The Great Lakes Entomologist

Volume 45 Numbers 1 & 2 - Spring/Summer 2012 *Numbers 1 & 2 - Spring/Summer 2012*

Article 2

April 2012

Endophytic Fungi of Bitter Melon *(Momordica Charantia)* in Guangdong Province, China

Jiang-Hua Huang South China Agriculture University

Mei-Mei Xiang Zhongkai University of Agriculture and Engineering

Zi-De Jiang South China Agriculture University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.valpo.edu/tgle

Part of the Entomology Commons

Recommended Citation

Huang, Jiang-Hua; Xiang, Mei-Mei; and Jiang, Zi-De 2012. "Endophytic Fungi of Bitter Melon *(Momordica Charantia)* in Guangdong Province, China," *The Great Lakes Entomologist*, vol 45 (1) Available at: https://scholar.valpo.edu/tgle/vol45/iss1/2

This Peer-Review Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Biology at ValpoScholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Great Lakes Entomologist by an authorized administrator of ValpoScholar. For more information, please contact a ValpoScholar staff member at scholar@valpo.edu.

THE GREAT LAKES ENTOMOLOGIST

Endophytic Fungi of Bitter Melon (*Momordica charantia*) in Guangdong Province, China

Jiang-Hua Huang^{1,2}, Mei-Mei Xiang², Zi-De Jiang¹

Abstract

Endophytic fungi can mutualistically interact with their host plants by deterring herbivores. Overall 1172 endophytic fungal isolates were recovered from roots, stems, leaves, flowers and fruits of bitter melon, Momordica charantia, at five sites in Guangdong Province. These isolates were identified to 25 genera using morphological and molecular characteristics. The endophyte communities at the five sites were similar. Alternaria alternata, Aspergillus spp., Cladosporium spp., Colletotrichum spp., Nigrospora spp., Penicillium spp., Arthrinium spp., Chaetimium spp., Curvularia spp., Fusarium spp., Phoma spp., and Phomopsis spp. were isolated from at least three of the five sites. The coefficient of similarity for endophytes ranged from 60.6% to 83.3% between any two sites. There were significant differences in the species composition of endophytes recovered from different tissues of bitter melon. Fusarium spp. was the most frequent in root and stem samples, *Colletotrichum* spp. in leaf samples, A. alternata in flower samples, and Cladosporium spp. in fruit samples. The coefficients of similarity for endophytes were between 42.9% and 80.0% from any two tissues. We found that the composition of endophytes of bitter melon was relatively stable across sites, but differed greatly among tissues. We also found that there were fewer insects such as aphids (Homoptera: Aphididae), leafminers (Lepidoptera, Gracillariidae), and cotton leafworms Spodoptera litura (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) collected from the leaves of bitter melon at the Huadu site compared to those collected at the Yunfu site. Whether this is related to the endophyte communities isolated from different sites requires further research.

Endophytic fungi are thought to interact mutualistically with their host plants mainly by deterring herbivores (Faeth and Hammon 1996, Li et al. 2004, Rodriguez et al. 2009). Most notably, endophytes produce toxic alkaloids that can deter insect herbivores or reduce insect herbivore performance (Clay and Schardl 2002, Kerri et al. 2010). Endophytic fungi can grow within their host plants without causing any noticeable symptoms of disease (Arnold et al. 2000, Suryanarayanan et al. 2002, Promputha et al. 2007, Rosa et al. 2009). They are of interest because of their rich diversity and because they provide an excellent potential source of novel biologically-active compounds (Hyde 2001, Photita et al. 2001). There have been numerous studies on endophytic communities in various plants, including vegetables (D'Amico et al. 2008), cacti (Suryanarayanan et al. 2005), palms (Fröhlich et al. 2000), wheat (Larran et al. 2007), lichens (Li et al. 2007), meadow ryegrass (Lehtonen et al. 2006) and banana (Photita et al. 2001). However, there has not been a detailed study on endophytes of a medicinal food plant such as bitter melon (*Momordica charantia*), which is known to contain

¹Department of Plant Pathology, College of Natural Resources and Environment, South China Agriculture University, Guangzhou 510642, P. R. China. (e-mail: jhhuang@139. com, zdjiang@scau.edu.cn).

