BNL 29707
CONF - 510682--8

ACTIVATION OF Tl, Pb, AND Bi BY 10-160-MEV NEUTRONS: POSSIBLE APPLICATION TO THE ANALYSIS OF Bi

P. P. Parekh* and H. L. Finston**

*Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York, U.S.A.

**City University of New York, Brooklyn College, Brooklyn, New York, U.S.A

The medium energy intense neutrons (MEIN) available at the Brookhaven Chemistry Linac Irradiation Facility have an energy distribution up to ~ 160 MeV and an effective neutron flux of ~ 1.3 x 10^{11} cm⁻² s⁻¹. $\sqrt{160 \text{ MeV}}$ and an effective neutron flux of $\sqrt{1.3}$ The present work explores the feasibility of using this facility for the analysis of Tl, Pb and Bi by activation with MEIN. The most sensitive reactions, from a practical standpoint, were found to be Tl (n, m) 200 Tl $(x = 4, 6)$, Pb (n, xn) 204 mpb $(x = 0, 3, 4, 5)$ and 209 Bi $(n, 6n)$ 204 Bi. The absolute sensitivities attainable with these reactions are 0.1, 0.05 and 0.08 µg of T1, Pb and Bi respectively, for 1 h irradiation at 1.3 x 10^{11} $n cm⁻² s⁻¹$ with samples counted 2 h after the end of irradiation. The advantages of the method over thermal neutron activation analysis are that all three elements can be assayed at the sub-microgram concentration levels by Y-spectrometry with the help of a simple radiochemical purification and the analytical results can be verified by cross checking via the multiple (n, xn) reaction products. However, interference from Bi in the determination of Pb and from Pb and Bi in the determination of Tl limits its usefulness to the analysis of Bi.

Introduction

The state-of-the-art in neutron activation analysis can best be described as sample irradiation followed by non-destructive high-resolution Ge(Li) spectrometry at judiciously selected time intervals. Elaborate radiochemical separations of individual elements have become obsolete and group separations are found adequate for unfavorable cases. In this manner most elements can, and have been determined utilizing either reactor neutrons, 14 MeV neutrons or photons. However, the last three stable elements, Tl, Pb

DISCLAIMED

 2 ,

and Bi are among the few exceptions. Table 1 gives the nuclear data pertinent to the activation of these elements with reactor and 14 MeV neutrons. The product nuclides of the only sensitive (n, y) reactions on $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ these elements are pure β^- emitters; hence careful radiochemical purifications are required and there are the concomitant difficulties of β^{\dagger} counting which limit the application of the method.

The medium energy intense neutrons (MEIN) available at the Chemistry almost flat Linac Irradiation Facility (CLIP) of Brookhaven National Laboratory have an/ energy distribution in the 30-160 MeV region and an effective neutron flux of ~ 1.0 x 10^{11} n cm⁻² s⁻¹. Thus far, these neutrons have been applied for the synthesis of new neutron—rich isotopes and for study of decay ${\rm schemes}^{2-8}$. The present work explores the feasibility of using this facility for the analysis of the aforementioned three elements by activation with MEIN.

Experimental

Figure 1 gives a schematic diagram of the MEIN facility and the CLIF Laboratory. The 200 MeV proton beam $(\sim 80 \text{ }\mu\text{A})$ from the Brookhaven linear accelerator is stopped in a water-cooled copper disc (2116 cm diameter and 4.76 cm thick) to produce a medium energy intense neutron source (MEIN). The energy distribution of these secondary neutrons¹ (calculated for an incident 200 MeV protons stopping in a Cu target and averaged over the angular intervals 0° -30°) is given in the inset to Fig. 1. As mentioned earlier, these neutrons have an almost flat energy distribution in the 30-160 MeV region and a flux of \sim 1.0 x 10¹¹ n cm⁻² s⁻¹.

