CONE 930103-30

PNL-SA--21137 DE93 007875

 $\Gamma$ 

 $1 - 101232$ 

DESIGN AND TESTING OF ULTRALITE OSTI FABRIC REFLUX TUBES

K. A. Pauley Z. I. Antoniak L. L. King G. W. Hollenberg

January 1993

Presented at the Institute for Space Nuclear Power Studies 10th Symposium on Space Nuclear Power Propulsion January 10-14, 1993 Albuquerque, New Mexico

Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830

Pacific Northwest Laboratory Richland, Washington 99352

**MASTER** 

**DISCLAIMER** 

employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsitility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or mendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views<br>and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recom-Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their United States Government or any agency thereof

> **DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED**  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  :  $\alpha$

 $\bar{\psi}$ 

## **DESIGN AND** T**ESTING O**F **ULTRALITE FABRIC REFLUX TUBES**

# **Keith A. P**a**uley**, **Zenen I. Antoniak,Loyd L. King, and Gl**e**nn W. Holl**e**n**be**rg Pacifi**c **Northwest Laboratory P**.**O**. **Box 999, MS: K6**-**47 Ri**c**hland, WA 99352-0999 (509) 376**-**5779**

### **Abstra¢:|**

**This paper des**c**ribes the design, fabrication,a**n**d t**e**sting of Ult**r**alit**e **Fa**b**ri**c **Reflux Tubes intended to provide thermal control fo**r **a Lunar Colony. The Ultralit**e **Fa**b**ric Reflux Tubes, under this phase of development, ar**e **constructed of thin**-**wall**e**d** c**op**pe**r** l**iners ov**e**rwra**pp**ed with alumino**b**orosili**c**atefabric**. **These devices w**e**re constru**c**ted and test**e**d in** a**ir at the Pacific No**r**thwest Laborator**y **and subsequentl**y**tak**e**n to th**e **NASA JohnsonS**p**a**c**e Center for thermal vacuum experimentation.** -

## **INTRODUCTION**

Ultralite Fabric Reflux Tubes (UFRTs) are being developed as the primary thermal management system for habita**t** waste heat rejection for the current lunar colony design. These devices would be deployed in a panel configuration and are intended to maintain personnel and equipment at a safe and optimal temperature. The investigation builds upon previous work conducted by the Pacific Northwest Laboratory for a variety of spa**c**e thermal mangement requirements (Antoniak 1988, Antoniak 1989, Antoniak 1990, Pauley 1990, Webb 1990).

> The waste heat from the lunar colony will be removed from the habitat and equipment areas at 294 K and transported to a heat pump. This heat pump will increase the working fluid temperature to 361 K. The UFRT panel is thermally coupled to this working fluid and it radiates the waste heat to the space and lunar environs. The current habitat design requires the rejection of 150 kW.

> Four features separate the UFRT design from those of traditional reflux tube technologies: the thin metallic liner, ceramic fabric overbraid, water working fluid, and ceramic fabric evaporator wick structure. The thin metal liner, from  $2.5 \cdot 10 \mu m$  thick, is used as the permeation barrier which maintains the fluid inside the tube. The thin metal liner is also used to tran**s**fer the stress associated with high internal pressures to the high s**t**rength ceramic fabric that provides the principal stru**c**ture over the condenser region. The ceramic fabric used in this design is aluminoborosilicate, commercially known as Nextel™ by the 3M Company. While this material has excellent tensile strength characteristics, 300 - 350 ksi in this t**e**mperature range, its sele**c**tion was based primarily on its selective absorptance and emittance characteristics in the solar spectrum. The fabric emittance has been measured as approxima**t**ely 0.93 at 425 K while displaying an absorptance of only 0.10 in solar wavelengths. The high strength of the **c**eramic fabric/thin-walled liner combination has allowed the use of water, which is benign and a relatively good working fluid but displays relatively high pressures at the temperatures of interest for this application. In order to maintain a**d**vantageous mass considerations, the **c**eramic fabric material was also used as the circumferential wick in the evaporator. This wick is used to reduce the potential for reaching a cri**ti**cal heat flux in this area, which would produce local dryout and fail the refl'**J**x tube.

# **FABRICATION ISSUE\_;**

Fabrication **i**ssues for the **i**nit**i**al UFRT **0e**sign inc**l**uded: i) **p**ressure con**t**ainment, 2) stress risers at the end-fittings, and 3) the fabric sizing.

T**h**e **d**esig**n** pr**e**ss**u**re for the lunar UFRT design was set at 690 kPa which corresponded to 2/3 of the yield stress of the evaporator wall, which had been determined by both analysis and experiment to be the **a**rea of lowest strength. In order to demonstrate the capability of the devices, the tubes were over-pressurized to 1720 kPa at 294 K. Each tube was qualified under these conditions. Maximum burst tests were conducted under this configuration, which yielded a maximum load of 4200 kPa at failure.

