EGG-M-89197

CONF 8909377-2

THEORETICAL MODELING OF CREVICE AND PITTING CORROSION PROCESSES IN RELATION TO CORROSION OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE CONTAINERS

 $EGG-M--89197$

DE91 001928

Italyed by OSTE

MON O 5 1990

Materials Research Society XIIth symposium on the Scientific Basis for Nuclear Waste Management Fall, 1989 Meeting

September 9, 1989

John C. Walton **Idaho National Engineering Laboratory** P.O. Box 1625 Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415 Ph. 208-526-0320

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturei, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Theo**r**e**tical** Mo**d**e**li**n**g** o**f Cr**ev**ic**e **a**nd **Pitti**n**g C**orro**si**on Processes **in Relatio**n **to C**o**r**r**osion of Radioactive Waste Contai**n**ers**

JOHN C**.** WALTON

t.-

Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, P,O. Box 1625, Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415

AB**S**TRAC**T**

A m**a**thenla**ti**cal **a**nd numeric**a**l model for ev**a**lu**a**t**i**on of crev**i**ce and pi**t**t**i**ng corros**i**on **i**n radi**o**a*c*t**i**ve waste *c***o**n**t**a**i**ners **i**s presen**t**ed. The m**o**del *c*ons**i**ders mass transp**o**r**t**, mass transfer at **t**he me**tal***/*solu**tio**n **i**nterf**a**ce, **a**nd chem**i**cal spec**ia**t**i**on **i**n the c**o**rr**o**sion c**a**v**i**ly. The mode**l i**s c**o**mpared **a**ga**i**nst exper**i**ment**a**l da**t**a **o**b**t**a**i**ned **i**n **a**rt**i**f**i**c**i**al crevices. Excel**l**en**t** agreement is found between m**o**de**l**e**d** an**d** exper**i**men**t**a**l** values. The **i**mpor**t**ance **o**f full c**o**ns**i**dera**ti**on **o**f c**o**mp**l**ex **i**on f**o**rm**a**t**i**on ira **t**he aqueous s**o**lut**i**on **i**s enaph**a**s**i**zed **an**d **il**lustr**a**ted.

MA**T**HEM**ATI**C**A**L **M**ODEL

Safe d**i**sp**o**sa**l o**f rad**io**act**i**ve was**t**es requires that the was**t**es be **i**s**ol**a**t**ed*/*or **lo**ng time per**i**ods_ One c**o**mponent **i**n m**a**ny waste **i**sol**a**t**i**on schemes **i**s a met**a**ll**i**c cont**ai**ner. The exact role **o**f the c**o**n**t**a**i**ner in the system can best be evaluated from a fundamental understanding **o**f c**o**rr**o**sion processes wh**i**ch **o**ccur and the**i**r con**t**r**ol**ling fact**o**rs. T**o a**ss**i**st in **t**h**i**s understanding, a model descr**i**b**i**ng the electrochem**i**str*y* **i**ra p**i**ts **a**nd crev**i**ces has been devel**o**ped. The m**o**del **i**s general **i**n form and appl**i**cable t**o** any nunlber **o**f metal*/*electr**o**lyte sys**t**ems. As a means **o**f val**i**dat**i**on, the m**o**del **i**s appl**i**ed t**o** recent experimen**ta**l data from l**a**bor**at**ory crev**i**ces of **i**ron **i**n different electrolytes.

Mass Transport The equ**a**t**i**on for **t**ransport of d**i**ssolved e**l**ec**t**r**o**lytes in d**il**u**t**e solu**ti**ons, subject t**o** diffus**i**on and electr**o**m**i**grat**io**n **i**s [1]:

$$
\vec{J}_1 = -n D_1 \vec{\nabla} C_1 - \frac{n z_i D_1 F}{RT} C_1 \vec{\nabla} \phi_S
$$
 (1)

where:

A porosity **t**erm (n) is included in the equations t**o** allow for the situation where the corrosion cavity is partially filled with gas or solid phase corrosion products such as hydrogen gas, metal oxides, or salts. The liquid porosity is the proportion of **t**he cavity volume which is fi**l**led w**i**th the **l**iquid phase. When corr**o**si**o**n products are no**t** presen**t** in the cavity, the porosity is equal to one.

Chemical Reaction Two types of chemical reactions are of interest in crevices and pits 1) electrochemical reactions at the metal*/*solution interface and 2) reactions in the crevice solution (e.g., hydrolysis). The current density resulting from an electrochemical reaction at the metal*/*solution interface will generally be expressed as a function of the kinetics). The mathematical formulation of the model allows the use *of any functional* form of the current density expressions, including tabulated coefficients.

