University of New Orleans ScholarWorks@UNO

Physics Faculty Publications

Department of Physics

2003

Ultrafine NiFe2O4 powder fabricated from reverse microemulsion process

Jiye Fang

Narayan Shama

Le Duc Tung

Eun Young Shin

Charles J. O'Connor University of New Orleans

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.uno.edu/phys_facpubs

Part of the Materials Science and Engineering Commons, Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Commons, and the Physics Commons

Recommended Citation

J. Appl. Phys. 93, 7483 (2003)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Physics at ScholarWorks@UNO. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UNO. For more information, please contact scholarworks@uno.edu.

Authors

Jiye Fang, Narayan Shama, Le Duc Tung, Eun Young Shin, Charles J. O'Connor, Kevin L. Stokes, Gabriel Caruntu, John B. Wiley, Leonard Spinu, and Jinke Tang

Ultrafine NiFe₂O₄ powder fabricated from reverse microemulsion process

Jiye Fang,^{a)} Narayan Shama, Le Duc Tung, Eun Young Shin, Charles J. O'Connor, Kevin L. Stokes, Gabriel Caruntu, John B. Wiley, Leonard Spinu, and Jinke Tang *Advanced Materials Research Institute, University of New Orleans, New Orleans, Louisiana 70148*

(Presented on 13 November 2002)

NiFe₂O₄ ultrafine powder with high crystallinity has been prepared through a reverse microemulsion route. The composition in starting solution was optimized, and the resulting NiFe₂O₄ was formed at temperature of around 550–600 °C, which is much lower than that observed from the solid-state reaction. Magnetic investigation indicates that samples are soft-magnetic materials with low coercivity and with the saturation magnetization close to the bulk value of Ni ferrite. © 2003 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1555394]

I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic ferrites are a group of technologically important magnetic materials. Recent years, nanometer-sized magnetic ferrites have attracted considerable attention as their physical properties are quite different from those of the bulk.^{1,2} NiFe₂O₄ is a typical spin soft-magnetic ferrite and it is extremely interesting to gain its ultrafine powder owing to their broad applications³ such as microwave devices. Its preparation by the classical solid-state reaction requires a high calcination temperature and hence induces the sintering and aggregation of particles.⁴ Although there has been a number of reports demonstrating the achievements in wet chemically preparing the NiFe2O4 nanoparticles through kinds of approaches such as coprecipitation,⁵ sol-gel,⁶ and hydrothermal⁷ methods, it is still worth improving the particles morphology and enhancing the crystallinity at a low calcination temperature. In this work, we applied a reverse microemulsion technique to the fabrication of NiFe2O4 nanoparticles.

II. EXPERIMENT

The starting materials include Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (>99.999%), cyclohexane (99.9+%), poly (oxyethylene)₉ nonyl phenol ether (hereafter NP9), all of these chemicals were from Aldrich, U.S.; Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (>99.3%, J. T. Baker), ammonium hydroxide (GR, 28-30%, EM), poly (oxyethylene)_x nonyl phenol ether (hereafter NP*x*, x = 5 or 9, from Albright and Wilson Asia Pte Ltd., Singapore).

The procedure of establishing a partial phase diagram at room temperature for the ternary system consisting of cyclohexane, NP5 + NP9, and an aqueous solution has been detailed elsewhere.⁸ To locate the determination between the microemulsion and nonmicroemulsion regions, the aqueous phase was titrated into a mixture of given cyclohexane to surfactant ratio. Thorough mixing of the three components was achieved using a Vortex mixer. Microemulsion compositions appear optically transparent when the size of aqueous droplets is in the range of 5 to 20 nm, due to the fact that the nanosized aqueous droplets do not cause a substantial degree of light scattering. A series of such demarcation points were obtained by varying the cyclohexane to surfactant ratio. Partial phase diagrams at room temperature for three ternary systems were thus established. They consisted of cyclohexane, NP5+NP9 (weight ratio: 2:1) and an aqueous phase containing 2.0 M ammonia or $[x]M \operatorname{Ni}(\operatorname{NO}_3)_2$ +0.10 M Fe(NO₃)₃ (*x* varies from 0.05 to 0.20), respectively.

The general procedure of powder preparation can be referred to Ref. 8. Two microemulsion compositions were prepared. They all consisted of 67.5 wt % cyclohexane, 22.5 wt % NP5/NP9 (weight ratio: 2:1), and 10.0 wt % aqueous solution. The aqueous phase contained either 2.0 M ammonia solution, or $[x]M \operatorname{Ni}(\operatorname{NO}_3)_2 + 0.10 \text{ M Fe}(\operatorname{NO}_3)_3$ solution. The concentration "x" was varied from 0.05 to 0.20 to optimize the composition in the final NiFe₂O₄ powder. In each time, the reaction was brought about by mixing the two compositions together via vigorously stirring for more than 20 min. To retrieve the precipitates formed in microemulsions, the cyclohexane and surfactant were washed off using ethanol (99.5%), followed by recovery using centrifugation. Samples were dried under a vacuum at room temperature for at least 20 h.

