BEHAVIOR OF ALUMINA PARTICLES IN ATMOSPHERIC PRESSURE PLASMA JETS.

J. R. Fincke, W. D. Swank

Idaho National Engineering Laboratory FGG-M--89486 EG&G Idaho, Inc. Idaho Falls, ID 83415-2211

DE91 006147

 $ECO - M - 89486$ $Covf - 900466 - 97$

" ,

ś

The distribution of Al₂O₃ particle size, velocity and temperature was
mapped over the flow field of a 31.5 kW plasma torch. The effects of varying the powder loading were studied. The powder feed rate was varied between .45 and 2.05 kg/hr independent of the carrier gas flow rate. The particle flow field was non-symmetric due to the method of particle injection. The data indicate that powder feed rate does not significantly affect either the temperature or velocity of the particles, for typical plasma spray conditions, $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ temperature or velocity of the particles, for typical plans is valid $\frac{10}{2}$ \approx $\frac{10}{2}$ and that the assumption of a dilute particle field is valid is valid is valid in a distribution of a distribution of a distribution of $\frac{10}{2}$

Example 11

INTRODUCTION

In the m

simplifying

effect, this

from the beh

the plasma a

validity of In the modeling and optimization of plasma spray processes, the
simplifying assumption of a dilute particle flow field is often made. In effect, this assumption decouples the mathematical description of the plasma from the behavior of the particles, allowing for independent calculation of $\overline{\circ}$ \overline{r} from the behavior of the particles, allowing \overline{r} . $\frac{1}{2}$ = the plasma and particle flow fictuo. In this paper is paperwe have $\frac{1}{2}$ validity of this assumption for chemically inert, non-vaporizing Al_2O_3
particles over a range of powder feed rates which are consistent with typical
industrial spray coating processes. The measurement technique used fo industrial spray coating processes. The measurement technique used for simultaneously obtaining the size, velocity, temperature, and local number density of particles entrained in high temperature flow fields is briefly $p = p$ and density of particles entrained in high temperature flow $\frac{p}{p}$ discussed.

discussed.

discussed.

mEASUREMEN _,_ _. described,and the characteristicsof the Al203 spray system are \

MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUE

The measurement system developed for the simultaneous measurement of
particle size, velocity and temperature integrates a laser Doppler velocimeter particle size, velocity and temperature integrates in the contraction of the speed σ two-color pyrometer. Since the measurement system has been described in Latter than the measurement system has been described in Latter detail elsewhere [1], only a brief description will appear here. All opt
and the laser are rigidly mounted on a precision translatable table. The movement of the table results in a precise movement of the measurement volume m**o**vem**en**t of t**he** tabl**e** r**esu**lt**s** i**n** a pr**e**cis**e** mov**e**m**en**t **o**f t**h**e m**easu**r**e**me**n**t v**o**lum**e** relative to the plasma device. The particle size is determined from the the size is determined from the the size of the size o

absolute magnitude of scattered laser light, particle velocity is determined by a dual crossed-beam LDV, and temperature is determined from a measurement of light emitted by the individual incandescent particles at two wavelengths. A multi-line6 W Ar ion laser is used as the light source for velocity and sizing. The LDV measurement volume, consisting of the intersection of two 514 nm laser beams, is situated in the center of the largerdiameter 488 nm beam. The intersection of the LDV measurement volume and the second beam constitutes the particle size measurement volume. Simultaneously, the light emitted by the hot, incandescent particles passing through this same region is observed. The particle temperature is derived from the ratio of the signals at each of the two wavelengths observed, with gray body behavior assumed. The spatial resolution of $\langle 1 \text{ mm}^3$ is such that the distribution of particle size, velocity and temperature can be mapped over typical flow fields. The estimated measurement uncertainties are 125 K at 2500 K for particle temperature, 4.9 μ m for particle size and better than 5 m/s for particle velocity.

RESULTS

The commercial plasma torch used in this study has a nozzle exit diameter of 8 mm. Particles are injected radially into the flow, on the nozzle diameter, at a single axial location, 18mm upstream of the torch exit. The torch operating condition was 900 A at 35 V, for a total power input of 31.5 kW. Under these conditions the torch is 69 % efficient. The Ar:He torch gas flow rate was 2.8 and 1.3 SCMH respectively, and the Ar powder carrier gas flow

rate was 0.24 SCMH. The atmospheric pressure is 86 kPa. The powder feed rate

was varied between 0.45 and 2.05 Kg/hr and is independent of the ca rate was 0.24 SCMH. The atmospheric pressure is 86 kPa. The powder feed rate was varied between 0.45 and 2.05 Kg/hr and is independent of the carrier gas flow rate. The measured average particle injection velocity was 14.4 m/s with a standard deviation of 1.5 m/s.

