The Great Lakes Entomologist

Volume 30 Number 3 - Fall 1997 *Number 3 - Fall 1997*

Article 7

October 1997

Urophora Affinis and *U. Quadrifasciata* (Diptera: Tephritidae) Released and Monitored by USDA, APHIS, PPQ as Biological Control Agents of Spotted and Diffuse Knapweed

R. F. Lang Montana State University

R. D. Richard Montana State University

R. W. Hansen Montana State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.valpo.edu/tgle

Part of the Entomology Commons

Recommended Citation

Lang, R. F.; Richard, R. D.; and Hansen, R. W. 1997. "*Urophora Affinis* and *U. Quadrifasciata* (Diptera: Tephritidae) Released and Monitored by USDA, APHIS, PPQ as Biological Control Agents of Spotted and Diffuse Knapweed," *The Great Lakes Entomologist*, vol 30 (2) Available at: https://scholar.valpo.edu/tgle/vol30/iss2/7

This Peer-Review Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Biology at ValpoScholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in The Great Lakes Entomologist by an authorized administrator of ValpoScholar. For more information, please contact a ValpoScholar staff member at scholar@valpo.edu.

THE GREAT LAKES ENTOMOLOGIST

105

UROPHORA AFFINIS AND U. QUADRIFASCIATA (DIPTERA: TEPHRITIDAE) RELEASED AND MONITORED BY USDA, APHIS, PPQ AS BIOLOGICAL CONTROL AGENTS OF SPOTTED AND DIFFUSE KNAPWEED

R. F. Lang,¹ R. D. Richard¹ and R. W. Hansen¹

ABSTRACT

USDA, APHIS, PPQ has distributed the seedhead gall flies Urophora affinis and U. quadrifasciata (Diptera: Tephritidae) as classical biological agents of the introduced weeds spotted and diffuse knapweed (Centaurea maculosa and C. diffusa, respectively) (Asteraceae) in the United States. From 1987 to 1996, Urophora spp. have been released in 97 counties in 14 midwestern and western states. Established populations of U. affinis and U. quadrifasciata are confirmed in 85 and 95 counties, respectively, among all 14 states. These include the first reports of successful establishment of Urophora spp. in Arizona (two counties), Colorado (eight counties), Michigan (one county), Minnesota (six counties), Nebraska (four counties), Nevada (two counties), North Dakota (one county), South Dakota (four counties), Utah (three counties), and Wisconsin (two counties). The first confirmed establishment of U. quadrifasciata in Indiana and Michigan is also reported.

Spotted knapweed (*Centaurea maculosa* Lam.) and diffuse knapweed (*C. diffusa* Lam.) (Asteraceae) are plants native to Eurasia that have become widespread weeds in North America. Spotted knapweed occurs across southern Canada, the northern United States, and throughout most of the western US, while diffuse knapweed occurs primarily in the western US and southwestern Canada (United States Department of Agriculture 1971). Knapweeds are adapted to a range of habitats and soil types, but appear especially well-suited to relatively dry sites (Watson & Renney 1974). They are aggressive competitors that invade non-cultivated areas and displace native plants and forage grasses (Gardner 1990, Harris & Cranston 1979, Hirsch & Leitch 1996, Watson & Renney 1974). In Montana, more than 2 million acres are infested by spotted, diffuse, and Russian (*Centaurea repens* L.) knapweeds, causing economic losses that exceed \$42 million (US) annually (Hirsch & Leitch 1996).

Spotted knapweed is a short-lived perennial, while diffuse knapweed is a biennial species. Both species reproduce by seed. *C. maculosa* seeds are dispersed by shattering of the seedhead (Watson & Renney 1974), and *C. diffusa* seeds are dispersed when above-ground parts of mature plants break off and tumble with the wind (Strang, et al. 1979). Vehicles, animals, and contami-

¹USDA, APHIS, PPQ, Biocontrol Facility, Montana State Univ., Bozeman, MT 59717.

nated hay and crop seed aid in long-distance seed dispersal for both species (Mass 1989, Wallander, et al. 1995).

