

UCRL-JC-115361  
PREPRINT


## Observation of Enhanced Nuclear Stability near the 162 Neutron Shell

R.W. Lougheed, K.J. Moody, J.E. Wild,  
E.K. Hulet, J.H. McQuaid, Yu. A. Lazarev,  
Yu. V. Lobanov, Yu. Ts. Oganessian, V.K. Utyonkov,  
F. Sh. Abdullin, G.V. Buklanov, B.N. Gikal,  
S. Iliev, A.N. Mezentsev, A.N. Polyakov,  
I.M. Sedykh, I.V. Shirokovsky, V.G. Subbotin,  
A.M. Sukhov, Yu. S. Tsyganov, V.E. Zhuchko

This paper was prepared for submittal to the  
Actinide-93 Proceedings  
Santa Fe, NM  
September 19-24, 1993

September 22, 1993

RECEIVED  
APR 08 1994  
OSTI



This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

MASTER *ds*

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

#### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

# Observation of Enhanced Nuclear Stability near the 162 Neutron Shell

R.W.Lougheed, K.J.Moody, J.F.Wild, E.K.Hulet, and J.H. McQuaid

*University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, California 94551*

Yu.A.Lazarev, Yu.V.Lobanov, Yu.Ts.Oganessian, V.K.Utyonkov, F.Sh.Abdullin, G.V.Buklanov, B.N.Gikal, S.Iliev, A.N.Mezentsev, A.N.Polyakov, I.M.Sedykh, I.V.Shirokovsky, V.G.Subbotin, A.M.Sukhov, Yu.S.Tsyganov, and V.E.Zhuchko

*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia*

September 22, 1993

## ABSTRACT

In bombardments of  $^{248}\text{Cm}$  with  $^{22}\text{Ne}$  we discovered two new isotopes,  $^{265}\text{106}$  and  $^{266}\text{106}$ , by establishing genetic links between  $\alpha$  decays of the 106 nuclides and SF or  $\alpha$  decays of the daughter (grand-daughter) nuclides. For  $^{266}\text{106}$  we measured  $E_{\alpha}=8.62\pm 0.06$  MeV followed by the SF decay of  $^{262}\text{104}$  for which we measured a half-life value of  $1.2^{+1.0}_{-0.5}$  s. For  $^{265}\text{106}$  we measured  $E_{\alpha}=8.82\pm 0.06$  MeV. We estimated  $\alpha$  half-lives of 10-30 s for  $^{266}\text{106}$  and 2-30 s for  $^{265}\text{106}$  with SF branches of  $\approx 50\%$  or less. The decay properties of  $^{266}\text{106}$  indicate a large enhancement in the SF stability of this N=160 nuclide and confirm the existence of the predicted neutron-deformed shell N=162.

## INTRODUCTION

Recent calculations that include the predicted deformed neutron shell at N=162 and the proton shell at Z=108 [1,2,3] indicate that the half-lives of nuclides in this region may have considerably greater stability against spontaneous fission (SF) than previously thought. Yet, other recent calculations that also include the deformed shells lead to opposite conclusions; a decrease in the stability against SF.

Increased stability near N=162 and Z=108 was predicted using

macroscopic-microscopic calculations which included larger deformation spaces [1,2,3]. For nuclei near  $Z=108$  and  $N=162$ ,  $T_{sf}$  values of tens of years were calculated

A decrease in stability was predicted by Möller *et al.* using macroscopic-microscopic calculations [4,5,6], which showed a deep second fission valley leading to very compact scission shapes close to the fragment magic numbers  $Z=2 \times 50$  and  $N=2 \times 82$ . SF half-lives were predicted to be very short because the new valley is low in energy making the fission barrier "thinner" and because the inertia along the new path [7,8] is greatly decreased. Thus the stabilizing effect of the  $N=162$  and  $Z=108$  shells is canceled by the destabilizing effect of the new valley and the  $T_{sf}$  values are closer to milliseconds. This competition between stability and instability, and the competition between statistical and dynamical features of the SF process makes experiments that explore ground-state decay properties of nuclei around  $N=162$  one of the most important tasks in heavy element research.