The rate of chemical reaction/mass transfer for each aqueous species at the metal*/*solution interface (**N**i) is **o**btained from the current density **o**f the electrochemical reactions using Faraday's law.

Ra**t**es of reac**tio**n of spec**ie**s **i**n the cr**ev**ic**e***/*p**it** s**o**lut**i**on can be expr**e**ss**e**d **i**n **t**erms **o**f kinetic expressions (e.g., first order kinetics) or adjusted arbitrarily to keep the reactions at equilibrium. In general, acid*/*base hydrolysis react**i**ons are rapid, justify**i**ng the assumption of local equilibrium. If it is to be assumed that a reaction in solution remains at equ**i**l**i**v. "urn,several dist**i**nct approaches can be used to formulate the system of equations. The most common method is to write out all the governing equations in rate format [2,3,4] then add and subtract equations to eliminate the arbitrary rate expressions. The new set of govern**i**ng equations are then supplemented by the equ**i**librium constants for the reactions remaining at equilibrium. This methodology is most clearly **i**llustrated in the work by Sharland [4]. The disadvantages are that the governing equations generally must be rederived each time a new system is modeled or a new reaction **i**s considered. Also these models tend to impl**i**c**i**tly assume that any sol**i**d corrosion product included i**n** the formulation will be present throughout the corrosi**o**n cavity.

A**n** alternative methodology to model the equil**i**brium state in solut**i**on **i**sto keep the governing equatio**n**s in rate format but make the reaction rates very fast. As long.as reaction rates are fast, relative to rates of mass transport, the reactions will remain at equ**i**libr**i**t, m a**n**d the solution is independe**n**t of the k**i***n*etics ass*u*med. Any k**i***n*eti*c* expression which is physically consistent⁶ (i.e., is stoichiometrically true, gives zero net reaction rate at equilibrium, changes sign as the equilibrium point is crossed, and gives fast reaction rates) can be used. The choice becomes a matter of numerical stability and *c*onve**ni**ence.

Keeping the equations in rate format allows the system of equations for the localized corrosion cell to be solved without requiring *a priori* algebraic manipulation of the gover**n**ing equations. Th**i**s greatly facilities adaptation of the model to different electrolytes and metals.

Governing Equations As a simplif**y**ing assu*m*pt**i**on, concen**t**rations of species in the solut**i**on are assumed uniform **i**n the cross-width direct**i**ons. Th**i**s assumption will be valid when the length of the cavity is sign**i**ficantly greater than the width (i.e., for crev**i**ces and relatively deep pits). A balance on a control volume taken as a slice of the model domain assum**i**ng steady state g**i**ves for each species:

$$
\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} = 0 = D_i \frac{\partial^2 C_i}{\partial x^2} + \frac{Z_i D_i F}{R T} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(C_i \frac{\partial \phi_s}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{N_i}{n w} + R_i
$$
 (2)

where:

q

 $w =$ width of crevice (dm)

 $x =$ distance from mouth of crevice (dm)

 N_1 = flux of species i at metal/solution interface (moles/dm²-s)

The governing equation for a deep cylindrical pit is similar to **t**hat for crevices:

$$
\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} = 0 = D_i \frac{\partial^2 C_i}{\partial x^2} + \frac{z_i D_i F}{RT} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(C_i \frac{\partial \phi_s}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{2N_i}{n r} + R_i
$$
\n(3)

whc α e:

 $r =$ radius of pit (dm)

Equation 2 (or 3) **i**s written for each of the aqueous spec**i**es being considered in the model system. Because the potential is also an unknown variable, another governing equation is required. The requirement is satisfied by the electroneutrality equation:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i z_i = 0 \tag{4}
$$

The boundary conditions at the cavity mouth are fixed concentrations and potential. At the base of the cavity the boundary conditions are $N_i = -J_i$ and electroneutrality. In **t**he situati**o**n wh**e**re the bot**to**m of the **c**avity is non**-**m**e**tal**l**ic (**e**.g., m**a**ny crevices) a boundary condition of zero gradi**e**nt for ali species is imposed.

To ol *t,*un a solu*t*ion, *t*he *d*o,-ivatives are app*r*oxima*t*ed by fi**n**ite diff**e**ren*c*e e**q**uations. The equations are then solved by relaxation [6]. An initial guess for all values is made at the grid points**,** then the mlm**e**ncai solutron _m**p**roved through It**e**ration. T**o** r**e**duce **t**he number of grid points ne**e**ded for an accurat**e** solution a variab**l**y**-**spac**e**d grid is used with a greate*r* con*c*entra*t*ion of nodes near the mou**t**h where the highest gradients are present.