Because spotted and diffuse knapweeds depend on seeds for reproduction, European seedhead-feeding insects have been released as classical biological control agents in the US and Canada. Among these agents are Urophora affinis Frauenfield and U. quadrifasciata (Meigen) (Diptera: Tephritidae). Adult females of both species oviposit under the bracts of developing knapweed flower heads. Newly-hatched larvae burrow into the head and begin feeding on developing seeds and receptacle tissue. Knapweed plants form hard, lignified galls around U. affinis larvae, and thin, papery galls around U. quadrifasciata larvae (Rees & Story 1991, Zwölfer 1970). Each nonparasitized spotted knapweed seedhead produces an average of 12.6 viable seeds (Harris 1980). Urophora affinis and U. quadrifasciata galls reduce spotted knapweed seed production by 2.4 and 1.9 seeds, respectively, per seedhead (Harris 1980). Galls also form a metabolic sink and can reduce the number of flower heads produced by diffuse knapweed, but generally not spotted knapweed (Harris 1980). Generally, Urophora spp. do not appear sufficient to reduce spotted or diffuse knapweed density in North America (Müller-Scharer 1993). Other biological control agents that contribute more directly to plant mortality will be required (Müller-Scharer 1993).

The first North American releases of U. affinis and U. quadrifasciata were made in British Columbia, Canada, in 1970 (Harris 1980). In the United States, U. affinis was first released in 1973 in Montana and Oregon, with later releases in California, Idaho, and Washington (Maddox 1979). By 1978, U. affinis was released in the eastern US and is now established in New York, Pennsylvania, and Virginia (Wheeler & Stoops 1996). Urophora quadrifasciata was not released in the western United States but immigrated from Canadian populations, with establishment reported in Idaho in 1980 (Gillespie 1983) and Montana in 1981 (Story 1985). Established populations were later detected in California, Oregon, and Washington. Urophora quadrifasciata was also released in Quebec, Canada in 1979 and in Massachusetts and New York in 1983 (Wheeler 1995, Wheeler & Stoops 1996). It is now established in at least 11 eastern states (Hoebeke 1993, Wheeler 1995).

The United States Department of Agriculture, Animal Plant Health Inspection Service, Plant Protection and Quarantine (hereafter referred to as APHIS) began a national redistribution program for knapweed biological control agents in 1987, with *U. affinis* and *U. quadrifasciata* releases beginning in 1987 and 1989, respectively. The purpose of this paper is to document releases and subsequent establishment of *U. affinis* and *U. quadrifasciata* by the APHIS program.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Potential release sites for biocontrol agents on spotted and diffuse knapweed are located and sampled by state cooperators. Prior to release, these knapweed infestations are sampled between October and May with a collection of 200 randomly selected seedheads. Two seedheads per knapweed plant are collected at intervals of 3–5 feet. The seedheads are placed in a plastic bag and shipped to the Bozeman Biocontrol Facility in Bozeman, Montana. Fifty of the 200 seedheads are randomly selected and examined under a dissecting microscope to record the number of U. affinis or U. quadrifasciata galls present.

To collect *Urophora* spp. adults for redistribution, spotted knapweed seedheads were collected in April and May from overwintered plants in

southwestern Montana. Seedheads were placed on large screen trays inside screened field cages approximately $3 \times 3 \times 2.1$ m in size. In Bozeman, U. affinis and U. quadrifasciata adults emerged from seedheads in June and July, and were collected daily from cage walls with an insect vacuum. Groups of 500 flies of both species were placed in 0.95–1 paper containers, to which some excelsior was added as a resting substrate. At least 1000 adults for each release were shipped to cooperators for release within knapweed infestations. The release point was marked with a permanent stake.