We wanted to produce an even-even nuclide near these deformed shells because the decay of odd-odd or even-odd nuclides can be strongly hindered by single particle effects making it more difficult to compare their decay properties with theory. Among the heavy known even-even nuclides  $^{264}_{108}$  ( $N=156$ ) and  $^{260}_{106}$  ( $N=154$ ) are too far from  $N=162$ . The SF-decaying nuclide  $^{262}_{104}$  ( $N=158$ ) was tentatively assigned a half-life value of  $\sim 50$  ms [9,10]; this half-life value still does not allow us to choose between the two predictions. A hint of increased stability against SF does come from the decay properties of  $^{262}_{102}$ , the only known nuclide with  $N=160$ , which decays by SF with  $T_{sf} \approx 5$  ms [11].

We report here on our experiments to make a direct test of nuclear stability near the predicted deformed shell  $N=162$  by producing the  $N=160$  nuclide  $^{266}_{106}$  and also  $^{265}_{106}$  using the fusion-evaporation reaction  $^{248}\text{Cm} + ^{22}\text{Ne}$ . Prior to our work, four isotopes of element 106 were identified [32--35]. These are the odd- $A$   $\alpha$ -emitters  $^{259}_{106}$ ,  $^{261}_{106}$ , and  $^{263}_{106}$  with half-lives in the range of 0.3 to 0.9 s, as well as the 3.6-ms even-even isotope  $^{260}_{106}$  [12,13,14] which shows also a SF branch of  $50^{+30}_{-20}$ .

The ground-state decay properties of  $^{266}_{106}$  should be quite sensitive to the theoretical predictions shown in Fig. 1. If there is increased stability near  $N=162$  and  $Z=108$ , the isotope  $^{266}_{106}$  should have a SF- or  $\alpha$ -decay half-life of seconds to tens of seconds. If there is decreased stability,  $^{266}_{106}$  should decay by

SF with a half-life of  $\sim 100 \mu\text{s}$ , a  $T_{\text{sf}}$  difference of the order of  $10^5$ . Thus a distinct signature for enhanced nuclear stability near  $N=162$  would be the observation of  $^{266}\text{106}$  decay by  $\alpha$  particle emission followed shortly by the SF decay of the daughter nucleus  $^{262}\text{104}$ . A signature for the odd-A isotope  $^{265}\text{106}$ , would be the observation of  $^{265}\text{106}$   $\alpha$  decay followed by  $\alpha$  decays of the well-known daughter and grand-daughter  $\alpha$  emitters  $^{261}\text{104}$  and  $^{257}\text{102}$  [14].

## EXPERIMENTAL

We used the fusion-evaporation reaction  $^{248}\text{Cm} + ^{22}\text{Ne}$  produce the new isotopes  $^{265}\text{106}$  and  $^{266}\text{106}$ . We also performed periodic bombardments of  $^{197}\text{Au} + ^{22}\text{Ne}$  for calibration and test purposes as well as a one-day calibration run using the  $^{235}\text{U} + ^{22}\text{Ne}$  reaction to measure the SF activity from the known nuclide,  $^{252}\text{102}$ . Beams of  $^{22}\text{Ne}$  projectiles from the U400 cyclotron of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research passed through a Ti rotating entrance-window which separated the cyclotron vacuum from the hydrogen media of the targets and a gas-filled separator. Three  $^{248}\text{Cm}$  targets were arranged on the periphery of a target wheel which was rotated synchronously with the 150 Hz frequency of the U400 cyclotron so that a target was exposed to the  $\sim 2.2$ -ms beam macropulse (inbeam) during each 6.7 ms beam cycle. The target wheel contained about 2.77 mg of  $^{248}\text{Cm}$  deposited on a total area of  $11.7 \text{ cm}^2$  ( $237 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  average). The  $^{248}\text{Cm}$  targets ( $\sim 97\%$   $^{248}\text{Cm}$  and  $3\%$   $^{246}\text{Cm}$ ) were prepared by multiple electrodepositions of Cm nitrate from an isobutanol alcohol solution onto  $0.71\text{-mg}/\text{cm}^2$  Ti foils. The surface of the targets was covered by a  $30 \pm 5 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  carbon layer. The same three  $^{248}\text{Cm}$  targets were used during the 16-day bombardments with typical intensities of  $1.5 \times 10^{13}$  pps of  $^{22}\text{Ne}$  and a total fluence of about  $1.6 \times 10^{19}$  particles of  $^{22}\text{Ne}$ .