MOD**E**L VALII**)**AT**I**ON

Prior to the us**e** of **t**heoret**i**cal mod**e**ls in **p**erformanc**e** assessments it is important that tile models be valida**t**ed. Al**t**hough mechanis**t**ic models are based on **t**heo*r*y, which should work in the future as well as the present, they are of necessity simplifications of more complicated real systems. In this light, the model has been applied to recent experimental work on crevice corros**i**on of **i**ron [7**]**. The experimental systems were for c*r*evice *c*o*r*rosion of iron in ele*ct*rolyte solutions of a*c*eta**t**e buffer a**n**d sulfu*r*ic acid at 25oC. The experimen**t**al apparatus [7,8**]** consisted of a 5mm long, 0.Smm wide, and 10 mm deep crevice with iron metal on one s**i**de. Corrosion produc**t**s were not allowed to build up extensively in the experiments considered herein, giving a porosity of **1**.0. A perpendicular section of metal measuring 5 mm x 20 mm was included on the surface. The systems were anodically polar**i**zed and the potential measured as a function of dis**t**ance inside the crevice by insertion of a Luggin capillary.

Figure 1, Anodic current density of uncreviced iron specimen in acetate solution as a function of potential,

The systems are of some **i**nteres**t** [8] because active crevice corrosion was found in electrolytes which were designed to exclude aggressive anions and minimize localized acidi**t**y. Potential drops of over one volt were measured from **t**he bulk solution to **t**he base of **t**ile crev**i**ce. A more detailed expla**i**nation of this modeling work is given in a separa**t**e paper [9].

Acetate Electrolyte The acetate solution consisted of a mixture of equal amounts of 0.5 M acetic acid solution and 0.5 M sodium acetate solution. This forms a buffer solution of pH 4.8.

The reactions considered in modeling the system are:

These reactions, with the exception of reaction 7, are assumed to remain at equilibrium. Reaction 7 only becomes active if the solution becomes supersaturated with ferrous hydroxide. Eight aqueous species and the potential are considered in the model.

Electrochemical kinetics in the occluded cell are described by polarization data for an uncreviced sample of iron in the same solution (Figure 1). The polarization curve is discretized into a series of (current, potential) data pairs for use in the code. Intermediate values are estimated assuming a linear relation between the log of the current density and the potential. The system always remains above the rest potential for the active metal. Thus only the anodic polarization curve is required.

In the experiments, significant potential drops were found in moving through the external solution to the crevice mouth. The potential drops in the bulk solution, outside the occluded cell, are not within the scope of the model presented herein but are evaluated for the sake of completeness. Potential drops in the bulk solution, where concentration gradients are expected to be small, can be estimated using Ohm's law. Application of Ohm's law leads to Laplace's equation for the potential $[1]$.

Boundary conditions are the measured potential at the crevice mouth, the applied potential at distance in the solution, and $\partial \phi / \partial x = 0$ on the exposed metal surface. Laplaces equation is solved for the two dimensional geometry outside the crevice using the computer code PORFLO [10], which was designed for groundwater applications.

The model predicts the potential, current density, and electrolyte composition as a function of distance inside the occluded cell. A comparison of measured and predicted potentials for the system polarized to 0.84 V (SHE) is given in Figure 2. The agreement is quite good. The active/passive transition occurs inside the crevice with the most rapid corrosion rate occurring just below this point. The predicted distribution of anodic current (Figure 3) is consistent with the observed corrosion rate of the specimens and the observed location of the active/passive transition [7,8].

Figure 3. Predicted current density inside experimental crevice. Note location of active/passive transition.

Most of the iron is tied ν p in the acetate complex. This minimizes the amount of iron hydrolysis. In the absence of significant metal hydrolysis, the hydrogen ions move rapidly out of the crevice as a result of the large potential gradients. The system never reaches saturation with respect to ferrous hydroxide.

Another set of experiments was performed at a polarization of 1.24 V (SHE). The comparison between modeled and measured potentials is given in Figure 4. Polarization at a variety of other potentials indicated that the potential at the base of the crevice varied very little. Irrespective of the external anodic polarization, the base of the crevice tended toward the same "limiting" potential (E_{lim}) . The model also predicts that potentials at the base are nearly independent of the mouth potential.

The importance of detailed speciation of the crevice solution is illustrated in Figure 2. As an exercise, the acetate complex, which ties up most of the ferrous iron in the crevice solution was omitted from the model formulation. Note that with this omission the model greatly underpredicts the potential drop inside the crevice.

