | FIV |
| FLUVIAL 11; <br> Chang (1984) | - | Unsteady | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Entrainment and deposition | C | P | FIV |
| GSTARS: Generalized sediment transport models for alluvial River simulation (Molinas and Yang, 1986) | $\begin{gathered} \text { V. } 3 \\ (2002) \end{gathered}$ | Unsteady | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Entrainment and deposition | PD | PD | F90/95 |
| CHARIMA: Acronym of the word CHARiage which means bedload in French Holly et al. (1990) | - | Unsteady | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Entrainment and deposition | C | C | F 77 |
| SEDICOUP: SEDIment COUPled; Holly and Rahuel (1990) | - | Unsteady | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Entrainment and deposition | C | C | F77 |
| OTIS: One-dimensional transport with inflow and storage; Runkel and Broshears (1991) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { V. OTIS-P } \\ & (1998) \end{aligned}$ | Unsteady | No | Yes | No | No | Advectiondiffusion | PD | PD | F 77 |
| EFDC1D: Environmental fluid dynamics code; Hamrick (2001) | - | Unsteady | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Entrainment and deposition | PD | PD | F77 |
| 3STD1, steep stream sediment Transport 1D model; Papanicolaou et al. (2004) | - | Unsteady | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Yes | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Yes | Yes | No | Entrainmentand deposition | C | P | F90 |

### 2.2 Parameters for Numerical model selection

The selection of a suitable numerical model depends on various factors ranging from cost to availability of the model. Based on a comprehensive list of model parameters listed by McCorquodale and Georgiou (2006), the following attributes have been considered for the selection of the model for this study.

- Availability of the model
- Dimensionality of the model
- Cost of obtaining and implementing the code
- Hardware and Software requirements
- Execution efficiency
- Data requirement for the calibration, validation and application of the model
- Precedence for using this model at the site for similar site and the quality of the outcome

The numerical models selected for the study based on above mentioned criteria were HEC-RAS and CHARIMA. Davis (2010) used HEC-RAS for the hydrodynamics of the Lower Mississippi River including confluences and flow splits. The model was improved and recalibrated based on improved field data for outflows such as Fort St. Philip and Bohemia. Pereira et al. (2009) applied HEC-RAS to study the sand transport in the main stem of the Lower Mississippi River. However, HEC-RAS does not have the capability to model sand transport at confluences and flow splits. So, CHARIMA was used for simulation of the sand transport. CHARIMA was selected over HEC-RAS because it allows the simulation of sediment transport with fully unsteady flows and computes the sediment exchanges at junctions. It also allows the user to input/change more parameters than HEC-RAS permitting a better calibration. In addition, Dr. Holly provided access to the source code of CHARIMA.

### 2.3 Numerical modeling of Mississippi River

Previously 1-D modeling of the MR was conducted for the reach between Tarbert Landing (RM 306) and East Jetty (RM -20) using the Waterways Experiment Station's (WES) TABS-1 model (Copeland and Thomas, 1992). The study investigated the effects of diversions have on dredging the MR. The sediment transport module was used to predict the patterns of sand deposition downstream of a diversion by changing the concentration of sediment diverted from the river. Several diversion site alternatives were tested to compare the river's response to the location of a diversion. The results of the study indicated that the further upstream the diversion is located, the less dredging will be required because the flow would be high enough to re-suspend the recently deposited sediment just downstream of the diversion. The study also showed that the amount of sediment diverted plays an even bigger role in the resulting water surface and bed deposition because if no sediment were diverted there would not be enough flow to entrain the deposited sediment and dredging would be increased.

Another 1-D model for MR was developed in 2010 and the modeled reach extended from Tarbert Landing to Gulf of Mexico (GOM) using the HEC-RAS numerical model (Davis et al. 2010). This study investigated the hydrodynamics of the river and its existing diversions and distributaries. The river response model was needed to investigate the impacts of proposed freshwater diversions as well as proposed distributary modifications. The model was considered suitable for application because it was validated with relatively small errors. The model was then used to simulate conditions such as closing of West Bay, South Pass and Southwest Pass individually and observe the changes in flow distribution in the remaining outlets.

In 2011, 1-D model was developed in CHARIMA for the reach extending from Belle Chasse to downstream of Main Pass (Pereira et al. 2011). The model simulated the hydrodynamics and suspended sand transport. The sediment data was obtained from Nittrouer et al. (2008) and Allison (2010). Hydrodynamics data for the model was obtained from the Davis (2010) study in which HEC-RAS was applied to model the Lower MR from Tarbert Landing to the Gulf of Mexico. The model could simulate the suspended sand transport but it was found to be time-step dependent contradicting the theory.

## Chapter 3: Existing and Future Conditions of the Mississippi River Domain

### 3.1 Modeled Reach

The current study incorporated Davis et al.'s (2010) channel geometry of the MR from Tarbert Landing (RM 306) to GOM (RM-18) with the improvements in Bohemia and Fort St. Philip reach due to availability of survey data from LPBF for the HEC-RAS model. For the CHARIMA model, Pereira et al.'s (2011) model from Belle Chasse to HOP was extended to the GOM.

### 3.2 Existing Diversions

There are several existing freshwater and sediment diversions along the MR from Tarbert Landing to the GOM. These diversions are primarily designed for land building purposes and some are used for the dissipation of high floods. Some manmade channels along the river are designed for navigational purposes. The following is the list of all the outflow channels, diversions, and spillway that were included in the model under existing diversions.

1. Morganza Spillway (RM 280)
2. Bonnet Carré Spillway (129)
3. Davis Pond (118)
4. ICCW at Harvey (RM 99)
5. IHNC at Chalmette (RM 92)
6. ICCW at Algiers (RM 88)
7. Violet (RM 84)
8. Caernarvon Diversion (RM 82)
9. White Ditch (RM 65)
10. West Pointe A-La-Hache (RM 49)
11. Bohemia U/S (RM 34)
12. Bohemia Intermediate (RM 32.5)
13. Bohemia D/S (RM 31)
14. Bayou Lamoque N (RM 33)
15. Bayou Lamoque S (RM 32)
16. Fort St. Philip (RM 20)
17. Baptiste Collette (RM 12)
18. Grand Pass (RM 10)
19. Tiger Pass (RM 10)
20. West Bay (RM 4)

## 21. Main Pass (RM 4)

Figure 2-1 shows the existing outflow channels and diversion in both HEC-RAS and CHARIMA model domain.


Figure 2-1: Existing and future diversions in the model domain. (Google Earth Imagery, 2013)

### 3.3 Future MLODS Diversions

The MLODS (LPBF 2008) outlines several proposed diversions along the MR which are intended to reintroduce freshwater and sediment into the coastal area. Following are the list of proposed diversions in the Lower Mississippi River.
a) Lagan (RM)
b) Johnson (RM)
c) Jesuit (RM 69.1)
d) Belair (RM 67.1)
e) Myrtle Grove and Deer Range (RM 59.1)
f) Buras (RM 23.1)

Figure 2-1 shows the HEC-RAS model domain with both existing and future diversions and outflow channels. Figure 2-1 also shows the CHARIMA model domain with both existing and future diversions and outflows channels.

### 3.1.2 Scenarios considered for model simulation

Both HEC-RAS and CHARIMA models were simulated for following cases:

1) Existing Diversions
2) Addition of MLODS (Multiple Lines of Defense Strategy) diversions
3) Addition of MLODS diversions with dredged Pass-A-Loutre
4) Addition of MLODS diversions, dredged Pass A-Loutre and Southwest pass closure
5) Addition of MLODS diversions, dredged Pass A-Loutre and South pass closure
6) Addition of MLODS diversions, dredged Pass A-Loutre with both South \& Southwest pass closure

The results of discharge load, sand load and sand concentration in MR and outflows from HEC-RAS and CHARIMA model can be found in the application section.

## Chapter 4: Description of the Models

The two models used for this study were HEC-RAS 4.1 and CHARIMA.

### 4.1 Description of HEC-RAS

In this study, HEC-RAS 4.1, a 1-D numerical model, was used to simulate the hydrodynamics of the Lower MR under unsteady flow and fixed-bed conditions (USACE HEC, 2010, http://www.hec.usace.army.mil/software/hec-ras/downloads.aspx). HEC-RAS is a public domain model created by the Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC) of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. It is an upgrade of its predecessors HEC-2 and HEC-6 because it includes a user interface and graphical outputs. From the output tables, hydrodynamic features such as stages, discharges, velocities, water surface elevations, shear stresses etc. can be accessed for each cross-section at each user specified time interval. Other outputs include stage and discharge hydrographs, longitudinal flow profiles, rating curves and cross-sectional flow profiles. The graphical outputs can also be animated to show how the parameters change at every userspecified time step. The user interface includes colored icon buttons for to access various functions of the model, a drawing area for river schematics, legends for plots, zooming and panning options, identification labels for reaches, junctions, storage areas and cross-sections, flow directionality arrows, and multiple windows for view purposes. HEC-RAS can make longterm predictions and can handle large scale project areas. Also, tributary and distributary systems can be modeled as network. The model has the options for U.S. Customary and System International (SI) units. The model can simulate steady and unsteady hydrodynamics. It can also simulate sediment transport under quasi-steady flow regimes, i.e. the hydrograph is assumed to vary stepwise from one steady state to the next steady state. The code does not permit modeling of sediment diversions.

### 4.1.1 Geometric Data Editor

A reach is described as a river, lake, stream, channel or a portion of these drawn in the geometry interface window. A reach is comprised of at least two cross-sections inputted by the user. Cross-sections can be depicted by a maximum of 500 station and elevation co-ordinates with the first station being zero. All stations are entered from left to right looking downstream. Data such as Manning's $n$ values, bank stations, reach lengths and expansion/contraction coefficients are required for each cross-section. The Manning's n can be varied vertically or horizontally. They can also be a varied based on the flow rate in the channel by entering flow roughness factors that will be multiplied by the n values for individual flow rates. Manning's n values are used as a parameter for calibration purposes.

A junction is defined as a connection of two or more reaches for either split flows or flow confluences.

The model is capable of estimating flow through, over, and/or around hydraulic structures such as weirs, gates, spillways, storage areas, levees, pumps, culverts and bridges. The structures are specified as inline structures, lateral structures, bridges/culverts, storage areas and pumps. An inline structure can be modeled as a weir or a weir with gates (spillway). A lateral structure can be modeled as weirs, weirs with culverts, weir with gates and culverts or a lateral diversion rating curve. Lateral structures also have the option to divert flow out of the system into a storage area or into a cross-section or range of cross-sections. Lateral structures can be placed on the left or right bank or next to the left or right bank station. Stations, elevations, weir coefficient, weir width, weir crest shape and the distance to the upstream cross-section are needed for all lateral and inline structures. The data required for gates are width, height, invert, centerline stations, type, submerged orifice coefficient, overflow weir shape and coefficient. Storage areas in the model are considered to be offline storage and require a lateral structural to connect to a reach. Multiple storage areas can be connected to each other via storage area connections. Inputting a storage area requires a representative area and a minimum elevation or an elevation versus volume curve.

### 4.1.2 Unsteady Flow Data Editor

Boundary conditions and initial conditions are required to simulate unsteady flows. Upstream and downstream boundaries are required for all model reaches except for junctions. If the upstream boundary of the reach is a junction, then only a downstream boundary is needed. No boundary conditions are needed for a reach with both boundaries as junctions. The boundary conditions for reaches consist of stage hydrographs, flow hydrographs, stage/flow hydrographs, rating curves and normal depths. The possible boundary conditions for lateral structures with gates are elevation controlled gates, time series gate openings and rules. Inline structures have similar boundary conditions as lateral structures with the addition of navigation dams. The program has the option to add an internal boundary condition too. The internal boundary condition consists of lateral inflow hydrographs, uniform lateral flow, groundwater interflow and internal boundary stage/flow hydrographs. Lateral inflow hydrograph can also be added as a boundary condition for storage areas. Flow change locations can be added for any cross-section (except for the first of the reach) or any lateral or inline structures of any reach. Flows entered with a negative sign are considered outflows and the ones with positive signs are considered inflows. Initial conditions consist of the initial flow distribution for the upstream cross-section of each reach and the initial elevation of water in each storage area.

### 4.1.3 Unsteady Flow Analysis Editor

A plan is a simulation setup file with a specific geometry file and unsteady flow data file with a specific date and time defined for the start and end of the simulation. The user must define which plan to simulate or else the most recent plan will be executed by the program. The model offers the option of selecting the program to run which includes Geometry Preprocessor, Unsteady Flow Simulation and Post Processor. The user need to select the computation time interval, hydrograph output interval and detailed output interval. Some other simulation options available include mixed flow options, initial backwater flow optimizations, calculation options and tolerances and runtime computational options. The program checks if all boundary and initial conditions that were entered, the geometry requirements were satisfied and that all necessary data was provided before running the simulation. The program stops running and provides a detailed error message indicating the problem in a separate window when any errors or missing input data occurs. During simulation, additional window opens and displays the status of the simulation. After the program stops running, all graphical and tabular outputs are available to check the results.

### 4.2 Governing Equations

The flow in the MR is considered unsteady as the velocity in the channel changes with time. Since, the flow is free from hydraulic drops or jumps in the considered reach, it can be assumed to be gradually varied and unsteady flow. HEC-RAS solves two unsteady equations which are the conservation of mass (continuity) and the conservation of momentum. These two equations were first introduced in their partial differential form by de St. Venant in 1871 (Mahmood and Yevjevich, 1975). Due to the complexity of the equations, exact integration is not possible unless step methods or simplifying assumptions are used (Chow, 1959). The unsteady flow routing of HEC-RAS is based on Liggett's derivations of the de St. Venant unsteady flow equations (USACE HEC -2008).

### 4.2.1 Conservation of Mass (Continuity)

The law of conservation of mass states that for a closed system, the mass must remain constant over time. So, the mass flowing into a control volume (CV) has to come out. Therefore, the net rate of inflow should be equal to the rate of change in storage in the CV . Consider the CV shown below (Figure 3-1). The co-ordinate system used herein designates x as the horizontal (longitudinal) direction of the primary flow, y as the horizontal (lateral) direction normal to the primary flow, and z as the vertical direction.


Figure 3-1: Representative Control Volume for derivation of Continuity equations.

The flow rate Q is the function of channel area and fluid velocity. As the flow travels along the cross-sections across a distance $\Delta \mathrm{x}$, the area is considered to be changing with respect to the x -axis. As a result, the flow rate is also changing over the distance $\Delta \mathrm{x}$. The change in flow over distance is represented by $\partial \mathrm{Q} / \partial \mathrm{x}$. The net inflow rate $\mathrm{Q}_{1}$ is equal to the flow at the centroid of the CV minus the change in flow at the centroid with respect to the distance between face 1 and the centroid.
$Q_{1}=Q-\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \frac{\Delta x}{2}$
Equation 4.1

Similarly, the rate of outflows $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ is equal to the flow at the centroid of the CV plus the change in flow at the centroid with respect to the distance between the centroid and face 2 .
$Q_{2}=Q+\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \frac{\Delta x}{2}$
Equation 4.2

The rate of change in storage $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ is equal to the change in volume over time. As x is an independent variable, the change is storage become $\partial \mathrm{A} \Delta \mathrm{x}$. The rate of change in storage is given by:

$$
\Delta S=\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \Delta x
$$

Equation 4.3

So, the equation of conservation of mass can be written as:
$\rho \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \Delta x=\rho\left[\left(Q-\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \frac{\Delta x}{2}\right)-\left(Q+\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \frac{\Delta x}{2}\right)\right]$
Equation 4.4

Flow entering the channel through runoff, precipitation and other means must be accounted too when considering the continuity. As a representation of these lateral flows, $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is added to the above equation.
$\rho \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \Delta x=\rho\left[\left(Q-\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \frac{\Delta x}{2}\right)-\left(Q+\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \frac{\Delta x}{2}\right)+Q_{L}\right]$
Or, $\rho \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \Delta x=\rho\left[Q_{L}-\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \Delta x\right]$
Equation 4.5

Assuming the fluid is incompressible, the density ( $\rho$ ) is constant. Dividing by $\rho \Delta x$, $\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}=\frac{Q_{L}}{\Delta x}-\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}$

Or, $\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}-q_{L}=0$
Equation 4.6

Equation 3.6 represents the simplified version of the conservation of mass equation where:
$\mathrm{Q}_{1}=$ Net inflow rate
$\mathrm{Q}_{2}=$ Net outflow rate
$\mathrm{Q}=$ Flow rate at the centroid of the CV
$\Delta \mathrm{x}=$ Differential longitudinal width of the CV
$\Delta \mathrm{S}=$ Rate of change of storage
$\mathrm{B}=$ Top Width of the CV
$\mathrm{h}=$ Depth of the CV
$\mathrm{t}=$ time
$\rho=$ Density of the water
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ Lateral inflow in the CV
$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ Lateral inflow per unit length of the CV
$\partial=$ Partial derivative function

### 4.2.2 Conservation of Momentum



Figure 3-2: Representative Control Volume for Conservation of Momentum.

The conservation of momentum based on Newton's second law of motion states that the rate of change of momentum of a fluid in control volume (CV) is equal to the sum of the external forces acting on the CV. HEC-RAS solves the momentum equation only in the x-direction and the external forces acting on the CV are pressure, gravity and friction.

The conservation of momentum is expressed as:
$\sum \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{dm} \mathrm{a}$
Equation 4.7
Considering the external forces, the momentum equation is represented by:
$P_{1}-P_{2}+W_{x}-d_{F}=d m a$
Equation 4.8
Where:
$P_{1}=$ Pressure force along face 1 of the CV
$\mathrm{P}_{2}=$ Pressure force along face 2 of the CV
$\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{Weight}$ of the water in the $\mathrm{CV}=\gamma \mathrm{V}$
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ Weight of the water in the CV along the x -axis $=\mathrm{W} \sin \Theta$
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{Weight}$ of the water in the CV along the z -axis $=\mathrm{W} \cos \Theta$
$\mathrm{dF}=$ friction force along the bed of the $\mathrm{CV}=\gamma \mathrm{A} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}} \Delta \mathrm{x}$
$\mathrm{dm}=$ Change in mass in the $\mathrm{CV}=\rho \mathrm{A} \Delta \mathrm{x}$
$\mathrm{a}=$ Acceleration of the control volume

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho=\text { Density of the water } \\
& \mathrm{A}=\text { Cross-sectional area of the } \mathrm{CV} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{x}=\text { Differential longitudinal width of the } \mathrm{CV} \\
& \gamma=\text { Specific weight of water }=62.42 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}=9.806 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
& \mathrm{~V}=\text { Volume of water in } \mathrm{CV}=\mathrm{A} \Delta \mathrm{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

The acceleration term ' $a$ ' has two components. The temporal component ' $a_{\mathrm{T}}$ ' represents the change in velocity in time at the centroid of the CV.

$$
a_{T}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}
$$

The spatial component 'as' represents the change in the velocity with respect to the distance between the face and the centroid of the CV.
$a_{S}=v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$
Equation 4.10

So,
$\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{S}}$
Or, $a=\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$
Equation 4.11

Where:
$V=$ Velocity in the $C V$
$t=$ time

With the acceleration term, the new equation is represented by:
$P_{1}-P_{2}+W_{x}-d_{F}=d m\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)$
Equation 4.12

The mass in a CV is given by:
$d m=\rho V$
Equation 4.13
Volume is the product of length, width and height of a CV. The length in the CV is designated as $\Delta \mathrm{x}$. The cross-sectional area ' A ' represents the product of the width and height of the CV. So,

The conservation of momentum equation can be represented as:
$P_{1}-P_{2}+W_{x}-d_{F}=\rho A \Delta x\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)$
Equation 4.15

The pressure ' p ' acting on the face of CV is defined as the force exerted by the surrounding water per unit area of the face. According to Liggett, shallow water theory assumes that the pressure is hydrostatic, and it therefore has a linear distribution along the depth (Mahmood and Yevjevich, 1975). The hydrostatic pressure equation is given by:

$$
p=\rho g(h-z)
$$

Equation 4.16
where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{p}=\text { Pressure } \\
& \rho=\text { Density of the water } \\
& \mathrm{g}=\text { Acceleration due to gravity } \\
& \mathrm{h}=\text { Water depth } \\
& \mathrm{z}=\text { Vertical co-ordinate }
\end{aligned}
$$

The pressure force ' P ' can be obtained by multiplying the cross-sectional area of the CV 'A',

$$
P=\rho g A(h-z)
$$

Equation 4.17
Let the pressure at the centroid of the CV be P . So, the pressure force at face 1 of CV ' $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ ' is the pressure P minus the change in pressure P from face 1 to the centroid multiplied by the distance between face 1 and the centroid. Similarly, the pressure force at face 2 ' $P_{2}$ ' is the pressure P plus the change in the pressure P from face 2 to the centroid multiplied by the distance between face 2 and the centroid.
$P_{1}=P-\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \frac{\Delta x}{2}$
Equation 4.18
$P_{2}=P+\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \frac{\Delta x}{2}$
Equation 4.19

Now,
$P_{1}-P_{2}=\left(P-\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \frac{\Delta x}{2}\right)-\left(P+\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \frac{\Delta x}{2}\right)$
Or, $P_{1}-P_{2}=-\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \Delta x$
Equation 4.20

Integrating Equation 4.17 between h and z with respect to the x -axis and setting $\rho, \mathrm{g}$ and A as constants, Equation 4.20 becomes:
$P_{1}-P_{2}=-\rho g A \Delta x \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$
Or, $P_{1}-P_{2}=-\gamma A \Delta x \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$
Equation 4.21

So, the momentum equation becomes:
$-\gamma A \Delta x \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}+W_{x}-d_{F}=\rho A \Delta x\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)$
Equation 4.22

We have, $W_{x}=W \operatorname{Sin} \theta=d m g \operatorname{Sin} \theta=\rho g A \Delta x \operatorname{Sin} \theta=\gamma A \Delta x \operatorname{Sin} \theta$
In natural channels, the angle $\theta$ is very small. So, $\operatorname{Sin} \theta$ can be written as $\tan \theta$, which is the slope of the channel bed ' $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}}$ '.

So, $-\gamma A \Delta x \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}+\gamma A \Delta x S_{o}-d_{F}=\rho A \Delta x\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)$
Equation 4.23

The friction force ' dF ' acting on the CV is the product of the boundary shear stress ' $\tau_{\mathrm{o}}$ ', the wetted perimeter ' $P_{w}$ ' and the length ' $\Delta x$ ' of the $C V$.

$$
d F=\tau_{o} P_{w} \Delta x
$$

Equation 4.24
The boundary shear stress is given by:
$\tau_{o}=\rho C_{D} v^{2}$
Equation 4.25
Where $C_{D}$ is the drag coefficient, which is defined as:
$C_{D}=\frac{g}{C^{2}}$
Equation 4.26

C is the Chezy coefficient which is used in defining the Chezy equation:
$v=C \sqrt{R S_{f}}$
Equation 4.27
where, $R=\frac{A}{P_{w}}=$ Hydraulic Radius

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}=\text { friction slope }
$$

Now,
$d F=\rho C_{D} v^{2} P_{w} \Delta x=\rho \frac{g}{C^{2}}\left(C \sqrt{R S_{f}}\right)^{2} P_{w} \Delta x=\rho g R P_{w} \Delta x S_{f}=\gamma A \Delta x S_{f}$
Equation 4.28

Finally,
$-\gamma A \Delta x \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}+\gamma A \Delta x S_{o}-\gamma A \Delta x S_{f}=\rho A \Delta x\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)$
Equation 4.29

Dividing the equation with $\rho A \Delta x$ and simplifying the equation, we get;

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+g\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}-S_{o}+S_{f}\right)=0
$$

Equation 4.30

### 4.3 Finite Difference Method

A numerical method is required to solve the above equations due to the presence of nonlinear terms. The HEC-RAS program uses a finite difference method which takes a channel and divides it into $N$ reaches each with a length of $\Delta x$. Each reach is defined by an upstream node and a downstream node. For the first node of the channel defined as 1 , the last node would be labeled $\mathrm{N}+1$. The equations are solved at distinct instances in time, where the difference in two times is called a computational time step ' $\Delta \mathrm{t}$ ' (Roberson et al. 1988). In HEC-RAS, the computational time step is defined by the user as needed. A computational grid is then created using the independent variables x and t . HEC-RAS uses the four-point implicit scheme (box scheme) to solve the equations of continuity and momentum. Figure $4-3$ shows the computation grid for the box scheme.