Evaporation residues (EVRs) recoiling out of the  $^{248}\text{Cm}$  targets were separated in-flight from beam particles and transfer products by the gas-filled recoil separator which is described in Refs. [15,16,17]. We set the magnetic rigidity value of the separator for the slow  $Z=106$  EVRs based on measurements of the average charge state in hydrogen for the slow EVRs with  $Z=100$ , 102 and 104 made in the reactions  $^{235}\text{U} + ^{18}\text{O}$ ,  $^{235}\text{U} + ^{22}\text{Ne}$ , and  $^{242}\text{Pu} + ^{22}\text{Ne}$  [17,18,18]. The separated EVRs passed a time-of-flight (TOF) counter composed of a pair of large-area multiwire proportional chambers placed in a 1-Torr pentane-filled module before impinging onto a position-

sensitive surface-barrier detector (PSD).

The PSD counting array consisted of three surface-barrier detectors with each detector having eight 40×4.8-mm strips. The three detectors were placed in the focal plane of the separator to form a 124×40-mm array with the individual strips oriented in the 40-mm direction. The detectors were made from P type (instead of the usual N type) silicon with N<sup>+</sup> diffusions on the front surface (implant side) and boron implanted on the back surface to form the resistive layer [19]. Signals from the detector strips were processed for  $\alpha$  and implant energies (~0.5 to 15 MeV). Top and bottom signals from the back surface of the detectors were split into two channels to provide position signals for  $\alpha$ /implant events (~0.5 to 15 MeV) and fission events (15 to 200 MeV). We calculated total fission energies by summing the position energy signals. Gate signals were generated by summing fast signals from each detector. With each recorded event, we recorded several additional parameters including the strip number, TOF information, beam current, beam pulse number, the time in  $\mu$ s from beginning of the beam pulse to either  $\alpha$ /implant or fission events, and the time since the beginning of the data acquisition cycle in 0.1-ms intervals.

The data were stored in list mode in an LSI 11/73 computer and periodically were transferred using a general-purpose instrument bus from the LSI 11/73 to a microVAX II computer for permanent storage and subsequent off-line analysis.

Energy calibrations were performed for each strip using the  $\alpha$  peaks from nuclides produced in the  $^{197}\text{Au} + ^{22}\text{Ne}$  calibration reactions. The accuracy of the calibrations was  $\leq 20$  keV with most of the strips having energy resolutions of 95 to 120 keV. An approximate fission energy calibration was based on an extrapolation of the  $\alpha$ -energy calibration, and by setting the separator magnetic rigidity so that approximately full-energy  $^{22}\text{Ne}$  projectiles impinged on the detectors. We used known  $\alpha$ - $\alpha$ , EVR- $\alpha$ , and EVR-SF decay sequences from the calibration reactions to estimate FWHM position resolutions of ~6.5%, for the  $\alpha$ - $\alpha$  decay sequences and ~9% for  $\alpha$ -SF or EVR- $\alpha$  decay sequences.

We had low efficiencies for detecting EVRs or  $\alpha$  particles escaping the detectors due to low EVR implantation energies. The initial Z=106 EVR energy of 6.9 MeV is reduced to only 2.1 MeV implantation energy in the PSDs by losses in the hydrogen gas, in the mylar window, and in the pentane

gas (2.1, 1.7, and 1.0 MeV, respectively), This resulted in most of the EVR and  $\alpha$  escape signals being below the detection threshold and prevented us from detecting correlated EVR- $\alpha$  and EVR-SF decay chains, and  $\alpha$ -SF or  $\alpha$ - $\alpha$  decay chains when one of the  $\alpha$  particles escaped the detector.

In the off-line analyses we searched for time correlations between  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$  or SF events. The out-of-beam background at the  $\alpha$  energies (Fig. 2) of interest and SF events (Fig. 2) was so low,  $\sim 1$  event per day per strip, that position correlation criteria were not needed to establish out-of-beam for correlations occurring within ten of minutes. We could distinguishing in-beam  $\alpha$ -SF correlations from random correlations at second time intervals by using a  $\pm 10\%$  position criteria.