Sulfuric Acid Electrolyte The sulfuric acid solution consisted of 0.001 M sulfuric acid. The reactions considered in modeling the system are:

 $H_2O \Leftrightarrow H^+(aq) + OH^-(aq)$

 FeSO_4 7H₂O(s) \Leftarrow Fe²⁺(aq) + SO₄²⁻(aq) + 7H₂O (13)

 (12)

These reactions, with the exception of reaction (13), are assumed to remain at equilibrium. Reaction (13) only becomes active when the solution becomes supersaturated with ferrous sulfate. Five aqueous species and the potential are considered in the model.

As with the acetate solution, the boundary conditions are fixed concentrations and potentials at the mouth and zero gradient at the base. The kinetics were obtained by discretization of the anodic polarization curve obtained from an uncreviced sample in the same solution [7].

The model predicts the potential and electrolyte composition as a function of distance inside the occluded cell. A comparison of measured and predicted potentials is given in Figure 5. Ferrous sulfate is predicted to precipitate at the base of the crevice.
The potential at the crevice base in sulfuric acid solutions also reached a limiting value at depth which was independent of the degree of anodic polarization in the experiments.

As an illustration of the importance of the composition of the crevice solution, ferrous sulfate was not allowed to precipitate in one model run (Figure 5). Ignoring precipitation of ferrous sulfate results in an underestimate of the potential drop in the corrosion cavity.

Figure 5. Predicted and measured potentials from crevice in sulfuric acid solution polarized at 0.844 V SHE. The negative distances refer to measurements outside the crevice mouth.

CONCLUSION

In summary, a conceptual, mathematical, and numerical model of the electrochemistry in crevices and pits has been developed. The model was used to predict the electrochemistry in several laboratory systems. Model input was based upon literature values of transport properties for the ions of concern and kinetic data from uncreviced specimens. Comparison of modeled and measured data showed excellent quantitative agreement. No empirical scaling factors or adjustments to the theoretical constructs of the model were required to explain or predict the real system. This result gives strong support to the application of models based upon first principles to assessment of radionactive waste disposal systems.

The importance of detailed speciation in predicting the potential drops was illustrated with numerical examples. Omission of important reactions tends to cause underprediction of the potential drop inside the cavity. Underpredictions of the potential drop in turn cause overpredictions of the anodic current density at depth in the corrosion cavity.

Theoretical models of localized corrosion can do a good job of predicting the electrochemistry in some simplified experimental systems. Much research remains to be performed before they can be used with confidence to predict more complex corrosion systems. Clearly these models are necessary to complement and guide empirical work on corrosion rates because of the unique conditions of waste isolation systems: limited mass transport rates, and long time periods of concern.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The assistance of Dr. S. A. Rawson is gratefully acknowledged. Work partially funded under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy, DOE Contract No. DE-AC07-76IDO1570.

REFERENCES

[1] Newman, J*.*, *Electrochemical Systems*, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, (1973)*.*

[2] Turnbull*,* A. and J. G. N. Thomas, *J*. *Electrochem*. *Soc*., 129(7)*,* p. 1412, (1982).

[3] Gravano, S. M., and J. R. Galvele, *Corrosion Science,* 24(6), p. 5 17, (198*4*).

[4] Sharland, S. M., *Cor*r*osion Science,* 28(6), p. 621, (1988).

[5] Lasaga, A. C., and R. J. Kirkpatrick, *Kinetics of Geochemical Processes*, Mineralogical Society of America, Washington*,* (1981).

[6] Press, W. It., B. P. Flan.lery, S. A. Teukolsky*,* and W. T. Vetterling, *Numerical Recipes*' - 7'*he Art of Scientific Computing,* Cambridge University Press, New York, (1985).

[7] A. Valdes-Moldon, Ph.D. thesis, The Pennsylvania State University, (1987).

[8] Pickering, H. W., *Corrosion Science*, 29(2*/*3)*,* p. 325, (1989).

[9] Walton, J C., *CotTosion Science*, (in press).

[10] Runchal*,* A.*,* B. Sagar, R. G. Baca*,* and N. W. Kline, *PORFLO*- *A Continuum Model for Fluid Flow, tteat Transfer, and Met*e*s Transport in Poro*t*t*_ *Media: Model Theory*, *Numerical Methods, and Computational Tests,* Rockwell **l l**anford Opera**t**ions, RHO-BW-CR-150-P, (1985).

DATE FILMED

 1212190

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1$ $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}d\theta.$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \left(\mathcal{L} \right) \left(\mathcal{L} \right) \left(\mathcal{L} \right)$ $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\mathcal{L}^{\text{$ $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{\$ $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2}d\mu_{\rm{max}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right).$ $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, \frac$ $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$. $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{d\mu}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\right)^2\frac{d\mu}{\mu}\frac{d\mu}{\nu} \,d\mu.$ $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=$

 ~ 200