Figure 4-3: Computational Grid for the box scheme.

The partial derivatives of the governing Equation 4.6 and Equation 4.30 are substituted by finite-difference approximations, which become algebraic equations that are easier to solve. If the known variables at $t_{0}$ represent the initial conditions, then the unknown variables correspond to $t_{0}+\Delta t$. For the implicit scheme, the algebraic equations are in terms of the unknown variables and are computed at each node simultaneously.

### 4.4 Description of CHARIMA

CHARIMA (Holly et al. 1990, Holly 2009) is a one-dimensional unsteady state computation model developed for simulation of steady or unsteady water, sediment and contaminant movement in simple or complex systems of channels. The model is prepared to simulate bed-load and/or suspended-load transport of mixtures of cohesive or non-cohesive sediments along with the short or long-term bed-level changes (aggradation and degradation), bed-sediment sorting and armoring. The program is written in FORTRAN 77. The model can run in both windows and LINUX/UNIX environments. The code consists of a main program (NEWMAIN) and other 85 files. A user-friendly interface developed by Visual Basic for windows environment can be found. The GUI allows the user to update the input files, check the formatting as well as running of the application. Dr. Holly provided the source code which allowed for minor changes in the code that were needed because of the large number of links and nodes in the modeled reaches.

### 4.4.1 Components of Geometry of CHARIMA

a) Links: A link is the flow path between two nodes which is characterized by a single hydraulic law (E.g. Weir, fluvial channel, pump etc.) A link must have at least two computation points. Various link types available in CHARIMA are: Fluvial (de St. Venant) Link, Rectangular Weir Link, Imposed Discharge (pump), Imposed Upstream Water Level Link, Thermal Power Plant, Culvert, Cooling Tower, Rectangular Orifice (gate), Imposed temperature link, Manning-Sticklers Non-Inertial Fluvial link and usercustomized link.
b) Nodes: A node is a junction of two or more links. A node having only one link attached is a boundary condition for that link. The simplest model has one link and two nodes. Any node may have external water inflow specified as a time series. A discharge entering the model node is positive and a discharge taken out of the model is negative. A node may also have an imposed water-surface elevation typically at a downstream exit point of a model or exit to the open water; there can be multiple exit points.
c) Points: A point is a computation point on a link. Any link must have at least two computation points. The physical data associated with a point always include its position RM (miles or km ) and its initial water-surface elevation Y (ft. or m) and initial water discharge Q (CFS or CMS).
d) Sections: A section is a cross-sectional shape that is assigned to one or more computation points. All the fluvial computation points of a model can use the same cross-sectional shape (e.g. rectangular or a trapezoid) or every fluvial computation points may have its own unique cross-section as in a river model.

### 4.4.2 Data Input Files

These files give the user access to model to make changes in geometry, boundary conditions and results output parameters and locations.
a) Cardin.dat: This is a formatted file containing the complete topological, topographical, geometrical and operational description for a model run in British units. Any changes to the model can be made through this file or this file can be accessed through the GUI also which updates the files and saves the changes made by the user.
b) Char23.in: This is a free-field file containing the sequential hydrological and operational time-series data sets necessary for a simulation. The file can be manually updated by the user or updated through GUI by running the CHAR23.EXE file.
c) Rec 23.dat: This is formatted file prepared by CHAR23.EXE and contains all the simulation time series reorganized as successive time records. This file is subsequently read by CHARIMA.EXE to drive a simulation.
d) Cusout.dat: This is a formatted file prepared by the GUI which contains user-specified temporal and spatial output results. Some of the output parameters are water discharge (Q-CFS), water-surface elevation (Y- CFS), thalweg elevation (THAL-ft.), total bed load (QSTO- CFS), water surface velocity at a point (VEL-ft./s) and sum of suspended-load concentrations for all size classes (CSLT-lb./ft ${ }^{3}$ )

### 4.4.3 Result Output Files

These files are important to check the simulation and results. They can be accessed to find the errors and warnings and monitor the working of the model as well as the results.
a) Errwar.out: This is a text file containing one-line error warning and error messages. The numbered errors and Warning can be cross-referenced with the manual to understand these messages and make necessary changes accordingly.
b) Printer.out: This is a text file that echoes most input data from cardin.dat and contains multiple instantaneous snapshots of the state of the entire model as user-specified moments of time.
c) Fate.out: The is a text file that reports detailed water and constituent mass conservation in all links of the model and at the same user-specified moments of time that are used by printer.out.
d) Tfunct.out \& Xfunct.out: These text files contain the time and space dependent output results as requested by the user through the cusout.dat file.

### 4.4.4 Governing Equations for CHARIMA

The de St. Venant (1871) equations for the unsteady flow are based on the flowing assumptions: a) the flow is one-dimensional (i.e. the velocity is uniform over the cross-section and the water level across the cross-section is horizontal); b) the streamline curvature is small and vertical accelerations are negligible hence the pressure is hydrostatic; c) the efforts of boundary friction and turbulence can be accounted for through resistance laws analogous to
those used for steady state flow; d) the average channel bed slope is small so that the cosine of the angle it makes with the horizontal may be replaced by unity.

There are many formulations expressing the interrelation of the sediment transport and water flow in unsteady situations; the simplest acceptable mathematical description is summarized by the following equations.

Water Continuity Equation:

$$
\frac{\partial A}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}=q
$$

## Momentum Equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\alpha \frac{Q^{2}}{A}\right)+g A \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}+g A=\frac{Q|Q|}{k^{2}}=0 \tag{Equation 4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuity equation for solid discharge (modified Exner):

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-n) \tilde{B} \frac{\partial z}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial Q_{s}}{\partial x}=S \tag{Equation 4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The suspended-sediment transport formula:

$$
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{Q C}{A}\right)=\frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(A K_{x} \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{S}{A}
$$

where, $\mathrm{Q}=$ Water Discharge

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}=\text { Cross-sectional Area } \\
& \mathrm{x}=\text { Abscissa measured along the river } \\
& \mathrm{g}=\text { Gravitational acceleration } \\
& \alpha=\text { Momentum Correction Factor } \\
& \mathrm{t}=\text { Time } \\
& \mathrm{q}=\text { Lateral inflow } \\
& \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{s}}=\text { Volumetric bed-load sediment discharge } \\
& \tilde{B}=\text { Water surface width of the section affected by bed load transport }
\end{aligned}
$$

K = Conveyance
C = Suspended-load concentration
$S=$ Source-sink exchange of solid material between the bed layer and suspension.
The modified Exner and the suspended-sediment transport formulas are symbolic representation of a summation overall all sediment classes, each class being transported all or partly as suspended load or bed load, the allocation being variable in space and time. Holly and Rahuel (1990) present a more detailed description of the equations and their terms of reference.

The above equations form a non-linear partial differential system that can be solved by numerical methods of integration. The equations are complemented by empirical relations for the bed-load transport capacity, near-bed equilibrium suspended-sediment concentration, and bedload-suspended load allocation factors depending on local shear stress, for each size class.

### 4.4.5 The sediment Transport Formula

For the non-cohesive sediment formulation, there are four total-load predictors adopted for use in CHARIMA. They are a) Modified TLTM method (Karim 1985); (b) Modified AckersWhite Method (Proffitt and Sutherland 1983); (c) Engelund-Hansen method (1967); (d) Powerlaw method. For this study, Ackers-White Method was adopted for the modeling of noncohesive suspended sand transport. Strickler coefficient (Ks) or the friction factor (f) is given as inputs (Holly et al. 1990) which is the primary parameter for calibration of the model.

The Ackers-White (1973) total-load predictor was developed for uniform sediments and has been expanded by Profitt and Sutherland (1983) to calculate the sediment transport for nonuniform sediments. The original Ackers-White (1973) formula for uniform sediment is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{C_{T}}=\frac{\gamma_{s} D c_{2}\left(\frac{F g r}{c 3}-1\right)^{c_{4}}}{\left(u_{*} / U\right)^{c_{1}} \gamma_{f} d} \tag{Equation 4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the sediment mobility number, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{gr}}$, given by:
$F g r=\left(\frac{u_{*}^{c_{1}}}{\sqrt{(s-1) g D_{35}}}\right)\left(\frac{U}{\sqrt{32} \log \left(10 d / D_{35}\right)}\right)^{1-c_{1}}$
Equation 4.36
and the dimensionless grain diameter, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{gr}}$, is:
$d_{g r}=\left((s-1) g / v_{2}\right)^{1 / 3} D_{35}$
Equation 4.37
For $1.0<\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{gr}}<60.0$ :
$c_{1}=1.0-0.56 \log d_{g r} ; c_{2}=10^{\left(2.56 \log d_{g r}-\left(\log d_{g r}\right)^{2}-3.53\right)} ; c 3=0.23 / d_{g r}{ }^{1 / 2}+0.14 ; c 4=0.966 / d_{g r}+1.34$
For $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{gr}}>60.0$ :
$c_{1}=0.0 ; c_{2}=0.025 ; c 3=0.17+0.14 ; c 4=1.5$
where, $\overline{C_{T}}=$ sediment flux concentration (sediment mass flux per unit mass flow rate). To apply this formulation for non-uniform sediments, $\mathrm{D}_{35}$ must be replaced by each size fraction diameter and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{gr}}$ must be corrected by an exposure correction factor, $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{j}}$, given as follows:
$\varepsilon_{j}=\frac{F_{g r}(\text { To staisfy the measured data })}{F_{g r}\left(\text { for uniform se dim ents with } D=D_{j}\right)}$
Proffitt and Sutherland (1983) give $\varepsilon j$ as follows:
$\varepsilon_{j}=1.3 \quad D_{j} / D_{u}>3.7$
$\varepsilon_{j}=0.53 \log \left(D_{j} / D_{u}\right)+1.0 \quad 0.075<D_{j} / D_{u}<3.7$
$\varepsilon_{j}=0.4 \quad D_{j} / D_{u}<0.075$
The diameter Du can be determined by a formula defined by Proffitt and Sutherland (1983):

$$
\frac{D_{u}}{D_{50}}=f\left[u_{*}^{2} / g(s-1) D_{50}\right]
$$

Equation 4.38

Or $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{u}}$ can simply be taken as $\mathrm{D}_{50}$.

## Chapter 5: Model Development

### 5.1 HEC-RAS Model Development

### 5.1.1 Geometry Data

The cross-sections of the Mississippi River (MR) from Tarbert landing to the Passes were taken from the 2010 HEC-RAS study (Davis et al. 2010). For components of the geometry of the model, you can refer to Mallory Davis thesis (Davis et al. 2010). In the previous model, the outflows such as Fort St. Philip and Bohemia Reach were using simplified rectangular crosssections. These outflows flow estimates were not calibrated. Also, various small outflows in Ostrica reach as well as between Fort St. Philip and Baptiste Collette were not included into the model due to lack of data. These outflows were improved using survey data provided by Lake Pontchartrain Basin Foundation (LPBF) and with the use of Google Earth.


Figure 5-1: Existing Outflows located in Lower Mississippi River marked in Google Earth image.

Figure 5-1 shows the outflows in the Lower Mississippi River (LMR) with the Ostrica and 7-cut weir.

The Bohemia reach extends from RM 31 till RM 44. The Bohemia reach consists of an elevated road built on a natural levee along the east bank of MR until the Ostrica Lock. Most of the reach has irregular height of land which acts as a natural levee allowing flows to discharge during the high stages (discharges) in the Mississippi River. The Bohemia reach was surveyed by LPBF. Figure 5-2 shows the height of land survey which represents the Bohemia reach. The survey data from LPBF was imposed as weir in the Bohemia reach. The Bohemia reach was divided into 3 channels i.e. Bohemia Upstream, Bohemia Intermediate and Bohemia Downstream. The division was done in the model for easier management of the structure and also to have control over the weir coefficient. The Bohemia Upstream was further divided into 8 smaller channels which corresponded to the existing equivalent channels behind the bohemia weir as captured in the Google Earth. In the previous models, the bohemia reach was used as a lateral structure with the capability to withdraw flows from the MR however it lacked the capability to model for flows coming from the bohemia reach into the river during extreme conditions such as hurricane. Currently, the Bohemia reach has been modeled as an inline structure with the capability to allow flow in to the open water and vice versa.


Figure 5-2: LPBF Survey for Bohemia Reach where the distance is measured from upriver (RM 44) to downiver (RM 31).
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Figure 5-3: Bohemia weirs installed in HEC-RAS Model corresponding to LPBF Survey Data.


Figure 5-4: Bohemia reach with the survey data path plotted in Google Earth image (RM 31 to RM 44).

Ostrica extends from RM 22 to around 26. There are couples of cuts that branch off from the MR and have the capability to extract flows. These cuts were not included in the previous models. For the current model, the study focused on a better estimate of flows extracted from MR and thus all major cuts capable of extracting flows were included. Google Earth images were used to measure the length and width of the cuts located in these areas. Then, Lacey's Regime equations were used to calculate the depth.

In Lacey's Equation, width is represented by the wetted perimeter $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$,

$$
P_{w}=2.67 Q^{1 / 2}
$$

Equation 5.1
As the width is found from Google Earth, estimated discharge (Q) can be found for every cut. Lacey uses a silt factor to give the effect of sediment.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{s}=8\left\{D_{50(\text { inches })}\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{Equation 5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathrm{D}_{50}$ is used as 0.18 mm as it represents an average particle size in the LMR. The depth (D) is represented by the hydraulic radius (R) which is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=R=\left\{Q /\left(1.17 P_{w} f_{s}^{1 / 2}\right)\right\}^{2 / 3} \tag{Equation 5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The velocity in the channel can be calculated by:

$$
V=1.17\left[f_{s} R\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

Equation 5.4
This form of Lacey's equations is in US customary units.
From equation of continuity, the cross-sectional area can be calculated by:
$A=Q / V=P_{w} R V$
Equation 5.5
For the equivalent channel, an average length of the channel is calculated based on each individual measured data. The cumulative width and depth of the channels are calculated to achieve the equivalent channel.

Figure 4-5 shows the Google Earth image of Ostrica reach with the cuts marked. Figure 4-6 show the HEC-RAS model cross-section used to represent Ostrica.


Figure 5-5: Ostrica outlets marked on Google Earth image (RM 22 to RM 26).


Figure 5-6: Ostrica equivalent channel cross-section in HEC-RAS model.


Figure 5-7: Fort St. Philip cuts from Google Earth image(RM 18 to RM 21).


Figure 5-8: Fort St. Philip equivalent channel cross-section in HEC-RAS model.

The Fort St. Philip cuts are located around RM 18 to RM 21 in the MR. Figure 5-7 shows the Google Earth image of the cuts in the Fort St. Philip reach. The outlet was again measured for length and width using Google Earth map and the channel dimension was estimated using Lacey's regime equations. The channel was divided into 3 equivalent outlets which were compiled as a single cross-section for the HEC-RAS model. Figure 5-8 shows the cross-section of Fort St. Philip used in the HEC-RAS model.

The 7-Cut weir extend from RM 11 to RM 18. This reach located between Fort St. Philip and Baptiste Collette contains multiple cuts with 7 significantly visible channels and was thus named as 7-Cut weir. Similar approach of equivalent channels and Lacey's regime equations was applied for this channel too obtain a useable geometric cross-section in the HEC-RAS model. Figure 5-9 shows the Google Earth image of the cuts in the Fort St. Philip reach. Figure 5-10 shows the cross-section of Fort St. Philip used in the HEC-RAS model.


Figure 5-9: 7-Cut weir outlets marked on Google Earth image (RM 11 to RM 18).


Figure 5-10: 7-Cut weir equivalent channel in HEC-RAS model.


Figure 5-11: Southwest pass marked with cuts on Google Earth image.

Joseph and Burrwood cuts are equivalent channels included in the model to represent the cuts located in South-west Pass. The upper cuts were combined to form Joseph outlet which is located around 4.5 miles downstream Head of Pass (HOP). Burrwood is the equivalent channel
for cuts located at lower portion of the pass which is located at around 14.5 miles downstream of HOP. It is important to include these cuts as they extract significant amount of flow from the pass affecting the head and energy present in the pass.

### 5.1.2 Channel Roughness

Based on Manning's Equation, the flow of a river is dependent on the roughness of the channel. Manning defined the roughness with a coefficient ' $n$ ' and is presented in the following equation:
$n=\frac{c^{\prime}}{Q} A R^{2 / 3} S^{1 / 2}$
Equation 5.6
where: $\mathrm{Q}=$ Discharge $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{c}^{\prime}=\text { Conversion Factor }(1.486 \text { for U.S. units and } 1 \text { for S.I. units }) \\
& \mathrm{A}=\text { Cross-sectional area }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2} \text { or } \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \\
& \mathrm{R}=\text { Hydraulic Radius }=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{ft} \text { or } \mathrm{m}) \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{w}}=\text { Wetted Perimeter }(\mathrm{ft} \text { or } \mathrm{m}) \\
& \mathrm{S}=\text { Bed Slope } \\
& \mathrm{n}=\text { Roughness Coefficient }
\end{aligned}
$$

There are other factors also contributing to roughness coefficient of a channel. Chow has described the following factors (Chow 1959):

1) Surface Roughness: Based on Chow (1959), it is found that the channel surface roughness is dependent upon the grain material of the wetted perimeter or the channel submerged in the water. The coarser grains lead to a higher $n$ value whereas finer grains pertain to a lower $n$ value. So, the $n$ value is directly proportional to $\mathrm{D}_{50}{ }^{1 / 6}$ where $\mathrm{D}_{50}$ is the median grain size.
2) Vegetation: Presence of vegetation leads to a higher $n$ value and its effects are varying based the height, density, distribution and type of vegetation. Lower discharge in the channel result in a higher $n$ value for a vegetation cover compared to higher flows as it requires more energy to pass through or over the vegetation comparatively. The higher discharge tends to submerge the vegetation reducing the $n$ value. Also, channels with
steeper side slopes contribute to higher velocity which compresses the vegetation and lowers the $n$ value.
3) Channel Irregularities: Channel bed irregularities such as sand bars and deep holes lead to additional resistance to the following contributing to a higher $n$ value.
4) Channel Alignment: Severe meandering contributes to slowing down of the flow and eventually contributing to increase in $n$ value.
5) Silting and Scouring: Silting or deposition can cover the channel irregularities making a smoother surface and thus decreasing the $n$ value. However, scouring does the opposite creating more irregular surfaces and eventually contributing to higher roughness factor. Finer materials like clay scour non-uniformly creating pits or holes and increase the $n$ value. However, coarser materials like sand or gravel scour uniformly resulting in a uniform bed surface and reduce the $n$ value.
6) Obstructions: Obstructions such as bridge piers and debris can contribute to increase in the value of $n$ depending on their nature, size, shape, number and distribution.
7) Size and Shape of Channel: Shape and size of the channel also has varying affects. Channel with steeper slopes and side slopes can lead to high velocity in channel which contribute to erosion. Its affect also depend upon vegetation cover and varies with type of bed loads as discussed previously.
8) Stage and Discharge: The amount of discharge affect is discussed in the vegetation covers. The increase in stage during high discharge, inundation of the floodplains takes place which increases the composite $n$ value as floodplains typically have higher $n$ values than the channels.
9) Seasonal Change: Seasonal growth of vegetation in the channel and the flood plains also impact the roughness coefficient.
10) Suspended material and Bed Load: Sediment rich channels need additional energy for the transport of suspended and bed materials leading to higher value of $n$.

The lower MR channel is comprised of many meanders, bridges, bars, deep holes and some vegetation that is encountered at high flows. It also transports range of sediments from coarse sand to fine clays. These all factors combine to increase the roughness factor in the river. However, most of the river is constrained by flood protection levees on both sides leading to large depth in most places and thus decreasing resistance to the flow. The presence of bed forms or sand dunes varying in height and wave length due to changes in the bed shear in the channel complicates the calculation of a fixed roughness coefficient. The distribution of bed forms in the channel is both spatial and temporal.

Altogether, these bed forms tend to increase the effective roughness. These all variables contribute to the value of Manning's $n$ not being accurately determined from the measured stages and flows for the MR. For this study, varying value of Manning's $n$ ranging from 0.018 to 0.03 were determined by calibration based on the flow and stage data.

### 5.1.3 Boundary Conditions

Daily discharge measured at Tarbert Landing by USACE was used as the upstream boundary condition for the MR reach for each of the periods of simulated.


Figure 5-12: HEC-RAS upstream flow boundary for 2011.

Fig 5-12 shows the Tarbert Landing discharge for 2011. Flow boundaries used for other validation years can be found in Appendix A.

A single daily stage hydrograph at the GOM obtained from USACE website was use as the downstream boundary condition for all open water channels which include Barataria Bay, Passes, Caernarvon diversion, Bayou Lamoque, Bohemia, Ostrica, Fort St. Philip, 7-Cut weir, Baptiste Collette and West Bay. Hourly simulations were also performed for the validation process. The hourly data were obtained from NOAA's tide and current website (http://tidesandcurrents.noaa.gov/waterlevels.html?id=8760922). Figures 5-13 and 5-14 show the daily and hourly discharge in GOM. Daily and hourly stages for other years used for validation can be found in the Appendix A.


Figure 5-13: HEC-RAS daily stage downstream stage boundary for 2011.


Figure 5-13: HEC-RAS hourly stage downstream stage boundary for 2011.

The Morganza (RM 280) and Bonnet Carré (RM 128) spillways were modeled as lateral flow extractions. The time series for the extraction were obtained from the estimates by the USACE. Figure 5-14 shows the Morganza and Bonnet Carré flow extraction estimates used in the model.


Figure 5-14: Lateral flow extraction hydrograph for Morganza and Bonnet Carré spillway for 2011(Note-Negative value indicates outflow).

The elevation controlled gates options which was chosen as boundary condition for the both the Davis Pond Freshwater Diversion and the Caernarvon Freshwater Diversion Structures. Both Bayou Lamoque North and South gated structures were given a time-series of gate opening boundary condition. The settings and boundary for these structures were unchanged and used as specified by Davis et. al (2010).