## RESULTS

In Table I we show the  $\alpha$ -SF and  $\alpha$ - $\alpha$  ( $\alpha$ ) correlations for the 116 MeV and 121 MeV bombardments. Table II shows the  $\alpha$  energies, production cross-sections and half-life estimates that we attribute to  $^{265}_{106}$  and  $^{266}_{106}$ .

We attribute the six  $\alpha$ -SF event pairs at 116 MeV and 121 MeV (Table I) with  $E_\alpha = 8.62 \pm 0.06$  to the decay chain  $^{266}_{106} \Rightarrow ^{262}_{104}$  for which we measured a production cross section of  $80^{+240}_{-50}$  pb at 116 MeV and  $60^{+180}_{-40}$  pb at 121 MeV. We assigned the four  $\alpha$ - $\alpha$  ( $\alpha$ ) correlations at 121 MeV with  $E_{\alpha 1} = 8.82 \pm 0.06$  MeV to the decay chain  $^{265}_{106} \Rightarrow ^{261}_{104}$  ( $T_{1/2} = 78$ s,  $E_\alpha = 8.28$  MeV)  $\Rightarrow ^{257}_{104}$  ( $T_{1/2} = 26$ s,  $E_\alpha = 8.22$ - $8.32$  MeV) for which we measured a production cross-section of  $260^{+780}_{-170}$  pb. We observed no  $\alpha$ - $\alpha$  correlations at 116 MeV. We attribute the last  $\alpha$ - $\alpha$  (8.16 and 8.17 MeV) correlation shown in Table I to the decay chain  $^{261}_{104} \Rightarrow ^{257}_{104}$

Our assignment of the six  $\alpha$ -SF correlations to the decay of  $^{266}_{106}$  is based on the following observations. The observed  $\alpha$ -SF correlation chains with  $E_\alpha = 8.62$  MeV and short correlation times in the range of 0.2 s to 6.4 s is unique: we can not identify any candidate  $\alpha$ -SF pairs with other  $Z, A$  values which would have similar decay properties. The observed  $\alpha$  energy is in agreement with predictions as is the expectation of SF decay by an even-even  $Z=104$  daughter. The six  $\alpha$ -SF correlation chains were detected by using a gas-filled recoil separator which strongly suppresses many kinds of background reaction products while collecting compound nucleus products. The  $\alpha$ -SF chains appeared in the higher numbered strips as expected for a compound

nucleus reaction, while the largest number of single SF and  $\alpha$  events, which come from transfer products like  $^{256}\text{Fm}$  and lighter Fm isotopes, occurred in the lower numbered strips. Finally, the production cross sections for  $^{266}[106]$  at both 116 and 121 MeV agree with expectations.

We assigned four  $\alpha$ - $\alpha$  correlations to the decay of  $^{265}106$  using similar arguments to those given above for  $^{266}106$ . Especially convincing arguments are the triple  $\alpha$ - $\alpha$ - $\alpha$  correlation in which we observed both the Z=104 and Z=102  $\alpha$ -decay daughters following an 8.81 MeV  $\alpha$  particle. In addition, no  $\alpha$ - $\alpha$  correlations were observed at the below-barrier energy of 116 MeV. We note that except for the one triple correlation, due to their similar  $\alpha$  energies, we cannot distinguish the  $\alpha$  s from  $^{261}104$  and  $^{257}102$ .

Although the above points provide consistent evidence for the assignment of the observed correlation chains to the  $\alpha$  decay of  $^{265}106$  and  $^{266}106$ , the correlation times for the  $\alpha$ -SF chains give a half-life of  $1.2^{+1.0}_{-0.5}$  s (Fig. 4) rather than the 47-ms half-life that was tentatively assigned by Sommerville *et al.* [9,10] to  $^{262}104$ . We argue that  $^{262}104$  decays with a SF half-life of about 1.3 s based on our observations and that this assignment is consistent with Sommerville *et al.*'s observations. Both 1.3 s and 47 ms SF activities were produced by Somerville *et al.* at the near-barrier bombarding energies 89 MeV and 95 MeV in the  $^{248}\text{Cm}+^{18}\text{O}$  reaction. Hoffman *et al.* [20] also produced a 1.3 s activity and measured its fission properties in the  $^{248}\text{Cm}+^{18}\text{O}$  reaction at 95 MeV. Our interpretation of Sommerville's work is that both the 1.3-s and 47-ms activities are candidates for  $^{262}[104]$  and that our observations establish that the 1.3-s activity is from the ground state decay of  $^{262}[104]$ . The possibility that the 47 ms SF activity is from the decay of a quasiparticle isomeric state in  $^{262}104$  is discussed in Ref. [18].