²Institute of Plant Pathology, Zhongkai University of Agriculture and Engineering, Guangzhou 510225, P. R. China.

THE GREAT LAKES ENTOMOLOGIST Vol. 45, Nos. 1 - 2

charantin (a steroidal glycoside), vicine (a glycoalkaloid) and polypeptide 'p' (a 166 residue insulinomimetic peptide) (Fernandes et al. 2007).

Bitter melon is widely cultivated in tropical climates for use as a vegetable and in medicine (Chang et al. 1996). Extracts of bitter melon have been found to possess medicinal properties such as antitumor, antioxidant, antivirus and antidiabetes (Limtrakul et al. 2004). Endophytic fungi in *M. charantia* may take part in the synthesis or transformation of such medicinal materials. The basic aim of the present study was to investigate the diversity and distribution of endophytic fungi isolated from *M. charantia*. A secondary aim was to collect cultures of endophytic fungi for further screening of new bioactive compounds that may provide protection mediated by fungal alkaloids to the host against insects and pathogens.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection. Asymptomatic bitter melon plants were sampled from five sites in Guangdong Province in southern China: 1) the Huadu Station of the Guangzhou Vegetable Science Institute; 2) the Forecast Station of Crop Diseases and Pests in Zhaoqing city; 3) one site in Shitang town, Renhua county, Shaoguan city; 4) one site in Xiangqiao district, Chaozhou city; and 5) one site in Ducheng town, Yunan county, Yunfu city. Each site had fields of bitter melon at least 100 m² in size. Five randomly selected plants were collected from each site. These were brought back to the laboratory in sealed polythene bags and processed within 24 h of collection.

Isolation and culture of endophytic fungi. Samples were first washed in running water. Leaf discs $(5 \times 5 \text{ mm})$, segments of stem, root, flower, and fruit (all $5 \times 5 \text{ mm}$) were then cut from the washed plant tissues in the laboratory. A total of 30 fragments of each tissue type were processed per site. All fragments were then surface sterilized using 75% ethanol for 1 min, autoclaved water for 30 s, 3% NaClO for 1 min, autoclaved water for 30 s, and then dried on autoclaved paper.

Five surface-sterilized leaf discs or other organ segments were evenly spaced on 2% potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium amended with streptomycin sulfate (50 mg/l) in Petri dishes, isolates were held in a growth incubator, incubated for 2 months at 25° C, and examined periodically. Surface-sterilized tissue segments were pressed onto the surface of fresh antibiotic-amended PDA to check the efficacy of the surface sterilization procedure. The absence of microbial growth on the medium from the tissue surfaces confirmed that the surface sterilization procedure was effective against surface fungi (Suryanarayanan et al. 2005).

When colonies grew out from plant tissues on amended media, subcultures were transferred onto new malt extract agar (MEA, 2%) plates. Subcultures were then incubated on different media which included PDA, corn meal agar (CMA, 2%), and tap water agar (TWA, 0.8%). Isolates were incubated at 25°C under cool white fluorescent lights with 12 h light / 12 h dark to induce sporulation in culture. Isolates from this study have been deposited in the Institute of Plant Pathology, Zhongkai University of Agriculture and Engineering.

Endophyte identification. Sporulating isolates were identified to genus and to species when possible using traditional morphological techniques. Sequencing of the ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region was used to confirm the identity of most endophytic fungi. DNA was extracted from fresh mycelium according to procedures of Lee and Taylor (1990). DNA samples were then checked for purity and integrity by electrophoresis in 1% (w/v) agarose with ethidium bromide (10 mg/mL) in $1 \times$ TAE buffer before storing at 4°C. The reaction mix for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of the rDNA consisted of: 0.2 mM each of the primer pair, 0.2 mM dNTP, 10-50 ng DNA, 0.04 U of Taq polymerase (Promega, WI, USA), and $1 \times$ PCR buffer mix in a 25 µl

2012 THE GREAT LAKES ENTOMOLOGIST

volume. The primers ITS4 and ITS5 (White et al. 1990) were used to amplify the ITS region. The thermal cycling program was as follows: 3 min preheating at 94°C followed by 35 cycles of 94°C denaturing for 30 sec, annealing at 55°C for 30 sec, extension at 72°C for 1 min with a final 7 min extension at 72°C.