At each release site, Urophora spp. establishment was monitored by collecting knapweed seedheads between November and May. Two seedheads were collected from randomly selected plants, beginning at the release point and proceeding in expanding circles, until 200 seedheads were collected. Seedheads were sent to the Bozeman laboratory, where 50 of the 200 collected heads were randomly selected and examined under a dissecting microscope. The number of U. affinis and U. quadrifasciata galls observed in each seedhead was recorded. Galls are readily separated, as U. affinis galls are hard and lignified and formed from receptacle tissue (Zwölfer 1970), while U. quadrifasciata galls are thin and papery and formed in the ovaries (Rees & Story 1991). One or both Urophora spp. were considered established at a site when galls were present for at least two years after the original release or the population had infested 10% of the knapweed seedheads. Urophora spp. populations were considered collectable when the average gall density exceeded 1.5 galls per seedhead.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The status of Urophora affinis and U. quadrifasciata populations released in 14 states by APHIS and cooperating personnel is summarized in Table 1. Urophora affinis has been released in 89 counties in 13 states. Of the 85 counties in which post-release sampling was conducted, U. affinis is established in 74 (87%). Urophora quadrifasciata has been released in 46 counties in 12 states, and established populations are present in 43 of the 46 counties (94%). In addition, established U. quadrifasciata populations were present in three counties in Michigan and one county in Indiana before this species was released in these states (Table 1). Both species are established in 32 of the 46 counties (70%) in which they have been released together.

These records describe the first reported establishment of *U. affinis* populations in the following states and counties: Arizona (Coconino), Colorado (Boulder, Douglas, LaPlata, Larimer, and Montrose), Michigan (Isabella), Minnesota (Becker, Beltrami, Clearwater, Ottertail, Polk, and Washington), Nevada (White Pine), North Dakota (Kidder), South Dakota (Pennington and Todd), Utah (Wasatch and Weber), and Wisconsin (Washburn and Waukesha) (Table 1 and Table 2). Urophora quadrifasciata was recovered for the first time in Arizona (Coconino), Colorado (Araphaoe, Boulder, Douglas, Jefferson, Larimer, and Montrose), Indiana (Elkhart), Michigan (Delta, Isabella, Dickinson, and Menominee), Minnesota (Becker, Beltrami, Clearwater, Otter Tail, Polk, and Washington), Nebraska (Antelope, Holt, Pierce, and Rock), Nevada (White Pine), North Dakota (Kidder), South Dakota (Pennington, Shannon, Todd, and Tripp), Utah (Wasatch and Weber), and Wisconsin (Washburn and Waukesha) (Table 1 and Table 2). Several U. quadrifasciata recoveries from Indiana (Elkhart), Michigan (Delta, Dickson, and Menominee), Minnesota (Secher, Beltrami, Clearwater, Otter Tail, Polk, and Tripp), Utah (Wasatch and Weber), and Misconsin (Washburn and Waukesha) (Table 1 and Table 2). Several U. quadrifasciata recoveries from Indiana (Elkhart), Michigan (Delta, Dickson, and Menominee), Minnesota (Washington), and Wyoming (Albany, Bighorn, Carbon, Crook, Laramie, and Sheridan) were detected in prerelease samples (Table 1 and Table 2).