Before comparing our results with theoretical predictions we need to estimate the ground state decay properties of  $^{265}106$  and  $^{266}106$ . Because we did not have the efficiency to observe EVRs preceding  $\alpha$  or SF events, we have to estimate the partial  $\alpha$ -decay half-lives from the  $\alpha$ -decay energies. and the SF branching ratios from background rates and cross-section measurements.

Because  $^{266}106$  is even-even, its  $\alpha$  decay will be ground state to ground state and the estimation of its  $\alpha$  half-life is straightforward. Using semiempirical formulae [2] and the measured  $\alpha$  energy, we estimate the partial  $\alpha$  half-life of  $^{266}106$  to be between 10 and 30 s. Similarly, we estimate an  $\alpha$  half-life of 2 to 30 s for  $^{265}106$  assuming a hindrance factor of  $2\pm 1$ .



The  $\alpha$ -branching ratios are probably  $\geq 50\%$  for both 106 nuclides based on our cross-section measurements which are about the expected values for the 4n and 5n channels. We calculated a very conservative  $\alpha$ -branching limit of  $>15\%$  for  $^{266}106$  from the beam-off data at 116 MeV by assuming that all of the observed fissions in the higher numbered strips are from  $^{266}106$  SF decay or the SF decay of its daughter,  $^{262}104$ . Most of the observed SF events are actually from the transfer product  $^{256}\text{Fm}$  based on their distribution across the strips and on their yield compared to the yield of  $\alpha$ -emitting Fm isotopes [21].

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The relatively long  $\alpha$  half-life and the SF half-life limit for  $^{266}106$  are in good agreement with the predictions of increased stability Refs. [1,2,3] near  $N=162$ . Our new SF half-life value for  $^{262}104$ , 1.2 s, is somewhat longer than the 0.25 s predicted by Sobiczewski [3]. The short SF half-lives predicted by Möller *et al.*, which are based on a thinner fission barrier and a lower inertia SF path, are inconsistent with our results. Since the "new valley" calculated by Möller *et al.* has been shown to exist [22,23,24,25], at least up to  $Z=104$ , our results indicate that the inertia leading to the new valley is not low enough to cause a significant reduction in the increased stability caused by the deformed neutron shell at  $N=162$  and proton shell at  $Z=108$ .

The conclusion that the increased stability  $^{266}106$  is caused by its nearness to  $N=162$  and  $Z=108$ , means that stability against SF will be even greater if nuclides closer or exactly at these shells can be made. A brief discussion of these possibilities is given in Ref. [6]. Neutron numbers from 160 to as high as 166 at  $Z=110$  can be made using various combinations of  $^{32,34,36}\text{S}$  bombardments of  $^{242,244}\text{Pu}$ . Alpha decay of these nuclides would lead to unknown nuclides of element 108 with neutron numbers as high as 164.

The feasibility of studying the chemistry of element 106 is now greatly improved; previously the longest-lived 106 nuclide was 0.9 s.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Three of us (RWL, KJM, and JFW) would like to thank the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research for their hospitality during the course of the experiments at JINR. The curium target

material supplied by the Livermore collaborators was provided by the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy, through the transplutonium production facilities of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Most of the support of the Livermore collaborators was provided through the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