Insect Collection. Sampled plants at each site were examined for the presence of insects. All insects were identified to family and tallied.

Statistical Analyses. Isolation rate was calculated as follows: (Total No. of isolates yielded by a given sample) / (Total No. of segments in that sample). Isolation rates were used as a measure of fungal richness at a given site / tissue (Fröhlich et al. 2000). Species abundance was expressed as relative frequency of isolation, which was calculated by using the number of discs colonized by a given fungus divided by the total number of discs infected, expressed as percentage (Photita et al. 2001).

To make comparisons among the fungi recovered from different sites or tissues, the coefficient of similarity of the number of genera of endophytic fungi from different sites or tissues was calculated for all the possible pairs of sites or tissues according to the formula: 2j / (A+B), where A is the total number of fungal species isolated from one site or tissue, B from another site or tissue, and j is the number of fungal species found in common (Pielou 1975). The results were expressed as percentages.

Results

Composition of endophytic fungi. A total of 1172 endophytic fungal isolates were separated from bitter melon. According to morphological and molecular features, they were finally classified to 25 genera (Table 1). The ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 partial sequences of 21 representative isolates were submitted to the GeneBank to obtain their access numbers, and the closest related species were got by BLAST analysis (Table 2).

Impact of location on the number of isolates and generic diversity of endophytic fungi in *M. charantia*. Various numbers of endophytic fungi were obtained from the five sites (Table 1). Of these 18 genera were from Huadu, 15 from Zhaoqing, 16 from Chaozhou, 13 from Shaoguan, and 11 from Yunfu (Table 3). A. alternata, Aspergillus spp., Cladosporium spp., Colletotrichum spp., Nigrospora spp., and Penicillium spp. occurred in all the five sites examined. Arthrinium spp., Chaetimium spp., Curvularia spp., Fusarium spp., and Phoma spp. were isolated from four sites examined. Phomopsis spp. was separated from three sites. The relative frequency of *Penicillium* spp. (19.3%) was the highest among the isolates separated from Zhaoqing, and Cladosporium spp. (20.1%) was the highest from Shaoguan. The relative frequency of *Colletotrichum* spp. were 21.4%, 18.2%, and 18.9%, which were the highest from Chaozhou, Huadu, and Yunfu, respectively. Annulophloxylon spp., Aureobasidium spp., Botryosphaeria spp., Rhizoctonia sp., and Stemphylium solani were only isolated from Zhaoqing. Ceratobasidium spp., Exserohilum rostratum, Rhizopycnis spp., and Stagonospora spp. were separated from Huadu only. Paecilomyces spp. and Trichoderma spp. were specific species from Chaozhou, and Ascochyta spp. from Shaoguan (Table 1). The isolation rate of endophytic fungi of Shaoguan (0.98) was the highest among the five sites and in the other sites the isolation rates of endophytic fungi from high to low were Chaozhou (0.93) > Yunfu (0.80) > Huadu (0.47) > Zhaoqing (0.44) (Table 3).

The coefficient of similarity for endophytes ranged from 60.6% to 83.3% between any two sites (Table 4). The highest similarity (83.3%) of endophytic communities was between Shaoguan and Yunfu, and the lowest similarity (60.6%) between Huadu and Zhaoqing. Similarities (>80%) of endophytic communities were high between Huadu and Chaozhou, Chaozhou and Yunfu, Chaozhou and Shaoguan, and Shaoguan and Yunfu.