### 5.2 CHARIMA Model Development

### 5.2.1 Geometry Data

The geometric sections in CHARIMA correspond to the data used in the HEC-RAS model. Previously, the model was developed by Pereira et al (2011) for the Lower Mississippi River. The model domain was from Belle Chasse (RM 76) to HOP (RM 0) without Ostrica and 7-Cut weir. In the study, the model was extended to the GOM by the addition of the 3 passes (Southwest, South and Pass A-Loutre). Also, the geometric cross-sections in the Bohemia Reach, Ostrica, 7-Cut weir and Fort St. Philips were improved with availability of additional data from LPBF. Figure 5-15 shows schematic diagram of the outflows topology of the current CHARIMA model for existing outlets and diversions. Figure 5-16 shows the schematic diagram of the outflows topology of the CHARIMA model for existing outlets and diversions including the future MLODS diversions. The model is defined by nodes (circles) and links (lines) as well as structures such as weirs and gates. The computational domain for existing conditions includes 990 different cross-sections organized in a structure of 54 nodes and 54 links. Chapter 4 discusses about the model components in CHARIMA.


Figure 5-15: Schematic diagram for CHARIMA model with existing diversions.


Figure 5-16: Existing and future diversions in the CHARIMA model domain.

All the geometric cross-sections used in CHARIMA model correspond to the ones used in HEC-RAS model. The only difference lies in Fort St. Philip. In HEC-RAS, three equivalent channels were installed as one cross-section as the model as option for variable values of Manning's $n$ based on horizontal distance over the cross-section. This feature is not available in CHARIMA and thus the 3 equivalent channels were inserted individually and named Fort St. Philip 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Fig 5-17 shows the three separate channels present in Fort St. Philip reach.


Figure 5-17: Fort St. Philip reach showing the 3 equivalent channels used in CHARIMA model (Google Earth Image).

### 5.2.2 Channel Roughness

To model the channel's roughness corresponding to Manning's $n$ value, CHARIMA model use the Strickler's coefficient ' $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ '. This value is equal to the reciprocal of the $n$ value. The model reaches use the roughness coefficient value corresponding to the range of $n$ values in the main channel of the HEC-RAS model. The range of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ value is 65 to 33 which translate to Manning's $n$ value of 0.016 to 0.03 . The outlets have the highest values and obtained by calibration. The MR reaches have values corresponding to Manning's coefficient of 0.018 to 0.026 .

### 5.2.3 Boundary Conditions for CHARIMA

Daily discharge obtained from HEC-RAS model at Belle Chasse (RM 76) was used as the upstream boundary condition for the MR reach for each of the periods of simulated. Observed data at RM 76 provided by USGS (http://waterdata.usgs.gov/usa/nwis/uv?07374525) could also be used for the study however future case scenarios were also simulated using the model. So, the flow from HEC-RAS, a model with the capability to predict discharge at Belle Chasse for varying cases, was chosen.


Figure 5-18: CHARIMA model upstream flow boundary for 2009

Fig 5-18 shows the Belle Chasse discharge for 2009 from HEC-RAS model. Flow boundaries used for other years for validation can be found in Appendix B.

A single daily stage hydrograph at the GOM obtained from USACE website was used as the downstream boundary condition for all open water channels which include: all the Passes, Bayou Lamoque, Bohemia, Ostrica, Fort St. Philip, 7-Cut weir, Baptiste Collette and West Bay. The stage boundary conditions in HEC-RAS and CHARIMA are same for the corresponding reaches. Figure 5-19 shows stage at GOM used for downstream boundary in CHARIMA for the period of 2009.


Figure 5-19: CHARIMA model downstream stage boundary for 2009

For the sediment load, the suspended sand concentration (mg/L) rating curve from USGS was used to obtain a sediment discharge boundary (Qs versus Q-CFS).

For the sediment load, the suspended sand concentration ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) rating curve shown in Figure 5-20 was derived from USGS data at Belle Chasse obtained from the website (http://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/dv/?site_no=07374525\&agency_cd=USGS\&referred_modu le=sw) and was used to obtain a sediment discharge boundary (Qs versus Q in CFS) as shown in Figure 5-21.


Figure 5-20: Rating Curve used for calculation of the sediment boundary in CHARIMA model. (USGS)

The Belle Chasse inflow suspended sand loads were based on the field data obtained by Nittrouer et al. (2008) and the Belle Chasse water discharge given by HEC-RAS. There was no need to give sand concentrations or loads as boundary conditions for the outflows. The formulation in CHARIMA allows the calculation of the balance of not only the water but also the sediment that is extracted at each diversion. Table 5.1 shows the maximum, minimum and average values of the sand load series given as upstream boundary condition for 2009 simulation for calibration process.

Table 5.1: Sand Load Boundary Condition-Existing Outflow Case - 1-D Calibration 2009

| Site | Qs Maximum (metric <br> tons/day, CFS) |  | Qs Minimum <br> (metric tons/day, <br> CFS) |  | Qs Average (metric <br> tons/day, CFS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belle Chasse (RM 76) | $191,956.67$ | 29.62 | $3,723.85$ | 0.57 | $66,397.69$ | 10.27 |



Figure 5-21: CHARIMA model upstream sediment boundary for 2011.

## Chapter 6: Calibration and Validation

In order to utilize the results from the model simulations, the model had to be calibrated based on observed data for a one period and validated or checked using observed data from a different period. In the validation process the parameters that were adjusted in the calibration phase are left untouched. After calibration and validation of the model, it was considered to be serviceable for other applications.

### 6.1 HEC-RAS Model Calibration

For the calibration procedure, the calendar year of 2011 was used as this period comprised of peak flow over 1 million CFS and low flow closing to 200,000 CFS. The model was calibrated with the existing diversions. The Morganza and Bonnet Carré spillways were modeled as lateral flow extractions.

The stage results in the MR obtained from HEC-RAS model were compared to measured stage data from the USACE river gages website at the following locations (Mississippi River \& Passes; http://rivergages.mvr.usace.army.mil/WaterControl/new/layout.cfm): Red River Landing (RM 302.4), Baton Rouge (RM 228.4), Bonnet Carré (RM 126.9), New Orleans at Carrollton (RM 102.8), Belle Chasse (RM 72.8), Alliance (RM 63.2), West Pointe A-La-Hache (RM 48.7), Empire (RM 29.5), Venice (RM 10.7) and Head of Passes (RM 0).

The Manning's $n$ value was changed along several reaches of the MR for the calibration process. With the changes in the $n$ value, the model was re-run until the model output gave similar results to the measured data. Appendix C shows all the $n$ values used in the main MR for the calibration process. As discharge as an impact on the $n$ value, roughness coefficient factor was introduced. The roughness coefficient gives the option to apply varying $n$ values depending on the nature of the flow. For higher flows, the factor is reduced giving a lower $n$ value and vice versa. Figures 5-1, 5-2 and 5-3 show the stages calibration for stations located at RM 228.4, 126.9 and 10.7 using the adjusted $n$ values. Other calibration plots can be found in Appendix B.


Figure 6-1: Baton Rouge comparison of stage for 2011.


Figure 6-2: Carrollton comparison of stage for 2011.


Figure 6-3: Venice comparison of stage for 2011.

Visually, there is an agreement with the stage plots for above listed stations. The stages are following the trend of the observed data.

To quantify the accuracy of the model, the root mean square error (RMSE), the coefficient of efficiency and the bias error between the model and observed data were computed. The RMSE, coefficient of efficiency and the bias error were determined by the following equations:

Root Mean Square Error $($ RMSE $)=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(O_{i}-P_{i}\right)^{2}}{N}}$
Equation 6.1

Coefficient of Efficiency $=1.0-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(O_{i}-P_{i}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(O_{i}-O_{\text {avg }}\right)^{2}}$
Equation 6.2

Bias Error $=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(P_{i}-O_{i}\right)}{N}$
Equation 6.3
where $O_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the observed value, in this case taken as the data measured by USACE; $P_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the model predicated value; $O_{\text {avg }}$ is the average of the observed value and N is the number of observations.

Table 6.1 shows the coefficient of efficiency and the RMSE obtained for the hydrodynamics stage calibration. These results have a good agreement between the simulations and the observed data obtained from USACE.

Table 6.1: Error Analysis HEC-RAS stage Calibration - 2011

| Mississippi River Location | RMSE (ft) | Efficiency | Bias Error (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baton Rouge (RM 228) | 1.44 | 0.98 | +0.01 |
| Bonnet Carré (RM 126.9) | 0.99 | 0.98 | +0.26 |
| Carrollton (RM 102.8) | 0.80 | 0.97 | +0.10 |
| West Pointe A-La-Hache (49) | 0.81 | 0.88 | +0.65 |
| Venice (RM 10.7) | 0.53 | 0.78 | +0.40 |

The efficiency predicts the closeness of the model values to the observed value. The efficiency values ranging from $78 \%$ to $98 \%$ is very good. The bias error refers to the model values, minus the observed value. The bias values are very low and thus infers the model is calibrated.

For flow comparison, the observed data were plotted against HEC-RAS model results. Some of the flow estimates were provided the LPBF for various outlets which were used to compare to the model data. Other outlet flow data were obtained from the USACE.


Figure 6-4: Peak flow comparison for 2011.

Figure 6-4 shows the comparison between the model flows and the flows estimated by LPBF for the calibration period of 2011. During the LPBF estimates, the Ostrica flows were included in the Bohemia reach flow. Also, parts of 7-Cut weir flow were included in Fort St. Philip and the remaining in the Baptiste Collette flow. However, the HEC-RAS model represents these flows in a separate channel. Through error analysis, it is found the calibrated model has a RMSE error of $9.3 \%$. Also overall, the model was under predicting by $1.4 \%$ of the peak flow at Tarbert Landing.

### 6.2 HEC-RAS Model Validation

For the validation process, the model was given boundary conditions of the calendar year of 2008. Rest of the model parameters from the roughness factor to manning's $n$ values were kept same as the calibrated model. The model was also validated for the years 2007, 2009, 2010 and 2012 for which the stage results are listed in Appendix B. The stage validation results for 2008 are listed in Figures 6-5 and 6-6.


Figure 6-5: Baton Rouge stage comparison for 2008.


Figure 6-6: Carrollton stage comparison for 2008.
Visually, there is an agreement with the stage plots for above listed stations. The stages are following the trend of the observed data.

To access the accuracy of the model, the root mean square error (RMSE), the coefficient of efficiency and the bias error between the model and observed data was measured. Table 6.2 shows the error analysis for validation period 2008. These results have a good agreement between the simulations and the observed data obtained from USACE.

Table 6.2: Error Analysis HEC-RAS stage validation - 2008

| Mississippi River Location | RMSE (ft) | Efficiency | Bias Error (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baton Rouge (RM 228) | 1.44 | 0.98 | +0.60 |
| Bonnet Carré (RM 126.9) | 0.80 | 0.98 | +0.13 |
| Carrollton (RM 102.8) | 0.67 | 0.98 | +0.25 |
| West Pointe A-La-Hache (49) | 1.20 | 0.71 | +0.74 |
| Venice (RM 10.7) | 0.39 | 0.85 | +0.23 |

Figure 6-7 show the comparison between the model flows and the flow estimated by LPBF and USACE for the validation period of 2008. During the LPBF estimates, the Ostrica flows were included in the Bohemia reach flow. Also, parts of 7-Cut weir flow were included in Fort St. Philip and the remaining in the Baptiste Collette flow. However, the HEC-RAS model represents these flows in a separate channel. Through error analysis, it is found the calibrated model has a RMSE error of $14.6 \%$. Also the model was over predicting by $9 \%$ of the peak flow at Tarbert Landing.


Figure 6-7: Peak flow comparison for 2008

### 6.3 CHARIMA Model Calibration

For the calibration procedure, the calendar year of 2009 was used as this period had measured sediment data available. The model was calibrated with the existing diversions.

The stage results in the MR obtained from CHARIMA model was compared to stage data HEC-RAS model at the following locations: Alliance (RM 62.5), Myrtle Grove (RM 59), West Pointe A-La-Hache (RM 48.7) and Empire (RM 29.5).

The Strickler's coefficient ' $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ' value was changed along several reaches of the MR for the calibration process. These values usually corresponded to the average $n$ values used for HECRAS model calibration. With the changes in the ' $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ' value, the model was re-run until the model output gave similar results to the measured data. Appendix E shows all the ' $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ' values used in the main MR for the calibration process. The CHARIMA model does not have the flow dependent roughness factor of HEC-RAS which helps to insert varying Manning's $n$ values for different discharge. The flow was calibrated solely on Strickler's Coefficient variation that was fixed in time. So, some extreme values have been used to achieve the flow calibration. Figure 68 shows the stages calibration for Alliance station at RM 62.5 using the adjusted $n$ values. Other calibration plots can be found in Appendix B.


Figure 6-8: Alliance stage comparison for 2009

Visually, there is an agreement with the stage plots for above listed stations. The stages are following the trend of the observed data.

To determine the accuracy of the model, the root mean square error (RMSE), the coefficient of efficiency and the bias error between the model and observed data was measured. Table 6.3 shows the error analysis for calibration period 2009. These results have a good agreement between the simulations and the observed data obtained from HEC-RAS model.

Table 6.3: Error Analysis CHARIMA stage and flow Calibration - 2009

| Mississippi River Location | RMSE (ft) | Efficiency | Bias Error (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alliance (62.4) | 0.59 | 0.94 | -0.20 |
| Mississippi River Location | RMSE (CFS) | Efficiency | Bias Error <br> (CFS) |
| Bohemia | 7388.72 | 0.86 | 5009.56 |
| Fort St. Philip | 9476.27 | 0.82 | 8748.52 |
| Mississippi River Location | RMSE (CFS) <br> \% of TL flow <br> on 5/31/2009 |  | Bias Error <br> (CFS) \% of TL <br> flow on <br> 5/31/2009 |
| Bohemia | 0.57 |  | 0.39 |
| Fort St. Philip | 0.74 |  | 0.68 |

Table 6.4: Peak flows for Bohemia and Fort St. Philip in CHARIMA for Calibration - 2009

| Mississippi River Location | Peak Flow (CFS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Observed (HEC-RAS) | Model (CHARIMA) |
| Bohemia | 71356.00 | 85369.57 |
| Fort St. Philip | 95941.13 | 93648.30 |

Figure 6-9 and 6-10 show the comparison between the model flows and the flows calibrated HEC-RAS model for the period of 2009. The Bohemia and Fort St. Philip outflows have been listed. Other outflows comparison can be found in Appendix B. Through error analysis in Table 6.3, it can be considered that the model is calibrated for flow.


Figure 6-9: Bohemia outflow comparison for 2009


Figure 6-10: Fort St. Philip outflow comparison for 2009

Dr. Mead Allison conducted several field surveys from 2008 through 2011. Data were collected at Myrtle Grove and Magnolia sites in 2009-2011. The bed and suspended load (Tons/day) can be found the report "Water and Sediment Surveys of the Mississippi River Channel conducted at Myrtle Grove and Magnolia in Support of Numerical modeling (October 2008 - May 2011)". The concentrations at the locations were computed based on the flows of those sites published in the report.

Table 6.5: Ratio of model to observed values for sand concentration for Calibration - 2009

| Date: | Station | Allison survey field data |  |  | CHARIMA model data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Sand Load Conc. (mg/L) | Bed <br> Load <br> Conc. <br> (mg/L) | Suspended Sand Load Conc. (mg/L) | Total Sand Load Conc. (mg/L) | Bed <br> Load <br> Conc. <br> (mg/L) | Suspended Sand Load Conc. (mg/L) |
| 4/4/2009 | Magnolia | 62.80 | 61.14 | 1.66 | 36.47 | 33.55 | 2.92 |
| 4/7/2009 | Myrtle <br> Grove | 59.10 | 56.30 | 2.80 | 35.38 | 38.25 | 43.01 |
| 5/2/2009 | Myrtle Grove | 17.87 | 14.30 | 3.56 | 36.42 | 54.81 | 22.69 |
| Ratios: |  | Total Sand Load Conc. |  | Bed Load Conc. |  | Suspended Sand Load Conc. |  |
| 4/4/2009 | Magnolia | 0.58 |  | 0.55 |  | 1.76 |  |
| 4/7/2009 | Myrtle Grove | 0.60 |  | 0.68 |  | 15.36 |  |
| 5/2/2009 | Myrtle Grove | 2.04 |  | 3.83 |  | 6.37 |  |
| Average Ratios: |  | 1.07 |  | 1.69 |  | 7.83 |  |

Figure 6-11 shows the sediment concentration from the model compared to the measured data provided by Dr. Allison.

There seems to be very good agreement with the bed load concentration. However, the suspended load concentration seems to deviate from observed value which ultimately is added up to total concentration being off compared to the measured data. The rule for sediment transport is the model should predict the observed values within a factor of plus $1 / 2$ to 2 (White et al. 1978). With this rule, the model was still considered calibrated and used from prediction of suspended sand for other duration and cases.







Figure 6-11: Sediment Concentration and Load comparison for 2009 at Myrtle Grove and Magnolia.

### 6.4 CHARIMA Model Validation

For the validation process, the model was given boundary conditions of the calendar year of 2008. The rest of the model parameters were kept same as the calibrated model. The validation results for 2008 are listed in Figure 6-12.


Figure 6-12: Alliance stage comparison for 2008.

Visually, there is an agreement with the stage plots for above listed stations. The stages are following the trend of the observed data.

To determine the accuracy of the model, the root mean square error (RMSE), the coefficient of efficiency and the bias error between the model and observed data was measured. Table 6.6 shows the error analysis for validation period 2008. These results have a good agreement between the simulations and the observed data obtained from USACE.

Table 6.6: Error Analysis CHARIMA stage validation-2008

| Mississippi River Location | RMSE (ft) | Efficiency | Bias Error (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alliance (62.4) | 0.57 | 0.93 | 0.01 |

Figures 6-13 and 6-14 show the comparison between the model flows and the flow estimated by HEC-RAS model for the validation period of 2008. Bohemia and Fort St. Philip outflows for the validation period have been listed. Other outflow plots can be found in Appendix B. Table 6.7 shows the error analysis for the 2008.


Figure 6-13: Bohemia outflow comparison for 2008.


Figure 6-14: Fort St. Philip outflow comparison for 2008.

Table 6.7: Error Analysis CHARIMA Flow validation-2008

| Mississippi River Location | RMSE (CFS) | Efficiency | Bias Error <br> (CFS) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bohemia | 5314.91 | 0.94 | 4302.56 |
| Fort St. Philip | 10708.76 | 0.68 | 9842.54 |
|  | RMSE (CFS) <br> \% of TL flow <br> on 5/25/2008 |  | Bias Error <br> (CFS) <br> \% of TL flow <br> on 5/25/2008 |
|  |  |  | 0.29 |
|  | 0.36 |  | 0.67 |
| Fort St. Philip | 0.73 |  |  |

Table 6.8: Peak Flow for Bohemia and Fort St. Philip in CHARIMA for validation - 2008

| Mississippi River Location | Peak Flow (CFS) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimated (HEC-RAS) | Model (CHARIMA) |
| Bohemia | 65536.20 | 72197.07 |
| Fort St. Philip | 93800.55 | 93264.70 |

## Chapter 7: Applications

### 7.1 Hurricane Surge Calculation

The effects of hurricanes are numerous and include damage to properties and loss of life. One of the most devastating effects of a hurricane is storm surge which leads to flooding. Storm surge is the rising wall of water that comes ashore when a hurricane makes landfall. Storm surge is responsible for most of the damages of all hurricanes.

During hurricanes, typically some of the gauges along the Lower Mississippi River get damaged. Since storm surge waves move rapidly upstream, it is necessary to collect data at least hourly in the different river stations. The development of a model for hurricane storm surge would be useful to complement the data for the main channel of the Mississippi River. Storm surges can travel hundreds of miles upstream in the river, so it is also important to assess the impact they have on the river system.

The HEC-RAS model with the existing geometry was calibrated and validated for hurricane periods corresponding to Isaac and Gustav in order to assess the impact of the storm surge on the Lower Mississippi River. Hurricane Gustav was used as calibration and Hurricane Isaac was used for validation. Then the model was also used to for surge calculation for Hurricane Katrina.


Figure 7-1: HEC-RAS downstream boundary condition for hurricane Gustav (2008).

For Gustav simulation, the Tarbert Landing flow was used as upstream boundary and stage of GOM obtained from NOAA was used at stage boundary. The stage boundaries for other outlets to the open water were provided by the LSU from the ADCIRC model of the Gulf. Figure 7-1 shows the downstream boundary and Figure 7-2 show the upstream boundary used for the hurricane simulation. The hurricane Gustav was simulated from 8/29/2008 to 9/2/2008.


Figure 7-2: HEC-RAS upstream boundary condition for hurricane Gustav (2008).


Figure 7-3: Carrollton stage comparison for hurricane Gustav (2008).


Figure 7-4: Algiers Lock stage comparison for hurricane Gustav (2008).

Figures 7-3 and 7-4 show the model stage compared to the observed stage for Carrollton and Algiers's Lock for the calibration period. Stage comparison for other plots can be found in Appendix B. Visually, the model seems to follow the signal of observed data and there seems to be a very good agreement. Table 7.1 shows the error analysis for the Hurricane Gustav calibration. The model is in good agreement as the model as has $79 \%$ efficiency in Carrollton and $93 \%$ efficiency at Algiers' Lock. The model under predicts at Carrollton by 0.56 feet and over predicts at Algiers' Lock by 0.21 feet which is acceptable.

Table 7.1: Error Analysis HEC-RAS Hurricane Gustav calibration - 2008

| Mississippi River Location | RMSE (ft) | Efficiency | Bias Error (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carrollton ( RM 102.8) | 0.84 | 0.79 | -0.56 |
| Algiers' Lock ( RM 88.3) | 0.53 | 0.93 | 0.21 |

For the validation of the model, Hurricane Isaac (2012) was simulated with the calibrated model parameters. The Isaac model was simulated from 8/27/2012 to 9/1/2012. The upstream boundary conditions for the model simulations consist of daily water flows at Tarbert Landing for the corresponding period provided by USACE New Orleans District. Hourly stages are given as downstream boundary conditions for the base case (no hurricane or storm in the system). The downstream boundary conditions as the 3 passes for the impact case were set with hourly stage values obtained from the stages measured by Pilot Station gage (NOAA). Stages from Pilot Station gage were also used as boundary condition for Tiger Pass, West Bay, Main Pass and Grand Pass. For Bohemia, hourly stage from Shell Beach (NOAA station) was used as the stage boundary. Hourly Stages from West Pointe - A-La-Hache gage (RM 48.7) from USACE was used as boundary for Baptiste Collette (RM 12), Caernarvon Diver (RM 82) and Fort St. Philip (RM 20).

Figure 7-5 shows the downstream boundary condition used for Hurricane Isaac simulation for the base and hurricane case. Figure 7-6 shows the upstream boundary condition used for Hurricane Isaac simulation. Figure 7-7 shows the stage boundary used in Bohemia reach obtained from Shell Beach gage.


Figure 7-5: Downstream stage boundary for Hurricane Isaac (2012).


Figure 7-6: Upstream flow boundary for Hurricane Isaac (2012).


Figure 7-7: Bohemia stage boundary-Observed stages at Shell Beach-Impact Case- Isaac (2012).

