$Cone.901057$

 $BNI - -45438$

DE91 005438

NT IS UNLIMITE

E2 AND Ml STRENGTHS AND STRONG SUB-SHELL CLOSURE EFFECTS IN NEUTRON-RICH A~100 NUCLEI*

F. K. Wohn, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} H_i$. Mach, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i$. Molnar, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_i$. Sistemich, John C. Hill, M. Moszyński, "K.L. Gill, "W. Krips, ""D. S. Brenner, "and R. F. Casten a in '"ing i

^a Ames Laboratory, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011 ^bUniv, of Uppsala, Studsvik Res. Lab, S-61182 Nyköping, Sweden eInstitut fiir Kernphysik, KFA Jiilich, D-5170 Jiilich, F.R. Germany dBrookh**a**ven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973 "Institute of Isotopes, H-1525 Budapest, Hungary fInstitute for Nuclear Studies, PL 05-400 Swierk-Otwock, Poland gInstitut fdr Kernphysik, Univ. KSln, D-5000 K61n, F.R. Germany

^hClark University, Worcester, MA 01610

ABSTRACT

E2 strengths of several $A \sim 100$ nuclei were deduced from ps level-lifetime measurements at the fission-product separator TRISTAN. The exceptionally low $B(E2)$ values for $90,92,94,96$ Sr reveal a close similariity between spherical Sr and $B(E2)$ values for $\frac{99}{2}$ ⁵ Sr reveal a close similarity between spherical Sr and
 $B(E2)$ values for $\frac{1}{2}$ Sr and $\frac{1}{2}$ in state MS 80, $B(M1)$ and $D(E2)$ values indicate Zr nuclei. For Sr and Y nuclei with $N \ge 60$, $B(M1)$ and $B(E2)$ values indicate that the deformation saturates just at its onset. A dramatic change in the Sr collectivity occurs at $N = 60$, where the B(E2) strength abruptly increases by a factor of \sim 15, suggesting a "phase change" in the collectivity.

INTR*O*DUCTION

For more than a decade neutron-rich $A \sim 100$ nuclei have attracted considerable interest due to their unusual features' an extremely abrupt change from spherical to highly deformed shapes, coexistence of very low-lying spherical and highly deformed shapes, very large rotational moments of inertia, and weaker than normal pairing correlations. Rotational bands in deformed $A \sim 100$ nuclei have implied axially symmetric deformations β of ~ 0.4 . Discussions of these aspects of the $A \sim 100$ region are given in Ref. 1 and in references therein.

A new $\beta-\gamma-\gamma$ fast-timing method² has made it possible to measure level lifetimes down to \sim 10 ps. *Large deformations (* $\beta \sim$ *0.4)* for Sr, Y and Zr with $N > 58$ have been directly determined^{3,4,5,6} with this method. In contrast, for $N \le 58$, sub-shell closures at $Z = 38,40$ and $N = 56,58$ should retard the development of collectivity for 50 < N< 60. Level lifetime measurements for Sr nuclei with $50 < N < 60$ are needed to study the extent of this retardation effect.⁷

SATURATION OF DEFORMATION

For Sr and Y with $N \ge 60$, the B(M1) and B(E2) values indicate that the deformation saturates just at its onset.^{3,4} Briefly, for ⁹⁹Sr and ^{99,100}Y the gfactors definitively establish the Nilsson assignments of the low-lying rotational bands built upon $\pi 5/2[422]$ and $\nu 3/2[411]$. The g-factors and the intrinsic quadrupole moment *Qo* are well described by a simple picture for the structure of these nuclei, namely that the deformation of the even-even ⁹⁸Sr core is constant, unaffected by the presence of one or two valence nucleons.^{3,4} In particular, the

* Supported by U.S. Department of Energy under Contracts W-7405-ENG-8*2*, DE-AC02-76CH00016, and DE-FG02-88ER40417 and by Swedish Natural Science Research Council.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS CYCLER

core Q_o of 3.78(6) b deduced⁸ for the deformed band in ⁹⁸Sr is the same as the *Qo* xlues **o**f 3.8(5) b f**o**r 99Srand 3.9(4) b f**o**r *9*9y. The saturation **o**f def**o**rmation inferred from this analysis^{3,4} is confirmed by a new ¹⁰⁰Sr measurement⁹ that gives a *Qo* **o**f 3.80(8) b. The "early" (i.e., bef**o**re neutr**o**n midshell) saturati**o**n **o**f def**o**rmati**o**n can be explained in terms **o**f the valence Nilss**o**n **o**rbitals. 3'4'9

SUB-SHE*LL* C*L*OSURE EFFECTS

The B(E2) values in Table I for $\frac{1}{2}$ for $\frac{1}{2}$, which fill the $N=52-58$ gap in the kn**o**wn B(E2) rates f**o**r 78-1**°°**Sr, a*x*e remarkably l**o**w. These l**o**w values esta?'*,*lish a cl**o**se similarity between spherical Sr and Zr is**o**t**o**pes, which al**o**ng with spherical Pb nuclei exhibit the l**o**west B(E2) f**o**r all kn**o**wn nuclei past A=56.