The as-dried Ni-Fe-precursors with different Ni-Fe ratios were characterized using a thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) (TA Instrument, SDT Q600) at a heating rate of 10 °C/min in air. They were then calcined in air at various temperatures up to 900 °C, followed by phase analysis employing an x-ray diffraction (XRD) technique (Cu $K\alpha$, Philips X'pert-systems). Composition ratio between Ni and Fe in the calcined powders was determined based on the relative intensities of major XRD peaks of NiO, Fe₂O₃, and NiFe₂O₄. Crystallite size in single phase of NiFe₂O₄ was estimated on the basis of line broadening at half maximum of the (311) peak. An NiFe₂O₄ particle image was taken from JEOL 2010 transmission electron microscope. Magnetic properties measurement was conducted using a superconquantum interference device magnetometer ducting (MPMS-5S Susceptometer from Quantum Design).

7483

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; electronic mail: jfang1@uno.edu



FIG. 1. Flow chart for the investigation of NiFe2O4.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Formation of NiFe₂O₄ precursors

A coprecipitation between (Ni^{2+}/Fe^{3+}) and excessive ammonia solution was involved in this preparation. Due to the possible complexing interaction between the Ni²⁺ and OH⁻ during the coprecipitation, the stoichiometric ratio between the starting reagent Ni²⁺ and Fe³⁺ (i.e., 1:2 in mol) is not applicable. As to be mentioned later, we actually varied the concentration of reagent Ni²⁺ from 0.05 to 0.20 M when that of Fe³⁺ was fixed as 0.10 M. The ideal concentration of Ni²⁺ was thus optimized by considering the XRDdetermined phase ratio in those of calcined Ni–Fe oxides as a feedback. The investigation was organized as illustrated in Fig. 1.

To ensure that all the chemical preparations were "transferred" into the "nanoenvironment" in a medium of microemulsions, we have partially established the cyclohexane-(NP5/NP9 surfactant)-(aqueous solution) ternary phase diagrams for all the systems containing 2.0 M ammonia solution or various [x]M Ni(NO₃)₂+0.10 M Fe(NO₃)₃ solutions. Figure 2 shows a typical partial ternary phase diagram established at room temperature. The shaded region represents a reverse microemulsion area. Depending on the ratio between Ni²⁺ and Fe³⁺ in the starting reagents, the final Ni-Fe oxides may contain either single phase of NiFe₂O₄, or $NiFe_2O_4 + Fe_2O_3$, or $NiFe_2O_4 + NiO$ or other possible compositions. We have carefully examined various XRD patterns derived from $[x]M Ni(NO_3)_2 + 0.10 M Fe(NO_3)_3$ solutions in which the x volume was set as 0.05, 0.10, 0.12,0.15, and 0.20. By analyzing these XRD patterns, x was optimized as 0.12. In other words, a starting solution containing $[0.12 \text{ M Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2 + 0.10 \text{ M Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3]$ gave a single phase of NiFe₂O₄ under present synthetic conditions. Figure 3 shows the TGA trace at a heating rate of 10 °C/min in air for precursors prepared using such composition. It exhibited three apparent falls in specimen weight over the temperature ranges from 40 to 180 °C, from 230 to 310 °C, and from 400 to 555 °C. The weight loss covering the first two falls, i.e., at



FIG. 2. The partial phase diagram established at room temperature for the ternary system consisting of cyclohexane, NP5/NP9 (weight ratio: 2:1) and aqueous solution of $[0.12 \text{ M Ni}(\text{NO}_3)_2 + 0.10 \text{ M Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3]$.

temperatures below 310 °C is believed to be due to the elimination of the residual water and the dehydration of the hydroxides hydrates in the precursors.⁹ The fall in specimen weight over the temperature range from 400 to 555 °C is related to the decomposition of nickel hydroxides and iron hydroxides, as well as the residues of surfactant. As shown in Fig. 3, the weight loss stops from ~555 °C, indicating the complete formation of NiFe₂O₄ at that temperature.