Figures 7-8 and 7-9 show the model stage compared to the observed stage for Bonnet Carré and Carrollton for the validation period. Stage comparison for other plots can be found in Appendix B. Visually, the model seems to follow the signal of observed data and there seems to be a very good agreement. Table 7.2 shows the error analysis for the Hurricane Isaac validation. The model is in good agreement as the model as $89 \%$ agreement in Carrollton and Bonnet Carré. The model under predicts at Bonnet Carré by 0.30 feet and Carrollton by 0.23 feet which is acceptable.


Figure 7-8: Bonnet Carré stage comparison for Hurricane Isaac (2012).


Figure 7-9: Carrollton stage comparison for Hurricane Isaac (2012).

| Mississippi River Location | RMSE (ft) | Efficiency | Bias Error (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baton Rouge (RM 228.4) | 1.21 | 0.83 | +0.76 |
| Donaldsonville (RM 173.7) | 1.14 | 0.87 | +0.41 |
| Bonnet Carré North of Spillway (RM <br> 129.2) | 1.01 | 0.89 | +0.30 |
| New Orleans (RM 102.8) | 0.98 | 0.89 | +0.23 |

Table 7.2: Error Analysis HEC-RAS Hurricane Isaac validation-2012

### 7.1.1 Surge Calculation

The model simulated a base case where there are no effects of hurricane for the same flow period. Next, the model simulated for the hurricane and the highest difference in the stage between the hurricane and the base was calculated. The surge plot for Gustav, Isaac and Katrina can be seen in Figure 7-10.


Figure 7-10: Surge height comparison for Hurricanes Isaac, Gustav and Katrina.

From Figure 7-10, the surge for a particular location can be estimated from Tarbert Landing to HOP in MR. This would provide data on the surge at the locations that might have a measurement gage damaged or not installed. The model also shows how each hurricane produced a different intensities surge. Hurricane Katrina produced the highest surge compared to other two hurricanes. The surge propagates as far as the upstream boundary, Tarbert lading (RM 306). All three hurricanes seem to produce the maximum surge at around RM 50 which is the area around West Pointe A-La-Hache (RM 49). During Hurricanes Katrina, Gustav and Isaac, the Tarbert Landing flow was around 150,000, 300,000 and 150,000 CFS respectively. So, all the above hurricanes occurred during the low flow period. However, if similar hurricanes occurred during a higher flow period where MR as a potential to reach 1.2 million CFS, the maximum river state produced would be higher leading to potentially devastating floods.

### 7.2 Surge for different flow scenarios

The hurricane model was also used to simulate the surge heights and total maximum stage for a range of inflows at Tarbert Landing. This was done to test the impact with the changes in Tarbert landing flows. For example, the 2011 Mississippi River flood extended in the June and thus overlapped with the beginning of the hurricane season. Consequently it is feasible for a high flow in the Mississippi River to be coincident with a hurricane in the gulf.


Figure 7-11: Comparison of River stage with surge comparison from Hurricane Gustav for different flow scenarios.

Figures 7-11 and 7-12 show the how the surges would add to the local river stage in MR if hurricanes Gustav and Isaac occurred in different flow conditions. The flow varies from 300,000 CFS to 1.2 million CFS considering the peak flow values for the flood period of 2008 and 2011. Figures 7-11 and 7-12 show the model predicted surge height for different locations from HOP to Tarbert Landing which is the model domain of HEC-RAS. For reference, at New Orleans (RM 102.8) has a levee elevation around 20 to $25 \mathrm{ft}(7.5 \mathrm{~m})$. Hurricane Gustav would have produced a maximum surge of around 17 feet in New Orleans even if the same hurricane occurred in the peak flood season. However, Hurricane Isaac would have produced a surge of around 24 feet in New Orleans if it had occurred in peak flood period. A surge of such magnitude could easily overtop the levees in New Orleans and cause extensive flooding. This model could be used to redesign the levees for the surge predicted by such model simulation based on the frequency of type of a hurricane category and the flood period conditions.


Figure 7-12: Comparison of River stage with surge comparison from Hurricane Isaac for different flow scenarios.

### 7.3 Simulations with addition of MLODS diversions and Pass Closure

The model was used to simulate for future MLODS diversions, dredging of Pass-ALoutre for navigation and pass closure. HEC-RAS model simulations were used to observe the flow distribution in outlets and diversions with the introduction of the dredged Pass-A-Loutre and pass closure. CHARIMA model simulations were used to observe the sand load, concentration and flow distribution in the MR as well as the outlets and diversions. The CHARIMA model was also simulated for addition of MLODS diversions, dredging of Pass-ALoutre and pass closure.

### 7.3.1 Existing and future MLODS diversions with Pass Closure (HEC-RAS)

Figure 7-13 shows the outflows on 4/25/2008 from HEC-RAS model for the various scenario runs from Morganza Spillway to West Pointe-A-La-Hache. Morganza Spillway was closed during 2008. Bohemia Spillway, Lagan, Johnson, ICCW at Harvey, ICCW at Chalmette, Violet, Jesuit, Belair, White Ditch, Naomi, Myrtle Grove, Deer Range and West Pointe-A-LaHache were all inserted as flow extraction in the model. So, they show constant values in the outflows. Belair is a significant outflow with a peak discharge above 200,000 CFS which seems to impact all other outflows considerably.


Figure 7-13: Outflows comparison for HEC-RAS on 4/25/2008

Figure 7-14 shows the outflows on 4/25/2008 from HEC-RAS model for the various scenario runs from Bohemia to the passes. There is a drastic decrease in the flows in Bohemia reach due to Belair (RM 67.1) extracting huge amount of lows. Another significant diversion introduced is the Buras (RM 23.1) which has a peak flow extraction around 150,000 CFS. Due to the Buras diversion, the outflow downstream such has Fort St. Philip, Baptiste Collette and the passes in general have drops in their peak flows. The impact of dredged Pass-A-Loutre to the dimension of a navigational channel (Minimum 600 feet wide and 45 feet deep) and pass closure to the outflows can also be seen though the Figure 7-14. The impact of dredged Pass A-Loutre is can be seen upstream as well as the passes. The discharge in the outflows has been reduced more significantly in the passes compared to other outflows. With closure of both South and

Southwest pass, flow as high as 250,000 CFS pushed through Pass-A-Loutre compared to 80,000 CFS for existing conditions.


Figure 7-14: Outflows comparison for HEC-RAS on 4/25/2008

### 7.3.2 Existing and future MLODS diversions with Pass Closure (CHARIMA)

Figure $7-15$ shows the outflows on $4 / 25 / 2008$ from CHARIMA model for the various scenario runs from Jesuit to West Pointe-A-La-Hache. The flows are constant as they have been modeled as later flow extraction. Belair (RM 67.1) extracting significant amount of flow close to 20 percent of the peak Tarbert Landing flow has a substantial effect in the other outflows.


Figure 7-15: Outflows comparison for CHARIMA on 4/25/2008

Figure 7-16 shows the outflows on 4/25/2008 from CHARIMA model for the various scenario runs from Bohemia to the Passes. Similar effects observed in the HEC-RAS model can also be scene for the outflows from CHARIMA model. With the introduction of diversions, Belair and Buras being significant diversions, the outflows have a drop in their peak flows comparatively. The impact can be seen more on the outflows located downstream of these large diversions. Other outflows also have drop in their peak flow all the way to the passes but they are comparatively lower than those downstream outflows.


Figure 7-16: Outflows comparison for CHARIMA on 4/25/2008

Figure $7-17$ shows the outflows on 4/25/2008 from CHARIMA model from Bohemia to the Passes for existing diversions, future MLODS and with future MLODS, dredged Pass-ALoutre and both South \& Southwest Pass closed. With the introduction of the diversions, there is a significant drop in Bohemia reach flows which lies downstream of Belair diversion. All outflows downstream of Buras also have drop in the flows measured on 4/25/2008. However with the closure of both South and Southwest pass, the outflows seem to pick up the excessive flow in the MR. The effect can be seen up to the upstream outflows such as Bohemia. Flow in the Pass A-Loutre increases significantly from 80,000 CFS for existing conditions to 200,000 CFS with other two passes closed.


Figure 7-17: Outflows comparison for CHARIMA on 4/25/2008

Figure 7-18 shows the total sand load in the outflows on $4 / 25 / 2008$. For the existing conditions, all outflows seem to take higher sand load compared to with the introduction of the diversions. However, with the closure of the South and Southwest pass, sediment load increases in the outflows corresponding to the increase in discharge in the channels. Pass A-Loutre increase its capacity from 5000 Tons per day to 10000 Tons per day with the dredging and closure of the passes. The high sand load at the 7-Cut Weir may be an outlier due to a possible bug in the model that sometimes incorrectly computes the suspended sand load.


Figure 7-18: Total Sand Load for outlets from CHARIMA model on 4/25/2008

Sediment to Water Ration (SWR) is the ratio of total sand concentration in the outlet to the total sand concentration in the MR. SWR values can represent the erosional and shoaling effects in the main stem river. For a flow of around 1 percent of Tarbert Landing, the shoaling and erosional effect is minor. However, for a flow around 10 percent of Tarbet Landing or more, the shoaling and erosional effects are siginificant. Figure 7-19 shows the SWR for the outlets for all cases simulated for the study. Figure 7-20 shows the SWR for the outlets for existing diversions, addition of future MLODS diversions and closing of both South and Southwest passes with dredged Pass-A-Loutre including the future MLODS diversions. The SWR for Bohemia reach seems to be below 1 for all three cases suggesting shoaling in the downstream of Bohemia reach. The Bohemia outlets are taking flows with less sand concentration compared with the main stem river. So, higher sediment concentration in the MR leads to a possibility of shoaling in the main stem. SWR for Ostrica is higher than 1 for all three cases indicating erosional activities in the Ostrica Reach in the MR. The outflow extracts flows with higher sand concentration than the MR. However, for outlets taking smaller discharge, higher SWR might not necessarily mean erosional activity in the main stem. Even though the concentration of sand
might be high in the outlet, due to its smaller discharge, the effect in the sediment load in the MR is very small. The SWR in the Pass A-Loutre increases for the pass closure compared to existing condition but it is still lower than 1. It indicates that the Pass A-Loutre has increased in its sand carrying capacity compared to existing conditions however its concentration is still lower than the corresponding main stem river.


Figure 7-19: Sediment to water ratio for outlets from CHARIMA model on 4/25/2008


Figure 7-20: Sediment to water ratio for outlets from CHARIMA model on 4/25/2008

Figure 7-21 shows the total sand concentration in the MR on 4/25/2008 for existing conditions, future MLODS diversions and for the closure of South and Southwest pass with a dredged Pass-A-Loutre including MLODS diversions. There is drop in the sand concentration overall with the introduction of the future MLODS diversions. The concentration in the MR seems to drop further with the Pass A-Loutre being dredged and closure of both South and Southwest Pass.


Figure 7-21: Total Sand concentration in the MR from CHARIMA model on 4/25/2008

Figure 7-22 shows the peak discharge in the MR from HEC-RAS model for existing conditions, future MLODS diversions and for the closure of South and Southwest pass with a dredged Pass-A-Loutre including MLODS diversions. There is drop in the discharge overall with the introduction of the future MLODS diversions. With the closure of the South and Southwest passes, the drop in discharge is higher. The HOP receives around 200,000 CFS for pass closure which is the flow pushed through Pass-A-Loutre. Also, with the closure of two passes, more flows are pushed through upstream outflows up to Fort St. Philip reach. So, there is a sharp drop in the peak discharge around RM 20 compared to previous conditions.


Figure 7-22: Total Discharge in the MR from CHARIMA model on 4/25/2008

Figure 7-23 shows the total sand load in the MR on 4/25/2008 for existing conditions, future MLODS diversions and for the closure of South and Southwest pass with a dredged Pass-A-Loutre including MLODS diversions. There is drop in the total sand load overall with the introduction of the future MLODS diversions.


Figure 7-23: Total Sand Load in the MR from CHARIMA model on 4/25/2008

### 7.4 Mardi Gras Pass Simulations

Mardi Gras Pass is a new channel being formed in Bohemia reach (RM 44). It was made a channelized connections with the Mississippi River during the spring flood of 2011and the LPBF has been studying its change and growth since then. Currently, the outlet has a capacity of approximately 5000 CFS and thus has been included in the HEC-RAS and the CHARIMA model to study the sand load and discharge capacity. With the channel included in both the models, data for flow and sediment was obtained.

Figure 7-24 shows the Mardi Gras pass on Google Earth Image. The first part of channel geometry was based on the survey conducted by LPBF. The second part of channel geometry was obtained based on Google Earth. The flow estimate for the Mardi Gras pass by LPBF was also used to obtain the velocity in the channel.


Figure 7-24: Mardi Gras Pass in Google Earth image.

With the geometry available, the channel was included in both HEC-RAS and CHARIMA model. The model was simulated for the year 2007-2008 with the current channel dimensions for Mardi Gras Pass. Figure 7-25 shows the rating curve for the Mardi Gras flow based on Belle Chasse (RM 76) flow. It can be seen the channel with current dimensions reach a peak flow around 6000 CFS when the Belle Chasse has flow around 1.3 million CFS.

Figure 7-26 show the flow in the Mardi Gras Pass from HEC-RAS and CHARIMA model. Both model produces similar flow in the channel for the same period.


Figure 7-25: Rating Curve for the Mardi Gras Channel based on 2013 Survey.


Figure 7-26: Flow Comparison for Mardi Gras Channel based on 2013 Survey.

Figure 7-27 shows the SWR in Mardi Gras Pass for 2008 using the 2013 channel dimensions. The SWR is above 1 overall. This indicates that the sand concentration in the channel is higher than the MR. The cause of higher concentration might be extraction of sediment from the MR as well as erosional activity in the channel. Figure 7-28 shows the total sand load in the Mardi Gras Pass. The highest sand load reaches around 1100 Tons per day.


Figure 7-27: SWR in Mardi Gras Channel based on 2013 Survey.


Figure 7-28: Total Sediment Load in Mardi Gras Channel based on 2013 Survey.

## Chapter 8: Summary of Findings and Discussion

The HEC-RAS model was selected for the hydrodynamic simulation of the Lower Mississippi River for its large spatial domain and long-term time predictions. The model was successfully calibrated and validated for the model domain from Tarbert Landing to the GOM. The calibrated model had $97 \%$ efficiency for stage at Carrollton compared to the observed data. The model slightly over predicts stage by 0.1 feet at Carrollton for 2011. The validated model had $98 \%$ efficiency with observed stage at Carrollton. The model over predicts the stage by 0.25 feet. The model was used for other applications such as introducing future diversions, pass closure scenarios, hurricane simulations and as source of data for other complex models.

The model was used to run simulations where future MLODS diversions were included. The model was used to estimate the discharge in the outflows with the additional diversions. The model results showed drop in the flows of the outlets due to the introduction of future MLODS diversions as Belair and Buras were significantly large. Outlets located downstream of such large diversions seem to have higher impact compared to other outlets. Pass A-Loutre was also dredged according to the navigational channel requirements and thus simulated further for closure of South and Southwest pass. The closure of the pass pushes significant amount of flow into the Pass-A-Loutre as high as 200,000 CFS compared to 80,000 for existing conditions. The impact of the pass closure can be seen upstream up to Bohemia reach. The flow in outlets increased with the pass closures.

Model was also simulated for Hurricane Katrina (2005), Gustav (2008) and Isaac (2012). Surge heights were calculated based on the model data. These surge heights indicate stages of MR during hurricane conditions. One can observe the locations where the water might overtop the levee system in the MR and probable flooding areas. Hurricane Gustav and Isaac were also simulated for a range of flows from 300,000 CFS to 1.2 million CFS. These hurricanes occurred when the flow at the Tarbert landing was low around 300,000 CFS. However, these hurricanes can occur during the high flows in MR when the hurricane season overlaps with the flood period such as 2011. From the model simulation, it is observed that higher inflows at Tarbert Landing lead to higher surge in the river. So, the levees can be redesigned based on the new surge heights obtained based on the most frequent hurricanes and peak flows in the MR. This can avoid future potential flooding devastation due to levees failures.

HEC-RAS model was a source of data for other complex 2-D and 3-D models. Stage and flow data from the model in the MR and outlets from 2007 to 2013 were supplied to 3-d
modeling of Lower Mississippi River by Teran (2014). Stage and flow data from the calibrated model was also supplied for 3-d flow and salinity modeling of the passes by Pavlyukova (2014). Hurricane stage and flow data in the MR produced by HEC-RAS model was supplied to Khadka and Kazi who are research scientist working on 3-D modeling of the Lower Mississippi River at the Water Institute of the Gulf. HEC-RAS flow results were also provided to Dr. Georgiou who is working on a Lake Pontchartrain model using FV-COM.

The CHARIMA mode was selected over HEC-RAS to be used in the sand transport simulation of the Lower Mississippi River because it has the capacity to modeling the split of both flows and sediment at distributaries and is an unsteady-flow model. The CHARIMA model had the domain from Belle Chasse to the GOM. Belle Chasse was chosen as the upstream boundary because of the availability of sediment boundary from USGS. The model was calibrated for 2009 with $94 \%$ agreement on stage at Alliance and $86 \%$ flow efficiency at Bohemia reach. The sand transport model was calibrated with average factor of 1.07 for total sand load and 1.69 for bed load. The suspended sand load had an average factor of 7.83 which is beyond the acceptable calibration range. However, the model was considered acceptable because the study focused on total sand load.

During the simulations, it was found that in CHARIMA model, the suspended load results are time-step dependent which contradicts the theory. A smaller time-step tends to give a lower suspended load concentration. The use of a larger time step ( 14.4 hours) in the sediment simulations was a way of obtaining a good suspended-load calibration with realistic sedimentsize and roughness coefficients. However, the model should be used with caution for flows outside of the calibration range. Future research is needed to improve the time step independence of CHARIMA with respect to the suspended sediment load.

The calibrated sand transport model was used to compute the total sand load and sand concentrations in the MR as well as the outlets. The model was also simulated with the addition of future MLODS diversions, dredging of Pass A-Loutre and closure of South and Southwest passes. The model produced results indicating the decrease in sediment concentration and total sand load over all with the inclusion of diversions and pass closure compared to existing conditions. However, the total sand load double from 5000 to 10,000 tons per day with the diversions and pass closure. The discharge in Pass A-Loutre also increased from 80,000 CFS to 200,000 CFS.

## Chapter 9: Conclusions

The following conclusions can be made based on this study:

1) Two dynamic 1-D models (HEC-RAS and CHARIMA) have been calibrated and validated for the Lower Mississippi River.
2) The current models were improved by the height of land survey data supplied by LPBF.
3) The application of HEC-RAS to hurricane surge propagation indicated that a 1-D model is capable of an accurate and rapid simulation of hurricane propagation in the Mississippi River. The model and the observation data indicated that the hurricane surges can move more than 300 miles upriver. The model showed that there is a risk of levee overtopping if the hurricane surge is combined with the high River discharges.
4) The introductions of the MLODS diversions lead to overall drop in flows in the outlets. The outlets such as Bohemia and Fort St. Philip located downstream of large diversions like Belair and Buras have the most significant drops in discharge.
5) The introduction of the MLODS diversions also lead to drop in the sand load in all outlets compared to existing conditions.
6) With the closure of both South and Southwest Pass, the outlets located upstream up to Bohemia were affected. The discharge in the outlets increased compared to the addition of MLODS diversions. Pass-A-Loutre Discharge increased from 80,000 CFS to 200,000 CFS.
7) The closure of both South and Southwest Pass lead to increase in the sand load in the outlets. Pass-A-Loutre had a total sand load of 10,000 tons per day compared to 5,000 tons per day for existing conditions.
8) Mardi Gras Pass developed in 2011 has a peak flow of around 6000 CFS and total sand load capacity of 1200 tons per day based on cross-sectional survey data of 2013 provided by LPBF.
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## Appendix A:
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## Appendix B:

## Calibration and Validation Results

HEC-RAS Calibration Results (2011):
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## HEC-RAS Validation Results (2008):








## Harvey Lock (RM 98.3) for 2008
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## Validation Results (2009):




Bonnet Carré (RM 126.9) for 2009







Validation Results (2010):



Bonnet Carré (RM 126.9) for 2010




West Pointe A-La-Hache (RM 48.7) for 2010




Validation Results (2012):










## Hourly Validation 2008:






## Hourly Validation 2011:







## CHARIMA Calibration Results (2009):
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CHARIMA Validation Results (2008):
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## Hurricane Gustav (2008) Calibration:



Donaldsonville (RM 173.7)-Gustav





Hurricane Isaac (2012) validation:






## Appendix C

Manning's n Values in HEC-RAS model

| Manning's $\mathbf{n}$ values for Mississippi River main channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Reach | River <br> Station | Friction (n/K) | Left <br> Bank | Channel | Right <br> Bank |
| 1 | Reach 1 | 306.0 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 2 | Reach 1 | 305.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 3 | Reach 1 | 305.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 4 | Reach 1 | 305.4 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 5 | Reach 1 | 305.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 6 | Reach 1 | 305.0 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 7 | Reach 1 | 304.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 8 | Reach 1 | 304.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 9 | Reach 1 | 304.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 10 | Reach 1 | 303.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 11 | Reach 1 | 303.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 12 | Reach 1 | 302.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 13 | Reach 1 | 302.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 14 | Reach 1 | 302.0 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 15 | Reach 1 | 301.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 16 | Reach 1 | 301.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 17 | Reach 1 | 300.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 18 | Reach 1 | 300.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 19 | Reach 1 | 300.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 20 | Reach 1 | 299.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 21 | Reach 1 | 299.4 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 22 | Reach 1 | 299.0 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 23 | Reach 1 | 298.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 24 | Reach 1 | 298.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 25 | Reach 1 | 297.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 26 | Reach 1 | 297.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 27 | Reach 1 | 296.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 28 | Reach 1 | 296.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 29 | Reach 1 | 296.5 |  | Latera | tructure |  |
| 30 | Reach 1 | 296.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 31 | Reach 1 | 296.0 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 32 | Reach 1 | 295.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 33 | Reach 1 | 295.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 34 | Reach 1 | 295.0 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 35 | Reach 1 | 294.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 36 | Reach 1 | 294.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 37 | Reach 1 | 293.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 38 | Reach 1 | 293.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |


| 39 | Reach 1 | 293.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | Reach 1 | 292.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 41 | Reach 1 | 292.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 42 | Reach 1 | 292.0 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 43 | Reach 1 | 291.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 44 | Reach 1 | 291.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 45 | Reach 1 | 290.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 46 | Reach 1 | 290.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 47 | Reach 1 | 290.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 48 | Reach 1 | 289.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 49 | Reach 1 | 289.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 50 | Reach 1 | 289.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 51 | Reach 1 | 289.0 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 52 | Reach 1 | 288.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 53 | Reach 1 | 288.4 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 54 | Reach 1 | 288.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 55 | Reach 1 | 287.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 56 | Reach 1 | 287.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 57 | Reach 1 | 287.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 58 | Reach 1 | 287.0 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 59 | Reach 1 | 286.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 60 | Reach 1 | 286.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 61 | Reach 1 | 286.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 62 | Reach 1 | 285.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 63 | Reach 1 | 285.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 64 | Reach 1 | 285.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 65 | Reach 1 | 285.0 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 66 | Reach 1 | 284.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 67 | Reach 1 | 284.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 68 | Reach 1 | 284.0 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 69 | Reach 1 | 283.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 70 | Reach 1 | 283.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 71 | Reach 1 | 282.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 72 | Reach 1 | 282.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 73 | Reach 1 | 282.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 74 | Reach 1 | 281.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 75 | Reach 1 | 281.4 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 76 | Reach 1 | 281.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 77 | Reach 1 | 280.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 78 | Reach 1 | 280.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 79 | Reach 1 | 280.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |


| 80 | Reach 1 | 279.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | Reach 1 | 279.4 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 82 | Reach 1 | 279.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 83 | Reach 1 | 278.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 84 | Reach 1 | 278.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 85 | Reach 1 | 278.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 86 | Reach 1 | 277.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 87 | Reach 1 | 277.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 88 | Reach 1 | 277.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 89 | Reach 1 | 277.0 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 90 | Reach 1 | 276.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 91 | Reach 1 | 276.4 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 92 | Reach 1 | 276.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 93 | Reach 1 | 275.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 94 | Reach 1 | 275.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 95 | Reach 1 | 275.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 96 | Reach 1 | 274.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 97 | Reach 1 | 274.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 98 | Reach 1 | 274.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 99 | Reach 1 | 274.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 100 | Reach 1 | 273.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 101 | Reach 1 | 273.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 102 | Reach 1 | 273.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 103 | Reach 1 | 272.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 104 | Reach 1 | 272.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 105 | Reach 1 | 272.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 106 | Reach 1 | 271.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 107 | Reach 1 | 271.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 108 | Reach 1 | 271 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 109 | Reach 1 | 270.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 110 | Reach 1 | 270.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 111 | Reach 1 | 269.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 112 | Reach 1 | 269.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 113 | Reach 1 | 269.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 114 | Reach 1 | 269 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 115 | Reach 1 | 268.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 116 | Reach 1 | 268.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 117 | Reach 1 | 268.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 118 | Reach 1 | 267.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 119 | Reach 1 | 267.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 120 | Reach 1 | 267.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |


| 121 | Reach 1 | 266.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 122 | Reach 1 | 266.4 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 123 | Reach 1 | 266 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 124 | Reach 1 | 265.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 125 | Reach 1 | 265.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 126 | Reach 1 | 264.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 127 | Reach 1 | 264.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 128 | Reach 1 | 263.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 129 | Reach 1 | 263.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 130 | Reach 1 | 263.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 131 | Reach 1 | 262.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 132 | Reach 1 | 262.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 133 | Reach 1 | 261.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 134 | Reach 1 | 261.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 135 | Reach 1 | 261.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 136 | Reach 1 | 260.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 137 | Reach 1 | 260.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 138 | Reach 1 | 260.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 139 | Reach 1 | 260 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 140 | Reach 1 | 259.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 141 | Reach 1 | 259.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 142 | Reach 1 | 259.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 143 | Reach 1 | 258.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 144 | Reach 1 | 258.4 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 145 | Reach 1 | 258 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 146 | Reach 1 | 257.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 147 | Reach 1 | 257.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 148 | Reach 1 | 257 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 149 | Reach 1 | 256.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 150 | Reach 1 | 256.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 151 | Reach 1 | 255.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 152 | Reach 1 | 255.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 153 | Reach 1 | 255.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 154 | Reach 1 | 254.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 155 | Reach 1 | 254.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 156 | Reach 1 | 254.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 157 | Reach 1 | 253.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 158 | Reach 1 | 253.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 159 | Reach 1 | 253 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 160 | Reach 1 | 252.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 161 | Reach 1 | 252.4 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |


| 162 | Reach 1 | 251.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 163 | Reach 1 | 251.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 164 | Reach 1 | 250.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 165 | Reach 1 | 250.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 166 | Reach 1 | 249.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 167 | Reach 1 | 249.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 168 | Reach 1 | 248.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 169 | Reach 1 | 248.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 170 | Reach 1 | 247.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 171 | Reach 1 | 247.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 172 | Reach 1 | 247.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 173 | Reach 1 | 246.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 174 | Reach 1 | 246.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 175 | Reach 1 | 246.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 176 | Reach 1 | 245.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 177 | Reach 1 | 245.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 178 | Reach 1 | 245.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 179 | Reach 1 | 245.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 180 | Reach 1 | 244.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 181 | Reach 1 | 244.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 182 | Reach 1 | 244.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 183 | Reach 1 | 244.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 184 | Reach 1 | 244.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 185 | Reach 1 | 243.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 186 | Reach 1 | 243.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 187 | Reach 1 | 243.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 188 | Reach 1 | 243.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 189 | Reach 1 | 242.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 190 | Reach 1 | 242.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 191 | Reach 1 | 242.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 192 | Reach 1 | 242.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 193 | Reach 1 | 241.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 194 | Reach 1 | 241.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 195 | Reach 1 | 241.4 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 196 | Reach 1 | 241.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 197 | Reach 1 | 240.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 198 | Reach 1 | 240.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 199 | Reach 1 | 240.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 200 | Reach 1 | 240.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 201 | Reach 1 | 240 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 202 | Reach 1 | 239.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |


| 203 | Reach 1 | 239.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 204 | Reach 1 | 239.4 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 205 | Reach 1 | 239.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 206 | Reach 1 | 238.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 207 | Reach 1 | 238.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 208 | Reach 1 | 238.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 209 | Reach 1 | 238.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 210 | Reach 1 | 237.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 211 | Reach 1 | 237.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 212 | Reach 1 | 237.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 213 | Reach 1 | 236.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 214 | Reach 1 | 236.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 215 | Reach 1 | 236.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 216 | Reach 1 | 235.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 217 | Reach 1 | 235.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 218 | Reach 1 | 235.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 219 | Reach 1 | 235.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 220 | Reach 1 | 234.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 221 | Reach 1 | 234.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 222 | Reach 1 | 234.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 223 | Reach 1 | 234.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 224 | Reach 1 | 234 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 225 | Reach 1 | 233.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 226 | Reach 1 | 233.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 227 | Reach 1 | 233.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 228 | Reach 1 | 233.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 229 | Reach 1 | 233 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 230 | Reach 1 | 232.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 231 | Reach 1 | 232.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 232 | Reach 1 | 232.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 233 | Reach 1 | 232 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 234 | Reach 1 | 231.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 235 | Reach 1 | 231.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 236 | Reach 1 | 231.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 237 | Reach 1 | 230.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 238 | Reach 1 | 230.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 239 | Reach 1 | 230.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 240 | Reach 1 | 230.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 241 | Reach 1 | 229.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 242 | Reach 1 | 229.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 243 | Reach 1 | 229.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |


| 244 | Reach 1 | 229.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 245 | Reach 1 | 229 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 246 | Reach 1 | 228.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 247 | Reach 1 | 228.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 248 | Reach 1 | 228.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 249 | Reach 1 | 228.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 250 | Reach 1 | 227.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 251 | Reach 1 | 227.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 252 | Reach 1 | 227.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 253 | Reach 1 | 227.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 254 | Reach 1 | 226.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 255 | Reach 1 | 226.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 256 | Reach 1 | 226.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 257 | Reach 1 | 226.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 258 | Reach 1 | 225.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 259 | Reach 1 | 225.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 260 | Reach 1 | 225.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 261 | Reach 1 | 225 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 262 | Reach 1 | 224.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 263 | Reach 1 | 224.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 264 | Reach 1 | 224.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 265 | Reach 1 | 223.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 266 | Reach 1 | 223.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 267 | Reach 1 | 223.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 268 | Reach 1 | 223.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 269 | Reach 1 | 222.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 270 | Reach 1 | 222.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 271 | Reach 1 | 222.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 272 | Reach 1 | 222.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 273 | Reach 1 | 221.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 274 | Reach 1 | 221.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 275 | Reach 1 | 221.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 276 | Reach 1 | 220.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 277 | Reach 1 | 220.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 278 | Reach 1 | 220.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 279 | Reach 1 | 220 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 280 | Reach 1 | 219.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 281 | Reach 1 | 219.4 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 282 | Reach 1 | 219.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 283 | Reach 1 | 218.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 284 | Reach 1 | 218.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |


| 285 | Reach 1 | 218.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 286 | Reach 1 | 217.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 287 | Reach 1 | 217.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 288 | Reach 1 | 217.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 289 | Reach 1 | 216.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 290 | Reach 1 | 216.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 291 | Reach 1 | 216.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 292 | Reach 1 | 216.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 293 | Reach 1 | 215.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 294 | Reach 1 | 215.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 295 | Reach 1 | 215.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 296 | Reach 1 | 215.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 297 | Reach 1 | 214.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 298 | Reach 1 | 214.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 299 | Reach 1 | 214.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 300 | Reach 1 | 214.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 301 | Reach 1 | 214 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 302 | Reach 1 | 213.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 303 | Reach 1 | 213.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 304 | Reach 1 | 213.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 305 | Reach 1 | 212.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 306 | Reach 1 | 212.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 307 | Reach 1 | 212.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 308 | Reach 1 | 211.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 309 | Reach 1 | 211.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 310 | Reach 1 | 211.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 311 | Reach 1 | 210.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 312 | Reach 1 | 210.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 313 | Reach 1 | 210.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 314 | Reach 1 | 210 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 315 | Reach 1 | 209.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 316 | Reach 1 | 209.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 317 | Reach 1 | 209.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 318 | Reach 1 | 208.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 319 | Reach 1 | 208.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 320 | Reach 1 | 208.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 321 | Reach 1 | 208.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 322 | Reach 1 | 208 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 323 | Reach 1 | 207.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 324 | Reach 1 | 207.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 325 | Reach 1 | 207.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |


| 326 | Reach 1 | 206.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 327 | Reach 1 | 206.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 328 | Reach 1 | 206.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 329 | Reach 1 | 206.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 330 | Reach 1 | 205.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 331 | Reach 1 | 205.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 332 | Reach 1 | 205.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 333 | Reach 1 | 205.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 334 | Reach 1 | 204.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 335 | Reach 1 | 204.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 336 | Reach 1 | 204.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 337 | Reach 1 | 203.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 338 | Reach 1 | 203.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 339 | Reach 1 | 203.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 340 | Reach 1 | 203 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 341 | Reach 1 | 202.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 342 | Reach 1 | 202.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 343 | Reach 1 | 202.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 344 | Reach 1 | 201.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 345 | Reach 1 | 201.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 346 | Reach 1 | 201.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 347 | Reach 1 | 201 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 348 | Reach 1 | 200.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 349 | Reach 1 | 200.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 350 | Reach 1 | 200.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 351 | Reach 1 | 200.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 352 | Reach 1 | 199.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 353 | Reach 1 | 199.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 354 | Reach 1 | 199.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 355 | Reach 1 | 199.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 356 | Reach 1 | 198.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 357 | Reach 1 | 198.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 358 | Reach 1 | 198.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 359 | Reach 1 | 197.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 360 | Reach 1 | 197.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 361 | Reach 1 | 197.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 362 | Reach 1 | 197.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 363 | Reach 1 | 196.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 364 | Reach 1 | 196.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 365 | Reach 1 | 196.4 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 366 | Reach 1 | 196.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |


| 367 | Reach 1 | 195.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 368 | Reach 1 | 195.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 369 | Reach 1 | 195.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 370 | Reach 1 | 195.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 371 | Reach 1 | 194.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 372 | Reach 1 | 194.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 373 | Reach 1 | 194.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 374 | Reach 1 | 194.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 375 | Reach 1 | 193.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 376 | Reach 1 | 193.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 377 | Reach 1 | 193.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 378 | Reach 1 | 193.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 379 | Reach 1 | 193 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 380 | Reach 1 | 192.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 381 | Reach 1 | 192.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 382 | Reach 1 | 192.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 383 | Reach 1 | 191.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 384 | Reach 1 | 191.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 385 | Reach 1 | 191.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 386 | Reach 1 | 191 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 387 | Reach 1 | 190.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 388 | Reach 1 | 190.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 389 | Reach 1 | 190.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 390 | Reach 1 | 189.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 391 | Reach 1 | 189.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 392 | Reach 1 | 189.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 393 | Reach 1 | 189 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 394 | Reach 1 | 188.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 395 | Reach 1 | 188.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 396 | Reach 1 | 188.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 397 | Reach 1 | 187.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 398 | Reach 1 | 187.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 399 | Reach 1 | 187.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 400 | Reach 1 | 186.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 401 | Reach 1 | 186.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 402 | Reach 1 | 186.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 403 | Reach 1 | 185.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 404 | Reach 1 | 185.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 405 | Reach 1 | 185.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 406 | Reach 1 | 185 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 407 | Reach 1 | 184.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |


| 408 | Reach 1 | 184.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 409 | Reach 1 | 184.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 410 | Reach 1 | 184 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 411 | Reach 1 | 183.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 412 | Reach 1 | 183.4 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 413 | Reach 1 | 183.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 414 | Reach 1 | 182.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 415 | Reach 1 | 182.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 416 | Reach 1 | 182.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 417 | Reach 1 | 182 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 418 | Reach 1 | 181.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 419 | Reach 1 | 181.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 420 | Reach 1 | 181.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 421 | Reach 1 | 181.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 422 | Reach 1 | 180.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 423 | Reach 1 | 180.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 424 | Reach 1 | 180.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 425 | Reach 1 | 179.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 426 | Reach 1 | 179.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 427 | Reach 1 | 179.4 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 428 | Reach 1 | 179.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 429 | Reach 1 | 178.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 430 | Reach 1 | 178.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 431 | Reach 1 | 178.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 432 | Reach 1 | 178 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 433 | Reach 1 | 177.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 434 | Reach 1 | 177.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 435 | Reach 1 | 177.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 436 | Reach 1 | 176.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 437 | Reach 1 | 176.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 438 | Reach 1 | 176.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 439 | Reach 1 | 176.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 440 | Reach 1 | 176 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 441 | Reach 1 | 175.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 442 | Reach 1 | 175.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 443 | Reach 1 | 175.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 444 | Reach 1 | 174.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 445 | Reach 1 | 174.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 446 | Reach 1 | 174.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 447 | Reach 1 | 174 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 448 | Reach 1 | 173.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |


| 449 | Reach 1 | 173.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 450 | Reach 1 | 173.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 451 | Reach 1 | 172.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 452 | Reach 1 | 172.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 453 | Reach 1 | 172.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 454 | Reach 1 | 172.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 455 | Reach 1 | 172.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 456 | Reach 1 | 171.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 457 | Reach 1 | 171.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 458 | Reach 1 | 171.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 459 | Reach 1 | 171.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 460 | Reach 1 | 170.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 461 | Reach 1 | 170.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 462 | Reach 1 | 170.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 463 | Reach 1 | 170.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 464 | Reach 1 | 169.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 465 | Reach 1 | 169.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 466 | Reach 1 | 169.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 467 | Reach 1 | 169.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 468 | Reach 1 | 169.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 469 | Reach 1 | 168.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 470 | Reach 1 | 168.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 471 | Reach 1 | 168.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 472 | Reach 1 | 168.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 473 | Reach 1 | 167.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 474 | Reach 1 | 167.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 475 | Reach 1 | 167.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 476 | Reach 1 | 167 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 477 | Reach 1 | 166.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 478 | Reach 1 | 166.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 479 | Reach 1 | 166.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 480 | Reach 1 | 166.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 481 | Reach 1 | 165.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 482 | Reach 1 | 165.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 483 | Reach 1 | 165.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 484 | Reach 1 | 164.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 485 | Reach 1 | 164.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 486 | Reach 1 | 164.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 487 | Reach 1 | 164 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 488 | Reach 1 | 163.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 489 | Reach 1 | 163.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |


| 490 | Reach 1 | 163.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 491 | Reach 1 | 162.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 492 | Reach 1 | 162.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 493 | Reach 1 | 162.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 494 | Reach 1 | 161.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 495 | Reach 1 | 161.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 496 | Reach 1 | 161.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 497 | Reach 1 | 161 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 498 | Reach 1 | 160.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 499 | Reach 1 | 160.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 500 | Reach 1 | 160.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 501 | Reach 1 | 160.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 502 | Reach 1 | 159.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 503 | Reach 1 | 159.6 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 504 | Reach 1 | 159.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 505 | Reach 1 | 159.1 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 506 | Reach 1 | 158.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 507 | Reach 1 | 158.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 508 | Reach 1 | 158.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 509 | Reach 1 | 158 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 510 | Reach 1 | 157.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 511 | Reach 1 | 157.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 512 | Reach 1 | 157.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 513 | Reach 1 | 156.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 514 | Reach 1 | 156.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 515 | Reach 1 | 156.4 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 516 | Reach 1 | 156.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 517 | Reach 1 | 155.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 518 | Reach 1 | 155.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 519 | Reach 1 | 155.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 520 | Reach 1 | 154.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 521 | Reach 1 | 154.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 522 | Reach 1 | 154.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 523 | Reach 1 | 153.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 524 | Reach 1 | 153.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 525 | Reach 1 | 153.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 526 | Reach 1 | 152.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 527 | Reach 1 | 152.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 528 | Reach 1 | 152.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 529 | Reach 1 | 151.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 530 | Reach 1 | 151.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |


| 531 | Reach 1 | 151.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 532 | Reach 1 | 151.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 533 | Reach 1 | 150.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 534 | Reach 1 | 150.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 535 | Reach 1 | 150.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 536 | Reach 1 | 149.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 537 | Reach 1 | 149.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 538 | Reach 1 | 149.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 539 | Reach 1 | 149 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 540 | Reach 1 | 148.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 541 | Reach 1 | 148.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 542 | Reach 1 | 148.2 | $n$ | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 543 | Reach 1 | 147.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 544 | Reach 1 | 147.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 545 | Reach 1 | 147.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 546 | Reach 1 | 146.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 547 | Reach 1 | 146.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 548 | Reach 1 | 146.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 549 | Reach 1 | 146.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 550 | Reach 1 | 145.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 551 | Reach 1 | 145.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 552 | Reach 1 | 145.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 553 | Reach 1 | 144.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 554 | Reach 1 | 144.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 555 | Reach 1 | 144.3 | $n$ | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 556 | Reach 1 | 144 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 557 | Reach 1 | 143.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 558 | Reach 1 | 143.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 559 | Reach 1 | 143 n | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 560 | Reach 1 | 142.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 561 | Reach 1 | 142.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 562 | Reach 1 | 142.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 563 | Reach 1 | 141.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 564 | Reach 1 | 141.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 565 | Reach 1 | 141.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 566 | Reach 1 | 140.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 567 | Reach 1 | 140.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 568 | Reach 1 | 140 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 569 | Reach 1 | 139.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 570 | Reach 1 | 139.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 571 | Reach 1 | 139.1 | $n$ | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |


| 572 | Reach 1 | 138.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 573 | Reach 1 | 138.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 574 | Reach 1 | 138.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 575 | Reach 1 | 137.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 576 | Reach 1 | 137.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 577 | Reach 1 | 137.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 578 | Reach 1 | 136.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 579 | Reach 1 | 136.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 580 | Reach 1 | 136.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 581 | Reach 1 | 135.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 582 | Reach 1 | 135.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 583 | Reach 1 | 135.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 584 | Reach 1 | 135 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 585 | Reach 1 | 134.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 586 | Reach 1 | 134.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 587 | Reach 1 | 134.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 588 | Reach 1 | 133.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 589 | Reach 1 | 133.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 590 | Reach 1 | 133.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 591 | Reach 1 | 132.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 592 | Reach 1 | 132.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 593 | Reach 1 | 132.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 594 | Reach 1 | 131.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 595 | Reach 1 | 131.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 596 | Reach 1 | 131.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 597 | Reach 1 | 131 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 598 | Reach 1 | 130.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 599 | Reach 1 | 130.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 600 | Reach 1 | 130.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 601 | Reach 1 | 130 n | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 602 | Reach 1 | 129.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 603 | Reach 1 | 129.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 604 | Reach 1 | 129.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 605 | Reach 1 | 129 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 606 | Reach 1 | 128.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 607 | Reach 1 | 128.6 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Lat } \\ \text { Struct } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| 608 | Reach 1 | 128.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 609 | Reach 1 | 128.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 610 | Reach 1 | 127.8 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 611 | Reach 1 | 127.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 612 | Reach 1 | 127.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |


| 613 | Reach 1 | 126.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 614 | Reach 1 | 126.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 615 | Reach 1 | 126.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 616 | Reach 1 | 126 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 617 | Reach 1 | 125.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 618 | Reach 1 | 125.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 619 | Reach 1 | 125.2 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 620 | Reach 1 | 125 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 621 | Reach 1 | 124.7 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 622 | Reach 1 | 124.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 623 | Reach 1 | 124.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 624 | Reach 1 | 124 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 625 | Reach 1 | 123.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 626 | Reach 1 | 123.5 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 627 | Reach 1 | 123.3 | n | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.026 |
| 628 | Reach 1 | 123.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 629 | Reach 1 | 122.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 630 | Reach 1 | 122.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 631 | Reach 1 | 122.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 632 | Reach 1 | 121.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 633 | Reach 1 | 121.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 634 | Reach 1 | 121.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 635 | Reach 1 | 120.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 636 | Reach 1 | 120.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 637 | Reach 1 | 120.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 638 | Reach 1 | 119.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 639 | Reach 1 | 119.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 640 | Reach 1 | 119.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 641 | Reach 1 | 119 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 642 | Reach 1 | 118.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 643 | Reach 1 | 118.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.026 |  |
| 644 | Reach 2 | 118.2 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 645 | Reach 2 | 117.9 | n | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.026 |
| 646 | Reach 2 | 117.6 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 647 | Reach 2 | 117.3 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 648 | Reach 2 | 117.1 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 649 | Reach 2 | 116.8 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 650 | Reach 2 | 116.6 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 651 | Reach 2 | 116.3 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 652 | Reach 2 | 116 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 653 | Reach 2 | 115.6 | n | 0.026 |  |  |


| 654 | Reach 2 | 115.3 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 655 | Reach 2 | 115 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 656 | Reach 2 | 114.8 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 657 | Reach 2 | 114.5 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 658 | Reach 2 | 114.2 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 659 | Reach 2 | 113.8 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 660 | Reach 2 | 113.5 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 661 | Reach 2 | 113.1 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 662 | Reach 2 | 112.8 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 663 | Reach 2 | 112.4 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 664 | Reach 2 | 112.1 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 665 | Reach 2 | 111.9 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 666 | Reach 2 | 111.6 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 667 | Reach 2 | 111.3 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 668 | Reach 2 | 111 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 669 | Reach 2 | 110.7 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 670 | Reach 2 | 110.4 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 671 | Reach 2 | 110.2 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 672 | Reach 2 | 109.9 | n | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.026 |
| 673 | Reach 2 | 109.7 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 674 | Reach 2 | 109.5 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 675 | Reach 2 | 109.2 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 676 | Reach 2 | 109 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 677 | Reach 2 | 108.8 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 678 | Reach 2 | 108.6 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 679 | Reach 2 | 108.4 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 680 | Reach 2 | 108.2 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 681 | Reach 2 | 107.9 | n | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.026 |
| 682 | Reach 2 | 107.6 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 683 | Reach 2 | 107.4 | n | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.026 |
| 684 | Reach 2 | 107.1 | n | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.026 |
| 685 | Reach 2 | 106.9 | n | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.026 |
| 686 | Reach 2 | 106.6 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 687 | Reach 2 | 106.3 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 688 | Reach 2 | 106 | n | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.026 |
| 689 | Reach 2 | 105.7 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 690 | Reach 2 | 105.5 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 691 | Reach 2 | 105.2 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 692 | Reach 2 | 105 | n | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.026 |
| 693 | Reach 2 | 104.8 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 694 | Reach 2 | 104.6 | n | 0.026 |  |  |