2	2
2	2

THE GREAT LAKES ENTOMOLOGIST

Vol. 45, Nos. 1 - 2

Таха	Huadu %	Zhaoqing %	Chaozhou %	Shaoguan %	Yunfu %
Alternaria alternata	11	2.1	9.6	8.6	3.1
Annulophloxylon spp.		1.4			
Arthrinium spp.	1.3		1.8	6.0	9.4
Ascochyta spp.				0.9	
Aspergillus spp.	0.7	6.2	4.2	2.6	3.1
Aureobasidium spp.		0.7			
Botryosphaeria spp.		1.4			
Ceratobasidium spp.	0.7				
Chaetomium spp.	7.1		4.2	5.6	4.2
Cladosporium spp.	14.3	16.6	9.2	20.1	9.4
Colletotrichum spp.	18.2	7.0	21.4	6.4	18.9
Curvularia spp.	3.6	2.1	1.3	0.9	
Didymella spp.	7.8		1.6		
Exserohilum rostratum	2.6				
Fusarium spp.	13.0		14.3	14.5	2.6
Nigrospora spp.	3.3	1.4	4.0	0.9	2.6
Paecilomyces spp.			0.7		
Penicillium spp.	0.7	19.3	7.8	6.4	4.2
Phoma spp.	2.6	0.7	1.8	1.3	
Phomopsis spp.	1.3	2.1	1.1		
Rhizoctonia sp.		2.1			
Rhizopycnis spp.	0.7				
Stagonospora spp.	5.8				
Stemphylium solani		0.7			
Trichoderma spp.		- • •	0.2		1.1
Unidentified	5.8	36.6	16.7	26.1	41.4

Table 1. Relative frequency (%) of endophytic fungi in bitter melon from different sites.

Effect of tissue on the number of isolates and genera diversity of endophytic fungi in *M. charantia*. The number of endophytic fungal isolates varied among different tissues. Fifteen genera were from roots, 13 from stems, 13 from leaves, 8 from flowers, and 17 from fruits (Table 5). Cladosporium spp. and *Penicillium* spp. occurred in all the five tissues. Aspergillus spp., Colletotrichum spp., Nigrospora spp., and Fusarium spp. were isolated from four tissues examined. Alternaria alternata, Arthrinium spp., Chaetomium spp., Didymella spp., *Phoma* spp., and *Phomopsis* spp. were separated from three tissues examined. The relative frequency of *Fusarium* spp. were 26.0% and 30.0%, which were the highest among the isolates separated from roots and stems, respectively. Colletotrichum spp. (41.1%) was the highest from leaves, A. alternata (36.7%) from flowers, and Cladosporium spp. (28.5%) from fruits. Ceratobasidium spp., Paecilomyces spp., Rhizoctonia spp., and Rhizopynis spp., were only isolated from roots, Annulophloxylon spp., Ascochyta spp., and Stemphylium solani were separated from leaves only, Aureobasidium spp. and Stagonospora spp. were specific species from fruits (Table 6). The isolation rate of endophytic fungi from stems (0.90) was the highest among the five tissues followed by flowers (0.82), then leaves (0.71), then fruits (0.65), and finally roots (0.58) (Table 5).

The coefficients of similarity for endophytes ranged from 42.9% to 80.0% between any two tissues. The highest similarity (80.0%) of endophytic communities was between stems and fruits, and the lowest similarity (42.9%) between roots and leaves. Lower similarities (<43.5%) of endophytic communities were found between roots and leaves, and between roots and flowers (Table 7).

$2 \cap$	1	2
20	I	4

THE GREAT LAKES ENTOMOLOGIST

Fungal isolate	GeneBank accession number	Closest related species	Similarity (%)
138	AF455539.1	Alternaria alternata	99
236	EU272517.1	Annulophloxylon stygium	99
225	AM176711.1	Arthrinium sp.	99
122	EU833207.1	Aspergillus japonicus	99
240	EF197817.1	Aureobasidium pullulans	99
140	EF423547.1	Botryosphaeria rhodina	99
141	DQ102433.1	Ceratobasidium sp.	99
163	AJ279468.1	Chaetomium sp.	93
151	EF405864.1	Cladosporium cladosporioides	99
216	AJ301979.1	Colletotrichum gloeosporioides	98
186	AF212308.1	Curvularia brachyspora	97
200	AB266850.1	Didymella bryoniae	100
156	AJ853741.1	Exserohilum rostratum	100
8	EF423517.1	Fusarium sp.	100
153	DQ219433.1	Nigrospora oryzae	96
143	AB353909.1	Penicillium marneffei	98
172	EF423518.1	Phoma sp.	100
136	EF488377.1	Phomopsis sp.	99
169	DQ682600.1	Rhizopycnis sp.	99
206	AM262366.1	Stagonospora sp.	99
152	AF203451.1	Stemphyllium solani	100

Table 2. Closest relatives of the fungal endophyte isolates based on BLAST analysis.

Table 3. Isolation rates and generic diversity for endophytic fungi in *M. charantia* from different sites.