For sample irradiation, a pneumatic carrier facility is installed in the CLIF laboratory % 8 m alove the spur tunnel. It is powered by a simple househe^td vacuum system. The pneumatic tube transports a rabbit from the

-2-

laboratory to a position 9 cm from the end of the copper beam stop. The transit time is \sim 2 sec. A laver of boron is inserted in the space between the bean stop and the rabbit to filter out slow neutrons. Both beryllium and plastic rabbits (inner dimensions: 3.41 cm x 1.75 cm) were used in the . work although the former was preferred because of lesser induced radioactivity. Details of the MEIN facility are described by KATCOFF et al.¹

About 0.1 g each of high-purity Tl, Pb and Bi metal beads (Six-9 grade) were weighed accurately, paced in a polythene capsule (15 nm long and 4 mm outer diameter) and then heat-sealed. A piece of 0.05 mm thick Al foil (10 mm x 10 mm) was used as a flux monitor. The foil was placed on the outside of the capsule covering the sample and held in position with tape. Also about 0.2 g Bi $(NO_3)_3$. 5 H₂0 and 0.1 g Pb $(NO_3)_2$ (Johnson Mathey's specpure grade) were weighed accurately and packed along with Al monitors in the manner described above.

The samples were irradiated for 6.0 min at a MEIN flux of \sim 1.0 x 10¹¹ n cm⁻² s⁻¹. The irradiated metal beads of T1, Pb and Bi were γ -counted ν 1 h after the irradiation using a high-resolution Ge(Li) detector coupled to a computerized 4096-channel analyzer. The γ -spectra were recorded at different time intervals for about a month and the data were stored on magnetic tapes. Energy and efficiency calibrations were performed with National Bureau of Standards γ -ray sources. γ -spectra were analyzed using $\frac{9}{5}$ of Standards y-ray sources, $\frac{10}{5}$ for energies and incensities and the oney program the INTRAL program for energies and intensities and the CLSQ program for decay curve fitting.

From these measurements, the reaction yields for the (n, xn) product nuclides of the 3 targets were evaluated. Since Bi is mono-isotopic, it was

-3-

possible to calculate the effective crossection $(\sigma_{\rm eff})$ for the various
targets targets (n, xn) reaction. \mathbf{r} reaction. The absolute notation \mathbf{r} be evaluated because of their polyisotopic nature. be evaluated because of their polyisotopic nature.

In the determination of T1 and Pb via (n, xn) reactions, the magnitudes In the determination of Tl and Pb via (n, x n) reactions, the magnitudes \mathcal{L} of the Bi (n, pxn) interference interference interference in the determination of P (n, k) and $\frac{1}{2}$ interferences in the determination of Tl had to be determined of $\frac{1}{2}$ purpose
evaluted. For this/radiochemical separations of Pb from the irradiated Bi evaluted. For this/radiochemical separations of Pb from the irradiated Bi metal and of Tl from the irradiated salts of Pb and Bi were carried out Figures using the procedure outlined in / $\qquad \qquad$ 2a) and 2b), respectively.

Results and Discussion

and Fig. 3 $\overline{200}$ Table 2/give the $\sigma_{_{\mathbf{\alpha}}\mathbf{f}\mathbf{f}\mathbf{f}}$ for the (n, xn) reactions on σ Bi for x = 4 through 10. These cross sections are calculated relative to σ_{eff} of 40 mb for the 27 Al (n, α) 24 Na reaction¹. For comparison the $\sigma_{_{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}}}$ reported for $\frac{1}{1}$ in Fig. 3. several targets" have also been included / Note that $\sigma_{_{\mathcal{S}FF}}$ decreases as x increases and the slopes become steeper as Z decreases. For example, the the $\frac{1}{1}$ $\sigma_{\texttt{eff}}$ for /(n, 4n) reaction increases from 21.7 mb for a light target ϵ_{23} W
target to 98 mb¹ for an intermediate $\binom{N}{1}$ to as high as 258 mb for the heavy $\frac{1}{83}B$ i. From the standpoint of neutron activation analysis, the (n, xn) reaction the should yield Aiighest sensitivity for heavy elements like Tl, Pb and Bi. the Although σ_{eff} for it and Fb were not evaluated for /reason mentioned earlier, some broad conclusions can be drawn from the systematics on σ_{eff} made by KATCOFF et al. $^{\bf 1}$. These authors observed that for a given value of ${\bf x},\sigma_{\tt eff}$ for the even Z targets $2gN1$ and 30^{2n} are considerably lower than for neighboring odd Z targets 27^{10} and 29^{10} . It this observation is extra-

 $-4-$

polated to the three targets under study, then for a given value of x the σ_{eff} for $_{83}$ Bi and $_{81}$ Tl are expected to be higher than for $_{82}$ Pb. Again from the general trend in Fig. 3 it seems the σ_{eff} should be higher for Bi than for Tl and perhaps the highest that can be expected for a stable element.