---

- 1 Z.Patyk and A.Sobiczewski, *Phys. Lett.* 5 26B, 307 (1991).
- 2 Z.Patyk and A.Sobiczewski, *Nucl. Phys.* A533, 132 (1991).
- 3 A. Sobiczewski, these proceedings.
- 4 P.Möeller, J.R.Nix, and W.J.Swiatecki, *Nucl. Phys.* A492, 349 (1989).
- 5 P.Möeller and J.R.Nix, *Nucl. Phys.* A549, 84 (1992).
- 6 P.Möeller, these proceedings
- 7 P.Möeller, J.R.Nix, and W.J.Swiatecki, *Nucl. Phys.* A492, 349 (1989).
- 8 P.Möller, J.R.Nix, and W.J.Swiatecki, *Nucl. Phys.* A469, 1 (1987).
- 9 L.P.Somerville, M.J.Nurmia, J.M.Nitschke, A.Ghiorso, E.K.Hulet, and R.W.Lougheed, *Phys. Rev.* C31, 1801 (1985).
- 10 L.P.Somerville, Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Berkeley, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Report No. LBL-14050, 1982.
- 11 R.W.Lougheed, E.K.Hulet, J.F.Wild, K.J.Moody, R. J. Dougan, C. M. Gannett, R. A. Henderson, D. C. Hoffman, and D. M. Lee, *Fifty Years with Nuclear Fission*, Vol. 2, ISBN 0-89448-144-4, American Nuclear Society, La Grange Park, IL, 1989, p.694.
- 12 Yu.Ts.Oganessian, Yu.P.Tretyakov, A.S.Iljinov, A.G.Demin, A.A.Pleve, S.P.Tretyakova, V.M.Plotko, M.P.Ivanov, N.A.Danilov, Yu.S.Korotkin, and G.N.Flerov, *Zh. Eksperim. Theor. Fiz. Pis'ma* 20, 580 (1974) [*Sov. JETP Letters* 20, 265 (1974)].
- 13 A.G.Demin, S.P.Tretyakova, V.K.Utyonkov, and I.V.Shirokovsky, *Z. Phys.* A315, 197 (1984).
- 14 G.Münzenberg, S.Hofmann, H.Folger, F.P.Hessberger, J.Keller, K.Poppensieker, B.Quint, W.Reisdorf, K.H.Schmidt, H.J.SchP.Armbruster, M.E.Leino, and R.Hingmann, *Z. Phys.* A322, 227 (1985).
- 15 Yu.Ts.Oganessian, Yu.V.Lobanov, A.G.Popeko, J.Rigol, F.Sh.Abdullin, V.V.Behterev, G.G.Gulbekyan, A.A.Ledovskoy, V.N.Melnikov, S.P.Tretyakova, Yu.P.Kharitonov, Yu.S.Tsyganov, and V.A.Chugreev, in *Proc. Int. School-Seminar on Heavy Ion Physics*, Dubna, 1989, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research Report No.D7-90-142, 1990, p.44.
- 16 Yu.A.Lazarev, Yu.V.Lobanov, A.N.Mezentsev, Yu.Ts.Oganessian, V.G.Subbotin, V.K.Utyonkov, F.Sh.Abdullin, V.V.Behterev, S.Iliev, I.V.Kolesov, A.N.Polyakov, I.M.Sedykh, I.V.Shirokovsky, A.M.Sukhov, Yu.S.Tsyganov, and V.E.Zhuchko, *Heavy Ion*

---

*Physics, Scientific Report 1991-1992*, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Report No.E7-93-57, 1993, p.203.

17 Yu. Ts. Oganessian, these proceedings

18 Yu.A.Lazarev, Yu.V.Lobanov, Yu.Ts.Oganessian, Yu.S.Tsyganov, V.K.Utyonkov, F.Sh.Abdullin, G.V.Buklanov, B.N.Gikal, S.Iliev, A.N.Mezentsev, A.N.Polyakov, I.M.Sedykh, I.V.Shirokovsky, V.G.Subbotin, and A.M.Sukhov, in *Heavy Ion Physics, Scientific Report 1991-1992*, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Report No.E7-93-57, 1993, p.39.

19 J.T. Walton and F.S. Goulding, *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, Vol. NS-34, No. 1, Feb. 1987.

20 D. C. Hoffman, D. Lee, A. Ghiorso, M. J. Nurmia, K. Aleklett, and M. Leino, *Phys. Rev. C24*, 495 (1991).

21 D. Lee, H. R. von Guten, B. Jacak, M. Nurmia, Y-f. Liu, C. Luo, G. T. Seaborg, D. C. Hoffman, *Phys. Rev. C25*, 286 (1982).

22 E.K.Hulet, J.F.Wild, R.J.Dougan, R.W.Lougheed, J.H.Landrum, A.D.Dougan, M.Schädel, R.L.Hahn, P.A.Baisden, C.M.Henderson, R.J.Dupzyk, K.Sümmerrer, and G.R.Bethune, *Phys. Rev. Lett. 56*, 313 (1986).