Sites	No. of segments or discs	No. of isolates	Isolation rate	No. of genera
Huadu	330	154	0.47	18
Zhaoqing	330	145	0.44	15
Chaozhou	480	448	0.93	16
Shaoguan	240	234	0.98	13
Yunfu	240	191	0.80	11

Table 4. The similarity coefficients for generic diversity of endophytic fungi of bitter melon among the different sites.

Site	Zhaoqing	Chaozhou	Shaoguan	Yunfu
Huadu Zhaoqing Chaozhou Shaoguan	60.6	82.4 64.5	77.4 64.3 82.8	$69.0 \\ 61.5 \\ 81.5 \\ 83.3$

THE GREAT LAKES ENTOMOLOGIST Vol. 45, Nos. 1 - 2

Table 5. The isolation rates and genera diversity of endophyte fungi in different tis-	
sues in bitter melon.	

Tissue	No. of segments or discs	No. of isolates	Isolation rate	No. of genera
Root	180	104	0.58	15
Stem	300	271	0.90	13
Leaf	440	314	0.71	13
Flower	170	139	0.82	8
Fruit	530	344	0.65	17

Table 6. Relative frequency (%) of endophytic fungi in different tissue

Таха	Root	Stem	Leaf	Flower	Fruit
Alternaria alternata			4.8	36.7	6.7
Annulophloxylon spp.			0.6		
Arthrinium spp.		2.6	4.8		5.8
Ascochyta spp.			0.6		
Aspergillus spp.	1.9	6.6		11.5	1.5
Aureobasidium spp.					0.3
Botryosphaeria spp.		0.4			0.3
Ceratobasidium spp.	1.0				
Chaetomium spp.	15.4		3.2		7.3
Cladosporium spp.	5.8	4.4	6.7	10.8	28.5
Colletotrichum spp.	3.9	12.9	41.1		4.9
Curvularia spp.	1.9			7.9	
Didymella spp.		0.4		10.1	1.2
Exserohilum rostratum	1.0	1.1			
Fusarium spp.	26.0	30.0	2.2		3.2
Nigrospora spp.		1.1	3.5	7.9	2.0
Paecilomyces spp.	2.9				
Penicillium spp.	6.7	14.4	3.2	2.9	7.9
Phoma spp.	3.8	0.7			2.9
Phomopsis spp.		0.7	1.9		0.6
Rhizoctonia spp.	2.9				
Rhizopynis spp.	1.0				
Stagonospora spp.					2.6
Stemphylium solani			0.3		
Trichoderma spp.	1.0				0.6
Unidentified	25.0	24.7	27.1	12.2	23.8

 Table 7. Similarity coefficients of generic diversity for endophytic fungi in different tissues of bitter melon.

Tissues	Stem	Leaf	Flower	Fruit
Root	57.1	42.9	43.5	56.3
Stem		61.5	57.1	80.0
Leaf			47.6	66.7
Flower				56.0

THE GREAT LAKES ENTOMOLOGIST

25

Discussion

In the present study, 25 genera of endophytic fungi were isolated from bitter melon. Similar results were obtained in previous studies for other plants. For instance, Suryanarayanan et al. (2005) identified 900 endophyte isolates belonging to 22 fungal species from cacti in Arizona. Paul et al. (2007) reported that 24 fungal genera were isolated from roots of *Aralia elata* and *A. continentalis*. Lin et al. (2007) investigated the endophytic fungi in *Camptotheca acuminata* and obtained 18 taxa. Sun et al. (2008) used morphological characters to identify 973 endophyte isolates of 21 taxa from 6 medicinal plant species.

The endophyte communities isolated from the five sites in this study were similar, despite the fact that varieties of bitter melon were quite different. A. alternata, Aspergillus spp., Cladosporium spp., Colletotrichum spp., Nigrospora spp., Penicillium spp., Arthrinium spp., Chaetimium spp., Curvularia spp., Fusarium spp., Phoma spp., and Phomopsis spp. were separated from at least three sites. Similar results were obtained in previous studies. Taylor et al. (1999) reported several taxa such as Glomerella cingulata, Phomopsis spp. and Guignardia cocogena dominated the assemblages of endophytic fungi at each site associated with the temperate palm, Trachycarpus fortunei, and they pointed out that the diversity at each site was similar in number, but the abundance of isolates varied. Swart et al. (2000) isolated endophytic fungi from Protea cynaroides, Leucospermum cordifolium and Leucadendron salignum × laureolum in three locations in the Western Cape province of South Africa, and they found the endophytic fungi at three locations were remarkably similar.