B. NiFe₂O₄ powder characterization

Figure 4 is the XRD pattern recorded at room temperature from the precursor prepared using above composition (x=0.12) and calcined at 600 °C for 3 h. These peaks are indexed to the cubic NiFe₂O₄ phase according to the standard ICDD PDF (Card No. 10-0325). From the XRD line broadening of the (311) peak using the Scherrer equation,¹⁰ the crystalline sizes were estimated as 11.2 nm, 16.9 nm, and 28.8 nm for the specimens calcined for 3 h at 600 °C, 700 °C, and 800 °C, respectively. These results are in good agreement with those characterized using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) techniques. As demonstrated in Fig. 5, the TEM image of powder calcined at 600 °C for 3 h reveals that the discrete particles exist in polyhedron and average crystallite size ranges around 10–15 nm in diameter with high crystallinity (shown on the top inset). Although both the XRD pat-



FIG. 3. TGA trace of N–Fe-hydroxides derived from two microemulsions containing $[0.12 \text{ M Ni}(NO_3)_2+0.10 \text{ M Fe}(NO_3)_3]$ and 2.0 M ammonia solution. Sample was heated in air in a rate of 10 °C/min.



FIG. 4. XRD trace of NiFe₂O₄, which was prepared from microemulsions containing $[0.12 \text{ M Ni}(NO_3)_2 + 0.10 \text{ M Fe}(NO_3)_3]$ solution and was calcined at 600 °C for 3 h.

tern recorded from the sample calcined at 550 °C for 3 h and the TGA curve indicate that NiFe₂O₄ could be formed at 550 °C, we still claim 600 °C as the formation temperature because the sample calcined at 550 °C exhibits a relatively low saturation magnetization (43.0 emu/g) when measured at 300 K. This may indicate that at 550 °C the sample may still contains a small amount of amorphous impurities, which is undetectable by XRD and TGA. It is worth mentioning the formation temperature of 600 °C is much lower than that observed from the solid-state reaction.¹¹

Figure 6 shows the hysteresis loops of NiFe₂O₄ powder calcined for 3 h at 600 °C [Fig. 6(a)] and 800 °C [Fig. 6(b)]. Both samples present soft-magnetic behaviors with coercivity less than 350 Oe at 5 K (see the insets of Fig. 6). For sample calcined at 800 °C, we obtained saturation magnetization of 54.5 emu/g, which is very close to the bulk value of 55 emu/g reported for NiFe₂O₄.¹² For sample calcined at



FIG. 5. TEM images of microemulsion-derived $\rm NiFe_2O_4$ (calcined at 600 °C for 3 h).



FIG. 6. The hysteresis loops of $NiFe_2O_4$ measured at 300 K and 5 K. (a) Sample calcined at 600 °C for 3 h and (b) sample calcined at 800 °C for 3 h.

600 °C, the magnetization measured at 5 K and 300 K still increases slightly with increasing magnetic field up to 50 kOe. At the maximum field of 50 kOe, we obtained value of magnetization being only 46.9 emu/g at 300 K. This behavior is believed to be associated with superparamagnetism, as the particle of <15 nm is close to the critical size of superparamagnetism for NiFe₂O₄. When increasing the particle size by elevating the calcinations temperature, this phenomenon becomes unapparent and even vanished.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the L.A. Board of Regents, NSF/LEQSF (2001-04)-RII-03 and the Air Force, F49620-02-C-0060.

- ¹G. A. Ozin, Adv. Mater. 4, 612 (1992).
- ²H. Gleiter, Adv. Mater. 4, 474 (1992).
- ³Z. H. Zhou, J. M. Xue, J. Wang, H. S. O. Chan, T. Yu, and Z. X. Shen, J. Appl. Phys. **91**, 6015 (2002).
- ⁴G. Economos, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 42, 628 (1959).
- ⁵Y. Shi, J. Ding, X. Liu, and J. Wang, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **205**, 249 (1999).
- ⁶D.-H. Chen and X.-R. He, Mater. Res. Bull. 36, 1369 (2001).
- ⁷Y. Xie, Y. Qian, J. Li, Z. Chen, and L. Yang, Mater. Sci. Eng., B **34**, L1 (1995).
- ⁸J. Wang, J. Fang, S.-C. Ng, L.-M. Gan, C.-H. Chew, X. Wang, and Z. Shen, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 82, 873 (1999).
- ⁹Y. Ohara, K. Koumoto, T. Shimizu, and H. Yanagida, J. Mater. Sci. **30**, 263 (1995); D. L. Perry and S. L. Phillips (Ed.), *Handbook of Inorganic Compounds* (CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995), p. 216.
- ¹⁰H. P. Klug and L. E. Alexander (ed.), X-ray Diffraction Procedures for Polycrystalline and Amorphous Materials (Wiley, New York, 1954).
- ¹¹W. J. Tomlinson and J. Lilley, J. Mater. Sci. 13, 1148 (1978).
- ¹²J. Smit and H. P. Wijin, *Ferrites* (Philips Technical Library, Eindhoven, The Netherlands, 1959), p. 157.