Urophora quadrifasciata adults disperse more rapidly than U. affinis

THE GREAT LAKES ENTOMOLOGIST

Vol. 30, No. 3

			U. quadrifasciata				
State	County	Yr. rel.	Status	Yr. recov.	Yr. rel.	Status	Yr. recov
AZ	Coconino	1992-1994	Е	1993	1994-1995	Е	1993
	Gila	1994-1995	R	1996	1994-1995	NE	-
co	Arapahoe	1990	NE		1990	E	1992
	Boulder	1990-1992	\mathbf{E}	1992	1990-1992	E	1992
	Douglas	1989-1993	\mathbf{E}	1992	1989-1990	Е	1992
	El Paso	1990	NE		1990	NE	_
	Jefferson	1992	NE		1992	Е	1993
	La Plata	1992	E	1993	1992	R	1997
	Larimer	1990	E	1992	1990	\mathbf{E}	1992
	Montrose	1993	Ē	1995	1993	E	1995
D	Adams	NR	Ē	1987	NR	Ē	1987
	Benewah	1987-1989	Ē	1987	NR	Ē	1987
	Bingham	1987-1989	?	_	NR	Ē	1987
	Blaine	1987-1989	?		NR	Ē	1987
	Boise	1987-1989	Ė	_	NR	Ē	1987
	Bonner	1987	Ē	1987	NR	Ē	1987
	Bonnville	1987-1988	?		NR	Ē	1987
	Butte	1989	Ė	1987	NR	E	1987
	Camas	NR	Ē	1987	NR	E	1987
	Cassia	1988-1989	?		NR	Ē	1987
	Clark	1987-1989	?	-	NR	E	1987
			?	-	NR	E	
	Clearwater	1987 1987–1989	?	-		E	1987
	Custer		?		NR		1987
	Elmore	1988	?		NR	E E	1987
	Idaho	1987			NR		1987
	Jefferson	1987-1989	?	-	NR	E	1987
	Kootenai	1987	E	1987	NR	E	1987
	Latah	1987	?	-	NR	E	1987
	Lemhi	1987	E	1987	NR	E	1987
	Lincoln	1987	E	1987	NR	E	1987
	Madison	1989	?		NR	E	1987
	Nez Perce	1987	?	-	NR	E	1987
	Power	1989	?		NR	E	1987
	Shoshone	1987 - 1989	\mathbf{E}	1987	NR	\mathbf{E}	1987
	Twin Falls	1987-1989	?		NR	\mathbf{E}	1987
IN	Elkhart	1997	NE	-	1997	E	1994
MI	Isabella	1994	\mathbf{E}	1995	1994	\mathbf{E}	1995
	Delta	NR	NE		NR	E	1995
	Dickinson	\mathbf{NR}	NE	-	NR	\mathbf{E}	1995
	Menominee	NR	NE	_	NR	\mathbf{E}	1994
MN	Anoka	NR	NE		NR	E	1993
	Becker	1990-1993	Е	1991	1990-1993	E	1991
	Beltrami	1992	E	1993	1992	E	1995
	Chisago	NR	E		NR	Ē	1993
	Clearwater		Ē	1992	1991-1993	Ē	1992
	Otter Tail	1991-1993	Ē	1992	1991-1993	Ē	1992
	Polk	1992-1993	Ĕ	1993	1992-1993	E	1993
	Washington		Ē	1991	1990	Ē	1989
МТ	Big Horn	1990	E	1988	1990	Ē	1988
.*1 1	Broadwater		E	1988	NR	E	1988
	Carbon	1987 - 1989 1988 - 1991	Ē	1994	1991	E	1994
			Е Е		NR	E	
	Deer Lodge	1987	Е ?	1987		E	1987
	Fergus	1987-1990	{		1990	Ľ	1990

Table 1. Status of *Urophora affinis* and *U. quadrifasciata* populations in the western and midwestern United States. Abbreviation Key: E = Established; NE= Not Established; NR= No Release; R = Recovered; ?= Unknown.

THE GREAT LAKES ENTOMOLOGIST

109

Table 1. Continued.