The results of this study revealed differences in the species composition of endophtes recovered from different tissues of bitter melon. *Fusarium* spp. was the most frequent in root and stem samples, *Collectotrichum* spp. in leaf samples, *A. alternata* in flower samples, and *Cladosporium* spp. in fruit samples. Petrini et al. (1992) concluded that different plant tissues and organs may resemble distinct microhabitats. Santos et al. (2003) investigated the endophytic fungi in *Melia azedarach* and obtained similar results with regard to tissue specificity of different fungal taxa. Gond et al. (2007) reported that the bark, leaf and root samples of *Aegle marmelos* differed in their endophytic fungal colonization. Different tissues can provide different substrates to support the survival of endophyte assemblages. Tissue specificity among the endophytes of bitter melon might be a reflection of tissue preferences of individual dominant taxa.

Endophytes belonging to potentially pathogenic species were also isolated, such as species of *Colletotrichum*, *Fusarium* and *Rhizoctonia*. Their presence as endophytes in bitter melon was interesting since these might be latent infections. Similar results have been obtained in previous studies. Some of the endophytes isolated from asymptomatic tissue of banana were known as pathogens of banana, such as *Deightoniella torulosa*, *Cordana musae*, *Colletotrichum musae*, *Guignardia musae* and *Pyriculariopsis parasitica* (Photia et al. 2001). Species of *Fusarium* and *Trichoderma* were obtained as endophytic fungi of *Melia azedarach* (Santos et al. 2003). Fisher and Petrini (1992) reported that *Fusarium equiseti*, *F. oxysporum* and *Phoma sorghina* were isolated from asymptomatic rice plants. Shamoun and Sieber (2000) pointed out that scientists should concentrate on finding the mechanisms which are responsible for causing a fungus to switch from asymptomatic endophytic to symptomatic pathogenic mode of colonization.

Alternaria alternata was one of the most frequently isolated species in bitter melon. Sun et al. (2008) pointed out that A. alternata was one of the common species among six plants studied. A. alternata was dominant in Pinus tabulaeformis (Guo et al. 2004), in Camptotheca acuminata (Lin et al. 2007), in a mangrove community (Kumaresan and Suryanarayanan 2001) and in wheat (Triticum aestivum) (Larran et al. 2007). The above results indicated that A. alternata is not host specific and can be isolated from tissues of different host plants.

THE GREAT LAKES ENTOMOLOGIST

Vol. 45, Nos. 1 - 2

Interestingly, we found that there were fewer insects such as aphids (Homoptera: Aphididae), leafminers (Lepidoptera, Gracillariidae), and cotton leafworms *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) collected from the leaves of bitter melon of Huadu than those of Yunfu. Kerri et al. (2010) reported that insect herbivores showed a significant preference for endophyte-free plant material for the majority of native grasses, with up to three times lower insect herbivores for endophyte-symbiotic plants, both in the field and in experimental trials. Tintjer and Rudgers (2006) found that deterrence of insect herbivory depends on the fungal strain and growth stage of the plant. Whether this is caused by the endophyte communities isolated from different sites deserves further research.

This study was the first to examine endophytes of bitter melon, a medicinal food plant with very bitter charantin, vicine and polypeptide 'p'. It revealed that both isolates and generic diversity of endophytes of bitter melon varied by site and tissue. There is growing interest in endophytic fungi as potential producers of novel, biologically-active products (Sun et al. 2008; Petrini et al. 1992; Monaghan et al. 1995). Investigations on the endophytic fungi of bitter melon will be of great value to our understanding of ecology and pharmacology. Further research is needed to screen endophytic fungi for antitumor, anti-insect and antifungal activity, and to investigate the roles of strong bioactive isolates including charantin, vicine and polypeptide 'p'.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Drs Tom Hsiang and Yigen Chen (University of California, Davis, CA) for their comments and suggestions on this manuscript. The research work was funded by Provincial Natural Science Foundation Team Project of Guangdong Province, China (No. E05202480), State technology project item of Guangdong Proveince, China (No. 20052040102) and Foundation of Zhongkai University of Agriculture and Engineering (No. G3071202).