 $0⁰$

^apresent results of Mach et al.⁷

 b mean of 4.04(12) ns (Ref. 8) and 3.95(17) ns (Ref. 10)*.*</sup>

^cnew result by Lhersonneau et al.⁹

 dQ_o =3.78(6) b for the unmixed deformed band [Mach et al.⁸].

A strong similarity in the B(E2) values of Sr and Zr nuclei is ob,*'*erved from shell closure at $N=50$ to deformation at $N=60$.⁷ These nuclei are more similar in their collectivity than is suggested by their z_1 energies, which are nearly constant α for $\frac{9}{2}$ 9 $\frac{9}{2}$ $\frac{9}{2}$ but vary significantly for $\frac{9}{2}$. These nuclei are similar due to s_1 s_2 , s_3 , s_4 , s_5 , s_6 , s_7 , s_7 , s_7 , s_8 , s_7 , s_7 , s_8 , s_7 , s_8 , s_9 , These sub-shells (for low-j orbits) stabilize spherical configurations,¹¹ thereby permitting Sr and Zr with N*=*50-58 to very effectively resist the normal smootil progress towards deformation as N increases above 50.

Qo SYSTEMATICS OF SR NUCLEI

The Sr and Zr nuclei are unique in their B(E2) systematics. No other nuclei (see the compilation of Ref. 12) exhibit such an abrupt and large change in B(E2) values from "spherical" values of ~ 8 W.u. to deformed values of ~ 100 W.u. This change in collectivity is m**o**st dramatically seen in Fig. 1 which gives the intrinsic quadrupole moment Q_o for Sr nuclei. The Q_o given above for ⁹⁹Sr is included, as is the 97 Sr value of 3.5(4)b. For 98 Sr, Fig. 1 includes both the

"mixed" value of $3.61(4)$ b and the "unmixed" value of $3.78(6)$ b, obtained⁸ by correcting for the mixing of the 0^{+}_{1} and 215-keV 0^{+}_{2} states in ⁹⁸Sr.

Figure 1 reveals two plateaus: one for N=50-58, with mean *Qo* of 0.96(3) b, and one for $N=59-62$, with mean Q_0 of 3.79(4) b. This change in the Sr collectivity, which corresponds to a factor of \sim 15 increase in B(E2), is so remarkably large and abrupt that it suggests a "phase transition" in the Sr collectivity. The term "phase transition"¹ used to emphasize that the abruptness contrasts sharply with the rather smooth "evolution" (such as is observed in Fig. 1 for neutron-deficient Sr nuclei) of nuclear collectivity that occurs for all other known shape-transition regions.

REFERENCES

- 1. Nuclear Structure of the Zirconium Region, edited by J. Eberth, R. A. Meyer, and K. Sistemich (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988).
- 2. H. Mach, et al., Nucl. Instr. Methods A280, 49 (1989); see also invited
- paper by R.L. Gill, this symposium.
3. F. K. Wohn, <u>et al.</u>, Nucl. Phys. <u>A507</u>, 141c (1990).
- . H. Mach <u>et al.,</u> Phys. Rev. C $41, 1141$ (1990).
- 5. G. Lhersonneau et al., Z. Phys. A $332, 243$ (1989).
- 6. M. Buescher et al., Phys. Rev. C 41, 1115 (1990).
- 7. H. Mach et al., Nucl. Phys. A (in press).
- 8. H. Mach et al., Phys. Lett. B 230, 21 (1989).
- 9. G. Lhersonneau et al., Z. Phys. A (in press).
- 10. H. Ohm et al., Z. Phys. A 327, (1987).
- 11. G. Molnár et al., Nucl. Phys. A500, 43 (1989).
- 12. S. Raman et al., At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 42, 1 (1989).

DIS**CL**AIM**ER**

j,

Th**is** r**epor**t w**as** pre**pa**red **as an a**cc**oun**t **of** wor**k s**p**onsore**d by **an ag**e**n**cy **of th**e **Unit**ed **Stat**e**s** G**ov**er**nm**en**t**. **N**e**it**h**er t**he **Unit**e**d Stat**e**s** G**o**ve**rn**ment n**or any ag**e**ncy t**h**er**e**of***,* **no**r **any of** th**ei**r em**ploy**ee**s,** m**akes any** w**arranty***,* e**xpr**e**ss or impli**ed**, or** assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recomm**end**a**tion**, **o**r **fa**v**o**r**ing** b**y** the **U**n**it**e**d Stat**e**s** G**ove**r**nm**e**nt o**r **any ag**e**ncy** the**r**e**of**. The **vi**e**ws and opinions of aut**h**o**r**s** e**xp**re**s**se**d** here**i**n **do not n**e**c**e**ssar**i**ly stat**e **or** re**fl**e**c**t th**os**e **of t**he **Unit**e**d Sta**te**s** G**o**ver**n**m**ent o**r **any ag**e**ncy t**here**of**.

 $\sim 10^{-10}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2} \left(\$ $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)$ $\label{eq:1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2}d\mu$