Early prototype UFRT designs displayed a stress riser failure mode at the end fittings. The fabric was attached to the thickened portion of the end-fitting at an abrupt angle. Upon pressurization, this region produced high stress concentrations which first failed the fabric then the liner. This issue was resolved by slightly tapering the transition from thin-walled liner to the end-fitting.

The ceramic fabrics used in the current designs were Nextel 312 and silicon carbide. The Nextel is coated with organi**c** sizing, which permits handling without damage to either the fabric or personnel. The sizing may be removed by heat cleaning at about 800 K. However, if not removed prior to the operation of a UFRT, discoloration can be seen in the fabric due to partial volatilization of the organic resin. The Nextel wick was heat treated at a higher temperature (about 1200 K), not only to remove the sizing but also to alter its crystal structure for longterm compatibility with water. The Nextel overwrap was not heat cleaned in the current design, but will be both heat cleaned and heat treated in future UFRT designs.

Figure 1 traces the fabrication of a silicon carbide UFRT. From left  $\omega$  right, a copper preform is processed through the Uniscan device, developed by PNL, which takes a 20 cm feedstock with a wall thickness of 300  $\mu$ m and produces a nominal 1 m liner with 50  $\mu$ m walls and integral thickened end regions. The silicon carbide is then attached to the end regions with compression fittings, the Nextel wick is placed in the evaporator, and finally the end caps are brazed into place. A 2.3 kPa check valve is placed on the end port, in order to contain an argon cover gas and prevent the collapse of the tube. This produces a UFRT with a mass of less than 140 g.

### **AMBIENT ATMOSPHERIC TESTS**

To date, several SiC and Nextel-covered reflux tubes have been successfully tested in ambient air. The purpose of these tests was to observe and ensure proper startup behavior, and to test maximum power capability. Test equipment consisted of electrical heaters clamped onto the evaporator, thermocouples, a pressure transducer, and an infrared camera. The camera allowed the verification of isothermal operation and measurement of the fabric surface temperature **b**as**e**d **on t**h**e e**m**ittan**c**e previou**s**l**y **mea**s**ured a**t s**l**igh**t**ly **hig**h**er te**mp**er**a**ture**s**.**

Figure 2 illustrates the results of the most current experimental work. The evaporator and condenser temperatures, as well as the pressure of the working fluid, track the power input well over this power range. The data also illustrate the ability of the reflux tube to adequately perform over several power levels up to 200 W. However, this power level does not seem to be a maximum and further testing is necessary to define the design limits of this device.

# TH**ERMAL VACUUM TESTS**

Thermal vacuum testing of three UFRTs was conducted at facilities located at the NASA Johnson Space Center. An array of UFRTs was tested in a thermal vacuum chamber in order to map their individual performance characteristics over a variety of conditions. The test matrix, shown in Table 1, included startup and shutdown, frozen startup, load/follow transients, lunar day and night performance, and maximum heat rejection capabilities.



FIGURE 1. Stages of Fabrication of a Silicon Carbide Ultralite Fabric Reflux Tube.



 $\bar{\Omega}$ 

 $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{eff}}$  $\mathbf{r} \leftarrow \mathbf{r}$ 

FIGURE 2. Ambient Laboratory Test Results of the Current Ultralite Fabric Reflux Tube Design.





ł

The UFRT test assembly, shown in Figure 3, was configured in Chamber E in Building 33 at the NASA Johnson Space Center. Five UFRTs were originally placed inside the chamber in a mounting bracket, shown in Figures 4 and 5. However, two UFRTs were failed during setup and testing was limited to the remaining three. The evaporator end of the reflux tubes, shown in Figure 5, was wrapped with a glass heater rope and covered with a copper thermal shroud for even distribution of heat input along the evaporator length. The chamber thermal shroud temperature was held constant by the flow of liquid nitrogen at 115 K while infrared lamps were used to adjust the effective environmental temperature. The UFRTs were tested at environmental temperatures of 325 K, 255 K, and 144 K.

The thermal vacuum chamber was evacuated and maintained at approximately 10<sup>-4</sup> kPa.<br>During the chamber pump down the UFRTs were plumbed to be open to the chamber environment. When the chamber was stabilized at a steady state pressure, the UFRTs were valved closed to the chamber. The UFRTs were filled with the water working fluid by using an I valued array of charging tubes, external to the thermal vacuum chamber. These charging tubes were<br>I vary posted with water and beated with a heat out. In order to initiate the fill procedure. hard-packed with water and heated with a heat gun. In order to in**i**tiate the fill procedure, external valves were opened to allow transport of the working fluid through the fill lines into



.**i** FIGURE 3. UFRT Test Conf**i**g**u**ration **i**n Chamber E at NASA Johnson Space Center.