		l	J. affinis		U. quadrifasciata			
State	County	Yr. rel.	Status	Yr. recov.	Yr. rel.	Status	Yr. recov.	
	Flathead	1987-1989	Е	1988	NR	Е	1987	
	Gallatin	1987-1990	E	1987	1990	E	1987	
	Glacier	1987 - 1989	E	1988	NR	\mathbf{E}	1988	
	Granite	1987	\mathbf{E}	1991	NR	\mathbf{E}	1988	
	Hill	1989	?	-	NR	E	1988	
	Jefferson	1989	E	1991	NR	Е	1998	
	Lake	1987 - 1989	?		NR	E	1988	
	Lewis & Cla	ark1987-1990) E	1988	1990	Е	1988	
	Liberty	1989	?	-	NR	\mathbf{E}	1988	
	Madison	1989	?	ù	NR	E	1988	
	Missoula	1987-1989	\mathbf{E}	1987	NR	Е	1987	
	Musselshell		?		NR	E	1988	
	Park	1987-1992	E	1991	1992	Е	1991	
	Pondera	1987-1989	E	1991	NR	E	1988	
	Powder Rive		Ē	1988	NR	Ē	1988	
	Powell	1987-1989	Ē	1991	NR	Ē	1988	
	Ravalli	1989	?	-	NR	Ĕ	1988	
	Rosebud	1988-1989	Ė	1988	NR	Ē	1988	
	Saunders	NR	Ē	1988	NR	Ē	1988	
	Silver Bow	1987	?	1980	NR	Ē	1988	
	Sweet Gras		É	1988	NR	E	1988	
	Teton		E	1988	NR	Ē	1988	
	Toole	1987-1989	Е ?			E	1988	
		1989	Ē	1001	NR 1009	E		
NIT	Wheatland	1992	R	1991	1992	E	1991	
NE	Antelope	1990		1991	1990		1991	
	Holt	1991-1992	E	1991	1991-1992	E	1990	
	Knox	NR	E	1992	NR	R	1991	
	Pierce	1994	R	1991	1994	E	1991	
	Rock	1990	R	1991	1990	E	1991	
NV	Washoe	1993	NE		1993	NE	***	
	White Pine		E	1996	1994 - 1995	E	1996	
ND	Kidder	1990	R	1991	1990	R	1991	
SD	Davis	\mathbf{NR}	NE	-	NR	\mathbf{E}	1996	
	Pennington		\mathbf{E}	1991	1989 - 1992	\mathbf{E}	1991	
	Shannon	1994	NE		1994	Е	1995	
	Todd	1991 - 1992	\mathbf{E}	1993	1991 - 1992	Е	1992	
	Tripp	1989	NE	1991	1989	E	1991	
UT	Grand	1991	NE		1991	NE	-	
	Wasatch	1993 - 1994	\mathbf{E}	1995	1993 - 1994	E	1992	
	Weber	1992 - 1994	\mathbf{E}	1992	1992-1994	E	1992	
WI	St. Croix	NR	NE		NR	R	1992	
	Washburn	1991	E	1993	1991	E	1992	
	Waukesha	1991	E	1992	1991	\mathbf{E}	1993	
WY	Albany	NR	NE		NR	NR	1994	
	Big Horn	NR	NE		NR	NR	1994	
	Carbon	NR	NE	-	NR	NR	1994	
	Crook	NR	NE	_	NR	Е	1994	
	Johnson	1993-1994	E	1994	1993-1994	Ē	1994	
	Laramie	NR	NE	-	NR	NR	1994	
	Lincoln	1995	E	1995	1995	E	1995	
	Natrona	1991-1992	Ē	1995	1995	E	1993	
		1991–1992 NR	NE	1991		E		
	Saunders			1005	NR 1000		1994	
	Teton	1990	E	1995	1990	E	1995	
	Uinta	1995	NE	-	1995	E	1995	
	Wheatland	NR	\mathbf{E}	1991	NR	\mathbf{E}	1991	

The Great Lakes Entomologist, Vol. 30, No. 2 [1997], Art. 7

110

THE GREAT LAKES ENTOMOLOGIST

		Percent infestation by year							
State	County and insect	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	
AZ	Coconino								
	U. affini			2		2			
	U. quadrifasciata			4		2			
	Gila U. affinis							6	
	U. quadrifasciata							-0-	
со	Arapahoe							•	
	Ū. affinis		-0-						
	U. quadrifasciata		4						
	Boulder		0.0						
	U. affinis U. articles interview		26						
	U. quadrifasciata Douglas		6						
	U. affinis		44	24					
	U. quadrifasciata		42	68					
	El Paso								
	U. affinis		-0-						
	U. quadrifasciata		-0-						
	Jefferson		^						
	U. affinis U. quadrifasciata		-0- 48						
	LaPlata		-10						
	U. affinis		6		2	18			
	U. quadrifasciata		-0-		2	-0-			
	Larimer								
	U. affinis		48						
	U. quadrifasciata		20						
	Montrose U. affinis				4	34			
	U. quadrifasciata				6	4			
IN	Elkart				Ū	•			
	U. affinis			-0-		-0-	-0-		
	U. quadrifasciata			2		10	48		
MI	Isabella								
	U. affinis U. amadaifa animta					14	80		
	U. quadrifasciata Delta					2	6		
	U. affinis				-0-				
	U. quadrifasciata				10				
	Dickinson								
	U. affinis				-0-				
	U. quadrifasciata				30				
	Menominee			0	0				
	U. affinis U. quadrifasciata			-0- 36	-0-				
MN	Becker			00					
	U. affinis	26		8	32	26	4		
	U. quadrifasciata	32		-Õ-	8	10	34		
	Beltrami								
	U. affinis			58	42	68	68		
	U. quadrifasciata			-0-	-0-	8	4		
	Clearwater		20	32	90	9.4	69		
	U. affinis		20	34	38	34	68		

 Table 2. Urophora affinis and U. quadrifasciata infestation rates of spotted and diffuse knapweed seedheads.