| 695 | Reach 2 | 104.4 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 696 | Reach 2 | 104.2 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 697 | Reach 2 | 103.9 | n | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.026 |
| 698 | Reach 2 | 103.7 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 699 | Reach 2 | 103.5 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 700 | Reach 2 | 103.3 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 701 | Reach 2 | 103.1 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 702 | Reach 2 | 102.8 | n | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.026 |
| 703 | Reach 2 | 102.7 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 704 | Reach 2 | 102.4 | n | 0.025 | 0.025 | 0.026 |
| 705 | Reach 2 | 102.2 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 706 | Reach 2 | 102 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 707 | Reach 2 | 101.8 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 708 | Reach 2 | 101.6 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 709 | Reach 2 | 101.4 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 710 | Reach 2 | 101.3 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 711 | Reach 2 | 101.1 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 712 | Reach 2 | 100.9 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 713 | Reach 2 | 100.7 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 714 | Reach 2 | 100.6 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 715 | Reach 2 | 100.4 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 716 | Reach 2 | 100.1 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 717 | Reach 2 | 99.9 | n | 0.026 | 0.025 |  |
| 718 | Reach 2 | 99.6 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 719 | Reach 2 | 99.3 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 720 | Reach 2 | 99 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 721 | Reach 2 | 98.7 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 722 | Reach 2 | 98.5 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 723 | Reach 2 | 98.2 | n | 0.026 |  |  |
| 724 | Reach 3 | 97.9 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 725 | Reach 3 | 97.7 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 726 | Reach 3 | 97.4 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 727 | Reach 3 | 97.1 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 728 | Reach 3 | 96.9 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 729 | Reach 3 | 96.7 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 730 | Reach 3 | 96.5 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 731 | Reach 3 | 96.3 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 732 | Reach 3 | 96.2 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 733 | Reach 3 | 96 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 734 | Reach 3 | 95.8 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 735 | Reach 3 | 95.6 | n | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.025 |


| 736 | Reach 3 | 95.5 | n | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 737 | Reach 3 | 95.4 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 738 | Reach 3 | 95.2 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 739 | Reach 3 | 95.1 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 740 | Reach 3 | 94.9 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 741 | Reach 3 | 94.7 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 742 | Reach 3 | 94.6 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 743 | Reach 3 | 94.4 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 744 | Reach 3 | 94.2 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 745 | Reach 3 | 94 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 746 | Reach 3 | 93.8 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 747 | Reach 3 | 93.6 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 748 | Reach 3 | 93.4 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 749 | Reach 3 | 93.1 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 750 | Reach 3 | 92.9 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 751 | Reach 3 | 92.6 | n | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.025 |
| 752 | Reach 3 | 92.3 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 753 | Reach 3 | 92 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 754 | Reach 3 | 91.8 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 755 | Reach 3 | 91.5 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 756 | Reach 3 | 91.2 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 757 | Reach 3 | 90.9 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 758 | Reach 3 | 90.6 | n | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.025 |
| 759 | Reach 3 | 90.4 | n | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.025 |
| 760 | Reach 3 | 90.1 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 761 | Reach 3 | 89.9 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 762 | Reach 3 | 89.5 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 763 | Reach 3 | 89.3 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 764 | Reach 3 | 88.9 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 765 | Reach 3 | 88.6 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 766 | Reach 3 | 88.3 | n | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.025 |
| 767 | Reach 3 | 88.1 | n | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.025 |
| 768 | Reach 3 | 87.8 | n | 0.025 | 0.0201 |  |
| 769 | Reach 4 | 87.5 | n | 0.024 | 0.025 |  |
| 770 | Reach 4 | 87.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.025 |  |
| 771 | Reach 4 | 87 | n | 0.024 | 0.025 |  |
| 772 | Reach 4 | 86.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.025 |  |
| 773 | Reach 4 | 86.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.025 |  |
| 774 | Reach 4 | 86 | n | 0.024 | 0.025 |  |
| 775 | Reach 4 | 85.7 | n | 0.024 | 0.025 |  |
| 776 | Reach 4 | 85.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.025 |  |


| 777 | Reach 4 | 85.1 | n | 0.024 | 0.025 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 778 | Reach 4 | 84.8 | n | 0.024 | 0.025 |  |
| 779 | Reach 4 | 84.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.025 |  |
| 780 | Reach 4 | 84.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.025 |  |
| 781 | Reach 4 | 83.9 | n | 0.024 | 0.025 |  |
| 782 | Reach 4 | 83.6 | n | 0.024 | 0.025 |  |
| 783 | Reach 4 | 83.3 | n | 0.024 | 0.025 |  |
| 784 | Reach 4 | 83.1 | n | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.025 |
| 785 | Reach 4 | 82.8 | n | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.025 |
| 786 | Reach 4 | 82.6 | n | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.025 |
| 787 | Reach 4 | 82.4 | n | 0.024 | 0.025 |  |
| 788 | Reach 4 | 82.2 | n | 0.024 | 0.025 |  |
| 789 | Reach 4 | 82 | n | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.025 |
| 790 | Reach 4 | 81.8 | n | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.025 |
| 791 | Reach 4 | 81.5 | n | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.025 |
| 792 | Reach 5 | 81.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 793 | Reach 5 | 80.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 794 | Reach 5 | 80.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 795 | Reach 5 | 80.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 796 | Reach 5 | 80 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 797 | Reach 5 | 79.7 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 798 | Reach 5 | 79.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 799 | Reach 5 | 79.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 800 | Reach 5 | 78.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 801 | Reach 5 | 78.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 802 | Reach 5 | 78.4 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 803 | Reach 5 | 78.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 804 | Reach 5 | 77.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 805 | Reach 5 | 77.7 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 806 | Reach 5 | 77.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 807 | Reach 5 | 77.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 808 | Reach 5 | 76.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 809 | Reach 5 | 76.7 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 810 | Reach 5 | 76.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 811 | Reach 5 | 76.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 812 | Reach 5 | 76.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 813 | Reach 5 | 76 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 814 | Reach 5 | 75.7 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 815 | Reach 5 | 75.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 816 | Reach 5 | 75.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 817 | Reach 5 | 75.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |


| 818 | Reach 5 | 74.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 819 | Reach 5 | 74.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 820 | Reach 5 | 74.4 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 821 | Reach 5 | 74.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 822 | Reach 5 | 73.8 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 823 | Reach 5 | 73.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 824 | Reach 5 | 73.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 825 | Reach 5 | 73.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 826 | Reach 5 | 73 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 827 | Reach 5 | 72.8 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 828 | Reach 5 | 72.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 829 | Reach 5 | 72.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 830 | Reach 5 | 72.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 831 | Reach 5 | 71.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 832 | Reach 5 | 71.7 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 833 | Reach 5 | 71.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 834 | Reach 5 | 71.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 835 | Reach 5 | 71 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 836 | Reach 5 | 70.8 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 837 | Reach 5 | 70.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 838 | Reach 5 | 70.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 839 | Reach 5 | 70.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 840 | Reach 5 | 69.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 841 | Reach 5 | 69.7 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 842 | Reach 5 | 69.4 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 843 | Reach 5 | 69.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 844 | Reach 5 | 68.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 845 | Reach 5 | 68.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 846 | Reach 5 | 68.4 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 847 | Reach 5 | 68.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 848 | Reach 5 | 68 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 849 | Reach 5 | 67.8 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 850 | Reach 5 | 67.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 851 | Reach 5 | 67.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 852 | Reach 5 | 67.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 853 | Reach 5 | 66.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 854 | Reach 5 | 66.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 855 | Reach 5 | 66.4 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 856 | Reach 5 | 66.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 857 | Reach 5 | 65.8 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 858 | Reach 5 | 65.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |


| 859 | Reach 5 | 65.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 860 | Reach 5 | 65 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 861 | Reach 5 | 64.7 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 862 | Reach 5 | 64.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 863 | Reach 5 | 64.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 864 | Reach 5 | 63.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 865 | Reach 5 | 63.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 866 | Reach 5 | 63.4 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 867 | Reach 5 | 63.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 868 | Reach 5 | 62.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 869 | Reach 5 | 62.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 870 | Reach 5 | 62.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 871 | Reach 5 | 62.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 872 | Reach 5 | 61.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 873 | Reach 5 | 61.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 874 | Reach 5 | 61.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 875 | Reach 5 | 61 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 876 | Reach 5 | 60.8 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 877 | Reach 5 | 60.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 878 | Reach 5 | 60.4 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 879 | Reach 5 | 60.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 880 | Reach 5 | 60 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 881 | Reach 5 | 59.7 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 882 | Reach 5 | 59.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 883 | Reach 5 | 59.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 884 | Reach 5 | 59.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 885 | Reach 5 | 58.8 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 886 | Reach 5 | 58.4 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 887 | Reach 5 | 58.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 888 | Reach 5 | 57.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 889 | Reach 5 | 57.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 890 | Reach 5 | 57.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 891 | Reach 5 | 56.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 892 | Reach 5 | 56.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 893 | Reach 5 | 56.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 894 | Reach 5 | 55.8 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 895 | Reach 5 | 55.4 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 896 | Reach 5 | 55.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 897 | Reach 5 | 54.8 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 898 | Reach 5 | 54.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 899 | Reach 5 | 54.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |


| 900 | Reach 5 | 53.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 901 | Reach 5 | 53.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 902 | Reach 5 | 53.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 903 | Reach 5 | 53 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 904 | Reach 5 | 52.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 905 | Reach 5 | 52.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 906 | Reach 5 | 51.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 907 | Reach 5 | 51.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 908 | Reach 5 | 51.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 909 | Reach 5 | 50.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 910 | Reach 5 | 50.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 911 | Reach 5 | 50.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 912 | Reach 5 | 49.8 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 913 | Reach 5 | 49.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 914 | Reach 5 | 49.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 915 | Reach 5 | 48.8 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 916 | Reach 5 | 48.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 917 | Reach 5 | 48.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 918 | Reach 5 | 47.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 919 | Reach 5 | 47.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 920 | Reach 5 | 47.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 921 | Reach 5 | 46.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 922 | Reach 5 | 46.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 923 | Reach 5 | 46.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 924 | Reach 5 | 45.7 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 925 | Reach 5 | 45.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 926 | Reach 5 | 45 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 927 | Reach 5 | 44.7 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 928 | Reach 5_Mardi_Gr | 44.4 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 929 | Reach 5_Mardi_Gr | 44.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 930 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_1 | 43.8 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 931 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_1 | 43.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 932 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_1 | 43.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 933 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_1 | 42.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 934 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_1 | 42.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 935 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_2 | 42.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 936 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_2 | 42 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 937 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_2 | 41.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 938 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_3 | 41.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 939 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_3 | 41 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 940 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_3 | 40.7 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |


| 941 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_3 | 40.4 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 942 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_3 | 40.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 943 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_4 | 39.8 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 944 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_4 | 39.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 945 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_4 | 39.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 946 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_4 | 39 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 947 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_4 | 38.7 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 948 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_5 | 38.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 949 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_5 | 38.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 950 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_5 | 37.8 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 951 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_5 | 37.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 952 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_5 | 37.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 953 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_6 | 36.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 954 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_6 | 36.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 955 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_6 | 36.4 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 956 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_6 | 36.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 957 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_6 | 35.8 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 958 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_6 | 35.5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 959 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_6 | 35.2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 960 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_6 | 34.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 961 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_6 | 34.7 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 962 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_7 | 34.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 963 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_7 | 33.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 964 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_7 | 33.6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 965 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_7 | 33.3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 966 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_8 | 32.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 967 | Reach 5_DS_Boh_8 | 32.85 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 968 | Reach 5_2 | 32.75 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 969 | Reach 5_2 | 32.05 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 970 | Reach 6 | 32.71 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 971 | Reach 6 | 32.7 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 972 | Reach 6 | 32.41 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 973 | Reach 7 | 32.4 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 974 | Reach 7 | 32.1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 975 | Reach 7 | 31.9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 |  |
| 976 | Reach 7_2 | 31.6 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 977 | Reach 7_2 | 31.4 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 978 | Reach 7_2 | 31.2 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 979 | Reach 7_2 | 31 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 980 | Reach 7_2 | 30.8 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 981 | Reach 7_2 | 30.6 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |


| 982 | Reach 7_2 | 30.4 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 983 | Reach 7_2 | 30.1 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 984 | Reach 7_2 | 29.9 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 | 0.023 |
| 985 | Reach 7_2 | 29.6 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 | 0.023 |
| 986 | Reach 7_2 | 29.5 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 | 0.023 |
| 987 | Reach 7_2 | 29.2 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 | 0.023 |
| 988 | Reach 7_2 | 29 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 | 0.023 |
| 989 | Reach 7_2 | 28.8 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 990 | Reach 7_2 | 28.5 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 991 | Reach 7_2 | 28.3 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 992 | Reach 7_2 | 28 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 993 | Reach 7_2 | 27.7 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 994 | Reach 7_2 | 27.4 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 995 | Reach 7_2 | 27.1 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 996 | Reach 7_2 | 26.7 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 997 | Reach 7_2 | 26.4 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 998 | Reach 7_3 | 26 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 999 | Reach 7_3 | 25.6 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1000 | Reach 7_3 | 25.2 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1001 | Reach 7_3 | 24.9 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1002 | Reach 7_3 | 24.6 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1003 | Reach 7_3 | 24.2 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1004 | Reach 7_3 | 23.8 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1005 | Reach 7_3 | 23.5 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1006 | Reach 7_3 | 23.1 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1007 | Reach 7_3 | 22.7 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1008 | Reach 7_3 | 22.4 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1009 | Reach 7_3 | 22 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1010 | Reach 7_3 | 21.7 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1011 | Reach 7_3 | 21.3 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1012 | Reach 7_3 | 21 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1013 | Reach 7_3 | 20.7 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1014 | Reach 7_3 | 20.5 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1015 | Reach 7_3 | 20.1 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1016 | Reach 7_3 | 19.9 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1017 | Reach 7_3 | 19.6 | n | 0.023 | 0.022 |  |
| 1018 | Reach 8 | 19.4 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 |  |
| 1019 | Reach 8 | 19.1 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1020 | Reach 8 | 18.8 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1021 | Reach 8 | 18.6 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1022 | Reach 8 | 18.3 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |


| 1023 | Reach 8 | 18 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1024 | Reach 8 | 17.7 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 |  |
| 1025 | Reach 8 | 17.4 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 |  |
| 1026 | Reach 8 | 17.1 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 |  |
| 1027 | Reach 8 | 16.8 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 |  |
| 1028 | Reach 8 | 16.5 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1029 | Reach 8 | 16.2 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1030 | Reach 8 | 15.8 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1031 | Reach 8 | 15.6 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1032 | Reach 8 | 15.3 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1033 | Reach 8_3 | 15 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1034 | Reach 8_3 | 14.7 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1035 | Reach 8_3 | 14.5 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1036 | Reach 8_3 | 14.2 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1037 | Reach 8_3 | 13.8 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1038 | Reach 8_3 | 13.5 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1039 | Reach 8_3 | 13.2 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1040 | Reach 8_3 | 13 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1041 | Reach 8_3 | 12.8 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1042 | Reach 8_3 | 12.5 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1043 | Reach 8_3 | 12.2 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1044 | Reach 8_3 | 12 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1045 | Reach 8_3 | 11.7 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1046 | Reach 8_3 | 11.4 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1047 | Reach 9 | 11.2 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1048 | Reach 9 | 11.1 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1049 | Reach 9 | 10.8 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 |  |
| 1050 | Reach 9 | 10.6 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1051 | Reach 9 | 10.4 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1052 | Reach 10 | 10.2 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1053 | Reach 10 | 9.97 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1054 | Reach 10 | 9.7 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1055 | Reach 10 | 9.4 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1056 | Reach 10 | 9.1 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1057 | Reach 10 | 8.9 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1058 | Reach 10 | 8.7 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1059 | Reach 10 | 8.4 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1060 | Reach 10 | 8.1 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1061 | Reach 10 | 7.94 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1062 | Reach 10 | 7.5 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1063 | Reach 10 | 7.3 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |


| 1064 | Reach 10 | 6.9 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1065 | Reach 10 | 6.7 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1066 | Reach 10 | 6.5 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1067 | Reach 10 | 6.2 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1068 | Reach 10 | 6 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1069 | Reach 10 | 5.8 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1070 | Reach 10 | 5.5 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1071 | Reach 10 | 5.3 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1072 | Reach 10 | 5.1 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1073 | Reach 10 | 4.9 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1074 | Reach 10 | 4.7 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1075 | Reach 11 | 4.46 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1076 | Reach 11 | 4.26 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1077 | Reach 11 | 4.04 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1078 | Reach 11 | 3.83 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1079 | Reach 11 | 3.6 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1080 | Reach 11 | 3.36 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1081 | Reach 11 | 3.15 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1082 | Reach 12 | 2.95 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1083 | Reach 12 | 2.75 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1084 | Reach 12 | 2.65 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1085 | Reach 12 | 2.46 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1086 | Reach 12 | 2.28 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1087 | Reach 12 | 2.08 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1088 | Reach 12 | 1.7 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1089 | Reach 12 | 1.53 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1090 | Reach 12 | 1.4 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1091 | Reach 12 | 1.25 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1092 | Reach 12 | 1.1 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1093 | Reach 12 | 0.98 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1094 | Reach 12 | 0.7 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1095 | Reach 12 | 0.58 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1096 | Reach 12 | 0.35 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1097 | Reach 12 | 0.2 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |
| 1098 | Reach 12 | 0.07 | n | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0.019 |


| Manning's n values for Southwest Pass |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Reach | River Station | Friction (n/K) | Left <br> Bank | Channel | Right <br> Bank |
| 1 | Reach 1 | 108.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 2 | Reach 1 | 107.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 3 | Reach 1 | 106.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 4 | Reach 1 | 105.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 5 | Reach 1 | 104.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 6 | Reach 1 | 103.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 7 | Reach 1 | 102.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 8 | Reach 1 | 101.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 9 | Reach 1 | 100.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 10 | Reach 1 | 99.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 11 | Reach 1 | 98.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 12 | Reach 1 | 97.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 13 | Reach 1 | 96.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 14 | Reach 1 | 95.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 15 | Reach 1 | 94.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 16 | Reach 1 | 93.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 17 | Reach 1 | 92.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 18 | Reach 1 | 91.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 19 | Reach 1 | 90.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 20 | Reach 1 | 89.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 21 | Reach 1 | 88.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 22 | Reach 1 | 87.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 23 | Reach 1 | 86.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 24 | Reach 1 | 85.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 25 | Reach 1 | 84.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 26 | Reach 1 | 83.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 27 | Reach 1 | 82.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 28 | Reach 1 | 81.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 29 | Reach 1 | 80.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 30 | Reach 1 | 79.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 31 | Reach 1 | 78.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 32 | Reach 1 | 77.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 33 | Reach 1 | 76.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 34 | Reach 1 | 75.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 35 | Reach 1 | 74.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 36 | Reach 1 | 73.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 37 | Reach 1 | 72.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 38 | Reach 1 | 71.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |


| 39 | Reach 1 | 70.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | Reach 1 | 69.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 41 | Reach 1 | 68.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 42 | Reach 1 | 67.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 43 | Reach 1 | 66.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 44 | Reach 1 | 65.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 45 | Reach 1 | 64.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 46 | Reach 1 | 63.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 47 | Reach 1 | 62.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 48 | Reach 1 | 61.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 49 | Reach 1 | 60.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 50 | Reach 1 | 59.0 | $n$ | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 51 | Reach 1 | 58.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 52 | Reach 1 | 57.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 53 | Reach 1 | 56.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 54 | Reach 1 | 55.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 55 | Reach 1 | 54.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 56 | Reach 1 | 53.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 57 | Reach 1 | 52.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 58 | Reach 1 | 51.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 59 | Reach 1 | 50.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 60 | Reach 1 | 49.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 61 | Reach 1 | 48.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 62 | Reach 1 | 47.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 63 | Reach 1 | 46.0 | $n$ | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 64 | Reach 1 | 45.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 65 | Reach 1 | 44.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 66 | Reach 1 | 43.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 67 | Reach 1 | 42.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 68 | Reach 1 | 41.0 | $n$ | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 69 | Reach 1 | 40.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 70 | Reach 1 | 39.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 71 | Reach 1 | 38.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 72 | Reach 1 | 37.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 73 | Reach 1 | 36.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 74 | Reach 1 | 35.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 75 | Reach 1 | 34.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 76 | Reach 1 | 33.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 77 | Reach 1 | 32.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 78 | Reach 1 | 31.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 79 | Reach 1 | 30.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |


| 80 | Reach 1 | 29.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | Reach 1 | 28.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 82 | Reach 1 | 27.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 83 | Reach 1 | 26.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 84 | Reach 1 | 25.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 85 | Reach 1 | 24.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 86 | Reach 1 | 23.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 87 | Reach 1 | 22.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 88 | Reach 1 | 21.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 89 | Reach 1 | 20.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 90 | Reach 1 | 19.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 91 | Reach 1 | 18.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 92 | Reach 1 | 17.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 93 | Reach 1 | 16.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 94 | Reach 1 | 15.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 95 | Reach 1 | 14.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 96 | Reach 1 | 13.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 97 | Reach 1 | 12.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 98 | Reach 1 | 11.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 99 | Reach 1 | 10.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 100 | Reach 1 | 9.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 101 | Reach 1 | 8.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 102 | Reach 1 | 7.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 103 | Reach 1 | 6.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 104 | Reach 1 | 5.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 105 | Reach 1 | 4.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 106 | Reach 1 | 3.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 107 | Reach 1 | 2.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 108 | Reach 1 | 1.0 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |


| Number | Reach | River Station | Friction ( $\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{K}$ ) | Left Bank | Channel | Right <br> Bank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Reach 1 | 79 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 2 | Reach 1 | 78 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 3 | Reach 1 | 77 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 4 | Reach 1 | 76 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 5 | Reach 1 | 75 | 1 | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 6 | Reach 1 | 74 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 7 | Reach 1 | 73 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 8 | Reach 1 | 72 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 9 | Reach 1 | 71 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 10 | Reach 1 | 70 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 11 | Reach 1 | 69 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 12 | Reach 1 | 68 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 13 | Reach 1 | 67 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 14 | Reach 1 | 66 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 15 | Reach 1 | 65 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 16 | Reach 1 | 64 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 17 | Reach 1 | 63 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 18 | Reach 1 | 62 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 19 | Reach 1 | 61 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 20 | Reach 1 | 60 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 21 | Reach 1 | 59 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 22 | Reach 1 | 58 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 23 | Reach 1 | 57 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 24 | Reach 1 | 56 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 25 | Reach 1 | 55 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 26 | Reach 1 | 54 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 27 | Reach 1 | 53 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 28 | Reach 1 | 52 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 29 | Reach 1 | 51 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 30 | Reach 1 | 50 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 31 | Reach 1 | 49 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 32 | Reach 1 | 48 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 33 | Reach 1 | 47 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 34 | Reach 1 | 46 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 35 | Reach 1 | 45 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 36 | Reach 1 | 44 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 37 | Reach 1 | 43 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 38 | Reach 1 | 42 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 39 | Reach 1 | 41 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |


| 40 | Reach 1 | 40 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | Reach 1 | 39 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 42 | Reach 1 | 38 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 43 | Reach 1 | 37 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 44 | Reach 1 | 36 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 45 | Reach 1 | 35 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 46 | Reach 1 | 34 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 47 | Reach 1 | 33 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 48 | Reach 1 | 32 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 49 | Reach 1 | 31 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 50 | Reach 1 | 30 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 51 | Reach 1 | 29 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 52 | Reach 1 | 28 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 53 | Reach 1 | 27 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 54 | Reach 1 | 26 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 55 | Reach 1 | 25 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 56 | Reach 1 | 24 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 57 | Reach 1 | 23 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 58 | Reach 1 | 22 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 59 | Reach 1 | 21 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 60 | Reach 1 | 20 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 61 | Reach 1 | 19 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 62 | Reach 1 | 18 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 63 | Reach 1 | 17 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 64 | Reach 1 | 16 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 65 | Reach 1 | 15 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 66 | Reach 1 | 14 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 67 | Reach 1 | 13 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 68 | Reach 1 | 12 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 69 | Reach 1 | 11 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 70 | Reach 1 | 10 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 71 | Reach 1 | 9 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 72 | Reach 1 | 8 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 73 | Reach 1 | 7 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 74 | Reach 1 | 6 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 75 | Reach 1 | 5 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 76 | Reach 1 | 4 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 77 | Reach 1 | 3 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 78 | Reach 1 | 2 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |
| 79 | Reach 1 | 1 | n | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.02 |


| Manning's $\mathbf{n}$ values for Pass A Loutre |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Reach | River Station | Friction ( $\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{K}$ ) | Left <br> Bank | Channel | Right <br> Bank |
| 1 | Reach 1 | 29 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 2 | Reach 1 | 28 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 3 | Reach 1 | 27 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 4 | Reach 1 | 26 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 5 | Reach 1 | 25 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 6 | Reach 1 | 24 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 7 | Reach 1 | 23 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 8 | Reach 1 | 22 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 9 | Reach 1 | 21 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 10 | Reach 1 | 20 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 11 | Reach 1 | 19 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 12 | Reach 1 | 18 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 13 | Reach 1 | 17 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 14 | Reach 1 | 16 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 15 | Reach 1 | 15 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 16 | Reach 1 | 14 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 17 | Reach 1 | 13 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 18 | Reach 1 | 12 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 19 | Reach 1 | 11 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 20 | Reach 1 | 10 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 21 | Reach 1 | 9 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 22 | Reach 1 | 8 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 23 | Reach 1 | 7 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 24 | Reach 1 | 6 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 25 | Reach 1 | 5 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 26 | Reach 1 | 4 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 27 | Reach 1 | 3 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 28 | Reach 1 | 2 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 29 | Reach 1 | 1 | n | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |


| Manning's $\mathbf{n}$ values for Main Pass |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Reach | River Station | Friction (n/K) | Left Bank | Channel | Right <br> Bank |
| 1 | Reach 1 | 56 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 2 | Reach 1 | 55 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 3 | Reach 1 | 54 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 4 | Reach 1 | 53 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 5 | Reach 1 | 52 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 6 | Reach 1 | 51 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 7 | Reach 1 | 50 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 8 | Reach 1 | 49 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 9 | Reach 1 | 48 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 10 | Reach 1 | 47 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 11 | Reach 1 | 46 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 12 | Reach 1 | 45 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 13 | Reach 1 | 44 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 14 | Reach 1 | 43 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 15 | Reach 1 | 42 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 16 | Reach 1 | 41 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 17 | Reach 1 | 40 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 18 | Reach 1 | 39 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 19 | Reach 1 | 38 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 20 | Reach 1 | 37 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 21 | Reach 1 | 36 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 22 | Reach 1 | 35 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 23 | Reach 1 | 34 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 24 | Reach 1 | 33 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 25 | Reach 1 | 32 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 26 | Reach 1 | 31 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 27 | Reach 1 | 30 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 28 | Reach 1 | 29 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 29 | Reach 1 | 28 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 30 | Reach 1 | 27 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 31 | Reach 1 | 26 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 32 | Reach 1 | 25 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 33 | Reach 1 | 24 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 34 | Reach 1 | 23 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 35 | Reach 1 | 22 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 36 | Reach 1 | 21 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 37 | Reach 1 | 20 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 38 | Reach 1 | 19 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |


| 39 | Reach 1 | 18 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | Reach 1 | 17 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 41 | Reach 1 | 16 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 42 | Reach 1 | 15 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 43 | Reach 1 | 14 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 44 | Reach 1 | 13 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 45 | Reach 1 | 12 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 46 | Reach 1 | 11 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 47 | Reach 1 | 10 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 48 | Reach 1 | 9 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 49 | Reach 1 | 8 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 50 | Reach 1 | 7.5 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |


| Manning's n values for Grand Pass |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Reach | River <br> Station | Friction <br> $(\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{K})$ | Left <br> Bank | Channel | Right <br> Bank |
| 1 | Reach 1 | 34 | n | 0.02 | 0.019 | 0.02 |
| 2 | Reach 1 | 33 | n | 0.02 | 0.019 | 0.02 |
| 3 | Reach 1 | 32 | n | 0.02 | 0.019 | 0.02 |
| 4 | Reach 1 | 31 | n | 0.02 | 0.019 | 0.02 |
| 5 | Reach 1 | 30 | n | 0.02 | 0.019 | 0.02 |
| 1 | Reach 2 | 29 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 2 | Reach 2 | 28 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 3 | Reach 2 | 27 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 4 | Reach 2 | 26 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 5 | Reach 2 | 25 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 6 | Reach 2 | 24 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 7 | Reach 2 | 23 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 8 | Reach 2 | 22 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 9 | Reach 2 | 21 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 10 | Reach 2 | 20 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 11 | Reach 2 | 19 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 12 | Reach 2 | 18 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 13 | Reach 2 | 17 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 14 | Reach 2 | 16 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 15 | Reach 2 | 15 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 16 | Reach 2 | 14 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 17 | Reach 2 | 13 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 18 | Reach 2 | 12 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 19 | Reach 2 | 11 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |


| 20 | Reach 2 | 10 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | Reach 2 | 9 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 22 | Reach 2 | 8 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 23 | Reach 2 | 7 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 24 | Reach 2 | 6 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 25 | Reach 2 | 5 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 26 | Reach 2 | 4 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 27 | Reach 2 | 3 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 28 | Reach 2 | 2 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| 29 | Reach 2 | 1 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |


| Manning's n values for Tiger Pass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Reach | River <br> Station | Friction <br> $(\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{K})$ | Left <br> Bank | Channel | Right <br> Bank |  |
| 1 | Reach 1 | 17 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |  |
| 2 | Reach 1 | 16 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |  |
| 3 | Reach 1 | 15 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |  |
| 4 | Reach 1 | 14 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |  |
| 5 | Reach 1 | 13 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |  |
| 6 | Reach 1 | 12 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |  |
| 7 | Reach 1 | 11 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |  |
| 8 | Reach 1 | 10 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |  |
| 9 | Reach 1 | 9 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |  |
| 10 | Reach 1 | 8 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |  |
| 11 | Reach 1 | 7 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |  |
| 12 | Reach 1 | 6 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |  |
| 13 | Reach 1 | 5 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |  |
| 14 | Reach 1 | 4 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |  |
| 15 | Reach 1 | 3 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |  |
| 16 | Reach 1 | 2 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |  |
| 17 | Reach 1 | 1 | n | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |  |


| Manning's n values for Baptiste Collette |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Reach | River <br> Station | Friction <br> $(\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{K})$ | Left <br> Bank | Channel | Right <br> Bank |  |
| 1 | Reach 1 | 35 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |  |
| 2 | Reach 1 | 34 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |  |
| 3 | Reach 1 | 33 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |  |
| 4 | Reach 1 | 32 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |  |
| 5 | Reach 1 | 31 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |  |


| 6 | Reach 1 | 30 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Reach 1 | 29 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 8 | Reach 1 | 28 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 9 | Reach 1 | 27 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 10 | Reach 1 | 26 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 11 | Reach 1 | 25 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 12 | Reach 1 | 24 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 13 | Reach 1 | 23 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 14 | Reach 1 | 22 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 15 | Reach 1 | 21 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 16 | Reach 1 | 20 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 17 | Reach 1 | 19 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 18 | Reach 1 | 18 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 19 | Reach 1 | 17 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 20 | Reach 1 | 16 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 21 | Reach 1 | 15 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 22 | Reach 1 | 14 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 23 | Reach 1 | 13 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 24 | Reach 1 | 12 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 25 | Reach 1 | 11 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 26 | Reach 1 | 10 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 27 | Reach 1 | 9 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 28 | Reach 1 | 8 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 29 | Reach 1 | 7 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 30 | Reach 1 | 6 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 31 | Reach 1 | 5 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 32 | Reach 1 | 4 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 33 | Reach 1 | 3 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 34 | Reach 1 | 2 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 35 | Reach 1 | 1 | n | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 |


| Manning's nalues for 7 Cut Weir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Reach | River <br> Station | Friction <br> $(\mathbf{n / K})$ | Left <br> Bank | Channel | Right <br> Bank |  |
| 1 | Reach 1 | 6 | n | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.13 |  |
| 2 | Reach 1 | 5 | n | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.13 |  |
| 3 | Reach 1 | 4 | n | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.13 |  |
| 4 | Reach 1 | 3 | n | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.13 |  |
| 5 | Reach 1 | 2 | n | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.13 |  |
| 6 | Reach 1 | 1 | n | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.13 |  |


| Manning's n values for Fort St. Philip |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Reach | River Station | Friction ( $\mathbf{n} / \mathrm{K}$ ) | Left Bank | $\begin{gathered} \text { FSP } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | Mid Bank | $\begin{gathered} \text { FSP } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Mid Bank | FSP 3 | Right Bank |
| 1 | Reach 1 | 3 | n | 0.13 | $\begin{gathered} 0.02 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 0.13 | $\begin{gathered} 0.02 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 0.13 | 0.025 | 0.13 |
| 2 | Reach 1 | 2 | n | 0.13 | $\begin{gathered} 0.02 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 0.13 | $\begin{gathered} 0.02 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 0.13 | 0.025 | 0.13 |
| 3 | Reach $1$ | 1 | n | 0.13 | $\begin{gathered} 0.02 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 0.13 | $\begin{gathered} 0.02 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 0.13 | 0.025 | 0.13 |


| Manning's n values for 7 Cut Weir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Reach | River <br> Station | Friction <br> $(\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{K})$ | Left <br> Bank | Channel | Right <br> Bank |  |
| 1 | Reach 1 | 5 | n | 0.13 | 0.022 | 0.13 |  |
| 2 | Reach 1 | 4 | n | 0.13 | 0.022 | 0.13 |  |
| 3 | Reach 1 | 3 | n | 0.13 | 0.022 | 0.13 |  |
| 4 | Reach 1 | 2 | n | 0.13 | 0.022 | 0.13 |  |
| 5 | Reach 1 | 1 | n | 0.13 | 0.022 | 0.13 |  |


| Manning's n values for Bohemia U/S 1,2,3,4,5,6,7 and 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Reach | River <br> Station | Friction <br> $(\mathbf{n / K})$ | Left <br> Bank | Channel | Right <br> Bank |  |
| 1 | Reach 1 | 2 | n | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |  |
| 2 | Reach 1 | 1.9 | n | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |  |
| 3 | Reach 1 | 1.8 | Inline Structure |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Reach 1 | 1.5 | n | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |  |
| 5 | Reach 1 | 1 | n | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |  |


| Manning's n values for Bohemia Intermediate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Reach | River <br> Station | Friction <br> $(\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{K})$ | Left <br> Bank | Channel | Right <br> Bank |  |
| 1 | Reach 1 | 2 | n | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |  |
| 2 | Reach 1 | 1.9 | n | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |  |
| 3 | Reach 1 | 1.8 | Inline Structure |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Reach 1 | 1.5 | n | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |  |
| 5 | Reach 1 | 1 | n | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |  |


| Manning's n values for Bohemia D/S |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Reach | River Station | Friction ( $\mathbf{n} / \mathrm{K}$ ) | Left Bank | Channel | Right <br> Bank |
| 1 | Reach 1 | 2 | n | 0.00005 | 0.00005 | 0.00005 |
| 2 | Reach 1 | 1.9 | n | 0.00005 | 0.00005 | 0.00005 |
| 3 | Reach 1 | 1.8 |  | Inline | tructure |  |
| 4 | Reach 1 | 1.5 | n | 0.00005 | 0.00005 | 0.00005 |
| 5 | Reach 1 | 1 | n | 0.00005 | 0.00005 | 0.00005 |
| Manning's n values for Mardi Gras Pass |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | Reach | River Station | Friction ( $\mathbf{n} / \mathrm{K}$ ) | Left Bank | Channel | Right Bank |
| 1 | Reach 1 | 31 | n | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 2 | Reach 1 | 30 | n | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 3 | Reach 1 | 29 | n | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 4 | Reach 1 | 28 | n | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 5 | Reach 1 | 27 | n | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 6 | Reach 1 | 26 | n | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 7 | Reach 1 | 25 | n | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 8 | Reach 1 | 24 | n | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 9 | Reach 1 | 23 | n | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 10 | Reach 1 | 22 | n | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 11 | Reach 1 | 21 | n | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 12 | Reach 1 | 20 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 13 | Reach 1 | 19 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 14 | Reach 1 | 18 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 15 | Reach 1 | 17 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 16 | Reach 1 | 16 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 17 | Reach 1 | 15 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 18 | Reach 1 | 14 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 19 | Reach 1 | 13 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 20 | Reach 1 | 12 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 21 | Reach 1 | 11 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 22 | Reach 1 | 10 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 23 | Reach 1 | 9 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 24 | Reach 1 | 8 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 25 | Reach 1 | 7 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 26 | Reach 1 | 6 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 27 | Reach 1 | 5 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 28 | Reach 1 | 4 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 29 | Reach 1 | 3 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 30 | Reach 1 | 2 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| 31 | Reach 1 | 1 | n | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 |

## Appendix D

Flow Roughness Factor in HEC-RAS model

| Flow/ River <br> Stations | Flow Roughness Factor |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{3 0 6 - 2 4 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 0 . 6 - \mathbf { 1 5 7 . 8 }}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 6 . 7 - \mathbf { 8 1 . 5 }}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 8} \mathbf{- 1 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 4} \mathbf{- 1 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2} \mathbf{0}$ <br> $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 150000 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 200000 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 250000 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 300000 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.6 |
| 350000 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.6 |
| 400000 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| 450000 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| 500000 | 1 | 1.05 | 1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| 550000 | 1 | 1.05 | 1 | 0.65 | 0.75 | 0.7 |
| 600000 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.65 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| 650000 | 0.95 | 1 | 1.05 | 0.65 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 700000 | 0.95 | 1 | 1.05 | 0.65 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 750000 | 0.9 | 1 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| 800000 | 0.9 | 0.95 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| 850000 | 0.85 | 0.95 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| 900000 | 0.85 | 0.95 | 1 | 0.6 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| 950000 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.65 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 1000000 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.65 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 1050000 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.9 | 0.65 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 1100000 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.65 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 1150000 | 0.85 | 0.8 | 0.85 | 0.6 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| 1200000 | 0.85 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| 1250000 | 0.9 | 0.75 | 0.9 | 0.6 | - | - |
| 1300000 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 | - | - |
| 1350000 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | - | - | - |
| 1400000 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 | - | - | - |

## Appendix E

## Strickler's Coefficient Values in CHARIMA model

| Mississippi River Main Channel |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | n |
| 1 | 101 | 0.07 | 60 | 0.017 |
| 2 | 101 | 0.2 | 60 | 0.017 |
| 3 | 101 | 0.35 | 60 | 0.017 |
| 4 | 101 | 0.58 | 60 | 0.017 |
| 5 | 101 | 0.7 | 60 | 0.017 |
| 6 | 101 | 0.98 | 60 | 0.017 |
| 7 | 101 | 1.1 | 60 | 0.017 |
| 8 | 101 | 1.25 | 60 | 0.017 |
| 9 | 101 | 1.4 | 60 | 0.017 |
| 10 | 101 | 1.53 | 60 | 0.017 |
| 11 | 101 | 1.7 | 60 | 0.017 |
| 12 | 101 | 2.08 | 60 | 0.017 |
| 13 | 101 | 2.28 | 60 | 0.017 |
| 14 | 101 | 2.46 | 60 | 0.017 |
| 15 | 101 | 2.65 | 60 | 0.017 |
| 16 | 101 | 2.75 | 60 | 0.017 |
| 1 | 1 | 2.95 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 2 | 1 | 3.15 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 1 | 3 | 3.36 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 2 | 3 | 3.6 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 3 | 3 | 3.83 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 4 | 3 | 4.04 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 5 | 3 | 4.26 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 6 | 3 | 4.46 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 1 | 5 | 4.7 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 2 | 5 | 4.9 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 3 | 5 | 5.1 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 4 | 5 | 5.3 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 5 | 5 | 5.5 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 6 | 5 | 5.8 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 7 | 5 | 6 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 8 | 5 | 6.2 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 9 | 5 | 6.5 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 10 | 5 | 6.7 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 11 | 5 | 6.9 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 12 | 5 | 7.3 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 13 | 5 | 7.5 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 14 | 5 | 7.94 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 15 | 5 | 8.1 | 65 | 0.015 |


| 16 | 5 | 8.4 | 65 | 0.015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 5 | 8.7 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 18 | 5 | 8.9 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 19 | 5 | 9.1 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 20 | 5 | 9.4 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 21 | 5 | 9.7 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 22 | 5 | 9.97 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 23 | 5 | 10.2 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 1 | 9 | 10.35 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 2 | 9 | 10.54 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 3 | 9 | 10.725 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 4 | 9 | 11.07 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 5 | 9 | 11.2 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 1 | 11 | 11.46 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 2 | 11 | 11.72 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 3 | 11 | 11.98 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 4 | 11 | 12.26 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 5 | 11 | 12.5 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 6 | 11 | 12.77 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 7 | 11 | 12.99 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 8 | 11 | 13.25 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 9 | 11 | 13.56 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 10 | 11 | 13.86 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 11 | 11 | 14.21 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 12 | 11 | 14.5 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 13 | 11 | 14.76 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 14 | 11 | 15.05 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 15 | 11 | 15.32 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 16 | 11 | 15.57 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 1 | 121 | 15.86 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 2 | 121 | 16.23 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 3 | 121 | 16.51 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 4 | 121 | 16.8 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 5 | 121 | 17.12 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 6 | 121 | 17.39 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 7 | 121 | 17.72 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 8 | 121 | 18 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 9 | 121 | 18.33 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 10 | 121 | 18.58 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 11 | 121 | 18.85 | 65 | 0.015 |


| 1 | 123 | 19.11 | 65 | 0.015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 123 | 19.4 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 1 | 124 | 19.6 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 2 | 124 | 19.86 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 1 | 126 | 20.12 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 2 | 126 | 20.48 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 3 | 126 | 20.73 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 4 | 126 | 21.02 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 5 | 126 | 21.3 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 6 | 126 | 21.66 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 7 | 126 | 22 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 8 | 126 | 22.37 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 9 | 126 | 22.7 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 10 | 126 | 23.08 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 11 | 126 | 23.48 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 12 | 126 | 23.83 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 1 | 13 | 24.22 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 2 | 13 | 24.57 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 3 | 13 | 24.89 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 4 | 13 | 25.24 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 5 | 13 | 25.56 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 6 | 13 | 25.98 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 7 | 13 | 26.36 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 8 | 13 | 26.74 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 9 | 13 | 27.06 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 10 | 13 | 27.39 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 11 | 13 | 27.7 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 12 | 13 | 28.03 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 13 | 13 | 28.32 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 14 | 13 | 28.53 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 15 | 13 | 28.79 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 16 | 13 | 28.98 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 17 | 13 | 29.24 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 18 | 13 | 29.46 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 19 | 13 | 29.65 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 20 | 13 | 29.89 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 21 | 13 | 30.15 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 22 | 13 | 30.4 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 23 | 13 | 30.59 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 24 | 13 | 30.78 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 25 | 13 | 30.98 | 67 | 0.015 |


| 26 | 13 | 31.17 | 67 | 0.015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 13 | 31.37 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 28 | 13 | 31.57 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 29 | 13 | 31.88 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 30 | 13 | 32.13 | 67 | 0.015 |
| 1 | 16 | 32.4 | 45 | 0.022 |
| 2 | 16 | 32.41 | 45 | 0.022 |
| 1 | 22 | 32.45 | 45 | 0.022 |
| 2 | 22 | 32.6 | 45 | 0.022 |
| 1 | 26 | 32.7 | 45 | 0.022 |
| 2 | 26 | 32.8 | 45 | 0.022 |
| 1 | 30 | 32.89 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 2 | 30 | 33.17 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 3 | 30 | 33.53 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 4 | 30 | 33.83 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 5 | 30 | 34.18 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 6 | 30 | 34.53 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 7 | 30 | 34.93 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 8 | 30 | 35.15 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 9 | 30 | 35.45 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 10 | 30 | 35.71 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 11 | 30 | 36.05 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 12 | 30 | 36.33 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 13 | 30 | 36.62 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 14 | 30 | 36.87 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 15 | 30 | 37.17 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 16 | 30 | 37.45 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 17 | 30 | 37.77 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 18 | 30 | 38.08 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 19 | 30 | 38.32 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 20 | 30 | 38.62 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 1 | 34 | 38.92 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 2 | 34 | 39.22 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 3 | 34 | 39.51 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 4 | 34 | 39.77 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 5 | 34 | 40.08 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 6 | 34 | 40.36 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 7 | 34 | 40.64 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 8 | 34 | 40.91 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 9 | 34 | 41.22 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 10 | 34 | 41.51 | 65 | 0.015 |


| 11 | 34 | 41.87 | 65 | 0.015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 34 | 42.19 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 13 | 34 | 42.5 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 14 | 34 | 42.84 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 15 | 34 | 43.17 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 16 | 34 | 43.46 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 17 | 34 | 43.73 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 18 | 34 | 44.04 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 19 | 34 | 44.31 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 20 | 34 | 44.63 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 21 | 34 | 44.93 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 22 | 34 | 45.23 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 23 | 34 | 45.54 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 24 | 34 | 45.93 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 25 | 34 | 46.32 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 26 | 34 | 46.69 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 27 | 34 | 47.11 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 28 | 34 | 47.44 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 29 | 34 | 47.81 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 30 | 34 | 48.14 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 31 | 34 | 48.46 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 32 | 34 | 48.8 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 1 | 35 | 49.1 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 2 | 35 | 49.5 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 3 | 35 | 49.8 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 4 | 35 | 50.2 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 5 | 35 | 50.5 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 6 | 35 | 50.9 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 7 | 35 | 51.2 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 8 | 35 | 51.6 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 9 | 35 | 51.9 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 10 | 35 | 52.3 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 11 | 35 | 52.6 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 12 | 35 | 53 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 13 | 35 | 53.3 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 14 | 35 | 53.6 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 15 | 35 | 53.9 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 16 | 35 | 54.2 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 17 | 35 | 54.5 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 18 | 35 | 54.8 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 19 | 35 | 55.1 | 65 | 0.015 |