Alumina particles (Al₂O₃) have been used extensively at this laboratory as a baseline spray system. Alumina powders are commercially important, inexpensive, chemically inert, and feed reliably. Initially, the particles are irregular, sharp edged shards (Figure la) with 80% falling in

Figure 1. (a) Alumi**n**a U**n**sprayed. (b) Plasma sprayed, cooledbefore impact. (c) Impacted on substrate.

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{a}}$

the 25 to 37 μ m size range. Also shown in Figure 1 are sprayed particles that cooled before impact, Figure 1b, and after impact at 76 mm on a substrate, Figure 1c. Examination of the substrate indicates that virtually all the particles are fully molten on impact. The measured average centerline particle temperature is illustrated in Figure 2. Also shown in Figure 2 is thermocouple measurement of gas temperature starting at 70 mm. Emission spectroscopy indicates that the plasma temperature at 40 mm is approximately 8000 K. The steep temperature gradient in this region implies that the plasma temperature decreases to below the melting point of alumina at an axial location between 65 and 70 mm. The average particle surface temperature at 40mm from the torch exit is slightly above the melting point of alumina. Due to the bright plasma background 40 mm is the closest to the nozzle exit that a particle temperature measurement can be made. The particle temperature remains close to the melting point of alumina out to about 150 mm. Splat tests on stainless steel substrates were also conducted at various axial locations. The splat tests indicate that significant numbers of the particles still contain solid cores at 40 and 60 mm, hence the melting process is still underway well into the tail flame of the torch. Once molten the particles remain in their molten state for a relatively long flight distance before freezing.

Though not shown, the local relative particle number density data indicate that the particle flow field is asymetric with respect to the centerline of the torch. In general, the particles fly through the hot plasma plume and emerge on the side opposite the radial injection point. The average particle size data, Figure 3, do not indicate any significant aerodynamic particle sizing, although a slight trend is suggested. Assuming equal injection velocities, the larger, heavier particles will be preferentially distributed near the top of the graph farthest from the injection point. The behavior

 $\frac{1}{2}$ h $\ddot{\cdot}$ G

Figure 3. Average radial distribution of particle size.

indicated in Figure 3 is contrary to this expectation. The observed effect may be explained by the velocity distribution of the particies at the injection point. Despite the skewed nature of the particle flow field, the radial particle temperature data are remarkably uniform, Figure 4 and the radial velocity data are reasonably symmetric, Figure 5.

The effect of particle injection rate or particle loading was investigated by observing changes in average centerline particle velocity and temperature at the nominal substrate standoff distance of 76 mm. These data versus powder injection rate are plotted in Figure 6 and 7, respectively. At powder

 $\frac{1}{2}$

÷

 $\ddot{\cdot}$

Average centerline particle velocity at 76 mm as a function of Figure 6. particle loading.

Average centerline particle temperature at 76 mm as a function of Figure 7. particle loading.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{C}{\cdot}$ \mathbf{r} injection rates typical of industrial spraying the effect of particle loading is insignificant. Spray coating systems tend to be quite dilute in concentration (<3000 cm⁻³) and, even though the mass flow rate fraction of the particles is significant (30 %) compared to the gas flow rate the energy extracted from the plasma that goes into heating the particles and the momentum transfer required to accelerate the particles is only 5% of the total available. The implication is that the heat, mass and momentum transfer to the particle are controlled locally in spray systems with little particle/particle interaction or overall affect on the plasma flow field.

CONCLUSIONS

 $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{F}}$.

In-flight particle parameter data (particle size, velocity, temperature, and relative number density) provides the necessary link between the spray process parameters, in-flight particle behavior and, ultimately, the characteristics of the coating produced. Data of this type will eventually lead to more detailed and physically accurate models describing the spray coating process. The result will be greater insight into the important parameters in the process and ultimately, better coatings. In this work the primary parameter investigated was the particle injection rate, or particle loading. For loadings typical of industrial spray coating operations little effect on the particle velocities and temperatures are observed indicating that the process investigated is insensitive to the injection rate and the assumption of a dilute flow field is justified.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the U. S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC07-76ID01570.

REFERENCES

1. J. R. Fincke and C. L. Jeffery, "Simultaneous Measurement of Particle Size, Velocity and Temperature," Proceedings of the National Thermal Spray Conference, Cincinnati, Ohio, pp.55-60, October 1988.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DATE FILMED 01130191

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{max}}(\mathbf{r}) \$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\label{eq:2} \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \left(\mathcal{L} \right) \left(\mathcal{L} \right)$