THE GREAT LAKES ENTOMOLOGIST

111

Tabl	e 2.	Continue	d
------	------	----------	---

		Percent infestation by year							
State	County and insect	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	199′	
	U. quadrifasciata		-0-	-0-	6	2	12		
	Otter Tail								
	U. affinis		12	42	28	54	60		
	U. quadrifasciata		2	22	64	48	30		
	Polk			10	6	28	EO		
	U. affinis U. quadrifasciata			2	8	40 4	$\frac{58}{14}$		
	Washington			4	0	4	14		
	U. affinis		72	74	84	68	68		
	U. quadrifasciata		10	38	20	22	48		
NE	Antelope		10	00			10		
	U. affinis			-0-	4	-0-			
	U. quadrifasciata			84	34	58			
	Holt								
	U. affinis		6	-0-	6				
	U. quadrifasciata		30	60	66				
	Knox								
	U. affinis		2						
	U. quadrifasciata		32						
	Pierce				-0-		-0-		
	U. affinis U. quadrifasciata				-0- 52		-0- 46		
	Rock				02		40		
	U. affinis	2		-0-					
	U. quadrifasciata	$\overline{2}$		26					
NV	White Pine	~							
	U. affinis						26		
	U. quadrifasciata						-0-		
ND	Kidder								
	U. affinis	6	-0-						
	U. quadrifasciata	-0-	2						
SD	Pennington			-	. .				
	U. affinis			6	34	66			
	U. quadrifasciata			10	50	10			
	Shannon				0	0			
	U. affinis <i>U. quadrifasciata</i>				-0- 54	-0- 34			
	Todd				04	04			
	U. affinis		-0-	-0-	4				
	U. quadrifasciata		22	32	78				
	Tripp								
	U. affinis			-0-		-0-			
	U. quadrifasciata			34		46			
UT	Wasatch								
	U. affinis			-0-	-0-	6	8		
	U. quadrifasciata			-0-	4	60	78		
	Weber		10						
	U. affinis		12	6	4	28			
177	U. quadrifasciata		30	18	44	16			
WI	St. Croix		~						
	U. affinis U. quadrifasciata		-0-						
	U. quadrifasciata		2						

THE GREAT LAKES ENTOMOLOGIST

Table 2. Continued

		Percent infestation by year							
State	County and insect	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	
	Washburn								
	U. affinis		-0-	26	4	2	-0-		
	U. quadrifasciata		4	4	18	30	16		
	Waukesha								
	U. affinis		2	10	60				
	U. quadrifasciata		-0-	30	26				
WY	Albany								
	U. affinis					-0-			
	U. quadrifasciata					10			
	Bighorn								
	U. affinis				-0-				
	U. quadrifasciata				6				
	Carbon								
	U. affinis					-0-			
	U. quadrifasciata					2			
	Crook								
	U. affinis				-0-				
	U. quadrifasciata				58				
	Johnson								
	U. affinis			-0-	6				
	U. quadrifasciata			52	50				
	Laramie								
	U. affinis				-0-				
	U. quadrifasciata				12				
	Lincoln								
	U. affinis					90			
	U. quadrifasciata					12			
	Natrona								
	U. affinis			6	30				
	U. quadrifasciata			32	8				
	Sheridan								
	U. affinis				-0-				
	U. quadrifasciata				78				
	Teton								
	U. affinis				20				
	U. quadrifasciata				2				
	Uinta								
	U. affinis				-0-				
	U. quadrifasciata				18				

adults, and quickly migrate into new knapweed-infested areas (Harris 1980, Roitberg 1988). This is supported by the detection of U. quadrifasciata in prerelease samples from Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, and Wyoming as mentioned above.