| 20 | 35 | 55.4 | 65 | 0.015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 35 | 55.8 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 22 | 35 | 56.2 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 23 | 35 | 56.5 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 24 | 35 | 56.9 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 25 | 35 | 57.3 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 26 | 35 | 57.6 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 27 | 35 | 57.9 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 28 | 35 | 58.2 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 29 | 35 | 58.4 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 30 | 35 | 58.8 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 31 | 35 | 59.1 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 1 | 36 | 59.3 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 2 | 36 | 59.5 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 3 | 36 | 59.7 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 4 | 36 | 60 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 5 | 36 | 60.2 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 6 | 36 | 60.4 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 7 | 36 | 60.6 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 8 | 36 | 60.8 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 9 | 36 | 61 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 10 | 36 | 61.3 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 11 | 36 | 61.6 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 12 | 36 | 61.9 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 13 | 36 | 62.1 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 14 | 36 | 62.3 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 15 | 36 | 62.6 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 16 | 36 | 62.9 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 17 | 36 | 63.1 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 18 | 36 | 63.4 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 19 | 36 | 63.6 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 20 | 36 | 63.9 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 21 | 36 | 64.2 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 22 | 36 | 64.5 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 23 | 36 | 64.7 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 24 | 36 | 65 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 1 | 37 | 65.3 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 2 | 37 | 65.6 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 3 | 37 | 65.8 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 4 | 37 | 66.14 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 5 | 37 | 66.4 | 65 | 0.015 |


| 6 | 37 | 66.6 | 65 | 0.015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 37 | 66.9 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 8 | 37 | 67.1 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 9 | 37 | 67.31 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 10 | 37 | 67.5 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 11 | 37 | 67.78 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 12 | 37 | 68 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 13 | 37 | 68.22 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 14 | 37 | 68.41 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 15 | 37 | 68.64 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 16 | 37 | 68.84 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 17 | 37 | 69.1 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 18 | 37 | 69.4 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 19 | 37 | 69.7 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 20 | 37 | 69.9 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 21 | 37 | 70.1 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 22 | 37 | 70.3 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 23 | 37 | 70.6 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 24 | 37 | 70.8 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 25 | 37 | 71 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 26 | 37 | 71.2 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 27 | 37 | 71.5 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 28 | 37 | 71.7 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 29 | 37 | 71.9 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 30 | 37 | 72.2 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 31 | 37 | 72.3 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 32 | 37 | 72.6 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 33 | 37 | 72.8 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 34 | 37 | 73 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 35 | 37 | 73.2 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 36 | 37 | 73.3 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 37 | 37 | 73.6 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 38 | 37 | 73.8 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 39 | 37 | 74.1 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 40 | 37 | 74.4 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 41 | 37 | 74.6 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 42 | 37 | 74.9 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 43 | 37 | 75.1 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 44 | 37 | 75.3 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 45 | 37 | 75.5 | 65 | 0.015 |
| 46 | 37 | 75.7 | 65 | 0.015 |


| 47 | 37 | 76 | 65 | 0.015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | 37 | 76.3 | 65 | 0.015 |  |
| Southwest Pass |  |  |  |  |  |
| Point | Link | River Mile |  | Ks | n |
| 1 | 109 | 0 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 2 | 109 | 0.19 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 3 | 109 | 0.36 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 4 | 109 | 0.56 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 5 | 109 | 0.74 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 6 | 109 | 0.94 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 7 | 109 | 1.1 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 8 | 109 | 1.29 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 9 | 109 | 1.44 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 10 | 109 | 1.64 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 11 | 109 | 1.84 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 12 | 109 | 1.91 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 13 | 109 | 2.06 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 14 | 109 | 2.27 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 15 | 109 | 2.43 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 16 | 109 | 2.53 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 17 | 109 | 2.69 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 18 | 109 | 2.85 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 1 | 107 | 0 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 2 | 107 | 0.16 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 3 | 107 | 0.22 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 4 | 107 | 0.37 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 5 | 107 | 0.52 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 6 | 107 | 0.68 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 7 | 107 | 0.84 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 8 | 107 | 1 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 9 | 107 | 1.14 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 10 | 107 | 1.23 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 11 | 107 | 1.38 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 12 | 107 | 1.52 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 13 | 107 | 1.67 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 14 | 107 | 1.83 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 15 | 107 | 1.98 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 16 | 107 | 2.13 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 17 | 107 | 2.22 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 18 | 107 | 2.37 |  | 40 | 0.025 |
| 19 | 107 | 2.53 |  | 40 | 0.025 |


| 20 | 107 | 2.69 | 40 | 0.025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 107 | 2.87 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 22 | 107 | 3.05 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 23 | 107 | 3.24 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 24 | 107 | 3.44 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 25 | 107 | 3.61 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 26 | 107 | 3.8 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 27 | 107 | 4 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 28 | 107 | 4.19 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 29 | 107 | 4.37 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 30 | 107 | 4.55 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 31 | 107 | 4.73 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 32 | 107 | 4.93 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 33 | 107 | 5.12 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 34 | 107 | 5.31 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 35 | 107 | 5.48 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 36 | 107 | 5.67 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 37 | 107 | 5.85 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 38 | 107 | 6.04 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 39 | 107 | 6.25 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 40 | 107 | 6.47 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 41 | 107 | 6.68 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 42 | 107 | 6.88 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 43 | 107 | 7.07 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 44 | 107 | 7.25 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 45 | 107 | 7.44 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 46 | 107 | 7.62 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 47 | 107 | 7.8 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 48 | 107 | 7.97 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 49 | 107 | 8.19 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 50 | 107 | 8.36 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 51 | 107 | 8.57 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 52 | 107 | 8.7 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 53 | 107 | 8.88 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 54 | 107 | 9.08 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 55 | 107 | 9.28 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 56 | 107 | 9.48 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 57 | 107 | 9.68 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 58 | 107 | 9.87 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 1 | 105 | 0 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 2 | 105 | 0.15 | 40 | 0.025 |


| 3 | 105 | 0.33 | 40 | 0.025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 105 | 0.41 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 5 | 105 | 0.55 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 6 | 105 | 0.72 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 7 | 105 | 0.84 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 8 | 105 | 0.92 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 9 | 105 | 1.07 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 10 | 105 | 1.2 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 11 | 105 | 1.35 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 12 | 105 | 1.5 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 13 | 105 | 1.64 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 14 | 105 | 1.8 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 15 | 105 | 1.93 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 16 | 105 | 2.14 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 17 | 105 | 2.28 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 18 | 105 | 2.45 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 19 | 105 | 2.6 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 20 | 105 | 2.76 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 21 | 105 | 2.91 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 22 | 105 | 3.05 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 23 | 105 | 3.22 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 24 | 105 | 3.36 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 25 | 105 | 3.49 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 26 | 105 | 3.65 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 27 | 105 | 3.82 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 28 | 105 | 3.97 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 29 | 105 | 4.13 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 30 | 105 | 4.27 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 31 | 105 | 4.44 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 32 | 105 | 4.59 | 40 | 0.025 |
| 1 | 103 | 0.1 | 33 | 0.030 |
| 2 | 103 | 0.5 | 33 | 0.030 |

Burrwood in Southwest Pass (RM -14.5)

| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 108 | 0 | 5.5 | 0.182 |
| 2 | 108 | 0.67 | 5.5 | 0.182 |
| Joseph Southwest Pass (RM -4.5) |  |  |  |  |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | $\mathbf{n}$ |
| 1 | 106 | 0 | 5.5 | 0.182 |
| 2 | 106 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 0.182 |


| South Pass |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | n |  |
| 1 | 104 | 0 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 2 | 104 | 0.12 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 3 | 104 | 0.29 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 4 | 104 | 0.44 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 5 | 104 | 0.58 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 6 | 104 | 0.73 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 7 | 104 | 0.89 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 8 | 104 | 1.03 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 9 | 104 | 1.16 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 10 | 104 | 1.33 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 11 | 104 | 1.49 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 12 | 104 | 1.63 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 13 | 104 | 1.8 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 14 | 104 | 1.92 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 15 | 104 | 2.07 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 16 | 104 | 2.24 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 17 | 104 | 2.4 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 18 | 104 | 2.54 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 19 | 104 | 2.68 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 20 | 104 | 2.84 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 21 | 104 | 3 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 22 | 104 | 3.15 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 23 | 104 | 3.3 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 24 | 104 | 3.46 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 25 | 104 | 3.59 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 26 | 104 | 3.74 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 27 | 104 | 3.94 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 28 | 104 | 4.13 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 29 | 104 | 4.26 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 30 | 104 | 4.38 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 31 | 104 | 4.53 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 32 | 104 | 4.67 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 33 | 104 | 4.8 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 34 | 104 | 4.98 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 35 | 104 | 5.13 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 36 | 104 | 5.29 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 37 | 104 | 5.42 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
| 39 | 104 | 5.58 | 47 | 0.021 |  |
|  | 104 | 5.75 | 47 | 0.021 |  |


| 40 | 104 | 5.92 | 47 | 0.021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | 104 | 6.06 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 42 | 104 | 6.23 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 43 | 104 | 6.39 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 44 | 104 | 6.53 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 45 | 104 | 6.67 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 46 | 104 | 6.82 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 47 | 104 | 7.01 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 48 | 104 | 7.13 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 49 | 104 | 7.29 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 50 | 104 | 7.43 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 51 | 104 | 7.6 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 52 | 104 | 7.76 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 53 | 104 | 7.92 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 54 | 104 | 8.04 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 55 | 104 | 8.19 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 56 | 104 | 8.33 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 57 | 104 | 8.44 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 58 | 104 | 8.6 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 59 | 104 | 8.78 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 60 | 104 | 8.9 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 61 | 104 | 9.07 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 62 | 104 | 9.24 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 63 | 104 | 9.36 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 64 | 104 | 9.5 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 65 | 104 | 9.67 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 66 | 104 | 9.8 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 67 | 104 | 9.99 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 68 | 104 | 10.15 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 69 | 104 | 10.3 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 70 | 104 | 10.44 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 71 | 104 | 10.56 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 72 | 104 | 10.71 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 73 | 104 | 10.9 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 74 | 104 | 11.05 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 75 | 104 | 11.2 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 76 | 104 | 11 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 77 | 104 | 11.5 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 78 | 104 | 11.66 | 47 | 0.021 |
| 79 | 104 | 11.82 | 47 | 0.021 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Pass A Loutre |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | n |
| 1 | 102 | 0 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 2 | 102 | 0.5 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 3 | 102 | 1 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 4 | 102 | 1.5 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 5 | 102 | 2 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 6 | 102 | 2.5 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 7 | 102 | 3 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 8 | 102 | 3.5 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 9 | 102 | 4 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 10 | 102 | 4.5 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 11 | 102 | 5 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 12 | 102 | 5.5 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 13 | 102 | 6 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 14 | 102 | 6.5 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 15 | 102 | 7 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 16 | 102 | 7.5 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 17 | 102 | 8 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 18 | 102 | 8.5 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 19 | 102 | 9 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 20 | 102 | 9.5 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 21 | 102 | 10 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 22 | 102 | 10.5 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 23 | 102 | 11 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 24 | 102 | 11.19 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 25 | 102 | 11.3 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 26 | 102 | 11.54 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 27 | 102 | 11.65 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 28 | 102 | 11.78 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 29 | 102 | 11.85 | 35 | 0.029 |


| Main Pass |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | n |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 2 | 2 | 0.23 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 3 | 2 | 0.47 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 4 | 2 | 0.65 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 5 | 2 | 0.84 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 6 | 2 | 1.05 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 7 | 2 | 1.23 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 8 | 2 | 1.41 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 9 | 2 | 1.62 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 10 | 2 | 1.82 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 11 | 2 | 2.02 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 12 | 2 | 2.18 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 13 | 2 | 2.36 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 14 | 2 | 2.6 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 15 | 2 | 2.79 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 16 | 2 | 3.03 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 17 | 2 | 3.23 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 18 | 2 | 3.38 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 19 | 2 | 3.57 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 20 | 2 | 3.77 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 21 | 2 | 3.95 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 22 | 2 | 4.16 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 23 | 2 | 4.37 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 24 | 2 | 4.54 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 25 | 2 | 4.74 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 26 | 2 | 4.91 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 27 | 2 | 5.09 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 28 | 2 | 5.29 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 29 | 2 | 5.47 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 30 | 2 | 5.64 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 31 | 2 | 5.86 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 32 | 2 | 6.06 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 33 | 2 | 6.27 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 34 | 2 | 6.47 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 35 | 2 | 6.65 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 36 | 2 | 6.84 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 37 | 2 | 7.01 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 38 | 2 | 7.17 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 39 | 2 | 7.33 | 32 | 0.031 |


| 40 | 2 | 7.5 | 32 | 0.031 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | 2 | 7.73 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 42 | 2 | 7.79 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 43 | 2 | 8.15 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 44 | 2 | 8.46 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 45 | 2 | 8.73 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 46 | 2 | 9 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 47 | 2 | 9.28 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 48 | 2 | 9.55 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 49 | 2 | 9.85 | 32 | 0.031 |
| 50 | 2 | 10.19 | 32 | 0.031 |


| West Bay |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | n |  |
| 1 | 4 | 0 | 7.5 | 0.133 |  |
| 2 | 4 | 2 | 7.5 | 0.133 |  |
| 3 | 4 | 1.89 | 7.5 | 0.133 |  |
| 4 | 4 | 2.1 | 7.5 | 0.133 |  |
| 5 | 4 | 2.21 | 7.5 | 0.133 |  |
| 6 | 4 | 2.23 | 7.5 | 0.133 |  |
| 7 | 4 | 2.24 | 7.5 | 0.133 |  |
| 8 | 4 | 2.28 | 7.5 | 0.133 |  |
| 9 | 4 | 2.32 | 7.5 | 0.133 |  |
| 10 | 4 | 2.34 | 7.5 | 0.133 |  |
| 11 | 4 | 2.36 | 7.5 | 0.133 |  |
| 12 | 4 | 2.38 | 7.5 | 0.133 |  |
| 13 | 4 | 2.4 | 7.5 | 0.133 |  |


| Grand Pass |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | n |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 2 | 6 | 0.19 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 3 | 6 | 0.39 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 4 | 6 | 0.58 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 5 | 6 | 0.76 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 1 | 7 | 0 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 2 | 7 | 0.13 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 3 | 7 | 0.32 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 4 | 7 | 0.53 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 5 | 7 | 0.73 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 6 | 7 | 0.9 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 7 | 7 | 1.09 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 8 | 7 | 1.29 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 9 | 7 | 1.47 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 10 | 7 | 1.68 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 11 | 7 | 1.83 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 12 | 7 | 2.04 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 13 | 7 | 2.22 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 14 | 7 | 2.44 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 15 | 7 | 2.65 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 16 | 7 | 2.81 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 17 | 7 | 2.96 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 18 | 7 | 3.15 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 19 | 7 | 3.37 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 20 | 7 | 3.54 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 21 | 7 | 3.7 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 22 | 7 | 3.93 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 23 | 7 | 4.1 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 24 | 7 | 4.31 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 25 | 7 | 4.53 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 26 | 7 | 4.69 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 27 | 7 | 4.89 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 28 | 7 | 5.05 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 29 | 7 | 5.2 | 41 | 0.024 |


| Tiger Pass |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | $\mathbf{n}$ |
| 1 | 8 | 0 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 2 | 8 | 0.19 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 3 | 8 | 0.35 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 4 | 8 | 0.54 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 5 | 8 | 0.71 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 6 | 8 | 0.92 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 7 | 8 | 1.1 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 8 | 8 | 1.28 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 9 | 8 | 1.48 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 10 | 8 | 1.69 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 11 | 8 | 1.86 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 12 | 8 | 2.02 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 13 | 8 | 2.24 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 14 | 8 | 2.43 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 15 | 8 | 2.63 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 16 | 8 | 2.8 | 41 | 0.024 |
| 17 | 8 | 2.99 | 41 | 0.024 |


| Baptiste Collette |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | $\mathbf{n}$ |
| 1 | 10 | 0 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 2 | 10 | 0.18 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 3 | 10 | 0.37 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 4 | 10 | 0.54 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 5 | 10 | 0.7 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 6 | 10 | 0.91 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 7 | 10 | 1.14 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 8 | 10 | 0.345 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 9 | 10 | 1.52 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 10 | 10 | 1.72 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 11 | 10 | 1.92 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 12 | 10 | 2.1 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 13 | 10 | 2.24 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 14 | 10 | 2.43 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 15 | 10 | 2.61 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 16 | 10 | 2.8 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 17 | 10 | 3 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 18 | 10 | 3.18 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 19 | 10 | 3.36 | 42 | 0.024 |


| 20 | 10 | 3.51 | 42 | 0.024 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 10 | 3.7 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 22 | 10 | 3.84 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 23 | 10 | 4.04 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 24 | 10 | 4.22 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 25 | 10 | 4.42 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 26 | 10 | 4.56 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 27 | 10 | 4.79 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 28 | 10 | 4.95 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 29 | 10 | 5.12 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 30 | 10 | 5.3 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 31 | 10 | 5.54 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 32 | 10 | 5.76 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 33 | 10 | 5.95 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 34 | 10 | 6.14 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 35 | 10 | 6.27 | 42 | 0.024 |


| 7 Cut weir |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | n |
| 1 | 120 | 0 | 25 | 0.04 |
| 2 | 120 | 0.11 | 25 | 0.04 |
| 3 | 120 | 0.22 | 25 | 0.04 |
| 4 | 120 | 0.33 | 25 | 0.04 |
| 5 | 120 | 0.44 | 25 | 0.04 |
| 6 | 120 | 0.55 | 25 | 0.04 |


| Fort St. Philip III |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | n |
| 1 | 122 | 0 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 2 | 122 | 1.6 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 3 | 122 | 4.58 | 35 | 0.029 |
| Fort St. Philip II |  |  |  |  |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | n |
| 1 | 122 | 0 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 2 | 122 | 0.18 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 3 | 122 | 0.37 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 4 | 122 | 0.54 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 5 | 122 | 0.7 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 6 | 122 | 0.91 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 7 | 122 | 1.14 | 42 | 0.024 |


| 8 | 122 | 1.345 | 42 | 0.024 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 122 | 1.52 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 10 | 122 | 1.72 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 11 | 122 | 1.92 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 12 | 122 | 2.1 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 13 | 122 | 2.24 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 14 | 122 | 2.43 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 15 | 122 | 2.61 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 16 | 122 | 2.8 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 17 | 122 | 3 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 18 | 122 | 3.18 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 19 | 122 | 3.36 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 20 | 122 | 3.51 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 21 | 122 | 3.7 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 22 | 122 | 3.84 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 23 | 122 | 4.04 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 24 | 122 | 4.22 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 25 | 122 | 4.42 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 26 | 122 | 4.56 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 27 | 122 | 4.79 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 28 | 122 | 4.95 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 29 | 122 | 5.12 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 30 | 122 | 5.3 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 31 | 122 | 5.54 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 32 | 122 | 5.76 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 33 | 122 | 5.95 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 34 | 122 | 6.14 | 42 | 0.024 |
| 35 | 122 | 6.27 | 42 | 0.024 |
|  |  | Fort St. Philip I |  |  |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | $\mathbf{n}$ |
| 1 | 125 | 0 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 2 | 125 | 1.6 | 35 | 0.029 |
| 3 | 125 | 4.58 | 35 | 0.029 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |


| Ostrica |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | n |  |
| 1 | 132 | 0 | 25 | 0.040 |  |
| 2 | 132 | 0.33 | 25 | 0.040 |  |
| 3 | 132 | 0.66 | 25 | 0.040 |  |
| 4 | 132 | 0.99 | 25 | 0.040 |  |
| 5 | 132 | 1.32 | 25 | 0.040 |  |


| Bohemia D/S |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | n |  |
| 1 | 17 | 0 | 6 | 0.167 |  |
| 2 | 17 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.167 |  |
| 1 | 14 | weir link |  |  |  |
| 2 | 14 | weir link |  |  |  |
| 1 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 0.167 |  |
| 2 | 15 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.167 |  |
| Bohemia Intermediate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | n |  |
| 1 | 23 | 0 | 6 | 0.167 |  |
| 2 | 23 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.167 |  |
| 1 | 24 | weir link |  |  |  |
| 2 | 24 | weir link |  |  |  |
| 1 | 25 | 0 | 6 | 0.167 |  |
| 2 | 25 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.167 |  |
| Bohemia U/S. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | $\mathbf{n}$ |  |
| 1 | 31 | 0 | 65 | 0.015 |  |
| 2 | 31 | 0.1 | 65 | 0.015 |  |
| 1 | 32 | weir link |  |  |  |
| 2 | 32 | weir link |  |  |  |
| 1 | 33 | 0 | 65 | 0.015 |  |
| 2 | 33 | 0.1 | 65 | 0.015 |  |


| Bayou Lamoque South |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | n |
| 1 | 18 | 0.49 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 2 | 18 | 0.5 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 3 | 18 | 0.54 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 4 | 18 | 0.57 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 1 | 19 | Gate Link |  |  |
| 2 | 19 | Gate Link |  |  |
| 1 | 20 | 0 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 2 | 20 | 0.05 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 3 | 20 | 0.09 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 4 | 20 | 0.13 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 5 | 20 | 0.18 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 6 | 20 | 0.22 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 7 | 20 | 0.28 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 8 | 20 | 0.33 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 9 | 20 | 0.38 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 10 | 20 | 0.42 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 11 | 20 | 0.46 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 12 | 20 | 0.47 | 55 | 0.018 |
| Bayou Lamoque North |  |  |  |  |
| Point | Link | River Mile | $\mathbf{K s}$ | n |
| 1 | 27 | 0.52 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 2 | 27 | 0.53 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 3 | 27 | 0.55 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 4 | 27 | 0.585 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 1 | 28 | Gate Link |  |  |
| 2 | 28 | Gate Link |  |  |
| 1 | 29 | 0 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 2 | 29 | 0.05 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 3 | 29 | 0.011 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 4 | 29 | 0.19 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 5 | 29 | 0.25 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 6 | 29 | 0.31 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 7 | 29 | 0.37 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 8 | 29 | 0.4 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 9 | 29 | 0.44 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 10 | 29 | 0.47 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 11 | 29 | 0.5 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 12 | 29 | 0.511 | 55 | 0.018 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Bayou Lamoque North \& South |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point | Link | River Mile | Ks | n |
| 1 | 21 | 0 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 2 | 21 | 0.34 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 3 | 21 | 0.63 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 4 | 21 | 0.92 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 5 | 21 | 1.22 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 6 | 21 | 1.5 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 7 | 21 | 1.76 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 8 | 21 | 2.01 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 9 | 21 | 2.21 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 10 | 21 | 2.4 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 11 | 21 | 2.48 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 12 | 21 | 2.55 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 13 | 21 | 2.63 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 14 | 21 | 2.7 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 15 | 21 | 2.77 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 16 | 21 | 2.84 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 17 | 21 | 2.9 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 18 | 21 | 2.96 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 19 | 21 | 3.03 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 20 | 21 | 3.09 | 55 | 0.018 |
| 21 | 21 | 3.13 | 55 | 0.018 |
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