Table 3 gives the specific activity of the various (n, xn) reaction products of Tl, Pb and Bi. The specific activity is expressed in terms of peak intensity (in cpm) of the most useful γ line per µg of the element and corresponds to 1 h bombardment at a flux of 1.3 x 10^{11} n cm $^{-2}$ $\,$ s $^{-1}$ and counted 2 h from the end of bombardment on the face of the Ge(Li) detector. Although unconventional, this is a more practical way of expressing specific activity. In the case of T1, the reactions T1 (n, xn) 1^{3000} T1 (T₁ = 1.9 h; x = 6, 8) and Tl (n, xn) 200 Tl $(T_{1_x} = 26.1 \text{ h}; x = 4, 6)$ offer approximately equal sensitivities. However, the latter is recommended because of its convenient 209 Bi (p $\leq 50^{12}$ long half-life. It is clear that Bi (n, 6n) $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and Pb (n, xn) 204 Pb (T_L = 66.9 m, x = 0, 3, 4, 5) are the most sensitive reactions for the determination of these elements. The practical sensitivity (absolute) is calculated only for the most useful reaction (underlined in the table). It is defined as that amount of element which gives net peak intensity of 1 cpm under irradiation and counting conditions specified above. The absolute sensitivities attainable are 0.13 , 0.05 and 0.08 ug of Tl, Pb and Bi respectively. The magnitude of interference was calculated for the most the useful reaction under conditions identical to those used in/evaluation of practical sensitivity. On a weight for weight basis the interference of Bi (n, p5n) reaction in the determination of Pb is \sim 50%. Since the 11.3 h 204 Bi does not decay via the metastable state of 204 ^mPb, interference

-5-

is strictly from a conflicting nuclear reaction. In the determination of Tl via 200 Tl the interference of \sim 14% and \sim 2% is the gross interference from the interfering nuclear reactions shown in Table 3 and the in-growth of ²⁰⁰Tl from the decay of ²⁰⁰Pb and ²⁰⁰Bi-²⁰⁰Pb. The actual interferenc from the nuclear reactions is therefore lower than indicated.

The salient features of the method are 1) all three elements can be assayed at the submicrogram concentration levels by Y-spectrometry after a simple radiochemical purification like that shown in Figure 2. 2) since $\sigma_{_{\alpha} f f}$ of all types of reactions induced by MEIN are in the range of few hundred millibarns and less¹ the radioactivity induced in the samples are expected to be much lower than that encountered typically in reactor irradiation and 3) the analytical results can be verified by crosschecking through the multiple reaction products (see Table 3) . The disadvantages of the method are 1) it is not so versatile for the simple reason that MEIN is almost the only facility of its kind and 2) nuclear interference from Bi in the determination of Pb and from Pb and Bi in the determination of Tl narrows down its usefulness to the analysis of Bi.

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to thank Seymour Katcoff for his helpful suggestions and encouragement. Research was carried out, in part, under contract with the U. S. Department of Energy and supported by its Office of Basic Energy Sciences.

* Present Address: New York State Department of Health Division of Laboratories and Research Albany, NY 12201 USA

 $\Delta\phi$, $\Delta\phi$, $\Delta\phi$, $\Delta\phi$, $\Delta\phi$

 $-6-$

References

- 1. S. Katcoff, J. B. dimming, J. Godel, V. J. Buchanan, H. Susskind and C. J. Hsu, Nuclear Inst. & Methods, 129 (1975) 473.
- 2. E-M. Franz, S. Katcoff, H. A. Smith, Jr. and T. E. Ward, Phys. Rev. $C12$ (1975) 616.
- 3. P. E. Haustein, E-M. Franz, S. Katcoff, H. A. Morcos, H. A. Smith, Jr. and T. E. Ward, Phys. Rev. C14 (1976)'645;
- 4. P. E. Haustein, E-M. Franz, R. F. Petry and J. C. Hill, Phys. Rev. C16 (1977) 1559.
- 5: J. Gilat and S. Katcoff, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 40 (1978) 369.
- '6. P. E. Haustein, H-C. Hseuh, R. L. Klobuchar, E-M. Franz, S. Katcoff and L. K. Peker, Phys. Rev. C19 (1979) 2332.
- 7. E-M. Franz, S. Katcoff, P. P. Parekh and L. K. Peker, Phys. Rev. C (submitted).
- 8. P. P. Parekh, L. K. Peker, S. Katcoff and E-M. Franz, Phys. Rev. C (submitted),
- 9. J. B. Cumming (unpublished): Modification of program by R. Gunninck, H. B. Levy and J. B. Niday, Univ. of Cal. Radiat. Lab. Report No. UCID-15140 Cunpublished).
- 10. J. B. Cumming, NAS-NRC Nuclear Sci. Ser. Report #NAS-NS-3107 (1962) (unpublished).