These recoveries of *U. affinis* and *U. quadrifasciata* help to complete the known distribution of these two *Urophora* flies in the United States. The APHIS distribution program has extended the documented range of *U. affinis* and *U. quadrifasciata* into knapweed-infested areas of the western and midwestern US. *Urophora quadrifasciata* will probably continue to spread on

113

its own throughout these regions. *Urophora affinis* does not disperse as readily, and will require collection and distribution from established populations to facilitate spread.

LITERATURE CITED

- Gardner, D. E. 1990. Role of biological control as a management tool in national parks and other natural areas. U.S. Dept. of Interior, Natl. Park Service Tech. Rep. NPS/NRUH/NRTR 90. 42 p.
- Gillespie, R. L. 1983. Bionomics of Urophora affinis Frfld. and U. quadrifasciata Meigen (Diptera: Tephritidae) in northern Idaho. MS Thesis, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID. 90 p.
- Harris, P. 1980. Establishment of Urophora affinis Frfld. and U. quadrifasciata (Meig.) (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Canada for the biological control of diffuse and spotted knapweed. Z. ang. Entomol. 89::504-515.
- Harris, P. & R. Cranston. 1979. An economic evaluation of control methods for diffuse and spotted knapweed in western Canada. Can. J. Plant Sci. 59:375–382.
- Hirsch, S. A. and J. A. Leitch. 1996. The impact of knapweed on Montana's economy. North Dakota State Univ., Agric. Exp. Sta., Fargo, Agric. Econ. Rept. 355. 43 pp.
- Hoebeke, E. R. 1993. Establishment of Urophora quadrifasciata (Diptera: Tephritidae) and Chrysolina quadtigemina (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in portions of eastern United States. Entomol. News 104:143-152.
- Maddox, D. M. 1979. The Knapweeds: their economics and biological control in the western states, U.S.A. Rangelands 1:139-141.
- Mass, F. H. 1989. How to contain knapweed in far western Montana. Pp. 113-117 In: P. K. Fay, and J. R. Lacey, eds. Proc., Knapweed Symposium. Montana State Univ., Bozeman.

Müller-Scharer, H. 1993. The biological control of *Centaurea* spp. in North America: do insects solve the problem? Pestic. Sci. 37:343-353.

- Rees, N. E. & J. M. Story. 1991. Host plant testing of Urophora quadlifasciata (Diptera: Tephritidae) against Carthamus tinctorius and two North American species of Centaurea. Entomophaga 36:115-119.
- Roitberg, B. D. 1988. Comparative flight dynamics of knapweed gall flies Urophora quadrifasciata and U. affinis (Diptera: Tephritidae). J. Entomol. Soc. B. C. 85:58-64.
- Story, J. M. 1985. First report of the dispersal into Montana of Urophora quadrifasciata (Diptera: Tephritidae), a fly released in Canada for biological control of spotted and diffuse knapweed. Can. Entomol. 117:1061–1062.
- United States Department of Agriculture. 1971. Common weeds of the United States. Dover Publications Inc., New York. 383 p.
- Wallander, R. T., B. E. Olson, & J. Lacey. 1995. Spotted knapweed seed viability after passing through sheep and mule deer. J. Range Manage. 48:145–149.
- Watson, A. K. & A. J. Renney. 1974. The Biology of Canadian weeds. 6. Centaurea diffuse and C maculosa. Can. J. Plant Sci. 54:687-701.
- Wheeler, A. G. 1995. Urophora quadrifasciata (Diptera: Tephritidae introduced seedhead fly new to Midwestern North America. Great Lakes Entomol. 28:235–236.
- Wheeler, A. G. Jr., & C. A. Stoops. 1996. Establishment of Urophora affinis on spotted knapweed in Pennsylvania within new Eastern U. S. records of U. quadrifasciata (Diptera: Tephritidae). Proc. Entomol. Soc. Wash. 98:93-99.
- Zwölfer, H. 1970. Investigations on the host-specificity of Urophora affinis Frfld. (Dipt., Trypetidae). Commw. Inst. of Biol. Contr. Delmont, Switzerland. Progress Report No. 25. 28 p.