23 E.K.Hulet, in Proceedings of the Robert A.Welch Foundation Conference on Chemical Research XXXIV "Fifty Years with Transuranium Elements", Houston, Texas, 1990, p.279.

24 E.K.Hulet, J.F.Wild, R.J.Dougan, R.W.Lougheed, J.H.Landrum, A.D.Dougan, P.A.Baisden, C.M.Henderson, R.J.Dupzyk, R.L.Hahn, M.Schädel, K.Sümmerrer, and G.R.Bethune, *Phys. Rev. C40*, 770 (1989).

25 J. F. Wild, E. K. Hulet, R. W. Lougheed, K. J. Moody, B. B. Bandong, R. J. Dougan, and A. Veeck, these proceedings

TABLE I. Parameters of the  $\alpha$ - $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$ -SF correlation chains observed in the  $^{248}\text{Cm}+^{22}\text{Ne}$  reaction.

Decay mode	Particle energy, MeV	Strip number	Time interval	Position deviation		Beam-
				%	mm	
Bombarding energy 116 MeV						
$\alpha$	8.60	16				off
SF	105	16	191 ms	-7.6	-3.0	off
$\alpha$	8.54	22				off
SF	89	22	215 ms	7.4	3.0	off
$\alpha$	8.59	24				off
SF	118	24	748 ms	3.3	1.3	off
$\alpha$	8.74	21				off
SF	118	21	6453 ms	-4.5	-1.8	off
Bombarding energy 121 MeV						
$\alpha$	8.69	11				on
SF	103	11	360 ms	-0.8	-0.3	on
$\alpha$	8.60	13				on
SF	118	13	2011 ms	4.5	1.8	off
$\alpha$	8.85	21				off
$\alpha$	8.20	21	3 s	2.0	0.8	off
$\alpha$	8.81	1				off
$\alpha$	8.31	1	334 s	0.8	0.3	off
$\alpha$	8.17	1	60 s	-2.6	-1.0	off
$\alpha$	8.91	1				off
$\alpha$	8.12	1	86 s	?	?	off
$\alpha$	8.71	12				off
$\alpha$	8.14	12	20 s	-0.6	-0.2	off
$\alpha$	8.16	8				off
$\alpha$	8.17	8	1 s	1.8	0.7	off

TABLE II. Irradiation parameters and decay properties of the isotopes of the new Z=106 nuclides.

Isotope	Cross section, pb	Half-life	$E_{\alpha}$ , MeV
$^{265}_{106}$	121 MeV: $260^{+780}_{-170}$	2-30 s <sup>a</sup>	$8.82 \pm 0.06$
$^{266}_{106}$	116 MeV: $80^{+240}_{-50}$ 121 MeV: $60^{+180}_{-40}$	10-30 s	$8.62 \pm 0.06$

<sup>a</sup> Assuming a hindrance factor of  $2.00 \pm 1.28$ .


### FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIG. 1. Predicted partial half-lives for SF decay and  $\alpha$  decay [3] of the even-even 106 isotopes shown by thin solid lines connecting small circles and squares, respectively. The dashed line shows  $T_{sf}$  calculations [5] which include the destabilizing effect of the predicted new fission valley. The filled points at  $^{260}_{106}$  which are close to the calculated points are experimental values for both SF and  $\alpha$  decay.

FIG. 2. The out-of-beam  $\alpha$ -energy spectrum for all 24 strips.

FIG. 3. Out-of-beam SF events vs. detector location. Each three adjacent strips are summed together. The smooth curve is a fit to the distribution across the strips of the Fm  $\alpha$ -events shown in Fig. 3. Open circles show the location of  $\alpha$ - $\alpha$ ( $\alpha$ ) correlations and closed circles show the location of  $\alpha$ -SF correlations.

FIG 4. The probability density function for the six  $\alpha$ -SF correlations vs. the decay time. Solid arrows are the correlations from the 116 MeV bombardments and open arrows are the correlations from the 121 MeV bombardments.






Fig 2




Fig 3




Fig. 4

**DATE  
FILMED**

5/9/94

**END**