Table 1

Activation Analysis of Tl, Pb and Bi with Reactor—and 14 MeV Neutron;

*Based on 10 cpm for β^- and 1 cpm peak intensity for γ , counted 2 h from the end of irradiation. ϕ _(th) = l0¹³ncm⁻²s⁻¹, ϕ _(f) = l0¹²ncm⁻²s⁻¹, ϕ _(14 MeV) = l0⁹ncm⁻²s⁻¹ Irradiation Time: 1.0 d for reactor—and 1.0 h for 14 MeV neutrons Reactions yielding poor sensitivities (> 200 ug) not considered.

	Effective Cross Sections for the	209 Bi(n, xn) Reactions	
Product Nuclide	T^1	Reaction	σ eff mЪ
$^{200}\!$ si	$36.4 \; m$	(n, 10n)	28.8
$^{201}\!$ Bi	1.77h	(n, 9n)	35.1
202_{B1}	1.67h	(n, 8n)	79.6
$^{203}\!$ si	11.8 h	(n,7n)	130
204 Bi	11.3 _h	(n, 6n)	164
205 Bi	15.3 d	(n, 5n)	201
206_{Bi}	6.2d	(n, 4n)	258

Table 2

 $\ddot{}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ $\ddot{}$

 ~ 10

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$

Table 3

Specific activity of the various (n, xn) reaction products of **Tl,** Pb and Bi sensitivity of the most useful reaction and magnitude of nuclear interference

Irradiation Time: 1 h; Flux: 1.3 \times 10¹¹ n cm⁻² s⁻¹

***Most useful y-liae considered, @ counted 2 h from the end of irradiation on the face of a Ge(Li) detector (efficiency 20% relative to a 3" x 3" Nal(Tl) detector)**

***Calculated for the most useful nuclear reaction**

*****weight for weight basis**

Semigrant series and a series of \hat{r} , \hat{r} , \hat{r} , \hat{r} , \hat{r}

Figure captions:

- Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the Medium Energy Intense Neutron (MEIN) Facility and the Chemistry Linac Irradiation Facility (CLIF). The calculated MEIN energy spectrum is shown in the inset.
- Fig. 2. Flow diagrams showing the radiochemical procedures for Pb and Tl.
- **Fig. 3.** Effective cross sections σ_{eff} for the (n, xn) reactions on several targets: 200 MeV primary proton beam. Open points: Katcoff et al. (Ref. 1). Closed points: This work.

Fig, 1

a) Radiochemical Separations of Pb from Bi Target

Dissolve the irradiated Bi_, metal in conc. HNO₂; Add Pb²⁺ carrier chill in an ice-bath; precipitate Pb(NO₃)₂ with fuming HNO₃; centrifuge Precipitate; dissolve in $H₂0$ and reprecipitate $Pb(NO_1)_2$ as above; centrifuge; dissolve **the precipitate** in **H.O** and **finally precipitate Pb as PbCrO, and y -count** Supernate Reject

b) Radiochemical Separation of Tl from Pb and Bi Targets

Dissolve the irradiated target $(Pb(NO₃)₂$ or Bi(NO₃)₃) 3+ in 6ml of 6M HC1; add **Tl** carrier ana Bromine water Extract with equal volume of Isopropyl Ether **Aqueous Phase Reject Organic** Phase **Scrub thrice with 6 ml of 6M HC1 containing few drops of bromine water** Aqueous Phase Reject Organic Phase **Organic Phase Transfer to a flat counting cell and y -count**

Figure 2

÷.

 $\ddot{ }$

ŧ