 $\frac{1}{2}$  , and the contract of the contract



FIGURE 4. Upper Region of the UFRT Test Assembly



- 12 『三つの地震』、「ありては、「三つ」

FIGURE 5. Lower Region of the UFRT Test Assembly.

each UFRT. This charging procedure was accomplished separately for each UFRT test article. Upon completion of the fill procedure, each UFRT was isolated by closing the external valves on the fill lines.

The thermal vacuum tests were conducted and successfully demonstrated the capabilities of the UFRT design to perform over a variety of conditions. Several results were confirmed by these tests:

- The performance of the UFRT design was limited by the surface area available to  $\bullet$ radiate the heat from the condenser region. The design was not limited by evaporator heat flux, condensate return flow, entrainment, or sonic flow.
- The condenser region of the UFRT design was isothermal over the exterior length. The design operated a saturated internal conditions and did not display appreciable anomalies in conductive contact resistance between the ceramic fabric overwrap and copper thin-walled inner liner.
- The ability of the UFRT design to respond to load/follow transients was masked by the time constant of the heat elements used in the tests. However, the design followed the actual heat input adequately and each reflux tube temperature trended well with power.
- The UFRT design responded adequately to an instantaneous addition of full power from a fully frozen condition, as shown in Figure 6. This condition did not stress the capabilities of the design.





the contract of the con-

• Maximum heat rejection capabilities were not demonstrated due to the failure of several instruments, including the heating elements, at 90 W and an environmental temperature of 144 K. Since this was the last test series, the experiment was terminated without defining the transport limits of the UFRT design.

+ **•**

# CO**NCLUSIQN**

=

=

 $\overline{a}$ 

Ultralite Fabric/**C**u reflux tubes have been constructed and tested wi**t**h a water working fluid in both ambient laboratory and thermal vacuum environments. The construction of the UFRT design presented challenges to contain the pressure of the working fluid at the operational tempe**r**ature, reduce stress risers at the end-fitting transitions, and degradation of the optical proper**t**ies due to the fabric sizing. The performan**c**e of this design has met ali expectations. Startup has been accomplished with no flaws and performance limits have been t**e**sted to 200 W and an internal pressure of about 500 kPa at ambient atmospheric conditions. Thermal vacuum tests have indicated that the UFRT design operates isothermally along the condenser region and performs as anticipated **i**n nominal operation and load following. The UFR**T** design : also began operations without incident from a full frozen initial condition. Due to ins**t**rumentation failures, the design was only tes**t**ed **t**o 90 W in a thermal vacuum environment. Although these UFRTs represent non-optimized test articles, they have a mass (based on  $\frac{3}{3}$  projected, one-sided condenser area) of less than 6 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. The potential exists for , projected, one-sided condenser area) of less than 6 kg/m2. The potential exists for significantly reducing this mass with refinement of the existing d**e**sign, and possibly even further through the addition of fins.

### A**cknowl\_dqements**

Support for this work from the NASA Johnson Space Center through DE-ACO6-76RLO 1830 is gratefully acknowledged.

### **R**e**f**e**ren.c**e**s**

- Antoniak, Z. I. et al. 1988. Fabric Space Radiators. PNL-6458. Pacific Northwest Laboratory, **Ri**c**hlan**d**, Washington.**
- A**ntoniak, Z. I.** e**t a**l**.** 1**989.** "**Constru**c**tion and Testing o**f **C**e**rami**c **F**ab**ri**c **Radiator Heat Pipe** Componen**t**s for Space Nuclear Power"**. Proceedinas ofthe SixthSymposiumon SDace** Nuclear Power Systems. Institute f**o**r Space Nuclear Power Studies, University of New Mexic**o**, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- Antoniak, Z. I. et al. 1990. "Construction and Testing of Advanced Ceramic Fabric Radiator Components to 1000 K". Proceedinas of the Se**venth Symposiumon Space Nuclear Power Systems.** Institu**t**e for Space Nuclear Power Studies, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexic**o**.
- Pauley, K. A. et al. 1990. "Design Considerations for a Ceramic Fabric Radiator". Engineering. Construction. an**d Operationsin Space**" Pr**oceedings of S\_**ace 90. American Society of Civil Engineers, New York, New York.
- Webb, B. J. et al. 1990. "Advance**d** Ceramic Fabric Body Mounted Radiator for Space Station Freedom Phase 0 Design". Proceedings of the  $AIAA/ASME$  Sixth Thermophysics and Heat **Transfer Conference.** American Society of Mechanical Engi**n**eers, New York, New Y**o**rk.



# DATE FILMED 417193

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}))$ 

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$ 

 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$  . The contract of the property constraints and  $\mathcal{L}$