Literature Cited

- Arnold, A. E., Z. Maynard, G. S. Gilbert, P. D. Coley, P.D., and T. A. Kursar. 2000. Are tropical fungal endophytes hyperdiverse? Ecological Letters 3: 267-274.
- Chang, M. K., E. J. Conkerton, D. C. Chapital, P. J. Wan, O. P. Vadhwa, and J. M. Spiers. 1996. Chinese melon (*Momordica charantia* L.) seed: composition and potential use. Journal of the American Oil Chemists Society 73 (2): 263-265.
- Clay, K., and C. Schardl. 2002. Evolutionary origins and ecological consequences of endophyte symbiosis with grasses. American Naturalist 160: S99-S127.
- D'Amico, M., S. Frisullo, and M. Cirulli, M. 2008. Endophytic fungi occurring in fennel, lettuce, chicory, and celery – commercial crops in southern Italy. Mycological Research 112: 100-107.
- Faeth, S. H., and K. E. Hammon. 1996. Fungal endophytes and phytochemistry of oak foliage: determinants of oviposition preference of leafminers? Oecologia 108: 728-736.
- Fernandes, N. P., C. V. Lagishetty, V. S. Panda, and S. R. Naik. 2007. An experimental evaluation of the antidiabetic and antilipidemic properties of a standardized *Momordica charantia* fruit extract. Complementary and Alternative Medicine 7: 29.
- Fisher, P. J., and O. Petrini. 1992. Fungal saprobes and pathogens as endophytes of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). New Phytologist 120: 137-143.
- Fröhlich, J., K. D. Hyde, and O. Petrini. 2000. Endophytic fungi associated with palms. Mycological Research 104: 1202-1212.

2012	THE GREAT LAKES ENTOMOLOGIST

- Gond, S. K., V. C. Verma, A. Kumar, V. Kumar, and R. N. Kharwar. 2007. Study of endophytic fungal community from different parts of *Aegle marmelos* Correae (Rutaceae) from Varanasi (India). World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology. 23: 1371-1375.
- Guo, L. D., L. Xu, W. H. Zheng, and K. D. Hyde. 2004. Genetic variation of Alternaria alternata, an endophytic fungus isolated from Pinus tabulaeformis as determined by random amplified microsatellites (RAMS). Fungal Diversity 16: 53-65.
- Hyde, K. D. 2001. Where are the missing fungi? Does Hong Kong have any answers? Mycological Research 105: 1514-1518.
- Kerri, M. C., M. L. John, and A. R. Jennifer. 2010. Fungal endophytes of native grasses decrease insect herbivore preference and performance. Oecologia 164: 431-444.
- Kumaresan, V., and T. S. Suryanarayanan. 2001. Occurrence and distribution of endophytic fungi in a mangrove community. Mycological Research 105: 1388-1391.
- Larran, S., A. Perelló, M. R. Simón, and V. Moreno, V. 2007. The endophytic fungi from wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 23: 565-572.
- Lee, S. B., and J. W. Taylor. 1990. Isolation of DNA from fungal mycelia and single spores, pp.282-287. *In* M. A. Innis, D. H. Gelfand, J. J. Sninsky, and T. J. White (eds.), PCR protocols: A guide to methods and applications. Academic Press, San Diego, USA.
- Lehtonen, P. T., M. Helander, S. A. Siddiqui, K. Lehto, and K. Saikkonen. 2006. Endophytic fungus decreases plant virus infections in meadow ryegrass (*Lolium pretense*). Biology Letters 2: 620-623.
- Li, C., Z. Nan, V. H. Paul, P. Dapprich, and Y. Liu. 2004. A new Neotyphodium species symbiotic with drunken horse grass (Achnatherum inebrians) in China. Mycotaxon 90: 141-147.
- Li, W. C., J. Zhou, S. Y. Guo, and L. D. Guo. 2007. Endophytic fungi associated with lichens in Baihua mountain of Beijing, China. Fungal Diversity 25: 69-80.
- Limtrakul, P., O. Khantamat, and K. Pintha. 2004. Inhibition of P-glycoprotein activity and reversal of cancer multidrug resistance by *Momordica charantia* extract. Cancer Chemotherapy and Pharmacology 54: 525-530.
- Lin, X., C. H. Lu, Y. J. Huang, Z. H. Zheng, W. J. Su, and Y. M. Shen. 2007. Endophytic fungi from a pharmaceutical plant, *Camptotheca acuminata*: isolation, identification and bioactivity. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 23: 1037-1040.
- Monaghan, R. L., J. Polishook, V. J. Pecore, G. F. Bills, M. Nallin-Omstead, and S. L. Streicher. 1995. Discovery of novel secondary metabolites from fungi – is it really a random walk through a random forest? Canadian Journal of Botany 73 (Suppl. 1), S925-S931.
- Paul, N. C., W. K. Kim, S. K. Woo, M. S. Park, and S. H. Yu. 2007. Fungal endophytes in roots of *Aralia* species and their antifungal activity. The Plant Pathology Journal 23: 287-294.
- Petrini, O., T. N. Sieber, L. Toti, and O. Viret. 1992. Ecology, metabolite production, and substrate utilization in endophytic fungi. Natural Toxins 1: 185-196.
- Photita, W., S. Lumyong, P. Lumyong, and K. D. Hyde. 2001. Endophytic fungi of wild banana (*Musa acuminata*) at Doi Suthep Pui National Park, Thailand. Mycological Research 105: 1508-1513.
- Pielou, E. C. 1975. Ecological diversity. John Wiley and Sons Inc.
- Promputtha, I., S. Lumyong, V. Dhanasekaran, E. H. C. McKenzie, K. D. Hyde, and R. Jeewon. 2007. A phylogenetic evaluation of whether endophytes become saprotrophs at host senescence. Microbial Ecology 53: 579-590.
- Rodriguez, R. J., J. F. White Jr, A. E. Arnold, and R. S. Redman. 2009. Fungal endophytes: diversity and functinal roles. New Phytologist 182: 314-330.

THE GREAT LAKES ENTOMOLOGIST Vol. 45, Nos. 1 - 2

- Rosa, L. H., B. M. V. Aline, R. B. Caligiorne, S. Campolina, and C. A. Rosa, C.A. 2009. Endophytic fungi associated with the antarctic grass *Deschampsia antarctica* Desv. (Poaceae). Polar Biology 32: 161-167.
- Santos, R. M. G., E. Rodrigues-Fo, W. C. Rocha, and M. F. S. Teixeira. 2003. Endophytic fungi from *Melia azedarach*. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 19: 767-770.
- Shamoun, S. F., and T. N. Sieber. 2000. Colonisation of leaves and twigs of *Rubus* parviflorus and *R. spectabilis* by endophytic fungi in a reforestation site in British Columbia. Mycological Research 104: 841-845.
- Sun, J. Q., L. D. Guo, W. Zang, W. X. Ping, and D. F. Chi. 2008. Diversity and ecological distribution of endophytic fungi associated with medicinal plants. *Science in China Series C:* Life Sciences 51: 751-759.
- Suryanarayanan, T. S., T. S. Murali, and G. Venkatesan. 2002. Occurrence and distribution of fungal endophytes in tropical forests across a rainfall gradient. Canadian Journal of Botany 80: 818-826.
- Suryanarayanan, T. S., S. K. Wittlinger, and S. H. Faeth. 2005. Endophytic fungi associated with cacti in Arizona. Mycological Research 109: 635-639.
- Swart, L., P. W. Crous, O. Petrini, and J. E. Taylor. 2000. Fungal endophytes of Proteaceae, with particular emphasis on Botryosphaeria proteae. Mycoscience 41: 123-127.
- Taylor, J. E., K. D. Hyde, and E. B. G. Jones. 1999. Endophytic fungi associated with the temperate palm, Trachycarpus fortunei, within and outside its natural geographic range. New Phytologist 142: 335-346.
- **Tintjer, T., and J. A. Rudgers. 2006.** Grass-herbivore interactions altered by strains of a native endophyte. New Phytologist 170: 513-521.
- White, T. J., T. D. Bruns, S. Lee, and J. Taylor. 1990. Amplification and sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics, pp. 315-322. In M. A. Innis, D. H. Gelfand, J. J. Sninsky, and J. J. White J.J. (eds.), PCR Protocols: A guide to methods and applications. Academic Press, San Diego, USA.